Upper Lip Anatomy, Mechanics of Local Flaps, and Considerations for Reconstruction
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PRACTICE POINTS
- Comprehensive knowledge of static and dynamic structural support is imperative in reconstruction of upper lip wounds.
- The surgeon should evaluate deficient structures as well as characteristics of the defect to select the most appropriate reconstruction method for optimal functional and aesthetic outcomes.

The upper lip poses challenges during reconstruction. Distortion of well-defined anatomic structures, including the vermilion border, oral commissures, Cupid’s bow, and philtrum, leads to noticeable deformities. Furthermore, maintenance of upper and lower lip function is essential for verbal communication, facial expression, and controlled opening of the oral cavity.

Similar to a prior review focused on the lower lip,1 we conducted a review of the literature using the PubMed database (1976-2017) and the following search terms: upper lip, lower lip, anatomy, comparison, cadaver, histology, local flap, and reconstruction. We reviewed studies that assessed anatomic and histologic characteristics of the upper and the lower lips, function of the upper lip, mechanics of local flaps, and upper lip reconstruction techniques including local flaps and regional flaps. Articles with an emphasis on free flaps were excluded.

The initial search resulted in 1326 articles. Of these, 1201 were excluded after abstracts were screened. Full-text review of the remaining 125 articles resulted in exclusion of 85 papers (9 foreign language, 4 duplicates, and 72 irrelevant). Among the 40 articles eligible for inclusion, 12 articles discussed anatomy and histology of the upper lip, 9 examined function of the upper lip, and 19 reviewed available techniques for reconstruction of the upper lip.

In this article, we review the anatomy and function of the upper lip as well as various repair techniques to provide the reconstructive surgeon with greater familiarity with the local flaps and an algorithmic approach for upper lip reconstruction.

Anatomic Characteristics of the Upper Lip
The muscular component of the upper lip primarily is comprised of the orbicularis oris (OO) muscle divided...
into 2 distinct concentric components: pars peripheralis and pars marginalis. It is discontinuous in some individuals. Although OO is the primary muscle of the lower lip, the upper lip is remarkably complex. Orbicularis oris and 3 additional muscles contribute to upper lip function: depressor septi nasi, the alar portion of the nasalis, and levator labii superiors alaeque nasi (LLSAN).

The modiolus, a muscular structure located just lateral to the commissures, serves as a convergence point for facial muscle animation and lip function while distributing contraction forces between the lips and face. It is imperative to preserve its location in reconstruction to allow for good functional and aesthetic outcomes.

The upper lip is divided into 3 distinct aesthetic sub-units: the philtrum and 1 lateral subunit on each side. Its unique surface features include the Cupid’s bow, vermilion tubercle, and philtral columns. The philtral columns are created by the dermal insertion on each side of the OO, which originates from the modiolus, decussates, and inserts into the skin of the contralateral philtral groove. The OO has additional insertions into the dermis lateral to the philtrum. During its course across the midline, it decreases its insertions, leading to the formation and thinness of the philtral dimple. The philtral shape primarily is due to the intermingling of LLSAN and the pars peripheralis in an axial plane. The LLSAN enters superolateral to the ipsilateral philtral ridge and courses along this ridge to contribute to the philtral shape. Formation of the philtrum’s contour arises from the opposing force of both muscles pulling the skin in opposite directions. The vermilion tubercle arises from the dermal insertion of the pars marginalis originating from the ipsilateral modiolus and follows the vermilion border. The Cupid’s bow is part of the white roll at the vermilion-cutaneous junction produced by the anterior projection of the pars peripheralis. The complex anatomy of this structure explains the intricacy of lip reconstructions in this area.

Function of the Upper Lip

Although the primary purpose of OO is sphincteric function, the upper lip’s key role is coverage of dentition and facial animation. The latter is achieved through the relationship of multiple muscles, including levator labii superiors, levator septi nasi, risorius, zygomaticus minor, zygomaticus major, levator anguli oris, and buccinator. Their smooth coordination results in various facial expressions. In comparison, the lower lip is critical for preservation of oral competence, prevention of drooling, eating, and speech due to the actions of OO and vertical support from the mentalis muscle.

Reconstructive Methods for the Upper Lip

Multiple options are available for reconstruction of upper lip defects, with the aim to preserve facial animation and coverage of dentition. When animation muscles are involved, restoring function is the goal, which can be achieved by placing sutures to reapproximate the muscle edges in smaller defects or anchor the remaining muscle edge to preserve deep structures in larger defects, respecting the vector of contraction and attempting simulation of the muscle function. Additionally, restoration of the continuity of OO also is important for good aesthetic and functional outcomes.

Janis proposed the rule of thirds to approach upper and lower lip reconstruction. Using these rules, we briefly analyze the available flaps focusing on animation, OO restoration, preservation of the modiolus position, and sensation for each (eTable).

The perialar crescentic flap, an advancement flap, can be utilized for laterally located partial-thickness defects affecting up to one-third of the upper lip, especially those adjacent to the alar base, as well as full-thickness defects affecting up to two-thirds of the upper lip. The OO continuity and position of the modiolus often are preserved, sensation is maintained, and muscles of animation commonly are unaffected by this flap, especially in partial-thickness defects. In males, caution should be exercised where non–hair-bearing skin of the cheek is advanced to the upper lip region. Other potential complications include obliteration of the melolabial crease and pincushioning.

Nasolabial (ie, melolabial) flaps are suggested for repair of defects up to one-third of the upper lip, especially when the vermilion is unaffected, or in lateral defects with or without commissure involvement. This flap is based on the facial artery and may be used as a direct transposition, V-Y advancement, or island flap with good aesthetic and functional outcomes. There is limited literature regarding the effects on animation. However, it may be beneficial in avoiding microstomia, as regional tissue is transferred from the cheek area, maintaining upper lip length. Additionally, the location of the modiolus often is unaffected, especially when the flap is harvested above the level of the muscle, providing superior facial animation function. Flap design is critical in areas lateral to the commissure and over the modiolus, as distortion of its position can occur. Similar to crescentic advancement, it is important to exercise caution in male patients, as non–hair-bearing tissue can be transferred to the upper lip. Reported adverse outcomes of the nasolabial flap include a thin flat upper lip, obliteration of the Cupid’s bow, and hypoesthesia that may improve over time.

The Abbe flap is suitable for reconstruction of upper lip defects affecting up to two-thirds of the upper lip and lateral defects, provided the commissure or philtrum is unaffected. It is a 2-stage lip-switch flap based on the inferior labial artery, where tissue is harvested and transferred from the lower lip (Figure 2). It is particularly useful for philtral reconstruction, as incision lines at the flap edges can recreate the skin folds of the philtrum. Moreover, incision lines are better concealed under the nose, making it favorable for female patients.
Surgeons should consider the difference in philtral width between sexes when designing this flap for optimal aesthetic outcome, as males have larger philtral width than females. The Abbe flap allows preservation of the Cupid’s bow, oral commissure, and modiolus position; however, it is an insensate flap and does not establish continuity of OO. For central defects, the function of animation muscles is not critically affected. In philtral reconstruction using an Abbe flap, a common adverse outcome is widening of the central segment because of tension and contraction forces applied by the adjacent OO. Restoration of the continuity of the muscle through dissection and advancement in small defects or anchoring of muscle edges on deeper surfaces may avoid direct pull on the flap. In larger central defects extending beyond the native philtrum, it is important to recreate the philtrum proportional to the remaining upper and lower lips. The recommended technique is a combination of a thin Abbe flap with bilateral perialar crescentic advancement flaps to maintain a proportional philtrum. Several variations have been described, including 3D planning with muscular suspension for natural raised philtral columns, avoiding a flat upper lip.

The Yu flap, a sensate single-stage rotational advancement flap, can be used in a variety of ways for repair of upper lip defects, depending on the size and location. Lateral defects up to one-half of the upper lip should be repaired with a unilateral reverse Yu flap, central defects up to one-half of the upper lip can be reconstructed with bilateral reverse Yu flaps, and defects up to two-thirds of the upper lip can be repaired with bilateral Yu flaps. This flap restores OO continuity and thus preserves sphincter function, minimizes oral incompetence, and has a low risk of microstomia. The muscles of facial animation are preserved, yet the modiolus is not. Good aesthetic outcomes have been reported depending on the location of the Yu flap because scars can be placed in the nasolabial sulcus, commissures, or medially to recreate the philtrum.

The Estlander flap is a single-stage flap utilizing donor tissue from the opposing lip for reconstruction of lateral defects up to two-thirds of the upper lip with commissure and philtrum involvement (Figure 3). It is an insensate flap that alters the position of the modiolus, distorting oral and facial animation. The superomedial position of the modiolus is better tolerated in the upper lip because it increases the relaxation tone of the lower lip and simulates the vector of contraction of major animation muscles, positively impacting the sphincteric function of the reconstructed lip. Sphincteric function action is not as impaired compared with the lower lip because the new position of the modiolus tightens the lower lip and prevents drooling. When designing the flap, one should consider that the inferior labial artery has been reported to remain with 10 mm of the superior border of the lower lip; therefore, pedicles of the Abbe and Estlander flaps should be at least 10 mm from the vermilion border to preserve vascular supply.

The Gilles fan flap, an insensate advancement rotation flap, can be employed for central and lateral full-thickness defects up to two-thirds of the upper lip not involving the commissures. It is a single-stage flap in which the remaining lip is redistributed and rotated...
to create a neocommissure, but it does not restore the philtrum. Given that increased adjacent tissue is available, there is a decreased risk for microstomia.\textsuperscript{12} Facial animation is impaired because of the altered position of the modiolus and disruption of animation muscles. Oral competency is abolished, as neurovascular structures are not preserved.\textsuperscript{7} Although it has been recommended for use in total upper lip reconstruction, caution should be exercised, as use in defects greater than two-thirds can displace the modiolus and create microstomia.\textsuperscript{7,36}

The Karapandzic flap, a modified Gilles fan flap, can be employed for repair of central defects up to two-thirds of the upper lip.\textsuperscript{8,23,32,36-39} The bilateral advancement of full-thickness adjacent tissue edges preserves neurovascular structures allowing sensation and restores OO continuation.\textsuperscript{40} Prior studies have shown the average distance of the superior labial artery emergence from the facial artery and labial commissure is 12.1 mm; thus, at least 12.1 mm of tissue from the commissure should be preserved to prevent vascular compromise in Karapandzic flaps.\textsuperscript{34,35} The modiolus position is altered, and facial animation muscles are disrupted, consequently impairing facial animation, especially elevation of the lip.\textsuperscript{36} The philtrum is obliterated, producing unfavorable aesthetic outcomes. Finally, the upper lip is thinner and smaller in volume than the lower lip, increasing the risk for microstomia compared with the lower lip with a similar reconstructive technique.\textsuperscript{36}

Defects larger than two-thirds of the upper lip require a Bernard Burrow flap, distant free flap, or combination of multiple regional and local flaps dependent on the characteristics of the defect.\textsuperscript{36,41} Distant free flaps are beyond the scope of this review. The Bernard Burrow flap consists of bilaterally opposing cheek advancement flaps. It is an insensate flap that does not restore OO continuity,
producing minimal muscle function and poor animation. Microstomia is a common adverse outcome. 36

Conclusion
Comprehensive understanding of labial anatomy and its intimate relationship to function and aesthetics of the upper lip are critical. Flap anatomy and mechanics are key factors for successful reconstruction. The purpose of this article is to utilize knowledge of histology, anatomy, and function of the upper lip to improve the outcomes of reconstruction. The Abbe flap often is utilized for reconstruction of the philtrum and central upper lip defects, though it is a less desirable option for lower lip reconstruction. The Karapandzic flap, while sensitive and restorative of OO continuity, may have less optimal functional and cosmetic results compared with its use in the lower lip. Regarding lateral defects involving the commissure, the Estlander flap provides a reasonable option for the upper lip when compared with its use in lower lip defects, where outcomes are usually inferior.
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## eTable. Synopsis of Local Flaps for Upper Lip Wounds and Functional Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reconstructive option</th>
<th>Preservation of orbicularis position</th>
<th>Preservation of modiolus position</th>
<th>Preservation of facial animation</th>
<th>Size (graft thickness)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Anesthesia</th>
<th>Size (graft thickness)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Anesthesia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pentalar crescentic flap</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Less than one-third (partial thickness)</td>
<td>Central defect</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasolabial flap</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Less than half and less than two-thirds (full thickness)</td>
<td>Central and lateral</td>
<td>Lateral + commissure involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboe flap</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>One-third to two-thirds (full thickness)</td>
<td>Central and lateral</td>
<td>Central defect + modiolus involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu flap</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Less than one-third (full thickness)</td>
<td>Central and lateral</td>
<td>Central defect + modiolus involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estlander flap</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>One-third to two-thirds (full thickness)</td>
<td>Central and lateral</td>
<td>Central defect + modiolus involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilles fan flap</td>
<td>−/−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Less than two-thirds (full thickness)</td>
<td>Central and lateral</td>
<td>Central defect + modiolus involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karapandzic flap</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>One-third to two-thirds (full thickness)</td>
<td>Central and lateral</td>
<td>Central defect + modiolus involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard Burrow flap</td>
<td>−/−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>More than two-thirds (full thickness)</td>
<td>Central and lateral</td>
<td>Central defect + modiolus involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Partially or fully, depending on the location and size of the defect.

*Depending on the depth of the wound and the level of involvement of the orbicularis oris.