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Recent studies have led to new insights into atopic dermatitis (AD) pathogenesis and epidemiology as well as its impact on the quality of life of affected individuals and their families, best bathing practices, and expanding treatment options.

- There are several novel topical and systemic agents recently approved and in late-stage clinical development programs that are evolving therapeutic approaches to pediatric disease.

**Epidemiology and Comorbidities**

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a chronic, pruritic, inflammatory skin disease that occurs most frequently in children but also affects many adolescents and adults. There has been a tremendous evolution of knowledge in AD, with insights into pathogenesis, epidemiology, impact of disease, and new therapies. A variety of studies examine the epidemiology of AD and associated comorbidities. The broad developments in disease state research are reflected in new publication numbers of AD citations on PubMed. A PubMed search of articles indexed for MEDLINE at the end of 2010 using the term *atopic dermatitis* would have shown 965 citations during the preceding 1-year period. In the 1-year period of June 2019 to June 2020, there were more than 2000 articles. The large body of research includes work of great significance in pediatric AD, and in this article we review recent findings that are important in understanding the progress being made in the field.

**PRACTICE POINTS**

- There has been tremendous growth in our understanding of atopic dermatitis, with further insight into epidemiology, the impact on quality of life of affected individuals and their families, best bathing practices, and expanding treatment options.
- There are several novel topical and systemic agents recently approved and in late-stage clinical development programs that are evolving therapeutic approaches to pediatric disease.
PEDIATRIC AD

may spontaneously remit over time, disease continuing into adolescence and adulthood is common. Paternoster et al4 studied the longitudinal course of AD in children from 2 birth cohort prospective studies, showing distinct AD phenotypes having differing course trajectories over time. Disease subsets included patients with early-onset-persistent and early-onset-late-resolving disease. Whether phenotyping or subgroup analysis can be used to predict disease course or risk for development of comorbidities is unknown, but it is interesting to consider how such work could influence tailoring of specific therapies to early disease presentation.

Atopic dermatitis poses a serious public health burden owing to its high prevalence, considerable morbidity and disability, increased health care utilization, and cost of care.1 Recent studies have found notably higher rates of multiple medical and mental health comorbidities in both children and adults with AD, including infections, atopic comorbidities (eg, allergic rhinitis, asthma, food allergies), eye diseases (eg, keratitis, conjunctivitis, keratoconus), and possible cardiovascular diseases and autoimmune disorders.1,5-9 Allergic comorbidities are quite common in pediatric AD patients.10 In a recent study examining the efficacy and safety of dupilumab monotherapy in 251 adolescents with moderate to severe inadequately controlled AD, most had comorbid type 2 diseases including asthma (53.6%), food allergies (60.8%), and allergic rhinitis (65.6%).11

Quality of Life/Life Impact of AD

Pediatric AD has a major impact on the quality of life of patients and their families.12 The well-being and development of children are strongly influenced by the physical and psychosocial health of parents/guardians. Two studies by Ramírez and colleagues13,14 published in 2019 examined sleep disturbances and exhaustion in mothers of children with AD. Data for the studies came from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Children with active AD reported worse sleep quality than those without AD, with nearly 50% higher odds of sleep-quality disturbances. Analysis of the cohort data from 11,649 mother-child pairs who were followed up with a time-varying measure of child AD activity and severity as well as self-reported maternal sleep measures repeated at multiple time points for children aged 6 months to 11 years showed that mothers of children with AD reported difficulty falling asleep, subjectively insufficient sleep, and daytime exhaustion throughout the first 11 years of childhood.13,14 These data suggest that sleep disturbance may be a family affair.

A cross-sectional, real-world study on the burden of AD in children aged 6 to 11 years assessed by self-report demonstrated a substantial and multidimensional impact of AD, including itch, sleep disturbance, skin pain, and health-related quality-of-life impact, as well as comorbidities and school productivity losses. The burden associated with AD was remarkable and increased with disease severity.15

Drucker et al16 completed a comprehensive literature review on the burden of AD, summarized as a report for the National Eczema Association. Quality-of-life impact on pediatric patients included high rates of emotional distress; social isolation; depression; limitations in activities due to lesions with fear of triggers; and behavioral problems such as irritability, crying, and sleep disturbance resulting in difficulty performing at school.16 The psychological impact on children as well as emotional and behavioral difficulties may impact the ability for parents/guardians to implement treatment plans.17

There is a striking association between mental health disorders and AD in the US pediatric population, with a clear dose-dependent relationship that has been observed between the prevalence of a mental health disorder and the reported severity of the skin disease. Data suggest children with AD may be at increased risk for developing mental health disorders. The National Survey of Children’s Health found statistically significant increases in the likelihood of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (odds ratio [OR], 1.87), depression (OR, 1.81), anxiety (OR, 1.77), conduct disorder (OR, 1.87), and autism (OR, 3.04).6

Evolving Practices and Therapies

Bathing Practices—There has long been much controversy regarding best bathing habits for patients with AD. In a 2009 study, cutaneous hydration was quantified after various bathing and moisturizing regimens.18 The study showed clear benefits of emollient application on skin hydration, either after bathing or without bathing. Bathing followed by emollient applications did not decrease skin hydration in contrast to bathing without emollient application.18

There are limited studies evaluating bathing frequency in pediatric patients, and many families receive conflicting information regarding best practice. In one study that surveyed 354 parents, more than 75% of parents/guardians who had seen multiple providers for their child’s AD reported a substantial amount of confusion and frustration from conflicting advice on bathing frequency.19 Cardona et al20 undertook a randomized clinical trial of frequent bathing and moisturizing vs less-frequent bathing and moisturizing in pediatric patients with AD aged 6 months to 11 years. Patients were divided into 2 groups: 1 being bathed twice daily with immediate moisturizer application and the other being bathed twice weekly followed by moisturization, then a switch to the other method. Patients used standardized topical corticosteroids (TCSs) in both groups. There were significant improvements in scoring AD and other objective measures during the frequent bathing time period vs infrequent bathing; in the group that bathed more frequently, SCORAD (SCORing Atopic Dermatitis) decreased by 21.2 compared with the group that bathed less frequently (95% confidence interval, 14.9–27.6; P<.0001). These findings suggest that more-frequent bathing with immediate moisturization is
superior as an acute treatment intervention for improving AD disease severity in comparison to less-frequent bathing with immediate moisturization.20

Expanding Treatment Options

Topical Phosphodiesterase Inhibitors—There are several new and evolving topical therapies in AD. Crisaborole ointment 2% is a steroid-free phosphodiesterase inhibitor approved in 2016 by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for mild to moderate AD in patients aged 2 years and older. A recent multicenter, open-label, single-arm study in 137 infants (CrisADe CARE 1) evaluated the pharmacokinetics and efficacy of crisaborole ointment 2% applied twice daily for 4 weeks in pediatric patients aged 3 months to less than 24 months of age with mild to moderate AD.21 The study had 2 cohorts: one with a minimum of 5% body surface area involvement and another (the pharmacokinetic cohort) with a minimum of 35% body surface area involvement. Both cohorts demonstrated similar efficacy data. From baseline to day 29, the mean percentage change in eczema area and severity index (EASI) score was −57.5%, and an investigator global assessment (IGA) score of clear or almost clear with at least a 2-grade improvement was achieved in 30.2% of patients. Crisaborole systemic exposures in infants were comparable with those in patients aged 2 years or older. Patients tolerated crisaborole well, with a 4% rate of burning, which was similar to other studies in children and adults but perhaps lower than seen in clinical practice. Pharmacokinetic studies did not show any remarkable noticeable concern with accumulation of propylene glycol absorption.21

Based on the CrisADe CARE 1 study data, in March 2020 the FDA extended the indication of crisaborole ointment 2% from a prior lower age limit of 24 months to approval for use in treating mild to moderate AD in children as young as 3 months, making it the first nonsteroidal topical anti-inflammatory medication to be approved in children younger than 2 years in the United States.

Evolving Topical Therapies

Topical Janus Kinase Inhibitors—Ruxolitinib is a potent inhibitor of Janus kinase 1 (JAK-1) and Janus kinase 2 (JAK-2) and has been developed in topical formulations. In recent phase 3 clinical trials of patients with AD aged 12 years and older with mild to moderate disease (TRuE-AD1 and TRuE-AD2), more than half of the patients treated with either ruxolitinib cream in a 0.75% or 1.5% concentration reached EASI-75 after 8 weeks of treatment.22 Additionally, more patients treated with topical ruxolitinib reached an IGA score of clear to almost clear than patients treated with vehicle at the end of treatment. Thus far, it appears to be very well tolerated, significantly decreases EASI score (P<.0001), and improves overall pruritus.22

Delgocitinib is a topical pan-JAK inhibitor that blocks several cytokine-signaling cascade pathways. It was first developed and approved in Japan in an ointment formulation for use in patients with AD aged 16 years and older.23 The efficacy and safety profile of delgocitinib is currently being evaluated in pediatric patients with AD in Japan. In a recent phase 2 clinical study of 103 Japanese patients aged 2 to 15 years with moderate to severe AD, patients were randomized to receive either delgocitinib ointment in 0.25% or 0.5% concentrations or vehicle ointment twice daily for 4 weeks. The proportion of patients with a modified EASI-75 score was 38.2% (13/34) in the 0.25% group and 50.0% (17/34) in the 0.5% group vs 8.6% (3/35) in the placebo group. More patients treated with delgocitinib ointment received an IGA score of clear or almost clear than patients treated with vehicle at the end of treatment. Overall, both delgocitinib groups demonstrated superior improvement in clinical symptoms and signs without notable side effects.24

Tapinarof—Tapinarof is a topical therapeutic aryl hydrocarbon receptor agonist. In a recent phase 2 randomized study of 2 concentrations and 2 frequencies of tapinarof cream vs vehicle in 247 randomized patients aged 12 to 65 years with moderate to severe disease, tapinarof demonstrated greater success with both concentrations than vehicle at all visits beyond week 2.25 Additionally, in patients treated with tapinarof cream 1%, nearly 50% reached an IGA score of clear to almost clear with at least a 2-grade improvement. More than 50% of patients achieved EASI-75 improvement at 12 weeks of treatment with tapinarof cream 1% used daily. These findings suggest that tapinarof may be an efficacious and well-tolerated treatment for both adolescents and adults with AD; however, large confirmation trials are needed to further investigate.25

Systemic Treatments

Oral JAK Inhibitors—Some of the most exciting novel therapies include several oral JAK inhibitors that target different combinations of kinases and have been shown to decrease AD severity and symptoms. Some of these agents have indications in other disease states, such as baricitinib and upadacitinib, which are both FDA approved for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, whereas others, such as abrocitinib, have been studied specifically for AD.

Although some agents have only been studied in adults to date, others have included adolescents in their core studies, such as abrocitinib, which received Breakthrough Therapy designation from the FDA for the treatment of patients with moderate to severe AD in February 2018. In recent phase 3 trials of patients aged 12 years and older with moderate to severe AD (JADE MONO-1 and JADE MONO-2), both doses of abrocitinib improved the IGA and EASI-75 outcomes compared with placebo.26 Additional studies will be conducted to further investigate the relative efficacy and safety in patients younger than 18 years.
Biologics—Dupilumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody that inhibits IL-4 and IL-13 signaling without suppressing the immune system. It is approved for use in patients aged 12 years and older with moderate to severe asthma and in adults with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis. It is the first biologic to show positive results in the moderate to severe pediatric AD population. There are now extended data available exhibiting sustained benefit in adolescent patients who were continued on dupilumab therapy, evidenced by further improvement in EASI scores at the 1-year mark.27

Recently, dupilumab received approval for use in patients aged 6 to 11 years, making it the first biologic for AD to be approved for use in patients younger than 12 years. The expedited FDA approval was based on the phase 3 results in which the efficacy and safety of dupilumab combined with TCSs were compared to TCSs alone (N=367).28 In this trial, more than twice as many children achieved clear or almost clear skin and more than 4 times as many achieved itch reduction with dupilumab plus TCSs than with TCSs alone. Three-quarters of patients receiving dupilumab at the subsequently approved dosing achieved at least a 75% improvement in overall disease.28 An additional study is being conducted that includes pediatric patients aged 6 months to younger than 6 years (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier NCT03346434).

Future Directions in Pediatric AD
Our review summarizes only some of the agents under clinical investigation for use in pediatric AD. Early treatment to establish excellent long-term disease control with aggressive topical regimens or with systemic agents may alter the course of AD and influence the development of comorbidities, though this has not yet been shown in clinical studies. The long-term impact of early treatment, along with many other intriguing issues, will be studied more in the near future.
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