Lymphomatoid Drug Reaction Secondary to Methylphenidate Hydrochloride

John Patrick Welsh, MD; Christine Ko, MD; W. Teresa Hsu, MD, PhD

Lymphomatoid drug reactions are relatively rare, with no gender, race, or age predilection. The condition generally may be divided into 2 broad categories with some overlap: (1) druginduced pseudolymphoma and (2) anticonvulsantinduced pseudolymphoma syndrome, marked by the triad of papular to nodular skin lesions, fever, and lymphadenopathy. While a vast array of pharmacologic agents has been linked to lymphomatoid drug reactions, our case represents the first reported incidence of methylphenidate hydrochloride—a ubiquitously prescribed medication for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder causing a pseudolymphoma.

Cutis. 2008;81:61-64.

Case Report

A 22-year-old Chinese woman with a medical history of attention deficit disorder presented with a 4-month complaint of recurrent, mildly pruritic crops of painless lesions generally localized to the face and proximal upper extremities. Prior to each eruption, the patient developed a fever (maximum fever, 104°F [40°C]). The patient had begun methylphenidate hydrochloride for her attention deficit disorder in October 2005, but the drug was discontinued by her psychiatrist 2 months later secondary to periodic spiking fevers. Methylphenidate hydrochloride was reinstituted in January 2006 but summarily discontinued in late February 2006 because of the development of her rash. She denied any further systemic symptoms

Accepted for publication January 2, 2007.

The authors report no conflict of interest.

(ie, no chills, weight loss, night sweats), allergies, or unusual travel history.

Examination revealed few erosions and excoriated 0.2-cm pink papules on the scalp, as well as multiple 0.2- to 0.6-cm indurated, red, excoriated papulonodules (few with central crust) on the face, right upper arm, and right buttock. There was no palpable lymphadenopathy. At follow-up, the scalp erosions had healed and there was no visible crusting or excoriation, but the patient continued to manifest multiple 0.2- to 0.6-cm indurated, red, excoriated papulonodules on the face (Figure 1) and bilateral upper arms (Figure 2).

Laboratory workup consisting of a complete blood count with peripheral smear, complete metabolic panel, lactate dehydrogenase, serum/urine protein electrophoresis, and computed tomographic scan was essentially negative.

A 4.0-mm punch biopsy from the patient's right arm revealed an intense perivascular infiltrate of mononuclear cells (Figure 3) admixed with a population of cells that contained fragmented nuclear material (Figure 4). There were rare CD20⁺ and CD56⁺ cells; CD45 cells showed diffuse positive staining within the infiltrate, with many CD68⁺ and CD43⁺ cells. Myeloperoxidase was markedly positive, especially in cells with fragmented nuclear material, and lysozyme showed a similar staining pattern. The stain for Epstein-Barr virus and CD30 was essentially negative, while most of the cells were beta-1 positive. The biopsy also showed equal numbers of cells positive for CD4 and CD8.

A diagnosis of lymphomatoid drug reaction was made, and upon final discontinuation of the drug in February 2006, the patient reported a slow resolution of existing nodules with no additional lesions or fever.

Comment

Over the past 2 decades, the US Drug Enforcement Administration production quotas for methylphenidate hydrochloride have undergone a 6-fold increase.¹

Drs. Welsh and Hsu are from the Department of Dermatology, Drexel University College of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Dr. Ko is from the Department of Dermatology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut.

Correspondence: W. Teresa Hsu, MD, PhD, Department of Dermatology, Drexel University College of Medicine, 219 N Broad Street, 4th Fl, Philadelphia, PA 19107.

Figure not available online

Figure 1. Multiple 0.2- to 0.6-cm indurated, red, excoriated papulonodules on the face.



Figure 2. Papulonodules (few with central crust) on the right upper arm.

With approximately 1.5 million Americans aged 5 to 18 years (2.8% of the population)¹ and a similar percentage of their Canadian counterparts on methylphenidate hydrochloride, it is obvious that this pharmacologic option has become the preferred treatment modality for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.^{1,2} While attention deficit hyperactivity disorder is the most common psychiatric syndrome in the pediatric population, many adults also are turning to the medication as a means of ameliorating symptoms associated with the condition, such as difficulty concentrating. The medication also has garnered media attention as a large number of college students have been illicitly using the drug as a stimulant and study aid. Given the increased prevalence of methylphenidate hydrochloride, it is important for all physicians to be aware of its potential adverse effects.

Case reports and information in postmarketing research link methylphenidate hydrochloride with



Figure 3. Punch biopsy revealed an intense nodular perivascular infiltrate of mononuclear cells (H&E, original magnification \times 10).

both a hypersensitivity syndrome marked by fever and rash, most frequently urticaria or erythema multiforme, and fixed drug eruption, but there is no reported incidence of the drug causing a lymphomatoid variant.^{3,4}

Clinically, lymphomatoid drug reactions may present with variable morphology, from a solitary plaque to widespread nodules to Sézary-like erythroderma.^{5,6} Histologically, these reactions reveal an atypical infiltrate of lymphocytes in the dermis, which is most often bandlike or nodular. The infiltrate contains atypical cerebriform nuclei and is frequently accompanied by a substantial histiocytic component, especially in the nodular lesions, as well as a relative absence of eosinophils or plasma cells.⁷ The lymphomatoid reaction pattern has been seen with a vast array of pharmacologic agents. A review of the literature has been summarized in the Table.⁸⁻¹⁸

While debate has historically raged as to whether drug-induced pseudolymphoma and hypersensitivity syndrome were 2 distinct clinical entities or merely the same condition existing on a spectrum, studies have shown them to be separate conditions. Callot et al¹⁹ revealed that patients with drug-induced pseudolymphoma possessed subacute, papulonodular, infiltrated plaques, without visceral involvement, that histologically mimicked lymphoma and resolved with discontinuation of the offending medication. In contrast, the researchers' patients with hypersensitivity syndrome had a widespread eruption with fever, palpable lymphadenopathy, and multivisceral involvement, including hepatitis, lymphocytosis, eosinophilia, and elevated lactate dehydrogenase, as well as variable nonspecific histology that only occasionally simulated lymphoma and frequent relapses upon cessation of the drug.¹⁹ Our case, therefore, is



Figure 4. Infiltrate composed of mononuclear cells admixed with cells that contained fragmented nuclear material; immunohistochemistry was negative for lymphoma (H&E, original magnification ×40 for both)(A and B).

Drug Class	Implicated Etiologic Agents
Antiarrhythmics	Mexiletine, procainamide hydrochloride
Antibiotics	Cefuroxime, dapsone, nitrofurantoin, penicillin
Anticonvulsants	Butobarbitol, carbamazepine, ethosuximide, lamotrigine, mephenytoin, methsuximide, phenobarbital, phensuximide, phenytoin, primidone, sodium valproate, trimethadone
Antidepressants	Amitriptyline hydrochloride, buproprion hydrochloride, desipramine hydrochloride, doxepin hydrochloride, fluoxetine hydrochloride, lithium
Antifungal	Griseofulvin
Antihistamines	Cimetidine, diphenhydramine hydrochloride, ranitidine
Antihypertensives	ACE inhibitors, atenolol, clonidine hydrochloride, diltiazem hydrochloride, labetalol hydrochloride, losartan, thiazides, verapamil
Antimetabolites	Cyclosporine, methotrexate
Antipsychotics	Phenothiazines
Antirheumatics	Allopurinol, D-penicillamine, gold, NSAIDs
Miscellany	Bromocriptine, hydroquinone, lovastatin, menthol, silicone
Sex hormones	Estrogen, progesterone
Vaccines	Hepatitis A and B

Reported Etiologic Causes of the Lymphomatoid Drug Reaction⁸⁻¹⁸

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

best classified as a methylphenidate hydrochlorideinduced pseudolymphoma, though the patient did have spiking fevers.

Lymphomatoid drug reactions are uncommon. There is no gender, race, or age predilection. Symptoms may occur anywhere from weeks to months after exposure to the offending agent and generally resolve spontaneously after weeks to months upon discontinuation of the drug. However, several cases of malignant transformation (pseudopseudolymphoma) do exist; thus, patients should be monitored for the development of true malignancy, especially those cases secondary to anticonvulsants.⁵

While our patient's clinical and histologic presentation had features consistent with lymphoma, the diagnosis of methylphenidate hydrochloride– induced pseudolymphoma was largely based on the resolution of the patient's lesions with discontinuation of the offending agent and negative workup. The other helpful clue in this patient that mitigated against the diagnosis of a true lymphoma was the presence of a mixed cellular infiltrate on histology that included not only lymphocytes but also histiocytes and neutrophils. Our case emphasizes the need to always consider a drug-related reaction, even in patients on a medication such as methylphenidate hydrochloride with few reported cutaneous adverse effects.

REFERENCES

- Safer D, Zito J, Fine E. Increased methylphenidate usage for attention deficit disorder in the 1990s. *Pediatrics*. 1996;98:1084-1088.
- 2. Charach A, Cao H, Schachar R. Correlates of methylphenidate use in Canadian children: a cross-sectional study. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2006;51:17-26.
- 3. Cohen HA, Ashkenazi A, Nussinovitch M, et al. Fixed drug eruption of the scrotum due to methylphenidate. *Ann Pharmacother*. 1992;26:1378-1379.
- 4. Sverd J, Hurwic MJ, David O, et al. Hypersensitivity to methylphenidate and dextroamphetamine: a report of two cases. *Pediatrics*. 1977;59:115-117.
- 5. Brown JR, Skarin AT. Clinical mimics of lymphoma. Oncologist. 2004;9:406-416.

- Kardaun SH, Scheffer E, Vermeer BJ. Drug-induced pseudolymphomatous skin reactions. Br J Dermatol. 1998;118:545-552.
- 7. Weedon D. Skin Pathology. 2nd ed. Edinburgh, Scotland: Churchill Livingstone; 2002.
- Scheinfeld N. Phenytoin in cutaneous medicine: its uses, mechanisms, and side effects. *Dermatol Online J.* 2003;9:6. http://dermatology.cdlib.org. Accessed May 20, 2006.
- d'Incan M, Mouillet ML, Roger H, et al. Cutaneous pseudolymphoma caused by carbamazepine. Ann Dermatol Venereol. 1998;125:52-55.
- Pathak P, McLachlan RS. Drug-induced pseudolymphoma secondary to lamotrigine. *Neurology*. 1998;50: 1509-1510.
- Cogrel O, Beylot-Barry M, Vergier B, et al. Sodium valproate-induced cutaneous pseudolymphoma followed by recurrence with carbamazepine. *Br J Dermatol.* 2001; 144:1235-1238.
- Saeed SA, Bazza M, Zaman M, et al. Cefuroxime induced lymphomatoid hypersensitivity syndrome. *Postgrad Med J*. 2000;76:577-579.
- Magro CM, Crowson AN. Drugs with antihistaminic properties as a cause of atypical cutaneous lymphoid hyperplasia. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1995;32: 419-428.
- 14. Maubec E, Pinquier L, Viguier M, et al. Vaccinationinduced cutaneous pseudolymphoma. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2005;52:623-629.
- 15. Masruha M, Marques CM, Vilanova LC, et al. Drug induced pseudolymphoma secondary to ethosuximide [letter]. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2005;76:1610.
- Dar NR, Mustavi SA, Raza N. Cutaneous pseudolymphoma due to topical application of 4% hydroquinone cream for melasma. J Coll Physicians Surg (Pak). 2005;15:496-497.
- 17. Wiesli P, Joos L, Galeazzi RL, et al. Cutaneous pseudolymphomaassociated with bromocriptine therapy. *Clin Endocrinol*. 2000;53:656-657.
- 18. Lee MW, Choi JH, Sung KJ, et al. A case of cutaneous pseudolymphoma associated with silicone injection. *Acta Derm Venereol.* 2004;84:312-313.
- Callot V, Roujeau JC, Bagot M, et al. Drug-induced pseudolymphoma and hypersensitivity syndrome: two different clinical entities. *Arch Dermatol.* 1996;132: 1315-1321.