Addressing structural racism: An update from the APA

A new Task Force focuses on dismantling racism in the APA and psychiatry

The coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic, which as of mid-February 2021 had caused more than 486,000 deaths in the United States, has changed our lives forever. Elders and Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) have been overrepresented among those lost. That, when juxtaposed with the civil unrest that followed the brutal killing of George Floyd, an unarmed Black man, by a White law enforcement officer on May 25, 2020, have compelled us to talk about US race relations in unprecedented ways. These and other traumas disproportionately affect the quality of life and health of minority and underserved individuals. The international outcry about racism, serial trauma, and health disparities left the medical profession well positioned to promulgate changes that are conducive to achieving health equity.

Race is a social construct

In November 2020, the American Medical Association (AMA) Board of Trustees made several public acknowledgments about race. First, race is a social, nonbiological classification that is different from biology, ethnicity, or genetic ancestry. Next, race contributes to health disparities and poor health outcomes for minorities and members of underserved communities. Also, racism, which includes disproportionate police brutality against Black and Indigenous people, is a driver of health inequity for them and people in marginalized communities.
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continued
The AMA also commented on how serial trauma and racism can affect one’s health. The AMA acknowledged that exposure to serial trauma throughout one’s life can have a cumulative effect that is “associated with chronic stress, higher rates of comorbidities and lower life expectancy” and results in increased health care costs and decreased quality of life for those who are affected.\(^1\) Also, the AMA proclaimed that racism is a threat to public health and pledged to dismantle discriminatory practices and policies in health care, including medical education and research.\(^2\)

### Diversity and inclusion in psychiatry

While the AMA has been striving to reduce bias in health care systems, psychiatry has been forging its path. In March 2015, the American Psychiatric Association’s (APA) Board of Trustees approved the APA’s Strategic Initiative, which has 4 goals: 1) advancing the integration of psychiatry in health care; 2) supporting research; 3) supporting education; and 4) promoting diversity and inclusion in psychiatry.\(^3\) The latter goal includes advocating for antiracist policies that promote cultural competence and health equity in education, research and psychiatric care; increased recruitment and retention of psychiatrists from groups that historically have been underrepresented in medicine and medical leadership; and ensuring representation of these groups in APA governance at all levels.\(^3\)

### The APA’s antiracism agenda

In March 2020, outgoing APA President Bruce Schwartz concurred with Board members that diversity and inclusion in the APA warranted a closer review. On May 5, 2020, APA President Jeff Geller committed to authorizing a systematic study of diversity and inclusion in various branches of the APA, including councils and governance. By the end of May, with civil unrest in full swing in the United States, President Geller decided to expand the APA’s diversity agenda.

President Geller appointed the APA Presidential Task Force to Address Structural Racism Throughout Psychiatry (SR Task Force), which had its virtual inaugural meeting on June 27, 2020.\(^4\) The SR Task Force exists to focus on structural racism (aka institutional racism) in organized psychiatry, psychiatric patients, and those who provide psychiatric services to patients. The charge, which is subject to revision, if warranted, is clear: provide resources and education on the history of structural racism in the APA and psychiatry, explain how structural racism impacts psychiatric patients and the profession, craft actionable recommendations to dismantle structural racism in the APA and psychiatry, report those findings to the APA’s Board of Trustees, and implement a quality assurance protocol to ensure that the Task Force’s work is consistent with its charge. President Geller decided to have the Task Force focus on anti-Black racism in its inaugural year and believes that the outcome will benefit all psychiatrists, other mental health professionals, and patients who identify as members of minority and underserved groups in the United States and the profession of psychiatry.\(^5\)

### Presidential Task Forces in APA

Presidential Task Forces report directly to the Board of Trustees, which expedites the review of progress reports and deliberation on and, when favorable, implementation of recommendations. Also, Presidential Task Forces are afforded additional APA resources. For example, the SR Task Force has 16 APA staff members who have been appointed or volunteered to assist the Task Force in some way. Many APA staff have graduate degrees in law, education, and other subjects. The skill sets, networks, institutional memory, and commitment that they bring to the project are conducive to advancing the SR Task Force’s agenda at a brisk pace.

The APA President decides whom to appoint to each Task Force. President Geller propitiously appointed subject matter experts and members of the Board of Trustees to serve on the SR Task Force. Subject matter experts contribute historical and contemporary content about racism, including anti-Black racism, to the discussion. The data are
used to craft research questions that may yield pertinent data. (Note that not all subject matter experts are Black, nor are all Board members White.) APA staff support the Task Force by sharing their expertise, compiling data, coordinating meetings, collaborating on program development, disseminating the work product to APA members and the media, and other important tasks.

The SR Task Force’s work
The SR Task Force strives for transparency in a process that is informed by APA members. The group immediately set up a web hub that is used to communicate with APA members. Individual members also use social media to alert members to SR Task Force activities and events. Member input has been solicited by posting several brief surveys on the SR Task Force web hub. Topics have included the effect of structural racism on patient care, psychiatric practice, and organized psychiatry, including the APA. The responses, which collectively totaled >1,600, were reviewed and used to inform Task Force priorities while working within the scope of the charge.

Based on member feedback, the first large project of the SR Task Force has been to examine structural racism in the APA. The SR Task Force formed workgroups to study data pertaining to diversity and inclusion in the APA Assembly, governance (the Board of Trustees), Councils and Committees, and Scientific Program Committee. As APA Publishing and the DSM Steering Committee have internal processes to address structural racism, the SR Task Force did not convene workgroups to study this. However, the SR Task Force will be meeting with leaders of those groups to learn about their protocols and will request that information be made available to APA members.

The SR Task Force reviews and interprets data that are compiled by each workgroup, deliberates on its significance, and when appropriate, drafts achievable recommendations to improve diversity and inclusion in the APA. This is where Trustee involvement is invaluable to the SR Task Force, because the report and recommendations will be presented to the Board of Trustees.

There is no guarantee that the recommendations contained in a report that is accepted by the Board of Trustees will be implemented unless they are approved. It is imperative, therefore, that SR Task Force recommendations to the Board take into consideration Board structure, processes, goals, efficiency, history, and other matters. The learning curve can be steep, especially when the first major report was due 3 months after the SR Task Force was appointed. Clarity and efficiency are key in report preparation. For example, during the Winter 2020 Board of Trustees meeting, the SR Task Force presented its report, answered questions, and offered 7 action items to the Board for deliberation and voting. The endeavor, which was completed in 20 minutes, resulted in the Board supporting 6 of the recommendations and deferring the deliberation of the seventh recommendation to the spring Board meeting, due to logistical concerns.

Thus far, the SR Task Force Workgroups on the Assembly and Governance have presented their reports. The SR Task Force reports on the Scientific Program Committee and Councils and Committees are scheduled to be presented to the Board during the Spring 2021 meeting.

The SR Task Force has been fulfilling the commitment to provide relevant educational materials to members in several ways. There have been 4 virtual Structural Racism Town Hall meetings that featured subject matter experts. The first Town Hall session addressed the initial steps towards dismantling structural racism and included President Geller’s announcement about appointing a SR Task Force. The next Town Hall meeting addressed structural racism in medicine and psychiatry, its effect on children and individuals who identify as transgender, and its intersectionality (the cumulative effect of discrimination on a person who belongs to 2 non-dominant groups.) The panel in the third Town Hall meeting reviewed the impact of structural racism, including intersectionality, on transgenerational trauma in several minority groups. The meeting ended with an update of Task Force activities. The February 2021 Town Hall meeting focused on how structural racism affects recruitment and retention of
minority psychiatry residents, and how this can undermine efforts to grow a diverse workforce. Recordings of these and other events can be accessed on the SR Task Force web hub. The SR Task Force members plan to present a review of the year’s work during the next Town Hall meeting, which is scheduled to occur on Saturday, May 1, 2021, during the APA’s Annual Meeting.

The SR Task Force web hub contains other resources, including APA position statements, press releases, and news articles, and a glossary of relevant terms. It also includes internet links to President Geller’s 9-part series on the history of Structural Racism in the APA. There are CME and other webinars, a curated list of references, videos, podcasts, and other media.

The SR Task Force believes that much of the antiracism work needs to occur beyond APA headquarters. Consequently, President Geller challenged all APA Councils to work on an antiracism project to support the APA’s antiracism agenda. APA committees and caucuses have been encouraged to do the same. The SR Task Force has asked APA District Branches and Allied Organizations to share information about what they are doing to educate members about structural racism and what they are doing for input regarding their antiracist activities. Additionally, Task Force members have been speaking with these and other groups to inform them about the APA’s antiracism work.

APA’s Board of Trustees actions
It would be inappropriate for the APA to task groups with focusing on antiracism unless the organization was doing its part. In July 2020, the Board of Trustees had a 2-hour round table discussion during which each member spoke about the problem and how the APA should address it. Next, President Geller appointed a Board Workgroup to clarify the definitions of “minority” and “underrepresented.” Although the APA Assembly has defined the terms, the APA has not. Additionally, the APA Board of Trustees retained a consultant to assess all aspects of how it functions as a Board. The Board’s management of matters pertaining to diversity and inclusion was part of the examination. The recommendations are being reviewed and the Board will undergo diversity training.

President Geller’s study of racism in the APA, which involved a review of past APA presidential addresses, brought to light a long-term pattern of racism in the organization. On January 18, 2021, Martin Luther King, Jr. Day, the APA acknowledged and apologized to psychiatrists, patients, and the public for its history of engaging in and passively condoning racist behavior. The APA has committed to being better informed about diversity and inclusion at every level. Lastly, hired consultants with expertise in diversity and inclusion are working with APA staff at every level so that the environment can be a welcoming and comfortable workspace for recruiting and retaining a diverse workforce.

Although it may seem that the APA has engaged in many antiracist activities in a brief period, there is much more to accomplish. The Task Force hopes that the work will speak for itself and will be sustained over time. It’s long overdue.
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