## Cyclosporine for Recalcitrant Bullous Pemphigoid Induced by Nivolumab Therapy for Malignant Melanoma

Carly E. Wallace, DO; Idy Tam, MD; Mara G. Beveridge, MD

## PRACTICE POINTS

- Bullous pemphigoid is a rare dermatologic immunerelated adverse event that can occur secondary to anti-programmed death receptor 1 therapy.
- For cases of immune checkpoint inhibitor-induced bullous pemphigoid that are recalcitrant to corticosteroids and rituximab, cyclosporine might be an effective alternative.

## To the Editor:

Immune checkpoint inhibitors have revolutionized the treatment of advanced-stage melanoma, with remarkably improved progression-free survival.<sup>1</sup> Anti–programmed death receptor 1 (anti–PD-1) therapies, such as nivolumab and pembrolizumab, are a class of checkpoint inhibitors that have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for unresectable metastatic melanoma. Anti–PD-1 agents block the interaction of programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) found on tumor cells with the PD-1 receptor on T cells, facilitating a positive immune response.<sup>2</sup>

Although these therapies have demonstrated notable antitumor efficacy, they also give rise to numerous immune-related adverse events (irAEs). As many as 70% of patients treated with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors experience some type of organ system irAE, of which 30% to

40% are cutaneous.<sup>3-6</sup> Dermatologic adverse events are the most common irAEs, specifically spongiotic dermatitis, lichenoid dermatitis, pruritus, and vitiligo.<sup>7</sup> Bullous pemphigoid (BP), an autoimmune bullous skin disorder caused by autoantibodies to basement membrane zone antigens, is a rare but potentially serious cutaneous irAE.<sup>8</sup> Systemic corticosteroids commonly are used to treat immune checkpoint inhibitor–induced BP; other options include tetracyclines for maintenance therapy and rituximab for corticosteroid-refractory BP associated with anti-PD-1.<sup>9</sup> We present a case of recalcitrant BP secondary to nivolumab therapy in a patient with metastatic melanoma who had near-complete resolution of BP following 2 months of cyclosporine.

A 41-year-old man presented with a generalized papular skin eruption of 1 month's duration. He had a history of stage IIIC malignant melanoma of the lower right leg with positive sentinel lymph node biopsy. The largest lymph node deposit was 0.03 mm without extracapsular extension. Whole-body positron emission tomographycomputed tomography showed no evidence of distant disease. The patient was treated with wide local excision with clear surgical margins plus 12 cycles of nivolumab, which was discontinued due to colitis. Four months after the final cycle of nivolumab, the patient developed widespread erythematous papules with hemorrhagic yellow crusting and no mucosal involvement. He was referred to dermatology by his primary oncologist for further evaluation.

Dr. Wallace is from the Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine, Bradenton, Florida. Drs. Tam and Beveridge are from the Department of Dermatology, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Ohio.

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Correspondence: Carly E. Wallace, DO, Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine, 5000 Lakewood Ranch Blvd, Bradenton, FL 34211 (cwallace55295@med.lecom.edu).

Cutis. 2024 July;114(1):E21-E23. doi:10.12788/cutis.1058

A punch biopsy from the abdomen showed parakeratosis with leukocytoclasis and a superficial dermal infiltrate of neutrophils and eosinophils (Figure 1). Direct immunofluorescence revealed linear basement membrane deposits of IgG and C3, consistent with subepidermal blistering disease. Indirect immunofluorescence demonstrated trace IgG and IgG4 antibodies localized to the epidermal roof of salt-split skin and was negative for IgA antibodies. An enzyme-linked immunoassay was positive for BP antigen 2 (BP180) antibodies (98.4 U/mL [positive,  $\geq 9$  U/mL]) and negative for BP antigen 1 (BP230) antibodies (4.3 U/mL [positive,  $\geq 9$  U/mL]). Overall, these findings were consistent with a diagnosis of BP.

The patient was treated with prednisone 60 mg daily with initial response; however, there was disease recurrence with tapering. Doxycycline 100 mg twice daily and nicotinamide 500 mg twice daily were added as steroid-sparing agents, as prednisone was discontinued due to mood changes. Three months after the prednisone taper, the patient continued to develop new blisters. He completed treatment with doxycycline and nicotinamide. Rituximab 375 mg weekly was then initiated for 4 weeks.

At 2-week follow-up after completing the rituximab course, the patient reported worsening symptoms and presented with new bullae on the abdomen and upper extremities (Figure 2). Because of the recent history of mood changes while taking prednisone, a trial of cyclosporine 100 mg twice daily (1.37 mg/kg/d) was initiated, with notable improvement within 2 weeks of treatment. After 2 months of cyclosporine, approximately 90% of the rash had resolved with a few tense bullae remaining on the left frontal scalp but no new flares (Figure 3). One month after treatment ended, the patient remained clear of lesions without relapse.

Programmed death receptor 1 inhibitors have shown dramatic efficacy for a growing number of solid and hematologic malignancies, especially malignant melanoma. However, their use is accompanied by nonspecific



**FIGURE 1.** Histopathology of a punch biopsy specimen from the abdomen revealed parakeratosis with leukocytoclasis and a dermal infiltrate of neutrophils and eosinophils (H&E, original magnification ×10).

activation of the immune system, resulting in a variety of adverse events, many manifesting on the skin. Several cases of BP in patients treated with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors have been reported.<sup>9</sup> Cutaneous irAEs usually manifest within 3 weeks of initiation of PD-1 inhibitor therapy; however, the onset of BP typically occurs later at approximately 21 weeks.<sup>4,9</sup> Our patient developed cutaneous manifestations 4 months after cessation of nivolumab.

Bullous pemphigoid classically manifests with pruritus and tense bullae. Notably, our patient's clinical presentation included a widespread eruption of papules without bullae, which was similar to a review by Tsiogka et al,<sup>9</sup> which reported that one-third of patients first present with a nonspecific cutaneous eruption. Bullous pemphigoid induced by anti–PD-1 may manifest differently than traditional BP, illuminating the importance of a thorough diagnostic workup.

Although the pathogenesis of immune checkpoint inhibitor–induced BP has not been fully elucidated, it is hypothesized to be caused by increased T cell cytotoxic





FIGURE 2. Recalcitrant bullous pemphigoid secondary to nivolumab therapy for malignant melanoma persisted despite therapy with prednisone, doxycycline, nicotinamide, and rituximab. A, Diffuse erythematous papules, plaques, and bullae of varying duration with overlying hemorrhagic crusting and erosions were evident on the left arm. B, Scattered erythematous papules and bullae with overlying hemorrhagic crusting on the abdomen.

WWW.MDEDGE.COM/DERMATOLOGY

Copyright Cutis 2024. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, or transmitted without the prior written permission of the Publisher.



**FIGURE 3.** Ninety percent improvement of bullous pemphigoid was achieved after 2 months of cyclosporine 100 mg twice daily (1.37 mg/kg/d).

activity leading to tumor lysis and release of numerous autoantigens. These autoantigens cause priming of abnormal T cells that can lead to further tissue damage in peripheral tissue and to generation of aberrant B cells and subsequent autoantibodies such as BP180 in germinal centers.<sup>4,10,11</sup>

Cyclosporine is a calcineurin inhibitor that reduces synthesis of IL-2, resulting in reduced cell activation.<sup>12</sup> Therefore, cyclosporine may alleviate BP in patients who are being treated, or were previously treated, with an immune checkpoint inhibitor by suppressing T cell– mediated immune reaction and may be a rapid alternative for patients who cannot tolerate systemic steroids.

Treatment options for mild to moderate cases of BP include topical corticosteroids and antihistamines, while severe cases may require high-dose systemic corticosteroids. In recalcitrant cases, rituximab infusion with or without intravenous immunoglobulin often is utilized.<sup>8,13</sup> The use of cyclosporine for various bullous disorders, including pemphigus vulgaris and epidermolysis bullosa acquisita, has been described.<sup>14</sup> In recent years there has been a shift away from the use of cyclosporine for these conditions following the introduction of rituximab, a monoclonal antibody directed against the CD20 antigen on B lymphocytes. We utilized cyclosporine in our patient after he experienced worsening symptoms 1 month after completing treatment with rituximab.

Improvement from rituximab therapy may be delayed because it can take months to deplete CD20<sup>+</sup> B lymphocytes from circulation, which may necessitate additional immunosuppressants or re-treatment with rituximab.<sup>15,16</sup> In these instances, cyclosporine may be beneficial as a low-cost alternative in patients who are unable to tolerate systemic steroids, with a relatively good safety profile. The dosage of cyclosporine prescribed to the patient was chosen based on Joint American Academy of Dermatology–National Psoriasis Foundation management guidelines for psoriasis with systemic nonbiologic therapies, which recommends an initial dosage of 1 to 3 mg/kg/d in 2 divided doses.<sup>17</sup>

As immunotherapy for treating various cancers gains popularity, the frequency of dermatologic irAEs will increase. Therefore, dermatologists must be aware of the array of cutaneous manifestations, such as BP, and potential treatment options. When first-line and second-line therapies are contraindicated or do not provide notable improvement, cyclosporine may be an effective alternative for immune checkpoint inhibitor–induced BP.

## REFERENCES

- Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, et al. Combined nivolumab and ipilimumab or monotherapy in untreated melanoma. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:23-34. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1504030
- Alsaab HO, Sau S, Alzhrani R, et al. PD-1 and PD-L1 checkpoint signaling inhibition for cancer immunotherapy: mechanism, combinations, and clinical outcome. *Front Pharmacol.* 2017;8:561. doi:10.3389 /fphar.2017.00561
- Puzanov I, Diab A, Abdallah K, et al; Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer Toxicity Management Working Group. Managing toxicities associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: consensus recommendations from the Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer (SITC) Toxicity Management Working Group. J Immunother Cancer. 2017;5:95. doi:10.1186/s40425-017-0300-z
- Geisler AN, Phillips GS, Barrios DM, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitor-related dermatologic adverse events. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2020;83:1255-1268. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2020.03.132
- Villadolid J, Amin A. Immune checkpoint inhibitors in clinical practice: update on management of immune-related toxicities. *Transl Lung Cancer Res.* 2015;4:560-575. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2218-6751.2015.06.06
- Kumar V, Chaudhary N, Garg M, et al. Current diagnosis and management of immune related adverse events (irAEs) induced by immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. *Front Pharmacol.* 2017;8:49. doi:10.3389 /fphar.2017.00049
- Belum VR, Benhuri B, Postow MA, et al. Characterisation and management of dermatologic adverse events to agents targeting the PD-1 receptor. *Eur J Cancer.* 2016;60:12-25. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2016.02.010
- Schauer F, Rafei-Shamsabadi D, Mai S, et al. Hemidesmosomal reactivity and treatment recommendations in immune checkpoint inhibitor-induced bullous pemphigoid—a retrospective, monocentric study. Front Immunol. 2022;13:953546. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.953546
- Tsiogka A, Bauer JW, Patsatsi A. Bullous pemphigoid associated with anti-programmed cell death protein 1 and anti-programmed cell death ligand 1 therapy: a review of the literature. *Acta Derm Venereol*. 2021;101:adv00377. doi:10.2340/00015555-3740
- Lopez AT, Khanna T, Antonov N, et al. A review of bullous pemphigoid associated with PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors. *Int J Dermatol.* 2018;57: 664-669. doi:10.1111/ijd.13984
- Yang H, Yao Z, Zhou X, et al. Immune-related adverse events of checkpoint inhibitors: insights into immunological dysregulation. *Clin Immunol*. 2020;213:108377. doi:10.1016/j.clim.2020.108377
- Russell G, Graveley R, Seid J, et al. Mechanisms of action of cyclosporine and effects on connective tissues. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 1992;21(6 suppl 3):16-22. doi:10.1016/0049-0172(92)90009-3
- Ahmed AR, Shetty S, Kaveri S, et al. Treatment of recalcitrant bullous pemphigoid (BP) with a novel protocol: a retrospective study with a 6-year follow-up. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2016;74:700-708.e3. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2015.11.030
- Amor KT, Ryan C, Menter A. The use of cyclosporine in dermatology: part I. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2010;63:925-946. doi:10.1016/j .jaad.2010.02.063
- Schmidt E, Hunzelmann N, Zillikens D, et al. Rituximab in refractory autoimmune bullous diseases. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* 2006;31:503-508. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2230.2006.02151.x
- Kasperkiewicz M, Shimanovich I, Ludwig RJ, et al. Rituximab for treatment-refractory pemphigus and pemphigoid: a case series of 17 patients. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2011;65:552-558.
- Menter A, Gelfand JM, Connor C, et al. Joint American Academy of Dermatology–National Psoriasis Foundation guidelines of care for the management of psoriasis with systemic nonbiologic therapies. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2020;82:1445-1486. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2020.02.044

Copyright Cutis 2024. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, or transmitted without the prior written permission of the Publisher.