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ABSTRACT

Objective: To review the clinical aspects and current practices of management of small cell lung cancer (SCLC).

Methods: Review of the literature.

Results: SCLC is an aggressive cancer of neuroendocrine origin with a very strong association with smoking. Approximately 25% of patients present with limited-stage disease while the remaining majority of patients have extensive-stage disease, defined as disease extending beyond one hemithorax at the time of diagnosis. SCLC is often associated with endocrine or neurologic paraneoplastic syndromes. The treatment of limited-stage disease consists of platinum-based chemotherapy administered concurrently with radiation. Patients with partial or complete response should be offered prophylactic cranial radiation (PCI). Extensive-stage disease is largely treated with platinum-based chemotherapy and the role of PCI is more controversial. The efficacy of second-line chemotherapy after disease progression on platinum based chemotherapy is limited.

Conclusion: Despite a number of advances in the treatment of various malignancies over the period of past several years, the prognosis of patients with SCLC remains poor. There have been a number of clinical trials utilizing novel therapeutic agents to improve outcomes of these patients; however, few of them have shown marginal success in a very select subgroup of patients.
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Small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) is an aggressive cancer of neuroendocrine origin, accounting for approximately 15% of all lung cancer cases, with approximately 33,000 patients diagnosed annually [1]. The incidence of SCLC in the United States has steadily declined over the past 30 years presumably because of decrease in the percentage of smokers and change to low-tar filter cigarettes [2]. Although the incidence of SCLC has been decreasing, the incidence in women is increasing and the male-to-female incidence ratio is now 1:1 [3]. Nearly all cases of SCLC are associated with heavy tobacco exposure, making it a heterogeneous disease with complex genomic landscape consisting of thousands of mutations [4,5]. Despite a number of advances in the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer over the past decade, the therapeutic landscape of SCLC remains narrow with median overall survival (OS) of 9 months in patients with advanced disease.

CASE STUDY

Initial Presentation

A 61-year-old man presents to the emergency department with progressive shortness of breath and cough over the period of past 6 weeks. He also reports having had 20-lb weight loss over the same period of time. He is a current smoker and has been smoking one pack of cigarettes per day since the age of 18 years. A chest x-ray performed in the emergency department shows a right hilar mass. Computed tomography (CT) scan confirms the presence of a 4.5 cm right hilar mass with presence of enlarged mediastinal lymph nodes bilaterally.

What are the next steps in diagnosis?

SCLC is characterized by rapid growth and early hematogenous metastases. Consequently, only 25% of patients have limited-stage disease at the time of diagnosis. According to the VA staging system, limited-stage disease is defined as tumor that is confined to one hemithorax and can be encompassed within one radiation field. This typically includes mediastinal lymph nodes and ipsilateral supraclavicular lymph nodes. Extensive-stage disease is...
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the presentation in 75% of the patients where the disease extends beyond one hemithorax. Extensive-stage disease includes presence of malignant pleural effusion and/or distant metastasis [6]. The Veterans Administration Lung Study Group (VALG) classification and staging system is more commonly used compared to the AJCC TNM staging system since it is less complex, directs treatment decisions, and correlates closely with prognosis. Given its propensity to metastasize quickly, none of the currently available screening methods have proven to be successful in early detection of SCLC. Eighty-six percent of the 125 patients that were diagnosed with SCLC while undergoing annual low-dose chest CT scans on National Lung Cancer Screening Trial had advanced disease at diagnosis [7,8]. These results highlight the fact that the majority of the SCLC develop in the interval between annual screening imaging.

SCLC frequently presents with a large hilar mass that is symptomatic. In addition, SCLC usually presents with centrally located tumors and bulky mediastinal adenopathy. Common symptoms include shortness of breath and cough. SCLC is commonly located submucosally in the bronchus and therefore hemoptysis is not a very common symptom at the time of presentation. Patients may present with superior vena cava (SVC) syndrome from local compression by the tumor. Not infrequently, SCLC is associated with paraneoplastic syndromes (PNS) owing to the ectopic secretion of hormones or antibodies by the tumor cells. The PNS can be broadly categorized into endocrine and neurologic; and are summarized in Table 1. The presence of a PNS is often a clue to the potential diagnosis of SCLC in the presence of a hilar mass. Additionally, some PNS, more specifically endocrine PNS, follow the pattern of disease response and relapse, and therefore, can sometimes serve as early marker of disease relapse or progression.

The common sites of metastases include brain, liver, and bone. Therefore, the staging workup should include fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-positron emission tomography (PET)/CT scan. Contrast-enhanced CT scan of chest and abdomen and bone scan can be obtained for staging in lieu of PET scan. Due to the physiologic FDG uptake, cerebral metastases cannot be assessed with sufficient certainty using the PET-CT. Therefore, brain imaging with contrast enhanced CT or MRI is also necessary. Although the incidence of metastasis to bone marrow is less than 10%, bone marrow aspiration and biopsy is warranted in case of unexplained cytopenias, especially

Table 1. Paraneoplastic Syndromes Associated with SCLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paraneoplastic Syndrome</th>
<th>Hormone/Antibody</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endocrine</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cushing’s disease</td>
<td>Adrenocorticotropin hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syndrome of inappropriate secretion of antidiuretic hormone</td>
<td>Antidiuretic hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acromegaly</td>
<td>Growth hormone related peptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neurologic</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambert-Eaton syndrome</td>
<td>Anti–voltage-gated calcium channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encephalitis</td>
<td>Anti-glutamic acid decarboxylase 65, collapsin response mediator protein 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar degeneration</td>
<td>Anti-HuD, Anti-Yo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiff-person syndrome</td>
<td>Anti-ampiphysin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal blindness</td>
<td>Anti-recoverin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optoclonus/myoclonus</td>
<td>Anti-Ri</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

when associated with teardrop red cells or nucleated red cells on peripheral blood smear indicative of marrow infiltrative process. The tissue diagnosis is established by obtaining a biopsy of the primary tumor or one of the metastatic sites. In case of localized disease, bronchoscopy (if necessary, with endobronchial ultrasound) with biopsy of centrally located tumor and/or lymph node is required. Histologically, SCLC consists of monomorphic cells, a high nucleus:cytoplasmic ratio, and confluent necrosis. The tumor cells are positive for chromogranin, synaptophysin, and CD56 by immunohistochemistry. Very frequently the cells are also positive for TTF1. Although serum tumor markers, including neuron-specific enolase (NSE) and progastrin-releasing peptide (prGRP), are frequently elevated in patients with SCLC, they are of limited value in clinical practice owing to their lack of sensitivity and specificity.

**CASE CONTINUED**

The patient underwent FDG-PET scan that showed the presence of hypermetabolic right hilar mass in addition to enlarged and hypermetabolic bilateral mediastinal lymph nodes. There were no other areas of FDG avidity. His brain MRI did not show any evidence of brain metastasis. Thus, he was confirmed to have limited-stage SCLC.

**What is the standard of care for limited-stage SCLC?**

SCLC is exquisitely sensitive to both chemotherapy and radiation, especially at the time of initial presentation. The standard of care for the treatment of limited stage SCLC is 4 cycles of platinum-based chemotherapy in combination with thoracic radiation started within the first 2 cycles of chemotherapy (**Figure 1**): This regimen yields an overall response rate of 75% to 90% with complete response (CR) rate of 50%, with median OS of 18 to 24 months. The cure rate with this approach is approximately 25%, with remaining 75% of the patients experiencing disease relapse within first 5 years after completion of treatment. Several attempts at improving the cure rate in the limited-stage setting by combining standard of care therapies with novel agents have proven to be unsuccessful.

**Figure 1. Management of limited-stage SCLC.**

**Choice of Chemotherapy**

Etoposide and cisplatin is the most commonly used initial combination chemotherapy regimen [9]. This combination has largely replaced anthracycline-based regimens given its favorable efficacy and toxicity profile [10–12]. Several small randomized trials have shown comparable efficacy of carboplatin and etoposide in extensive stage SCLC [13–15]. A meta-analysis of 4 randomized trials, including 663 patients with SCLC, comparing cisplatin-based versus carboplatin-based regimens where 32% of patients had limited stage disease and 68% had extensive stage disease showed no statistically significant difference in the response rate, progression free survival (PFS), or OS between the two regimens [16]. Therefore, in clinical practice carboplatin is frequently used instead of cisplatin in patients with extensive-stage disease. In patients with limited-stage disease, cisplatin is still the drug of choice. However, the toxicity profile of the two regimens is different. Cisplatin based regimens are more commonly associated with neuropathy, nephrotoxicity, and chemotherapy induced nausea/vomiting [13], while carboplatin-based
regimens are more myelosuppressive [17]. In addition, the combination of thoracic radiation with either of these regimens is associated with higher risk of esophagitis, pneumonitis, and myelosuppression [18]. The use of myeloid growth factors is not recommended in patients undergoing concurrent chemoradiation [19]. Of note, intravenous (IV) etoposide is always preferred over oral etoposide, especially in curative setting given unreliable absorption and bioavailability of oral formulations.

**Thoracic Radiation**

The addition of thoracic radiation to platinum-etoposide chemotherapy improves local control and OS. Two meta-analyses of 13 trials including more than 2000 patients have shown 25% to 30% decrease in local failure and 5% to 7% increase in 2-year OS with chemoradiation compared to chemotherapy alone in limited stage SCLC [20,21]. Early (with the first 2 cycles) concurrent thoracic radiation is superior to delayed and/or sequential radiation in terms of local control and OS [18,22,23]. The dose and fractionation of thoracic radiation in limited-stage SCLC has remained a controversial issue. The ECOG/RTOG randomized trial compared 45 Gy radiation delivered twice daily over a period of 3 weeks with once a day over 5 weeks, concurrently with chemotherapy. The twice a day regimen led to 10% improvement in 5-year OS (26% vs 16%), but higher incidence of grade 3 and 4 adverse events [24]. Despite the survival advantage demonstrated by hyperfractionated radiotherapy, the results need to be interpreted with caution because the radiation doses are not biologically equivalent. In addition the difficult logistics of patients receiving radiation twice a day has limited the routine implementation of this strategy. Subsequently, another randomized phase III trial (CONVERT) compared 45 Gy twice daily with 66 Gy once daily radiation in this setting. This trial did not show any difference in OS. The patients in twice daily arm had higher incidence of grade 4 neutropenia [25]. Considering the results of these trials, both strategies—45 Gy fractionated twice daily or 60 Gy fractionated once daily, delivered concurrently with chemotherapy—are acceptable in the setting of limited-stage SCLC. However, quite often hyperfractionated regimen is not feasible for the patients and many radiation oncology centers. Hopefully the CALBG 30610 study, which is ongoing, will clarify the optimal radiation schedule for limited-stage disease.

**Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation**

Approximately 75% of patients with limited-stage disease experience disease recurrence and brain is the site of recurrence in approximately half of these patients. Prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) consisting of 25 Gy radiation delivered in 10 fractions has been shown to be effective in decreasing the incidence of cerebral metastases [26–28]. Although individual small studies have not shown survival benefit of PCI because of small sample size and limited power, a meta-analysis of these studies has shown 25% decrease in the 3-year incidence of brain metastasis and 5.4% increase in 3-year OS [27]. The majority of patients included in these studies had limited-stage disease. Therefore, PCI is the standard of care for patients with limited-stage disease who attain a partial or complete response to chemoradiation.

**Role of Surgery**

Surgical resection may be an acceptable choice in a very limited subset of patients with peripherally located small (<5 cm) tumors where mediastinal lymph nodes have been confirmed to be uninvolved with complete mediastinal staging [29,30]. Most of the data in this setting are derived from retrospective studies [31,32]. A 5-year OS of 40% to 60% has been has been reported with this strategy in patients with clinical stage I disease. In general, when surgery is considered, lobectomy with mediastinal lymph node dissection followed by chemotherapy (if no nodal involvement) or chemoradiation (if nodal involvement) is recommended [33,34]. Wedge or segmental resections are not considered to be optimum surgical options.

**CASE CONTINUED**

The patient received 4 cycles of cisplatin and etoposide along with 70 Gy radiation concurrently with the first 2 cycles of chemotherapy. His post-treatment CT scans showed partial response (PR). The patient underwent PCI 6 weeks after completion of treatment. Eighteen months later, the patient comes to the clinic for routine follow-up. He is doing generally well except for mildly decreased appetite and unintentional loss of 5 lb...
weight. His CT scans demonstrate multiple hypodense liver lesions ranging from 7 mm to 2 cm in size and a 2 cm left adrenal gland lesion highly concerning for metastasis. FDG PET scan confirmed the adrenal and liver lesions to be hypermetabolic. In addition, the PET showed multiple FDG avid bone lesions throughout the spine. Brain MRI was negative for any brain metastasis.

**What is the standard of care for extensive-stage SCLC?**

For extensive-stage SCLC, chemotherapy is the mainstay of treatment, with the goals of treatment being prolongation of survival, prevention or alleviation of cancer-related symptoms, and improvement in quality of life. The combination of etoposide with a platinum agent (carboplatin or cisplatin) is the preferred first-line treatment option (**Figure 2**). Carboplatin is more commonly used in clinical practice in this setting because of its comparable efficacy and better tolerability compared to cisplatin [16]. A Japanese phase III trial comparing cisplatin plus irinotecan regimen with cisplatin plus etoposide in the first-line setting in extensive-stage SCLC showed improvement in median and 2-year OS with irinotecan-based regimen; however, two subsequent phase III trials conducted in
the United States comparing these two regimens did not show any difference in OS. In addition, irinotecan-based regimens were more toxic than the etoposide-based regimen [35,36]. Therefore, 4 to 6 cycles of platinum plus etoposide remains the standard of care first-line treatment for extensive-stage SCLC in the United States. The combination yields a 60% to 70% response rate, however, the majority of patients invariably experience disease progression with a median OS of 9 to 11 months [37]. Maintenance chemotherapy beyond initial 4 to 6 cycles does not improve survival and is associated with higher cumulative toxicity [38].

Multiple attempts at improving first-line chemotherapy in extensive-stage disease have failed to show any meaningful difference in OS. For example, addition of ifosfamide, palifosfamide, cyclophosphamide, taxane, or anthracycline to platinum doublet failed to show improvement in OS and led to more toxicity [39–42]. Additionally, the use of alternating or cyclic chemotherapies in an attempt to curb drug resistance has also failed to show survival benefit [43–45]. The addition of antiangiogenic agent bevacizumab to standard platinum-based doublet has not yielded prolongation of OS in SCLC and led to unacceptably higher rate of tracheoesophageal fistula when used in conjunction with chemoradiation in limited-stage disease [46–51]. Finally, the immune checkpoint inhibitor ipilimumab in combination with platinum plus etoposide failed to improve PFS or OS compared to platinum plus etoposide alone in a recent phase III trial and maintenance pembrolizumab after completion of platinum-based chemotherapy did not improve PFS [52,53].

Patients with extensive-stage disease who have brain metastasis at the time of diagnosis can be treated with systemic chemotherapy first if brain metastases are asymptomatic and there is significant extracranial disease burden. In that case, whole brain radiotherapy should be given after completion of systemic therapy.

Second-Line Therapy
Despite being exquisitely chemo-sensitive, SCLC is associated with very poor prognosis largely because of invariable disease progression following first-line therapy and lack of effective second-line treatment options that can lead to appreciable disease control. The choice of second-line treatment is predominantly determined by the time of disease relapse since first-line platinum based therapy. If this interval is 6 months or longer, re-treatment utilizing the same platinum doublet is appropriate. However, if the interval is 6 months or less, second-line systemic therapy options should be explored. Unfortunately, the response rate tends to be less than 10% with most of the second-line therapies in platinum-resistant disease (defined as disease progression within 3 months of receiving platinum-based therapy). If the disease progression occurs between 3 to 6 months since platinum-based therapy, the response rate with second-line chemotherapy is in the range of 25% [54,55]. A number of second-line chemotherapy options have been explored in small studies, including topotecan, irinotecan, paclitaxel, docetaxel, temozolomide, vinorelbine, oral etoposide, gemcitabine, bendamustine, and CAV (cyclophosphamide, Adriamycin, vincristine) (Table 2). Of these, topotecan (oral or IV) is the only FDA-approved second-line agent for extensive-stage SCLC based on phase III trial showing survival benefit of topotecan compared to best supportive care. The duration of second-line chemotherapy is not defined and is largely driven by duration of response and occurrence of side effects. Given the lack of effective treatment options, patients with platinum-resistant disease should be considered for clinical trials whenever possible. In patients with disease progression after second-line therapy, a third-line treatment can be considered if their performance status is 0–2 but it is unclear further treatments would improve survival.

Immunotherapy
The role of immune checkpoint inhibitors in the treatment of SCLC is evolving and currently there are no FDA-approved immunotherapy agents in SCLC. A recently conducted phase I/II trial (CheckMate 032) of anti-PD-1 antibody nivolumab with or without anti-CTLA-1 antibody ipilimumab in patients with relapsed SCLC reported a response rate of 10% with nivolumab 3 mg/kg and 21% with nivolumab 1 mg/kg + ipilimumab 3 mg/kg. The 2-year OS was 26% with the combination and 14% with single agent nivolumab [56,57]. Only 18% of patients had PD-L1 expression of ≥ 1% and the response rate did not correlate with PD-L1 status. The rate of grade 3
or 4 adverse events was approximately 20% and only 10% of patients discontinued treatment because of toxicity. Based on these data, nivolumab plus ipilimumab is now included in the NCCN guidelines as one of the options for patients with SCLC who experience disease relapse within 6 months of receiving platinum-based therapy; however, it is questionable whether routine use of this combination is justified based on currently available data. However, the evidence for the combination of nivolumab and ipilimumab remains limited. This efficacy and toxicity data of both randomized and nonrandomized cohorts were presented together making it hard to interpret the results.

Another phase Ib study (KEYNOTE-028) utilizing anti-PD-1 antibody pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg IV every 2 weeks in patients with relapsed SCLC after receiving one or more prior lines of therapy and PD-L1 expression of ≥ 1% showed a response rate of 33% with median duration of response of 19 months and 1-year OS of 38% [58]. Although only 28% of screened patients had PD-L1 expression of ≥ 1% , these results indicated that at least a subset of SCLC patients are able to achieve durable responses with immune checkpoint inhibition. A number of clinical trials utilizing immune checkpoint inhibitors in various combinations and settings are currently underway.

### Role of Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation

The role of PCI in extensive-stage SCLC is not clearly defined. A randomized phase III trial conducted by EORTC comparing PCI with no PCI in patients with extensive-stage SCLC who had attained partial or complete response to initial platinum-based chemotherapy showed decrease in the incidence of symptomatic brain metastasis and improvement in 1-year OS with PCI. However, this trial did not require mandatory brain imaging prior to PCI and therefore it is unclear if some patients in the PCI group had asymptomatic brain metastasis prior to enrollment and therefore received therapeutic benefit from brain radiation. Additionally, the dose and fractionation of PCI was not standardized across patient groups. A more recent phase III study conducted in Japan that compared PCI (25Gy in 10 fractions) with no PCI reported no difference in survival between the two groups. As opposed to EORTC study, the Japanese study did require baseline brain imaging to confirm absence of brain metastasis prior to enrollment and therefore received therapeutic benefit from brain radiation. Additionally, the dose and fractionation of PCI was not standardized across patient groups. A more recent phase III study conducted in Japan that compared PCI (25Gy in 10 fractions) with no PCI reported no difference in survival between the two groups. As opposed to EORTC study, the Japanese study did require baseline brain imaging to confirm absence of brain metastasis prior to enrollment and therefore received therapeutic benefit from brain radiation.

---

### Table 2. Second-line Treatment Options for Platinum-Resistant SCLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Response Rate</th>
<th>Median OS, months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topotecan*</td>
<td>0–38%</td>
<td>3.2–6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irinotecan</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paclitaxel</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docetaxel</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temozolomide</td>
<td>12%–20%</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinorelbine</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral etoposide</td>
<td>23%–46%</td>
<td>3.5–4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemcitabine</td>
<td>0–17%</td>
<td>4.2–8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAV</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendamustine</td>
<td>26%–29%</td>
<td>4.8–7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Topotecan (IV or oral) is the only FDA-approved second-line treatment option for SCLC.
SCLC. PCI is not recommended for patients with poor performance status (ECOG PS 3–4) or underlying neurocognitive disorders [33,60]. NMDA receptor antagonist memantine can be used in patients undergoing PCI to delay the occurrence of cognitive dysfunction [61]. Memantine 20 mg daily delayed time to cognitive decline and reduced the rate of decline in memory, executive function, and processing speed compared to placebo in patients receiving whole brain radiation [61].

Role of Radiation
A subset of patients with extensive-stage SCLC may benefit from consolidative thoracic radiation after completion of platinum-based chemotherapy. A randomized trial including patients who achieved complete or near complete response after 3 cycles of cisplatin plus etoposide compared thoracic radiation in combination with continued chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone [62]. The median OS was longer with the addition of thoracic radiation compared to chemotherapy alone. Another phase III trial did not show improvement in 1-year OS with consolidative thoracic radiation, but 2-year OS and 6-month PFS were longer [63]. In general, consolidative thoracic radiation benefits patients who have residual thoracic disease and low-bulk extrathoracic disease that has responded to systemic therapy [64]. In addition, patients who initially presented with bulky symptomatic thoracic disease should also be considered for consolidative radiation.

Similar to other solid tumors, radiation should be utilized for palliative purposes in patients with painful bone metastasis, spine cord compression, or brain metastasis. Surgery is generally not recommended for spinal cord compression given the short life expectancy with extensive stage disease. Whole brain radiotherapy is preferred over SRS because of frequent presence of micrometastasis even in the setting of one or two radiographically evident brain metastasis.

Novel Therapies
A very complex genetic landscape of SCLC accounts for its resistance to conventional therapy and a high recurrence rate; however, at the same time this complexity can form the basis for effective targeted therapy for the disease. One of the major limitations to the development of targeted therapies in SCLC is limited availability of tissue owing to small tissue samples and frequent presence of significant necrosis in the samples. In recent years, several different therapeutic strategies and targeted agents have been under investigation for their potential role in SCLC. Several of them, including EGFR TKIs, BCR-ABL TKIs, mTOR inhibitors, and VEGF inhibitors, have been unsuccessful in showing a survival advantage in this disease. Several others including PARP inhibitors, cellular developmental pathway inhibitors and antibody drug conjugates are being tested. A phase I study of veliparib combined with cisplatin and etoposide in patients with previously untreated extensive-stage SCLC demonstrated complete response in 14.3%, partial response in 57.1%, and stable disease in 28.6% of patients with acceptable safety profile [65]. So far, none of these agents are approved for use in SCLC and the majority are in early phase clinical trials [66].

One of the emerging targets in the treatment of SCLC is DLL3. DLL3 is expressed on > 80% SCLCL tumor cells and cancer stem cells. Rovalpituzumab tesirine (ROVA-T) is an antibody drug conjugate consisting of humanized anti-DLL3 monoclonal antibody linked to SC-DR002, a DNA-crosslinking agent. A phase I trial of ROVA-T in patients with relapsed SCLC after 1 or 2 prior lines of therapies reported a response rate of 31% in patients with DLL3 expression of ≥ 50%. The median duration of response and mPFS were 4.6 months [67]. ROVA-T is currently in later phases of clinical trials and has a potential to serve as one of the options for patients with extensive-stage disease after disease progression on platinum-based therapy.

Response Assessment/Surveillance
For patients undergoing treatment for limited-stage SCLC, response assessment with contrast-enhanced CT of the chest/abdomen should be performed after completion of 4 cycles of chemotherapy and thoracic radiation. The surveillance guidelines consist of history, physical exam, and imaging every 3 months during 1st 2 years, every 6 months during the 3rd year, and annually thereafter. If PCI is not performed, brain MRI or contrast enhanced CT scan should be performed every 3 to 4 months during
the first 2 years of follow-up. For extensive-stage disease, response assessment should be performed after every 2 cycles of therapy. After completion of therapy, history, physical exam, and imaging should be done every 2 months during the 1st year, every 3 to 4 months during year 2 and 3, every 6 months during years 4 and 5, and annually thereafter. Routine use of PET scan for surveillance is not recommended. Any new pulmonary nodule should prompt evaluation for a second primary lung malignancy. Finally, smoking cessation counseling is an integral part of management of any patient with SCLC and should be included with every clinic visit.
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