

January 2021

'Canceling' obsolete terms

I wanted to thank Dr. Nasrallah for his most important editorial, "Let's 'cancel' these obsolete terms in DSM" (From the Editor, CURRENT PSYCHIATRY, January 2021, p. 4,9-10). Over my 40 years of clinical practice, I never cease to cringe or be pained when "clinical" diagnoses offered are nothing but thinly veiled expressions of contempt for our troubled patients. True clinical compassion honors the horizontal axis in caring for other individuals, honoring our mutually shared imperfection and humanity. The offensive "diagnoses" as delineated by Dr. Nasrallah strengthen a distorted vertical axis, speaking to a moral superiority and contempt as clinicians. If I might humbly add to this list, most personally offensive to me is oppositional defiant disorder. I see nothing of clinical or treatment value to this term, and it strikes me more as a horrible pejorative used to

Keep in touch!

letters@currentpsychiatry.com OR

Comments & Controversies CURRENT PSYCHIATRY 7 Century Drive, Suite 302 Parsippany, NJ 07054

All letters are subject to editing.

<u>Comments & Controversies</u>

label a child suffering from a brainbased behavioral disorder requiring compassionate treatment. Perhaps other readers would like to add their "top hits" to this ignominious list. Many thanks, Dr. Nasrallah!

> **Robert Barris, MD** Nassau University Medical Center East Meadow, New York

How sad! This is my reaction to reading Dr. Nasrallah's January 2021 editorial. Although biological psychiatry is synonymous with brain neurotransmitters and psychopharmacology, absent from this perspective is the visible biology of the human organism, specifically Sigmund Freud's discovery of the psychosexual development of the infant and child and Wilhelm Reich's discovery of characterological and muscular armor. Medicine, a natural science, is founded and grounded in observation. Psychiatry, having ignored and eliminated ("canceled") recognition of these readily observable phenomena essential to understanding psychiatric disorders, including neurosis and schizophrenia, allows Dr. Nasrallah to suggest we "cancel" what should be at the heart of psychiatric diagnosis and treatment. Sadly, this heart has been lost for decades.

> Howard Chavis, MD New York, New York

Dr. Nasrallah responds

Psychiatry, like all medical and scientific disciplines, must go through an ongoing renewal, including the update of its terminology, with or without a change in its concepts or principles. Anxiety is a more accurate description of clinical symptoms than neurosis, and psychosis spectrum is more accurate than schizophrenia. Besides the accuracy issue, "neurotic" and "schizophrenic" have unfortunately devolved into pejorative and stigmatizing terms. The lexicon of psychiatry has gone through seismic changes over the past several decades, as I described in a previous editorial.¹ Psychiatry is a vibrant, constantly evolving biopsychosocial/clinical neuroscience, not a static descriptive discipline.

Reference

 Nasrallah HA. From bedlam to biomarkers: the transformation of psychiatry's terminology reflects its 4 conceptual earthquakes. Current Psychiatry. 2015;14(1):5-7.

I found myself having difficulty with Dr. Nasrallah's editorial about canceling "obsolete" terms. I agree that making a diagnosis of borderline or narcissistic personality disorder can be pejorative if the clinician is using it to manage their own unprocessed countertransference. While all behavior is brain-mediated, human behavior is influenced by psychological events great and small. I am concerned that you seem to be reducing personality trait disturbances to biological abnormality, pure and simple. Losing psychological understanding of patients while overexplaining behavior as pathological brain dysfunction risks losing why patients see us in the first place.

> Michael Friedman, DO Cherry Hill, New Jersey

Dr. Nasrallah responds

The renaming I suggest goes beyond countertransference. It has to do with scientific validity of the diagnostic construct. And yes, personality traits are heavily genetic, but with some modulation by environmental factors. I suggest reading the seminal works of Thomas J. Bouchard Jr., PhD, and Kenneth S. Kendler, MD, on identical twins reared together or apart for more details about the genetics of personality traits.

Disclosures

doi: 10.12788/cp.0125

The authors report no financial relationships with any companies whose products are mentioned in their letters, or with manufacturers of competing products.