Targeted therapies forge ahead in multiple breast cancer subtypes

Jane de Lartigue, PhD

s our understanding of the biology of breast cancer has improved, treatment has become increasingly personalized. Targeted therapies continue to significantly improve patient outcomes in multiple subtypes, with several recent drug approvals. Here, we discuss some of these latest developments.

A disease of many faces

Clinically speaking, breast cancers can be divided into at least 5 subtypes on the basis of the genes they express (Figure 1). The luminal subtypes make up the largest proportion and are characterized by the expression of hormone receptor (HR) genes. Luminal A tumors are negative for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (*HER2*; HER2negative), whereas luminal B tumors often coexpress the *HER2* genes.¹

The remainder of HER2-positive patients fall into the HER2-enriched category, in which HER2 expression is the defining characteristic. Basal-like tumors, meanwhile, represent the most heterogeneous subtype, overlapping to a large extent with tumors dubbed "triple-negative" because of their lack of either *HER2* or *ESR1* and *PGR* gene expression. The fifth subtype is known as normal breastlike and remains poorly characterized.

In recent years, there have been significant advancements in the genomic characterization of breast cancer that have begun to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the driver molecular mechanisms, which has helped to explain some of the limitations of current targeted approaches and to reveal new possible treatments, with a shift toward increasingly personalized strategies.²

HER2: what's neu?

An estimated 18%-20% of breast tumors are HER2 positive, displaying amplification of the *HER2/ neu* gene or overexpression of its protein product.³ Historically, HER2 positivity correlated with a highly aggressive and metastatic form of disease, conferring poor prognosis.^{4,5} The HER2-targeted monoclonal antibody (mAb), trastuzumab serves as a prime example of the power of personalized medicine. Evidence suggests that trastuzumab has altered the natural history of HER2-positive breast cancer, such that trastuzumab-treated patients with HER2positive breast cancer now have a better prognosis than do patients with HER2-negative disease.^{6,7}

Several additional HER2-targeted drugs have joined trastuzumab on the market, including other



JCSO 2017;15(5):e277-e282. ©2017 Frontline Medical Communications. doi: https://doi.org/10.12788/jcso.0372

Drug	Manufacturer	Class/mechanism of action	Approved indication/clinical testing	
Trastuzumab (Herceptin)	Genentech	mAb/inhibits ligand-independent HER2 and HER3 signaling, prevents HER2 cleavage, and might trigger ADCC	HER2-positive early and metastatic disease	
Pertuzumab (Perjeta)	Genentech	mAb/inhibits ligand-dependent signaling by blocking HER2/HER3 dimerization and induces ADCC	HER2-positive metastatic disease not already treated with chemotherapy, or in combination with trastuzumab and docetaxel for inoperable HER2-positive disease	
Margetuximab	MacroGenics	Chimeric Fc-modified mAb/binds to the same epitope as trastuzumab but Fc domain engineered for enhanced immune effector function	Phase 3 clinical testing ongoing (SOPHIA, NCT02492711)	
Lapatinib (Tykerb)	Novartis	TKI/dual inhibitor of HER2 and EGFR	HER2-positive metastatic disease in combination with capecitabine, trastuzumab or an aromatase inhibitor	
Neratinib (Nerlynx)	Puma	TKI/inhibits HER2, HER4 and EGFR	Extended adjuvant treatment of early-stage HER2- positive disease after trastuzumab	
Tucatinib (ONT-380)	Cascadian	TKI/selective inhibitor of HER2	Phase 2 clinical testing ongoing (HER2CLIMB, NCT02614794)	
Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1)	Genentech	ADC/trastuzumab stably linked to a potent microtubule inhibitor	HER2-positive advanced or metastatic disease in adults who previously received trastuzumab and a taxane	

TABLE 1 HER2-targeted therapies for breast cancer

ADC, antibody-drug conjugate; ADCC, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; Fc, fragment crystallizable; HER2, human epiderma growth factor receptor 2; mAb, monoclonal antibody

mAbs, small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), and an antibody–drug conjugate that combines the specificity of a mAb with the anti-tumor potency of a cytotoxic drug. These drugs have further improved patient outcomes in both early and advanced disease settings (Table 1).

The most recent regulatory approval was for neratinib, a potent TKI inhibiting all members of the HER protein family. On the basis of the phase 3 ExteNET study, neratinib was granted approval by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for extended adjuvant treatment of patients with HER2-positive, early-stage breast cancer previously treated with trastuzumab. In a 5-year analysis of the study, invasive disease-free survival (DFS) was 90.4% with neratinib, compared with 87.9% with placebo (hazard ratio [HR], 0.74; P = .017).^{8,9}

The tide of advancements in HER2-targeted therapy looks set to continue in the coming years as potentially practice-changing data emerges from ongoing clinical trials and, as the patent on trastuzumab has expired, a number of biosimilars, such as MYL-1401O have the potential to help patients who may not have access to trastuzumab.¹⁰

One of the biggest remaining challenges is identifying drugs that can effectively treat patients with brain metastases because the blood-brain barrier presents an impediment to the delivery of effective concentrations of anticancer drugs. Initially, it was hoped that the small molecule inhibitors lapatinib and neratinib could cross the bloodbrain barrier and may be more effective in patients with brain metastases, but that hypothesis has not borne out in randomized clinical trials.¹¹ Tucatinib (ONT-380) has shown significant promise in this respect. In a phase 1 trial, ONT-380 had significant efficacy in patients with and without central nervous system metastases; the overall response rate (ORR) in the CNS was 36%. ONT-380 is also notable for its specificity for HER2, without significant inhibition of HER1 and EGFR, which could translate into a better toxicity profile.¹²

Doubling down on resistant tumors

Since the success of HER2-targeted therapy is limited by the development of resistance, there has been significant interest in assessing the potential of dual HER2 blockade, exploiting the unique mechanisms of action of different drugs in combination therapy, and ensuring more complete inhibition of the HER2 pathway. Although numerous different combinations have been tested, a double antibody combination has proved most effective.

In fact, dual HER2 targeting with trastuzumab and pertuzumab in combination with chemotherapy has replaced a trastuzumab-chemotherapy regimen as the new standard of care in the metastatic setting. A 6-month improvement in progression-free survival (PFS) sealed FDA approval for the combination and in a recently published final analysis of the trial overall survival (OS) was also improved to a level unprecedented in the first-line setting.^{13,14}

The double antibody combination has also been successful in the neoadjuvant setting. Approval followed the results of the phase 2 NeoSphere trial, in which the combination was associated with a significant improvement in patho-

Drug	Manufacturer	Class	Approved indication/clinical testing
Everolimus (Afinitor)	Novartis	mTOR inhibitor	In combination with exemestane in postmenopausal women with advanced HR-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer after failure of letrozole or anastrozole Phase 3 clinical trials ongoing (eg, MAIN-A/NCT02511639, FEVEX/ NCT02404051, NCT01805271)
Palbociclib Ibrance)	Pfizer	CDK inhibitor	In combination with an AI as initial endocrine based therapy in post- menopausal women with HR-positive, HER2-negative advanced or metastatic Phase 3 clinical trials ongoing (eg, PALLAS/NCT02513394, PEARL/NCT02028507, PALOMA-4/NCT02297438, PATINA/ NCT02947685, PENELOPE-B/NCT01864746)
Ribociclib (Kisqali)	Novartis	CDK inhibitor	In combination with an AI as initial endocrine based therapy in post- menopausal women with HR-positive, HER2-negative advanced or metastatic disease Phase 3 clinical trials ongoing (eg, EarLEE-1/NCT03078751, EarLEE-2/NCT03081234, NCT03096847, NCT02941926)
Abemaciclib	Eli Lilly	CDK inhibitor	Phase 3 clinical trials ongoing (eg, monarchE/NCT03155997, MONARCHplus/ NCT02763566)
Dinaciclib	Merck	CDK inhibitor	Phase 1 clinical trial ongoing (NCT01676753)
AT7519	Astex	CDK inhibitor	Phase 1 clinical trials ongoing (NCT01676753)
Buparlisib (BKM120)	Novartis	PI3K inhibitor	Phase 3 clinical trials ongoing (eg, BELLE-3/NCT01633060, BELLE-2/NCT01610284)
Taselisib	Roche	PI3K inhibitor	Phase 3 clinical trials ongoing (SANDPIPER/NCT02340221)
Alpelisib (BYL719)	Novartis	PI3K inhibitor	Phase 3 clinical trials ongoing (SOLAR-1/NCT02437318)

TABLE 2 Select targeted therapies being developed to overcome resistance to endocrine therapy

AI, aromatase inhibitor; CDK, cyclin-dependent kinase; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hormone receptor; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase

logic complete response (pCR) rate, a measure that acts as a surrogate for improved survival in the neoadjuvant setting. In a 5-year analysis of the NeoSphere trial, improved pCR did indeed translate into improved PFS and DFS.^{15,16}

The results of the phase 3 APHINITY trial evaluating this combination in the adjuvant setting have been hotly anticipated. In a presentation at the 2017 American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) meeting in June, the study authors reported that in 4,085 patients with operable HER2-positive disease, it significantly reduced the risk of disease recurrence or death compared with trastuzumab and chemotherapy alone.¹⁷

There is an ongoing effort to determine if it is possible to de-escalate treatment by removing the chemotherapy component. At least in the neoadjuvant setting, pCR rates in the chemotherapy-free arms of several studies suggest that a proportion of patients might benefit from this strategy^{15,18,19} and the challenge now is to identify them. To that end, the phase 2 PAMELA trial identified the HER2-enriched subtype as a strong predictor of response to neoadjuvant dual blockade (lapatinib and trastuzumab) without chemotherapy. The pCR rate was 40.6% for the combination in patients with the HER2-enriched subtype of breast cancer and only 10% in patients with non–HER2-enriched tumors.²⁰

Targeting resistance to endocrine therapy

Another coup for personalized medicine in breast cancer is the treatment of hormone receptor-positive cases with endocrine therapy, which has become the cornerstone of treatment in the metastatic and adjuvant settings. Those drugs are designed to block the growth-stimulating effects of the estrogen and progesterone hormones on tumor cells. They include the selective estrogen receptor (ER) modulator tamoxifen, aromatase inhibitors (AIs) such as letrozole, anastrozole, and exemestane, which work by blocking the activity of the aromatase enzyme that converts androgens into estrogens, and the selective estrogen-receptor downregulator fulvestrant.

As with HER2-targeted therapy, patients treated with endocrine therapy often develop resistance. Activation of alternate signaling cascades, such as the P13K–Akt– mTOR (phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase–Akt–mammalian target of rapamycin) pathway, or downstream targets of ER signaling, including the cyclin-dependent kinases, CDK4 and CDK6, have emerged as important mechanisms of resistance.^{21,22}

Drugs directed against these secondary targets, aimed to enhance the efficacy of endocrine therapies, have shown significant promise (Table 2). The mTOR inhibitor everolimus received FDA approval in 2012 in combination with

Drug	Manufacturer	Most advanced stage of clinical testing		
Olaparib (Lynparza)	AstraZeneca	Phase 3: as adjuvant treatment (OlympiA/NCT02032823) vs physician's choice of chemo- therapy (OlympiAD/NCT02000622) ^a as neoadjuvant treatment of triple-negative or other BRCA-positive disease (PARTNER/NCT03150576)		
Veliparib (ABT-888)	AbbVie	Phase 3: plus carboplatin and paclitaxel in HER2-negative, <i>BRCA</i> -positive metastatic disease (NCT02163694) plus carboplatin in early-stage triple negative disease (NCT02032277)		
Talazoparib (BMN-673)	Medivation	Phase 3: vs physician's choice of treatment in <i>BRCA</i> -positive metastatic disease (EMBRACA/NCT01945775)		
Rucaparib (Rubraca)	Clovis Oncology	Phase 2: in disease with a BRCAness genomic signature (RUBY/NCT020505048)		
Niraparib	Tesaro	Phase 3: vs physician's choice in HER2-negative, <i>BRCA</i> -positive disease (BRAVO/ NCT01905592)*		
^a Clinical trial ongoing, but not actively recruiting participants.				

TABLE 3 PARP inhibitors in clinical development in breast cancer

exemestane for the treatment of advanced HR-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer.²³ More recently, everolimus has also proven effective in combination with either fulves-trant or letrozole, according to the phase 2 PrECOG 0102 and BOLERO-4 studies, both doubling PFS compared with endocrine therapy alone.^{24,25}

Buparlisib is an oral reversible pan-PI3K inhibitor, and the results of the first phase 3 trial of this drug in metastatic breast cancer (MBC) were recently reported. Among 1,147 postmenopausal women with HR-positive, HER2negative MBC that progressed on or after AI therapy, the combination of buparlisib and fulvestrant prolonged PFS compared with fulvestrant alone (median PFS, 6.9 vs 5 months; HR,0.78; P < .001). However, Novartis, which was developing buparlisib, reported that the combination will not be pursued further due to increased toxicity.²⁶

Two other PI3K inhibitors are currently in phase 3 clinical trials; taselisib and alpelisib, both selective PI3Kalpha inhibitors. The results of a phase 1 dose-escalation study of taselisib were recently published and the ORR among patients with *PIK3CA*-mutant solid tumors was 36%, including responses in 4 patients with breast cancer.²⁷ Meanwhile, alpelisib has also demonstrated early promise in combination with both letrozole and fulvestrant in patients with ER-positive MBC refractory to endocrine therapy. In combination with letrozole, the clinical benefit rate was 35% overall (44% in patients with *PIK3CA* mutations, compared with 20% in patients with wild-type *PIK3CA* status). The combination of alpesilib and fulvestrant produced an ORR of 27%, and both combinations were well tolerated.^{28,29}

Another exciting therapeutic avenue is CDK4 and CDK6 inhibitors. These proteins are critical regulators of cell cycle progression, ensuring transition from G1 to S phase occurs at the appropriate time. The CDK pathway is also a downstream target of ER activation and, unsurprisingly, aberrant expression of the proteins involved in this

pathway is commonly observed in breast tumors.

Palbociclib became the first FDA-approved member of this drug class, receiving accelerated approval in patients with HR-positive, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer, in combination with letrozole in 2015. This became full regulatory approval in combination with any AI earlier this year, following the phase 3 PALOMA-3 study, in which the combination of palbociclib and fulvestrant (accelerated approval was based upon a trial testing palbociclib and letrozole) improved PFS by 5 months (HR, 0.46; P < .0001).³⁰

In addition, a second CDK4/6 inhibitor hit the market this year. Ribociclib demonstrated a significant PFS benefit in combination with letrozole; median PFS was 25.3 months, compared with 16 months for letrozole alone, translating to a 44% reduction in the risk of disease progression or death.³¹

Abemaciclib, which has greater selectivity for CDK4 than its predecessors, also appears to be heading towards approval. It was granted priority review by the FDA based on data from the MONARCH-2 trial, showing a significant improvement in PFS for the combination of abemaciclib and fulvestrant (median PFS, 16.4 vs 9.3 months for fulvestrant alone; HR, 0.553; P < .001).³²

Teasing out 'HER2-positive' subtypes

Until recently, "HER2-positive" and "HR-positive" tumors have been treated as separate subtypes, despite the fact that about half of HER2-positive tumors fall into the luminal A subtype and are also HR-positive. Patients were typically treated with HER2-targeted therapy regardless of their endocrine status because of the aggressive nature of HER2-positive disease.

Increasingly, researchers are reconsidering this view, especially as several studies have shown differential response rates to HER2-targeted therapy in HR-positive compared with HR-negative patients and accumulating evidence suggests that there is significant crosstalk between the HER2 and HR pathways, which may be responsible for the development of resistance with both treatment paradigms.

Findings from several studies have shown a benefit to combining HER2-targeted and hormonal therapies in patients with luminal (HR-positive), HER2-positive disease. In the metastatic setting, the results of the phase 2 PERTAIN study, presented at the 2017 ASCO annual meeting suggest that dual HER2 blockade could prove even more effective. The addition of pertuzumab to a combination of trastuzumab and an AI improved PFS by more than 3 months (median PFS, 19.89 vs 15.8 months; HR, 0.65; P = .007).³³

The clinical application of these combinations may be limited by the additional cost – several studies have suggested that they are not cost effective – and toxicity, but have served to drive the development of new clinical trial designs as the importance of considering luminal and nonluminal HER2-positive tumors has become increasingly apparent.

PARP inhibitors make a dent in BRCA1/2mutated cancers

The most renowned breast cancer genes, *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* are present in about 5%-10% of all breast cancers. They play a central role in the homologous recombination pathway that fixes double-strand breaks in the DNA. Genome sequencing studies have revealed that the presence of the *BRCA1/2* genes and other DNA repair defects is highest among patients with the basal-like subtype of breast cancer, in particular those who have triple-negative disease.^{34,35}

This type of breast cancer has proved stubbornly resistant to efforts to improve patient outcomes with targeted therapies. *BRCA1/2* mutations and other DNA repair

References

- 1. Perou CM, Sorlie T, Eisen MB, et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature. 2000;406(6797):747-752.
- Verigos J, Magklara A. Revealing the complexity of breast cancer by next generation sequencing. Cancers (Basel). 2015;7(4):2183-2200.
- Balko JM, Mayer IA, Levy M, Arteaga CL. HER2 (ERBB2) overexpression in breast cancer. https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/ disease/breast-cancer/erbb2/238/. Last updated October 20, 2014. Accessed July 20, 2017.
- Gabos Z, Sinha R, Hanson J, et al. Prognostic significance of human epidermal growth factor receptor positivity for the development of brain metastasis after newly diagnosed breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(36):5658-5663.
- Slamon DJ, Clark GM, Wong SG, Levin WJ, Ullrich A, McGuire WL. Human breast cancer: correlation of relapse and survival with amplification of the HER-2/neu oncogene. Science. 1987;235(4785):177-182.
- Dawood S, Broglio K, Buzdar AU, Hortobagyi GN, Giordano SH. Prognosis of women with metastatic breast cancer by HER2 status and trastuzumab treatment: an institutional-based review. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(1):92-98.
- 7. Musolino A, Ciccolallo L, Panebianco M, et al. Multifactorial central

defects that confer a so-called BRCAness phenotype, render tumor cells dependent on other pathways for DNA repair and there has been considerable interest in therapeutically exploiting this through the development of inhibitors of the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) enzyme, which is involved in the repair of single-strand breaks in the DNA. The double damage to DNA repair mechanisms through PARP inhibition in patients with *BRCA1/2*mutant tumors proves overwhelming to cancerous cells.

Despite more than a decade of investigation in breast cancer, PARP inhibitors have yet to yield any FDAapproved treatment options. That may be set to change imminently, following the success of olaparib (Table 3). In the first randomized phase 3 trial of a PARP inhibitor in breast cancer (OlympiAD), olaparib was compared with standard chemotherapy in patients with *BRCA1/2*mutated MBC who had received up to 2 previous lines of chemotherapy. Olaparib reduced the risk of disease progression by 42% compared with standard chemotherapy and was well tolerated.³⁶

The novel PARP inhibitor talazoparib, which is the most potent to date, is also demonstrating significant efficacy in clinical trials. The results of the phase 2 ABRAZO trial were presented at the ASCO annual meeting. Two cohorts were treated; the first included 49 patients who had responded to their last platinum-containing regimen for metastatic disease and progressed more than 8 weeks after last platinum dose and the other included 35 patients previously treated with 3 or more nonplatinum regimens for metastatic disease. ORR was 28% across the 2 cohorts; 23% and 33% in *BRCA1*- and *BRCA2*-mutant carriers, respectively; and 26% in patients with triple-negative breast cancer.³⁷ PARP inhibition is not faring so well in early-stage triplenegative disease; a phase 3 trial of veliparib in combination with chemotherapy did not meet its primary endpoint.³⁸

nervous system recurrence susceptibility in patients with HER2positive breast cancer: epidemiological and clinical data from a population-based cancer registry study. Cancer. 2011;117(9):1837-1846.

- Chan A, Delaloge S, Holmes FA, et al. Neratinib after trastuzumabbased adjuvant therapy in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer (ExteNET): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17(3):367-377.
- Press release] Puma Biotechnology announces interim 5-year disease-free survival data from phase III trial of PB272 (Neratinib) in extended adjuvant HER2-positive early stage breast cancer (ExteNET Trial). http://investor.pumabiotechnology.com/pressrelease/puma-biotechnology-announces-interim-5-year-diseasefree-survival-data-phase-iii-trial. Released July 21, 2016. Accessed August 21, 2017.
- [Behind paywall; no author given.] Trastuzumab biosimilar on track for approval. http://cancerdiscovery.aacrjournals.org/content/early/2017/08/16/2159-8290.CD-NB2017-115.1#. Published August 16, 2017. Accessed August 21, 2017. Accessed October 10, 2017.
- 11. Chien AJ, Rugo HS. Emerging treatment options for the management of brain metastases in patients with HER2-positive metastatic

breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2013;137(1):1-12.

- 12. Hamilton EP, Yardley DA, Hortobagyi GN, Walker LN, Borges VF, Moulder SL. Phase 1b study of ONT-380, an oral HER2-specific inhibitor, in combination with capecitabine (C) and trastuzumab (T) in third line + treatment of HER2+ metastatic breast cancer (MBC). J Clin Oncol. 2015;33(suppl):abstract 602.
- 13. Baselga J, Cortés J, Kim S-B, et al. Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab plus docetaxel for metastatic breast cancer. New Engl J Med. 2012;366(2):109-119.
- Swain SM, Baselga J, Kim S-B, et al. Pertuzumab, Trastuzumab, and Docetaxel in HER2-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer. New Engl J Med. 2015;372(8):724-734.
- 15. Gianni L, Pienkowski T, Im YH, et al. Efficacy and safety of neoadjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in women with locally advanced, inflammatory, or early HER2-positive breast cancer (NeoSphere): a randomised multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2012;13(1):25-32.
- 16. Gianni L, Pienkowski T, Im YH, et al. 5-year analysis of neoadjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in patients with locally advanced, inflammatory, or early-stage HER2-positive breast cancer (NeoSphere): a multicentre, open-label, phase 2 randomised trial. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17(6):791-800.
- 17. Von Minckwitz G, Procter MJ, De Azambuja E, et al. APHINITY trial (BIG 4-11): a randomized comparison of chemotherapy (C) plus trastuzumab (T) plus placebo (Pla) versus chemotherapy plus trastuzumab (T) plus pertuzumab (P) as adjuvant therapy in patients (pts) with HER2-positive early breast cancer (EBC). J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(suppl;):abstr LBA500.
- 18. Rimawi MF, Mayer IA, Forero A, et al. Multicenter phase II study of neoadjuvant lapatinib and trastuzumab with hormonal therapy and without chemotherapy in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-overexpressing breast cancer: TBCRC 006. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(14):1726-1731.
- Rimawi MF, Niravath PA, Wang T, et al. TBCRC023: A randomized multicenter phase II neoadjuvant trial of lapatinib plus trastuzumab, with endcorine therapy and without chemotherapy, for 12 vs. 24 weeks in patients with HER2 overexpressing breast cancer [SABCS 2014; abstract S6-02]. http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/ content/75/9_Supplement/S6-02. Published online May 2015. Accessed October 6, 2017.
- 20. Llombart-Cussac A, Cortes J, Pare L, et al. HER2-enriched subtype as a predictor of pathological complete response following trastuzumab and lapatinib without chemotherapy in early-stage HER2positive breast cancer (PAMELA): an open-label, single-group, multicentre, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(4):545-554.
- Augereau P, Patsouris A, Bourbouloux E, et al. Hormone resistance in advanced breast cancer: a new revolution in endocrine therapy. Ther Adv Med Oncol. 2017;9(5):335-346.
- Castrellon AB. Novel strategies to improve the endocrine therapy of breast cancer. Oncol Rev. 2017;11(1):323.
- Baselga J, Campone M, Piccart M, et al. Everolimus in postmenopausal hormone-receptor-positive advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(6):520-529.
- 24. Kornblum N, Manola J, Klein P, et al. PrECOG 0102: A randomized, double-blind, phase II trial of fulvestrant plus everolimus or placebo in post-menopausal women with hormone receptor (HR)positive, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer (MBC) resistant to aromatase inhibitor (AI) therapy [SABCS abstract abstract]. https:// www.mdlinx.com/oncology/conference-abstract.cfm/60261/?nonus= 0&searchstring=&coverage_day=0&cpage=1. Published December 7, 2016. Accessed October 16, 2017.
- 25. Royce M, Villanueva C, Ozguroglu M, et al. BOLERO-4: Phase 2 trial of first-line everolimus (EVE) plus letrozole (LET) in estrogen

receptor–positive (ER+), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2– negative (HER2–) advanced breast cancer (BC) [abstract]. https:// academic.oup.com/annonc/article/27/suppl_6/222O/2798961/ BOLERO-4-Phase-2-trial-of-first-line-everolimus. Published online October 11, 2016. Accessed October 6, 2017.

- 26. Baselga J, Campone M, Piccart M, et al. Everolimus in postmenopausal hormone-receptor–positive advanced breast cancer. New Engl J Med. 2012;366(6):520-529.
- 27. Dickler MN, Saura C, Richards DA, et al. A phase II study of the PI3K inhibitor taselisib (GDC-0032) combined with fulvestrant (F) in patients (pts) with HER2-negative (HER2-), hormone receptor-positive (HR+) advanced breast cancer (BC). J Clin Oncol. 2017;33(suppl):abstract 520.
- Mayer IA, Abramson VG, Formisano L, et al. A phase Ib study of alpelisib (BYL719), a PI3K-alpha-specific inhibitor, with letrozole in ER+/HER2- metastatic breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2017;23(1):26-34.
- 29. Juric D, Andrea F, Rugo HS. Combined alpelisib (BYL719) and fulvestrant in PIK3CA-altered or wild-type estrogen receptor-positive/ human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative advanced breast cancer. Paper presented at: Miami Breast Cancer Conference; March 10-13, 2016; Miami, FL.
- Finn RS, Martin M, Rugo HS, et al. Palbociclib and letrozole in advanced breast cancer. New Engl J Med. 2016;375(20):1925-1936.
- Hortobagyi GN, Stemmer SM, Burris HA, et al. Ribociclib as firstline therapy for HR-positive, advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(18):1738-1748.
- 32. Sledge GW Jr, Toi M, Neven P, et al. MONARCH 2: abemaciclib in combination with fulvestrant in women with HR+/HER2-advanced breast cancer who had progressed while receiving endocrine therapy. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(25):2875-2884.
- 33. Arpino G, Ferrero J-M, De la Haba-Rodriguez J, et al. Primary analysis of PERTAIN: a randomized, two-arm, open-label, mulitcenter phase II trial assessing the efficacy and safety of pertuzumab given in combination with trastuzumab plus an aromatase inhibitor in firstline patients with HER2-positive and hormone receptor-positive metastatic or locally advanced breast cancer. Paper presented at: San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium; December 6-10, 2016; San Antonio, TX.
- Peshkin BN, Alabek ML, Isaacs C. BRCA1/2 mutations and triple negative breast cancers. Breast Dis. 2010;32(1-2):25-33.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature. 2012;490(7418):61-70.
- 36. Robson M, Im S-A, Senkus E, et al. Olaparib for metastatic breast cancer in patients with a germline BRCA mutation. New Engl J Med. 2017;377(6):523-533.
- 37. Turner NC, Balmana J, Fasching PA, et al. A phase 2 study (2-stage, 2-cohort) of the oral PARP inhibitor talazoparib (BMN 673) in patients with germline BRCA mutation and locally advanced and/or metastatic breast cancer (ABRAZO). Abstr TPS1108 https://meetinglibrary.asco.org/record/115052/abstract. Published 2015. Accessed October 16, 2017.
- 38. [Press release] AbbVie announces topline results from two phase 3 studies investigating veliparib in combination with chemotherapy for the treatment of patients with advanced or metastatic squamous non-small cell lung cancer and early-stage triple-negative breast cancer. https://news.abbvie.com/news/ abbvie-announces-topline-results-from-two-phase-3-studies-investigating-veliparib-in-combination-with-chemotherapy-for-treatment-patients-with-advanced-or-metastatic-squamous-non-smallcell-lung-cancer-and-early-stage-triple-negative-breast-cancer.htm. Released April 19, 2017. Accessed August 21, 2017.