Multiple Corticosteroid Orally Elicited Allergic Contact Dermatitis in a Patient With Multiple Topical Corticosteroid Allergic Contact Dermatitis

Ai-Lean Chew, MBChB, San Francisco, California Howard I. Maibach, MD, San Francisco, California

Corticoid allergic contact dermatitis (ACD) may be topically or systemically elicited. Allergic contact dermatitis to topical corticosteroids is relatively common, whereas reports of orally elicited ACD to corticosteroids are rarer. Patients allergic to one corticosteroid often exhibit cross-reactivity to other corticoids. We have previously reported a 46-yearold woman with contact allergy documented by patch and provocative use testing to multiple topical corticosteroids. On further testing, she was thought to have multiple corticoid orally elicited ACD to triamcinolone, methyl prednisolone, dexamethasone, and prednisone. Oral provocation tests were performed in a single-blind fashion following the method of Alanko and Kauppinen [Diagnosis of drug eruptions: clinical evaluation and drug challenges. In, Skin Reactions to Drugs (Kauppinen K, Alanko K, Hannuksela M, Maibach HI, eds). Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, 1998.]. The five oral corticosteroids tested were triamcinolone, methyl prednisolone, dexamethasone, prednisone, and hydrocortisone. Four of the five challenged corticosteroids (i.e., triamcinolone, methyl prednisolone, dexamethasone, and prednisone) produced a generalized maculopapular eruption in a delayed manner. The fifth challenged corticoid, hydrocortisone, had no adverse effect on this patient. This patient was unusual in that she exhibited polysensitivity to a spectrum of oral and topical corticosteroids. Hydrocortisone was identified as a corticosteroid for future clinical use. This is an important finding since corticosteroids are important emergency drugs.

From the University of California, San Francisco, California. REPRINT REQUESTS to Dr. H. I. Maibach, Department of Dermatology, University of California, Surge 110, Box 0989, 90 Medical Center Way, San Francisco, CA 94143 (Dr. Maibach).

llergic contact dermatitis (ACD) to topical corticosteroids is relatively common, having Labeen reported in frequencies of 2.3 to 4.9% in recent studies involving many patch-tested patients. 1-5 Patients allergic to one topical corticosteroid often exhibit cross-reactivity to other topical corticoids. Corticosteroids may also sensitize subjects when used orally, parenterally, or intralesionally, although these modes of sensitization are presumed less common. Reactions to ingested, parenteral, or intralesional corticoids usually occur in patients previously sensitized by percutaneous absorption of a topically applied corticoid. Lauerma et al⁶ documented this phenomenon: four patients who had known ACD to topical group A corticosteroids all experienced cutaneous reactions following administration of oral hydrocortisone.

We have previously reported a case of a 46-year-old woman with contact allergy to multiple topical corticosteroids, as evidenced by extensive patch tests and provocative use tests (PUT/ROAT). The results from this previous study are summarized in Table I. Because this woman initially presented with chronic dermatitis, which flared up after taking oral prednisone, further testing was performed using oral challenges. She was subsequently thought to also have multiple corticoid orally elicited ACD to triamcinolone, methyl prednisolone, dexamethasone, and prednisone.

Materials and Methods

Oral provocation tests were performed in a singleblind fashion under strict medical supervision. Patch testing and PUT/ROAT had been performed (see above) more than one year previously. The patient was deemed free of active dermatitis at times of entry to each oral challenge. The five oral corticosteroids tested were triamcinolone, methyl prednisolone, dexamethasone, prednisone, and hydrocortisone. Each

Corticosteroid	Class	Concentration (%)	Patch Test [†] 96 hrs	PUT/ROAT
Alclomethasone dipropionate	D	0.05, 1.0	+	+
Amcinonide	В	0.1	+	+
Betamethasone benzoate	С	0.05	+	+
Betamethasone valerate	D	0.1, 1.0	+	+
Budesonide	В	0.1	+	NT
Clobetasol propionate	D	0.05, 1.0	+	+
Clocortolone pivalate		0.1	0	+
Desonide	В	0.05	+	NT
Desoximetasone	С	0.25, 0.05	+	+
Dexamethasone phosphate disodium	С	1.0	+	+
Diflorasone diacetate		0.05	+	NT
Fluocinolone acetonide	В	0.025, 0.01	+	NT
Fluocinonide	В	0.05	+	+
Flurandrenolide (tape)		-	NT	+
Fluticasone propionate		0.05	+	+
Halcinonide	В	0.1	NT	+
Halobetasol propionate		0.05	+	NT
Hydrocortisone	А	1.0, 2.5	0	NT
Hydrocortisone acetate	А	1.0, 2.5	NT	-
Hydrocortisone valerate	D	0.2	+	NT
Hydrocortisone butyrate	D	0.1, 1.0	+	+
Hydrocortisone buteprate		0.1	NT	+
Mometasone furoate	D	0.1	+	+
Prednicarbate	D	0.1	NT	-
Tixocortol pivalate, Pivolone"	Α	1.0	0‡	NT
Triamcinolone acetonide	В	0.1, 1.0	+	+

drug was tested at separate times. For each drug, a test dose was administered orally in the morning (day 1). The patient was allowed to eat normally, but was not given any other medications. Clinical symptoms and signs were recorded after 1 hour, then at hourly intervals for 10 hours, then at 24 hours. The state of

the skin, body temperature, pulse rate, and blood pressure were routinely recorded. If at 24 hours (day 2), the drug produced no reaction, then a further dose of the same drug was given. This subsequent dose was usually higher than the previous one, if the previous dose was deemed too low. If no eruption appeared,

Table II Oral Provocation Tests in Corticoid ACD Patient						
Drug	Class	Dose	Equivalent Unit Dose	Response		
Triamcinolone	В	Day 1: 8 mg (4 mg bid*) Day 2: 12 mg (4 mg tid) Day 3: 8 mg (4 mg bid)	4 mg	Day 3: generalized maculopapular eruption		
Methyl prednisolone	А	Day 1: 2 mg (2 mg od) Day 2: 6 mg (2 mg tid) Day 3: 4 mg (2 mg bid)	4 mg	Day 3: generalized maculopapular eruption		
Dexamethasone	С	Day 1: 0.25 mg (0.25 mg od) Day 2: 0.75 mg (0.25 mg tid) Day 3: 0.50 mg (0.25 mg bid)	0.75 mg	Day 3: generalized maculopapular eruption		
Prednisone	А	Day 1: 20 mg (20 mg od) Day 2: 20 mg (20 mg od)	5 mg	Day 2: generalized maculopapular eruption		
Hydrocortisone	Α	Day 1: 20 mg bid Day 2: 40 mg bid Day 3: 60 mg bid Day 4: 80 mg bid	20 mg	No reaction		
*bid, twice per day; tid, three times per day; od, once per day						

then yet another dose of the same drug was administered on day 3. Details of the oral provocation tests of this patient are shown in Table II.

Results

In the previous study involving patch testing and PUT/ROAT, the patient was found to be allergic to 23 corticosteroids, but seemed to tolerate hydrocortisone, tixocortol-21-pivalate, and prednicarbate, as documented by negative patch tests, with or without PUT/ROAT. Hydrocortisone and tixocortol are both class A corticoids, so it was postulated that she might tolerate other class A drugs such as prednisone and methyl prednisolone. As can be seen from the results of the oral challenges, this was not the case.

In the oral provocation tests, four of the five orally challenged glucocorticoids, i.e., triamcinolone, methyl prednisolone, dexamethasone, and prednisone, produced similar results—a generalized maculopapular eruption in a delayed manner (see Table II). No systemic reactions were observed. Each eruption gradually subsided upon withdrawal of each drug and inert therapy such as emollients. The fifth challenged corticoid, hydrocortisone, had no adverse effect on this patient.

Discussion

Allergic contact dermatitis is usually produced by external exposure of the skin to an allergen. In sensitized

individuals, such as this patient, a systemically administered allergen may occasionally reach the skin through the circulatory system and produce a dermatitis clinically resembling a maculopapular drug reaction. Although systemic administration, including oral, parenteral, and intralesional routes, may produce this condition, the first sensitizing exposure to the allergen was probably topical. Ingestion of an allergen by such a person may result in various morphologic responses, for example, a generalized eczematous response or maculopapular-like drug eruptions, such as in our case, or more focal flares at sites of previous dermatitis, and may sometimes be accompanied by more systemic effects, like nausea and general malaise.

Reports of orally elicited ACD to corticosteroids are rare in comparison to topical ACD. Prednisolone is by far the most commonly implicated. Reports of other orally elicited corticoids exist, but are scarce, as are reports of oral challenges to verify these sensitivities.

From the results of our prior study and of this study, it would seem that this unusual patient is not only sensitized to a spectrum of topical corticoids, but also has multiple corticoid orally elicited ACD. The management of any patient with ACD, be it systemically induced or topically induced, is elimination or minimization of the involved medications. This obviously poses a clinical problem for this patient, who is allergic to such a range of corticoids and yet may require medication for her long-standing dermatitis. In-

Table III

Test Method—Oral Challenge (Oral Provocation Test) 8

WHO? Patients with a suspected drug

eruption, as per clinical history

and examination.

WHY? To induce a mild form of the

eruption, so the causative drug

can be identified.

WHEN? 1-2 months after original eruption

> clears, except: in severe reactions, i.e., urticaria, wait 6-12 months.

WHERE? Test under controlled conditions,

such as in a hospital.

WHAT? Test components of drug, when

practical. Test only one substance

per day.

HOW? A test dose of the suspected drug

is given orally in the morning. The patient is allowed to eat normally, but ideally not given any other medication. Flare-up of the eruption and other clinical signs/symptoms are recorded at hourly intervals for 10 hours, then at 24 hours. If no reaction observed at 24 hours, then test is repeated with a

larger dose of the same drug.

HOW Start with a low dose, usually less MUCH? than therapeutic dose, e.g. 1/10 of

therapeutic dose.

deed, corticosteroids have long been established as a major therapeutic option for ACD. Moreover, corticoids are an important emergency drug, thus it is crucial that one be identified for future use.

Coopman *et al.* have suggested four major classes of corticosteroid allergens, grouped according to substitutions at the C17 and C21 positions (i.e., in the D-ring), based on the frequency of cross-reactivity. These four classes are class A (hydrocortisone type no methyl substitution on C16, no side chain on C17, possibly short side chain on C21), class B (triamcinolone acetonide type—cis diol or ketal function on C16 and C17, possibly a side chain on C21), class C (betamethasone type—methyl substitution on C16, no side chain on C17, possibly a side chain on C21), and class D (hydrocortisone-17-butyrate type—side chain ester on C17). However, many exceptions occur with these groups and further refinement is being performed. For instance, based on computer analysis of extensive patch test results, Mihaly¹⁷ has suggested further subclassification of group D into D1 (methyl substitution on C16 and halogenation on the base structure, side chain ester on C17, and possibly on C21) and D2 (no methyl substitution on C16 and no halogenation of the four ring structure, side chain ester on C17, possibly on C21). In our patient, crossreactivity is a likely mechanism, since she had not previously been exposed to several of the tested corticoids, although concomitant sensitivities cannot be ruled out. On testing, sensitivities did not seem to fall into the Coopman-defined categories. Methyl prednisolone, prednisone, and hydrocortisone belong to class A, triamcinolone to class B, and dexamethasone to class C. (Oral class D corticoids are uncommon, therefore, oral challenge was not performed with a class D corticoid.) In fact, the accumulative results of her patch tests, PUT/ROAT, and oral challenges demonstrate that her corticoid allergies span the entire selection of corticoid categories.

More recent studies by Wilkinson et al. 18,19 on corticosteroid cross-reactions have demonstrated that the major determinant of cross-reactions is substitution at the C6 and C9 positions of the corticosteroid, i.e., in the B-ring. The D-ring substitutions (i.e., C16 and C17) were found to be important, but to a lesser extent, while substitutions at C21 had no significance at all. In these studies, they found that in hydrocortisone and budesonide allergy, the antigenic determinant was located in the B-ring. They demonstrated that patients sensitized to hydrocortisone and budesonide were most likely to react to other non-C6 and non-C9 substituted corticosteroids. Further work is necessary to determine whether these results apply to other corticosteroids.

Coopman et al. 16 also suggested the use of marker corticoids for corticosteroid allergies, for example, tixocortol pivalate as a screening agent for group A corticoids. Studies have validated this. For example, Burden and Beck⁵ found 90.8% sensitivity to tixocortol pivalate among 131 cases of corticosteroid sensitivity. Our patient, however, showed no reaction to tixocortol or hydrocortisone, and yet reacted strongly to methyl prednisolone and prednisone, also group A corticoids. One explanation for this may be poor absorption of the topical corticosteroid into the skin during patch testing. For example, in one study, 1 mg hydrocortisone in petrolatum caused a reaction in only 2 of 24 patients suspected of hydrocortisone allergy, whereas 1 mg hydrocortisone administered intradermally caused reactions in all 24 patients. 20 Commercial preparations of corticosteroids are sometimes used in patch testing for this reason, since they contain excipients that enhance skin penetration, thereby increasing bioavailability.²¹ Another explanation may be the anti-inflammatory nature of corticosteroids,^{22,23} which may result in false negatives. Because of this effect, delayed readings are sometimes helpful. For instance, although 1% tixocortol pivalate showed no reaction at 96 hours, a positive patch test reaction was observed at 120 hours.

Provocative use tests (PUT/ROAT) have similar limitations to patch testing, such as poor percutaneous penetration. Intradermal testing avoids this penetration barrier, and has yet to be tested on this patient.

Conclusion

Corticoid ACD may be topically or systemically elicited. Patch tests or PUT/ROAT are useful in determining ACD to topical medications, and the oral provocation test is a safe and effective method of detecting orally elicited ACD. Alanko and Kauppinen⁸ provide details and principles of drug challenges derived from vast clinical experience. This information has been summarized in Table III.

Our case report typifies the usual patient with ACD to corticosteroids, who presents with a chronic dermatitis and is either unresponsive or deteriorates with corticosteroid therapy. However, our case is unusual in that she exhibited polysensitivity to a spectrum of oral as well as topical corticosteroids. This polysensitivity imposes severe clinical limitations for treatment of her dermatitis. We have, however, finally identified an oral glucocorticoid that she can tolerate—hydrocortisone—and additional oral corticoid challenges are contemplated.

Acknowledgments—We are extremely grateful to Dr. Antti Lauerma from the Department of Dermatology and Venereology, University of Helsinki, for his expertise and constructive advice in preparing this article.

REFERENCES

- Marks JG Jr, Belsito DV, DeLeo VA, et al.: North American Contact Dermatitis Group patch test results for the detection of delayed-type hypersensitivity to topical allergens. Am Acad Dermatol 38: 911-918, 1998.
- Dooms-Goossens A, Andersen KE, Brandao FM, et al.: Corticosteroid contact allergy: an EECDRG multicentre study. Contact Derm 35: 40-44, 1996.
- Bircher A J, Thurlimann W, Hunziker T, et al.: Contact hypersensitivity to corticosteroids in routine patch tested patients. A multicentre study of the Swiss Contact Dermatitis Research Group. Dermatology 191: 109-114, 1995.
- 4. Dooms-Goossens A, Morren M: Results of routine patch testing with corticosteroid series in 2073 patients. Contact Derm 26: 182-191, 1992.
- Burden AD, Beck MH: Contact hypersensitivity to topical corticosteroids. Br J Dermatol 127: 497-500, 1992.
- 6. Lauerma AI, Reitamo S, Maibach HI: Systemic hydrocortisone/cortisol induces allergic skin reactions in presensitized

- subjects. J Am Acad Dermatol 24: 182-185, 1991.
- Chang YC, Clarke GF, Maibach HI: The provocative use test (PUT) [repeat open application test (ROAT)] in topical corticosteroid allergic contact dermatitis. Contact Derm 37: 309-311, 1997.
- 8. Alanko K, Kauppinen K: Diagnosis of drug eruptions: clinical evaluation and drug challenge, In, Skin Reactions to Drugs (Kauppinen K, Alanko K, Hannuksela M, Maibach HI eds) Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, 1998.
- Rietschel RL, Fowler JF Jr: Fisher's Contact Dermatitis, 4th ed. Baltimore, Williams & Wilkins, 1995.
- Menne T, Veien N, Maibach HI. Systemic contact-type dermatitis, In Dermatotoxicology, pp 161-176. Washington DC, Taylor & Francis, 1996.
- 11. Rasanen L, Hasan T: Allergy to systemic and intralesional corticosteroids. Br J Dermatol 128: 407-411, 1993.
- De Corres LF, Bernaola G, Urrutia I, Munoz D: Allergic dermatitis from systemic treatment with corticosteroids. Contact Derm 22: 104-106, 1990.
- English JSC, Ford G, Beck MH, Rycroft RJG: Allergic contact dermatitis from topical and systemic steroids. Contact Derm 23: 196-197, 1990.
- McKenna DB and Murphy GM: Contact allergy to topical corticosteroids and systemic allergy to prednisolone. Contact Derm 38: 121-122, 1998.
- Quirce S, Alvarez MJ, Olawuibel JM, Tabar AI: Systemic contact dermatitis from oral prednisolone. Contact Derm 30: 53-54, 1994.
- Coopman S, Degreef H, Dooms-Goossens A: Identification of cross-reaction patterns in allergic contact dermatitis from topical corticosteroids. Br J Dermatol 121: 27-34, 1989.
- Mihaly M: Contact allergy to locally applied corticosteroids.
 Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Faculteit Geneeskunde,
 Thesis, 1998.
- Wilkinson SM, Hollis S, Beck MH: Reactions to other corticosteroids in patients with allergic contact dermatitis from hydrocortisone. Br J Dermatol 132: 766-771, 1995.
- 19. Wilkinson M, Hollis S, Beck M: Reactions to other corticosteroids in patients with positive patch test reactions to budesonide. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 33: 963-968, 1995.
- 20. Wilkinson M, Cartwright P, English JSC: The significance of tixocortol-pivalate-positive patch tests in leg ulcer patients. *Contact Derm* 23: 120-121, 1990.
- Dooms-Goossens A, Verschaeve H, Degreef H, Van Berendonks J: Contact allergy to hydrocortisone and tixocortol pivalate: problems in the detection of corticosteroid sensitivity. Contact Derm 14: 94-102, 1986.
- 22. Dooms-Goossens A: Contact dermatitis to topical corticosteroids: diagnostic problems, In Exogenous Dermatoses: Environmental Dermatitis (Menne T and Maibach HI) pp 299-310. Boca Raton, CRC Press, 1991.
- Lauerma AI, Visa K, Pekonen M, Forstrom L, Reitamo S: Cellular kinetics of delayed hypersensitivity test reactions to topical glucocorticosteroids. *Arch Dermatol Res* 279: 379-384, 1987.