Mycobacterium marinum Remains an Unrecognized Cause of Indolent Skin Infections

Julie Steinbrink, MD; Molara Alexis, MD; Daniella Angulo-Thompson, MD; Mayur Ramesh, MD; George Alangaden, MD; Marisa H. Miceli, MD



PRACTICE POINTS

- Mycobacterium marinum infection should be suspected in patients with skin/soft tissue infections
 that fail to respond or progress despite treatment
 with antibiotics active against streptococci and
 staphylococci.
- Inquiring about environmental exposure prior to the onset of the symptoms is key to elaborate a differential diagnosis list.
- Biopsy for pathology evaluation and acid-fast bacilli smear and culture are key to establish the diagnosis of *M marinum* infection.

We identified 5 patients who had cutaneous lesions with cultures that yielded *Mycobacterium marinum*. It was discovered that all 5 patients had a home aquarium, and infection was preceded by trauma to the hand. However, the association between the development of the infection and exposure of the trauma site to the aquarium was not initially established until repeated questioning was performed. Skin biopsies or incision and drainage were performed for all patients, and the diagnosis was established by culture of the specimens. The mean time from initial presentation to diagnosis and initiation of appropriate treatment was 91 days (range, 21–245 days). Prolonged therapy for 2 to 6 months was necessary for resolution of the infection.

Cutis. 2017;100:331-336.

n environmental pathogen, *Mycobacterium* marinum can cause cutaneous infection when traumatized skin is exposed to fresh, brackish, or salt

water. Fishing, aquarium cleaning, and aquatic recreational activities are risk factors for infection. Diagnosis often is delayed and is made several weeks or even months after initial symptoms appear. Due to the protracted clinical course, patients may not recall the initial exposure, contributing to the delay in diagnosis and initiation of appropriate treatment. It is not uncommon for patients with *M marinum* infection to be initially treated with antibiotics or antifungal drugs.

We present a review of 5 patients who were diagnosed with *M marinum* infection at our institution between January 2003 and March 2013.

Methods

This study was conducted at Henry Ford Hospital, a 900-bed tertiary care center in Detroit, Michigan. Patients who had cultures positive for *M marinum* between January 2003 and March 2013 were identified using the institution's laboratory database. Medical records were reviewed, and relevant demographic, epidemiologic, and clinical data, including initial clinical presentation, alternative diagnoses, time between initial presentation and definitive diagnosis, and specific treatment, were recorded.

Results

We identified 5 patients who were diagnosed with culture-confirmed *M marinum* skin infections during the study period: 3 men and 2 women aged 43 to 72 years (Table 1). Two patients had diabetes mellitus and 1 had hepatitis C virus. None had classic immunosuppression. On repeated questioning after the diagnosis

Drs. Steinbrink and Miceli are from the Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor. Dr. Miceli also is from the Division of Infectious Diseases. Drs. Alexis, Angulo-Thompson, Ramesh, and Alangaden are from the Department of Internal Medicine, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, Michigan. Drs. Alexis, Ramesh, and Alangaden also are from the Infectious Diseases Section.

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Correspondence: Marisa H. Miceli, MD, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Michigan Medical School, 1500 E Medical Center Dr, University Hospital F4005, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-5378 (mmiceli@med.umich.edu).

TABLE 1. Clinical Characteristics, Diagnosis, and Management of Patients With Mycobacterium marinum Infection

Characteristic	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 4	Patient 5
Patient age, y/sex	43/F	64/M	68/M	57/F	72/M
Comorbidities	DM, HTN	None	HTN, GERD, OA	HCV, HTN	DM, HTN, BPH, gout
Clinical presentation	Edema, erythema, and pain in the left middle finger; despite treatment with antibiotics, the infection spread proximally to the wrist	Tender erythematous nodule on the left forearm and thumb	Erythematous tender nodules on the left hand and forearm	Purulent material in the nail bed of left thumb; despite treatment with antibiotics, she developed nodular lesions in a linear fashion from the wrist to the foream	Pain and erythema of the right thumb; erythema progressed to the axilla; nodular lesions developed in a linear manner to the antecubital fossa despite treatment with antibiotics
Reported exposure/ trauma at initial presentation	Puncture injury of left finger on a clothing tag	No direct injury; reported activity included rose gardening and fish tank cleaning	Splinter injury to the left thumb while cutting shrubs	Injury to left thumb while cleaning the house	Nail clipping
Duration of symptoms before initial presentation	3.5 mo	4 mo	2.5 wk	6 wk	2 d
Initial diagnosis: treatment	Cellulitis: CIP → LEV→ AZIT	Cellulitis: CEP → TERB	Fungal infection: ITRA	CEP → VAN → ITRA	Cellulitis: CEP → CLIN → VAN
Workup	Biopsy	Biopsy	Biopsy	Biopsy	Incision and drainage
Biopsy results	Suppurative granulomatous inflammation	Neutrophilic and granulomatous inflammation	Dermal abscess	Noncaseating granulomatous inflammation	N/A
Incubation period	26 d	40 d	18 d	5 wk (~34 d)	11 d
Time from presentation to therapy	245 d	91 d	21 d	23 d	76 d
Treatment	MINO	DOXY + CLAR	DOXY	DOXY → DOXY + CLAR + ETH → DOXY + AZIT + ETH	TMP-SMX → TMP-SMX + DOXY + CLAR
Duration of treatment	3 mo	Unknown	At least 2 mo (temporarily moved out of state)	6 mo	4 mo
Outcome	Resolution	Lost to follow-up	Resolution	Resolution	Resolution
Ultimate source of exposure	Aquarium	Aquarium	Aquarium	Aquarium	Aquarium

Abbreviations: F, female; M, male; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; OA, osteoarthritis; HCV, hepatitis C virus; BPH, benign prostatic hyperplasia; CIP, ciprofloxacin; LEV, levofloxacin; AZIT, azithromycin; CEP, first-generation cephalosporin; TERB, terbinafine; ITRA, itraconazole; CLIN, clindamycin; VAN, vancomycin; N/A, not applicable; MINO, minocycline; DOXY, doxycycline; CLAR, clarithromycin; ETH, ethambutol; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. was established, all 5 patients reported that they kept a home aquarium, and all recalled mild trauma to the hand prior to the onset of symptoms; however, none of the patients initially linked the minor skin injury to the subsequent infection.

All 5 patients initially presented with erythema and swelling at the site of the injury, which evolved into inflammatory nodules that progressed proximally up to the arm despite empiric treatment with antibiotics active against streptococci and staphylococci (Figures 1 and 2).







FIGURE 1. A 57-year-old woman (patient 4) presented with a 6-week history of a worsening erythematous swollen painful left thumb (A). She recalled some minor trauma while cleaning her basement. One week later she noticed swelling, erythema and purulent material under the nail bed. Two weeks later she noticed an erythematous nonpainful nodule on the radial aspect of the left wrist (B), followed by the appearance of multiple tender erythematous nodules on the left forearm that followed a linear progression from the dorsal aspect of the left hand, extending over the medial aspect of the forearm and arm (C).

Three patients also received empiric antifungal therapy due to suspicion of sporotrichosis.

Skin biopsies were performed on 4 patients, and incision and drainage of purulent material was performed on the fifth patient. Histopathologic examination revealed granulomatous inflammation in 3 patients. Stains for acid-fast bacilli were positive in all 5 patients. Definitive diagnosis of the organism was confirmed by growth of *M marinum* within 11 to 40 days from the tissue in 4 patients and purulent material in the fifth patient. Susceptibility testing was performed on only 1 of the 5 isolates and showed that the organism was susceptible to amikacin, clarithromycin, doxycycline, ethambutol, rifampin, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX).

The mean time from initial presentation to initiation of appropriate therapy for *M marinum* infection was 91 days (range, 21–245 days). Several different treatment regimens were used. All patients received either doxycycline or minocycline with or without a macrolide. Two also received other agents (TMP-SMX or ethambutol). Treatment duration varied from 2 to 6 months in 4 patients, and all 4 had complete resolution of the lesions; 1 patient was lost to follow-up.

Comment

Diagnosing the Infection—Diagnosis of M marinum infection remains problematic. In the 5 patients included in this study, the time between initial onset of symptoms and diagnosis of M marinum infection was delayed, as has been noted in other reports.⁴⁻⁷ Delays as long as 2 years before the diagnosis is made have been described.⁷ The clinical presentation of cutaneous infection with M marinum varies, which may delay diagnosis. Nodular lymphangitis is classic, but papules, pustules, ulcers, inflammatory plaques, and single nodules also can occur.^{1,2} Lymphadenopathy may or may not be present. 4,8,9 The differential diagnosis is broad and includes infection by other nontuberculous mycobacteria such as Mycobacterium chelonae; Mycobacterium fortuitum; Nocardia species, especially Nocardia brasiliensis; Francisella tularensis; Sporothrix schenckii; and Leishmania species. It is not surprising that 4 patients in our study were initially treated for a gram-positive bacterial infection and 3 were treated for a fungal infection before the diagnosis of M marinum was made. Distinctive features that may help to differentiate these infections are summarized in Table 2.

We found that the main cause of delayed diagnosis was the failure of physicians to obtain a thorough history regarding patients' recreational activities and animal exposure. Patients often do not associate a remote aquatic exposure with their symptoms and will not volunteer this information unless directly asked. ^{2,10} It was only after repeated questioning in all of these patients that they recounted prior trauma to the involved hand related to the aquarium.

Biopsy and Culture—Histopathologic examination of material from a biopsied lesion can give an early clue that a mycobacterial infection might be involved. Biopsy can reveal either noncaseating or necrotizing granulomas that have larger numbers of neutrophils in addition to lymphocytes and macrophages. Giant cells often are

A



FIGURE 2. A 72-year-old man (patient 5) presented with pain and erythema of the right thumb after clipping the nail (A). Erythema progressed to the axilla. He was treated with bacitracin ointment and cefadroxil with no improvement. Nodular lesions developed in a linear pattern that extended to the antecubital fossa (B and C).

noted.^{5,9,11} Organisms can be seen with the use of a tissue acid-fast stain, but species cannot be differentiated by acid-fast staining.¹² However, the sensitivity of acid-fast stains on biopsy material is low.^{3,13,14}

Culture of the involved tissue is crucial for establishing the diagnosis of this infection. However, the rate of growth of *M marinum* is slow. Temperature requirements for incubation and delay in transporting specimens to the laboratory can lead to bacterial overgrowth, resulting in the inability to recover M marinum from the culture. 13 Mycobacterium marinum grows preferentially between 28°C and 32°C, and growth is limited at temperatures above 33°C. 13,15,16 As illustrated in the cases presented, recovery of the organism may not be accomplished from the first culture performed, and additional biopsy material for culture may be needed. Liquid media generally is more sensitive and produces more rapid results than solid media (eg, Löwenstein-Jensen, Middlebrook 7H10/7H11 agar). However, solid media carry the advantage of allowing observation of morphology and estimation of the number of organisms. 12,17

Rapid Detection—Advancements in molecular methods have allowed for more definitive and rapid identification of M marinum, substantially reducing the delay in diagnosis. Commercial molecular assays utilize in-solution hybridization or solid-format reversehybridization assays to allow mycobacterial detection as soon as growth appears.¹⁸ Use of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry can substantially shorten the time to species identification.^{19,20} Nonculture-based tests that have been developed for the rapid detection of M marinum infection include polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism and polymerase chain reaction amplification of the 16S RNA gene.²¹ It should be noted, however, that M marinum and Mycobacterium ulcerans have a very homologous 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequence, differing by only 1 nucleotide; thus, distinguishing between M marinum and M ulcerans using this method may be challenging.^{22,23}

Management—Treatment depends on the extent of the disease. Generally, localized cutaneous disease can be treated with monotherapy with agents such as doxycycline, clarithromycin, or TMP-SMX. Extensive disease typically requires a combination of 2 antimy-cobacterial agents, typically clarithromycin-rifampin, clarithromycin-ethambutol, or rifampin-ethambutol. ¹² Amikacin has been used in combination with other agents such as rifampin and clarithromycin in refractory cases. ^{22,24} The use of ciprofloxacin is not encouraged because some isolates are resistant; however, other fluoroquinolones, such as moxifloxacin, may be options for combination therapy. Isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and streptomycin are not effective to treat *M marinum*.

Susceptibility testing of *M marinum* usually is performed to guide antimicrobial therapy in cases

334 I CUTIS® WWW.CUTIS.COM

TABLE 2. Distinctive Features of Infections Included in the Differential Diagnosis for Mycobacterium marinum

Francisella tularensis Aerobic gram-negative gram-negative bacterium Leishmania Protozoa braziliensis,	MICIODIDIDIS	Clinical Presentation	Period	Distinct Exposures	Recommended Therapy
	egative Jm	Ulceroglandular type (most common): fever and a single erythematous papuloulcerative lesion with a central eschar and tender lymphadenopathy	1–21 d	Contact with infected animals (eg, rabbits, beavers, muskrats, squirrels, hamsters, mice)	Aminoglycosides (typically streptomycin); mild disease: CIP and DOXY; duration: 7–10 d
panamensis, etc (cutaneous leishmaniasis)	98 98	On exposed skin: pink papule that develops into a nodule or plaquelike lesion, painless ulceration with an indurated border	Weeks to months	Sandfly vectors	Local: cryotherapy, thermotherapy, PDT; antimicrobial: azoles, miltefosine, sodium stibogluconate, meglumine antimoniate, or amphotericin B; duration: up to 30 d or until healed
Mycobacterium Aerobic, chelonae gram-pc acid-fasl grower	Aerobic, gram-positive rod, acid-fast; rapid grower	Multiple lesions, nodules (frequently with purple discoloration), recurrent abscesses, or chronic discharging sinuses	- WK	Predominantly immunosuppressed patients, uncommon cause of cutaneous infections associated with tattoo ink contamination	Combination therapy; oral: TMP-SMX, DOXY, LEVO, CLAR, AZIT; IV: amikacin, tobramycin, cefoxitin, imipenem, LEVO; duration: 6–12 mo, continued for 12 mo after resolution of lesions
Mycobacterium Aerobic, fortuitum gram-pc acid-fas: grower	Aerobic, gram-positive rod, acid-fast; rapid grower	Single lesion, nodules (frequently with purple discoloration), recurrent abscesses, or chronic discharging sinuses	- WK	Uncomon cause of skin infections associated with whirlpool footbaths in nail salons	Antibiotic therapy: combination of oral (TMP-SMX, DOXY, LEVO, CLAR, or AZIT) and IV (amikacin, tobramycin, cefoxitin, or imipenem); duration: 6–12 mo, continued for 12 mo after resolution of lesions
M marinum Aerobic, gram-pc acid-fast 33°C; slc	Aerobic, gram-positive rod, acid-fast; grows at 33°C; slow grower	Erythematous rash, may present along the path of lymphatic drainage; may have deeper involvement with tenosynovitis, bursitis, septic arthritis, and osteomyelitis	2-4+ wk	Water (fresh and salt)	CLAR + ETH or rifampin, minocycline or DOXY, and/or TMP-SMX; duration: 1–2 mo after resolution of symptoms
Nocardia species Aerobic, branc gram-positive; modified AFB	Aerobic, branching, gram-positive; modified AFB	Pulmonary, brain, cutaneous	5-21 d	Typically in immunocompromised patients	TMP-SMX + IV imipenem for 6 wk followed by oral TMP-SMX for 6–12 mo
Sporothrix schenckii Dimorpt	Dimorphic fungus	Lymphocutaneous spread	5 d to several wk	After soil, moss, or other organic material containing the fungus is inoculated into the skin or subcutaneous tissue	Itraconazole; duration: 2–4 wk after all lesions have resolved

WWW.CUTIS.COM

IV, intravenous; ETH, ethambutol; AFB, acid-fast bacilli.

of poor clinical response or intolerance to first-line antimicrobials such as macrolides.²⁵ The likelihood of *M marinum* developing resistance to the agents used for treatment appears to be low. Unfortunately, in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility tests do not correlate well with treatment efficiency.¹⁰

The duration of therapy is not standardized but usually is 5 to 6 months, 7,10,26 with therapy often continuing 1 to 2 months after lesions appear to have resolved. 12 However, in some cases (usually those who have more extensive disease), therapy has been extended to as long as 1 to 2 years. 10 The ideal length of therapy in immunocompromised individuals has not been established²⁷; however, a treatment duration of 6 to 9 months was reported in one study.²⁸ Surgical debridement may be necessary in some patients who have involvement of deep structures of the hand or knee, those with persistent pain, or those who fail to respond to a prolonged period of medical therapy.²⁹ Successful use of less conventional therapeutic approaches, including cryotherapy, radiation therapy, electrodesiccation, photodynamic therapy, curettage, and local hyperthermic therapy has been reported.³⁰⁻³²

Conclusion

Diagnosis and management of *M marinum* infection is difficult. Patients presenting with indolent nodular skin infections affecting the upper extremities should be asked about aquatic exposure. Tissue biopsy for histopathologic examination and culture is essential to establish an early diagnosis and promptly initiate appropriate therapy.

Acknowledgment—We would like to thank Carol A. Kauffman, MD (Ann Arbor, Michigan), for her thoughtful comments that greatly improved this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Lewis FM, Marsh BJ, von Reyn CF. Fish tank exposure and cutaneous infections due to Mycobacterium marinum: tuberculin skin testing, treatment, and prevention. Clin Infect Dis. 2003;37:390-397.
- Jernigan JA, Farr BM. Incubation period and sources of exposure for cutaneous Mycobacterium marinum infection: case report and review of the literature. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;31:439-443.
- Edelstein H. Mycobacterium marinum skin infections. report of 31 cases and review of the literature. Arch Intern Med. 1994;154:1359-1364.
- Janik JP, Bang RH, Palmer CH. Case reports: successful treatment of Mycobacterium marinum infection with minocycline after complication of disease by delayed diagnosis and systemic steroids. J Drugs Dermatol. 2005;4:621-624.
- Jolly HW Jr, Seabury JH. Infections with Myocbacterium marinum. Arch Dermatol. 1972;106:32-36.
- Sette CS, Wachholz PA, Masuda PY, et al. Mycobacterium marinum infection: a case report. J Venom Anim Toxins Incl Trop Dis. 2015;21:7.
- Johnson MG, Stout JE. Twenty-eight cases of Mycobacterium marinum infection: retrospective case series and literature review. Infection. 2015;43:655-662.
- Eberst E, Dereure O, Guillot B, et al. Epidemiological, clinical, and therapeutic pattern of Mycobacterium marinum infection: a retrospective series of 35 cases from southern France. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2012;66:E15-E16.

- Philpott JA Jr, Woodburne AR, Philpott OS, et al. Swimming pool granuloma. a study of 290 cases. Arch Dermatol. 1963;88:158-162.
- Aubry A, Chosidow O, Caumes E, et al. Sixty-three cases of Mycobacterium marinum infection: clinical features, treatment, and antibiotic susceptibility of causative isolates. Arch Intern Med. 2002;162:1746-1752.
- 11. Feng Y, Xu H, Wang H, et al. Outbreak of a cutaneous *Mycobacterium marinum* infection in Jiangsu Haian, China. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2011;71:267-272.
- Griffith DE, Aksamit T, Brown-Elliott BA, et al; ATS Mycobacterial Diseases Subcommittee; American Thoracic Society; Infectious Disease Society of America. An official ATS/IDSA statement: diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of non-tuberculous mycobacterial diseases. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007;175:367-416.
- Ang P, Rattana-Apiromyakij N, Goh CL. Retrospective study of Mycobacterium marinum skin infections. Int J Dermatol. 2000;39:343-347.
- Wu TS, Chiu CH, Yang CH, et al. Fish tank granuloma caused by Mycobacterium marinum. PLoS One. 2012;7:e41296.
- Ho WL, Chuang WY, Kuo AJ, et al. Nasal fish tank granuloma: an uncommon cause for epistaxis. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2011;85:195-196.
- Dobos KM, Quinn FD, Ashford DA, et al. Emergence of a unique group of necrotizing mycobacterial diseases. Emerg Infect Dis. 1999;5:367-378.
- van Ingen J. Diagnosis of non-tuberculous mycobacterial infections. Semin Respir Crit Care Med. 2013;34:103-109.
- Piersimoni C, Scarparo C. Extrapulmonary infections associated with non-tuberculous mycobacteria in immunocompetent persons. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2009;15:1351-1358; quiz 1544.
- Saleeb PG, Drake SK, Murray PR, et al. Identification of mycobacteria in solid-culture media by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49:1790-1794.
- Adams LL, Salee P, Dionne K, et al. A novel protein extraction method for identification of mycobacteria using MALDI-ToF MS. J Microbiol Methods. 2015;119:1-3.
- Posteraro B, Sanguinetti M, Garcovich A, et al. Polymerase chain reaction-reverse cross-blot hybridization assay in the diagnosis of sporotrichoid Mycobacterium marinum infection. Br J Dermatol. 1998;139:872-876.
- Lau SK, Curreem SO, Ngan AH, et al. First report of disseminated Mycobacterium skin infections in two liver transplant recipients and rapid diagnosis by hsp65 gene sequencing. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49:3733-3738.
- Hofer M, Hirschel B, Kirschner P, et al. Brief report: disseminated osteomyelitis from Mycobacterium ulcerans after a snakebite. N Engl J Med. 1993;328:1007-1009.
- Huang Y, Xu X, Liu Y, et al. Successful treatment of refractory cutaneous infection caused by Mycobacterium marinum with a combined regimen containing amikacin. Clin Interv Aging. 2012;7:533-538.
- Woods GL. Susceptibility testing for mycobacteria. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;31:1209-1215.
- Balaqué N, Uçkay I, Vostrel P, et al. Non-tuberculous mycobacterial infections of the hand. Chir Main. 2015;34:18-23.
- Pandian TK, Deziel PJ, Otley CC, et al. Mycobacterium marinum infections in transplant recipients: case report and review of the literature. Transpl Infect Dis. 2008;10:358-363.
- Jacobs S, George A, Papanicolaou GA, et al. Disseminated Mycobacterium marinum infection in a hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipient. Transpl Infect Dis. 2012;14:410-414.
- Chow SP, Ip FK, Lau JH, et al. Mycobacterium marinum infection of the hand and wrist. results of conservative treatment in twenty-four cases. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1987;69:1161-1168.
- Rallis E, Koumantaki-Mathioudaki E. Treatment of Mycobacterium marinum cutaneous infections. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2007;8:2965-2978.
- Nenoff P, Klapper BM, Mayser P, et al. Infections due to Mycobacterium marinum: a review. Hautarzt. 2011;62:266-271.
- Prevost E, Walker EM Jr, Kreutner A Jr, et al. Mycobacterium marinum infections: diagnosis and treatment. South Med J. 1982;75:1349-1352.