

# Is hormonal contraception right for your perimenopausal patient?

In healthy patients, combination OCs and other hormonal methods have a lot to offer—as long as you're mindful of CASE
Perimenopausal complaints, and a request for risks in selected subgroups.

#### Andrew M. Kaunitz, MD

Dr. Kaunitz is Professor and Associate Chairman, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, at the University of Florida College of Medicine in Jacksonville, Fla. He serves on the OBG MANAGEMENT Board of Editors.

The author reports research support from Barr, Bayer, Medical Diagnostic Laboratories, Organon, and Warner-Chilcott. He serves as a speaker or consultant for Barr, Bayer, Johnson & Johnson, Merck, Noven, Organon, and Warner-Chilcott. He holds stock in Procter & Gamble, Quest, and Sanofi-Aventis.

#### >> SHARE YOUR COMMENTS

Have something to say about this article or topic? Let us know: E-MAIL obg@dowdenhealth.com FAX 201-391-2778

contraception At her annual visit, M.B., a healthy 48-yearold divorced woman, reports that her periods are increasingly erratic and that she has begun experiencing occasional hot flushes. Although her previous husband had a vasectomy, she has started to date and is concerned about contraception. A close friend became pregnant at the age of 46 and chose to have an abortion. M.B. hopes to avoid the same fate and asks specifically about birth control pills. Is this an appropriate option for her? What do you tell her?

lthough only 11% of women 40 to 44 years old reported using oral contraceptives (OCs) in 2002 in the United States, that figure represents a 5% increase over 1995,1,2 and all indications are that the percentage is still rising.

In lean, nonsmoking, healthy perimenopausal women, OCs offer users not only effective contraception, but also benefits that include a reduction in heavy menstrual bleeding; regularization of the menstrual cycle; protection against ovarian, endometrial, and colorectal cancer; prevention of bone loss (with possible prevention of postmenopausal osteoporotic fractures); and some degree of relief from vasomotor symptoms. Although an increased risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE) is well documented in OC users, concerns also exist that use of the pill might increase the risk of myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, and breast cancer in older reproductive-age women.

**Thromboembolism** is the greatest risk page 32

How selected health conditions affect choice of hormonal contraceptive

page 33

At what age should an OC be discontinued?

page 36

CONTINUED

31

To explore the range of hormonal contraceptive options and their risks and benefits in perimenopausal women in more depth, OBG MANAGEMENT recently caught up with Andrew M. Kaunitz, MD, an expert in both contraception and menopause and a member of the OBG MANAGEMENT Board

of Editors. He describes and interprets the robust data in this field to answer our many questions—although he points out that perimenopausal women have been underrepresented in studies of OC use in particular and hormonal contraception in general.

### Why hormonal contraception?

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** Why is effective contraception important in this age group? Aren't perimenopausal women less fertile than younger women?

**Kaunitz:** Older women are less fecund, but irregular menstrual cycles make it difficult to predict when ovulation is occurring, making unplanned pregnancy a real possibility in sexually active women.

Pregnancy itself is fraught with risks in this age group. Pregnancy-related mortality among women 40 years or older in the United States is five times higher than among 25-to 29-year-olds. Older women are also more likely to have comorbidities such as hypertension and diabetes, further increasing the risks of pregnancy.<sup>3,4</sup> In addition, perimenopausal women are more likely than any reproductive age group except adolescents to opt for induced abortion when they do become pregnant, with 304 abortions for every 1,000 live births in women 40 years or older in the United States.<sup>5</sup>

**OBG Management:** Why should a perimenopausal woman consider hormonal contraception?

**Kaunitz:** It is highly effective and offers a range of noncontraceptive benefits, and older women are more likely to use it properly, making contraceptive failure less likely than in younger patients.

Nor are combination OCs the only option for this age group. Progestin-only OCs, the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system, the etonogestrel implant, and injectable

depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) are alternatives. Although the vaginal patch and ring have not been studied extensively, they may be appropriate in some instances. Until further data specific to these combination estrogen-progestin methods are available, let's assume for our discussion that they carry the same risk-benefit profile as combination OCs.

### Thromboembolism is the greatest risk

**OBG Management:** What is the greatest risk of OC use in perimenopausal women?

**Kaunitz:** That would be VTE. The risk rises sharply after 39 years of age among users of combination OCs, with approximately 100 cases for every 100,000 person-years, compared with 25 cases for every 100,000 person-years among adolescents.<sup>6</sup> This already elevated risk almost doubles among obese women older than 39 years.<sup>7</sup> In these women, progestin-only or intrauterine contraceptives are better options than combination OCs.<sup>8</sup>

Also, avoid prescribing combination OCs for women with a known thrombophilic defect. However, because screening for thrombophilia is not cost-effective, routinely evaluating candidates for combination contraception with testing for familial thrombophilic disorders is not recommended.

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** Does the dosage of estrogen determine the risk of VTE?

**Kaunitz:** That is the general assumption—that higher dosages of estrogen pose a greater



Pregnancy-related mortality among women 40 years or older in the US is five times higher than among 25- to 29-year-olds risk-but we lack definitive evidence that OCs formulated with 20 µg of estrogen are any safer in this regard than those that contain 30 to 35 µg.7,9

There is some evidence that the progestin plays a role. OCs that contain desogestrel appear to carry almost twice the risk of VTE as those formulated with levonorgestrel or norgestimate.10

### Risk of MI, stroke may rise in some older women

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** Do perimenopausal women who take combination OCs face a heightened risk of MI or stroke?

Kaunitz: Yes, if they smoke or have hypertension. The reason: In women who use combination OCs, smoking and hypertension are synergistic risk factors for MI and stroke. That means perimenopausal women who smoke or have high blood pressure should avoid combination contraceptives.

Although it is limited, available evidence supports the safety of OCs in older women who do not smoke or have hypertension. One large case-control study from the United States found no increased risk of MI or stroke among this population when they used OCs containing less than 50 µg of ethinyl estradiol.11,12 However, this study included few women older than 35 years who used OCs and smoked or had hypertension.

A large, prospective study from Sweden that included 1,761 current OC users between 40 and 49 years of age found no increased risk of MI among former or current OC users.13 It also found that the initiation of OC use in women 30 years of age or older carried no higher risk of MI than did initiation at age 29 or younger.

#### Avoid OCs in older women who have diabetes

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** What about women 35 years of age or older who have diabetes? Is hormonal contraception appropriate for them?

### TABLE How selected health conditions affect choice of contraceptive in women ≥35 years

Condition	Recommendation*
Obesity	Avoid combination contraceptives (OCs, patch, and ring)  Progestin-only <sup>†</sup> or intrauterine contraceptives are preferred
Smoking	
Diabetes	
Migraine	
Hypertension	

- \* Based on guidelines from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists<sup>8</sup>
- $^{\scriptscriptstyle \dagger}$  Includes progestin-only OCs, progestin implants, depot medroxy progesterone acetate, and copper and progestin-releasing intrauterine devices

Kaunitz: Both premenopausal and postmenopausal women who have diabetes have a higher risk of cardiovascular disease, so combination contraceptives are a bad idea when the woman has diabetes and is 35 years of age or older. OCs also should be avoided in women younger than 35 years who have diabetes, unless they are normotensive and free of nephropathy and other vascular disease. Intrauterine contraception and progestinonly formulations tend to be better options for diabetic women.

#### Avoid combination OCs in perimenopausal migraineurs

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** Isn't there evidence that women who have migraine headaches have an elevated stroke risk? How does this affect their choice of contraceptive?

Kaunitz: One case-control study from a large US health maintenance organization found twice the risk of stroke among OC users who had migraines as among those who did not.12 However, this study did not distinguish between women who had migraines with aura and those who had migraines without aura.

Another study found an increased risk of stroke among OC users who had migraines with aura, but not among those who had migraines without aura.14

Accordingly, both the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)



Both ACOG and the World Health **Organization** recommend that perimenopausal migraineurs avoid combination estrogen-progestin contraceptives

and the World Health Organization recommend that older women who experience migraines use progestin-only or intrauterine contraception.<sup>8,15</sup>

### Does estrogen use increase the risk of breast cancer?

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** It's a common assumption that hormonal contraceptives that contain estrogen increase the risk of breast cancer. Is that assumption backed by data?

Kaunitz: Long-term use of combination estrogen-progestin menopausal hormone therapy modestly increases the risk of breast cancer. Accordingly, many clinicians and women assume that use of hormonal contraception must likewise increase risk. In fact, the evidence does not indicate that combination OCs or progestin-only contraceptives increase the risk of breast cancer. However, studies to date have involved a relatively small number of women older than 45 years.

For example, a large cohort study from the United Kingdom that involved more than 1 million person-years of follow-up found no association between use of OCs and breast cancer, even among long-term users.  $^{16}$  Most cases of OC use in this study involved OCs formulated with 50  $\mu g$  or more of ethinyl estradiol. However, this study did not indicate the age at which women used OCs.

In the Women's Contraceptive and Reproductive Experiences (CARE) study, current or previous users of OCs had no increased risk of invasive or in situ breast cancer, compared with never-users. <sup>17,18</sup> This study did include a subgroup of women who had started using OCs after age 40. Nor did the CARE study find an association between progestin-only injectable DMPA or implantable contraceptives and breast cancer. <sup>19</sup>

Last, a population-based case-control study in the United States found no increased risk of death from breast cancer among previous users of OCs, compared with women who had never used them.<sup>20</sup>

This study included an analysis limited to women who had begun using OCs at 30 years of age or older.

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** What about women who have a family history of breast cancer? Do OCs and other hormonal contraceptives elevate their risk further?

**Kaunitz:** Women who have a family history of breast cancer are often cautioned that it would be unsafe for them to use hormonal contraception. However, use of hormonal contraception does not appear to impact the risk of breast cancer in women who have a family history of the disease.

A large prospective study from Canada involving women who had a family history of breast cancer and a mean age of 49 found no increased risk of breast cancer among former or current OC users.<sup>21</sup> This study did not assess risk by *BRCA* mutation status.

A separate study found that the risk of breast cancer increased slightly among women who had a *BRCA1* mutation, with an odds ratio of 1.20 (95% confidence interval, 1.02–1.40), but not among women who had a *BRCA2* mutation.<sup>22</sup> Another study found no significant increase in the risk of breast cancer among women who had either a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutation.<sup>23</sup>

## Benefits include improved bleeding patterns

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** Many perimenopausal women who have fibroids or adenomyosis experience menorrhagia or dysfunctional uterine bleeding (DUB) and opt for surgery such as endometrial ablation or hysterectomy. Can OCs or other hormonal contraceptives alleviate these patterns without the need for surgery?

**Kaunitz:** Yes. OCs can restore physiologic bleeding in older women who have DUB. One study involving women 15 to 50 years of age who had DUB found improved bleeding patterns in more than 80% of women randomized to OCs, compared with less than



OCs can restore physiologic bleeding patterns in older women who have dysfunctional uterine bleeding 50% of women randomized to placebo.<sup>24</sup> In addition, women who have menorrhagia have reported a significant reduction of blood loss after using OCs.<sup>25</sup>

Another effective option for women who have menorrhagia is the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (LNG-IUS), even in women who have menorrhagia associated with fibroids and adenomyosis.<sup>26-28</sup>

Because long-term use of injectable forms of contraception tends to lead to amenorrhea, some physicians recommend DMPA as a treatment for menorrhagia. Data supporting this strategy are scant, however.<sup>29</sup>

### OCs reduce the risk of three cancers

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** Oral contraceptives are known to reduce the risk of ovarian, endometrial, and colorectal cancer to varying degrees. Does this benefit hold up for older women, too?

**Kaunitz:** Yes. And because the incidence of ovarian cancer, in particular, increases with age, the protection afforded by combination OCs may be especially beneficial for women of older reproductive age.

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** Just how much protection against ovarian cancer does OC use afford?

**Kaunitz:** Among users of low-dose combination OCs, the risk of epithelial ovarian cancer declines by at least 50%, compared with women who have never used the pill—and, the longer the use, the greater the protection. <sup>16,30,31</sup> Once OCs are discontinued, the protection diminishes over time, but some degree of reduced risk persists for three decades or longer. <sup>31</sup>

**OBG Management:** What about endometrial cancer?

**Kaunitz:** Not just OCs, but also DMPA, are associated with a significant reduction in

the risk of endometrial cancer: 50% with use of OCs formulated with 30  $\mu g$  or more of estrogen, and 80% with use of DMPA. In the case of OCs, the reduced risk is greater with longer use, and it persists after discontinuation for at least 20 years.<sup>25,32</sup>

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** Is the protection against colorectal cancer as great as the protection against these other cancers?

**Kaunitz:** No, it isn't, but the protection is still significant. OC use reduces the risk of colorectal cancer by approximately 20%, but the protection against colorectal cancer does not appear to increase with duration of use. <sup>16,33</sup> It also may be that more recent OC use (past 5 years) affords greater protection than use in the more distant past. <sup>16,33</sup>

### OCs may reduce fracture risk postmenopausally

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** What effect do combination OCs and other forms of hormonal contraception have on the bone loss that accelerates around the time of menopause?

**Kaunitz:** One randomized trial found that OC use increases bone mineral density (BMD) in women of older reproductive age.<sup>34</sup> And a population-based, case-control trial from Sweden found a 25% reduction in the risk of hip fracture among postmenopausal women who had a history of OC use. The reduction in risk was even greater when the women had used OCs in their 40s or for an extended duration.<sup>35</sup>

The Women's Health Initiative found no reduction in the risk of fracture among previous users of OCs, but failed to stratify women by the age at which they used OCs.

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** Are any hormonal contraceptives associated with bone loss?

**Kaunitz:** Yes. Use of intramuscular DMPA (150 mg) or subcutaneous DMPA (104 mg) is linked to a loss of BMD. The good news



A trial from Sweden found a 25% reduction in the risk of hip fracture among postmenopausal women who had used OCs is that BMD recovers after discontinuation of the drug, even in women who begin to use it after 40 years of age.<sup>29,36</sup> However, we lack data on the risk of fracture among postmenopausal women with a history of DMPA use.

### OCs may ease hot flushes and other menopausal symptoms

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** Is there any evidence that use of combination OCs by perimenopausal women relieves vasomotor symptoms?

**Kaunitz:** Yes, but the number of studies demonstrating this association so far has been limited. One small double-blind trial randomly assigned women to use of an OC containing  $20~\mu g$  of estradiol or to placebo. Although the number and severity of symptoms diminished by about 50% in those taking the OC, the difference was not statistically significant.

A prospective observational study found that 90% of perimenopausal women experienced complete relief after taking an OC containing 30  $\mu$ g of ethinyl estradiol, compared with only 40% of nonusers.<sup>38</sup>

**OBG Management:** What about other forms of hormonal contraception? Are any effective against vasomotor symptoms?

**Kaunitz:** One interesting option is to use menopausal doses of estrogen to treat vasomotor symptoms along with an LNG-IUS to prevent endometrial hyperplasia and provide contraception, if needed. This combination produced substantial improve-

Contraception for medically complex patients

> Daniela A. Carusi, MD, MSc JANUARY 2008

You can find it in our archive at www.obgmanagement.com

ment in a trial involving perimenopausal women who were experiencing vasomotor symptoms.<sup>39</sup> Most of the women became amenorrheic, and there was no endometrial hyperplasia.

DMPA in contraceptive dosages also has relieved vasomotor symptoms in menopausal women, compared with placebo.<sup>40</sup>

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** What about women who experience vasomotor symptoms during the 7 placebo days of a 28-pill cycle? What options do they have?

**Kaunitz:** Some physicians either switch to a 24/4 OC formulation (Yaz or Lo-Estrin 24), an extended OC formulation with no placebo days (Seasonique), a continuous OC formulation (Lybrel), or simply prescribe pills from a traditional 21/7 pack in a continuous fashion so as to eliminate the hormone-free interval. However, this strategy has been studied to only a limited degree.

### At what age should an OC be discontinued?

**OBG MANAGEMENT:** Perimenopausal women are, obviously, going to become menopausal at some point. How do you know when that transition occurs if they are taking OCs?

**Kaunitz:** It turns out that testing is not useful in this clinical setting. Some people have advocated measuring the follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) level, but this strategy is unreliable. An elevated FSH level—thought to be indicative of menopause—has been found in older ovulatory women,<sup>41</sup> and a depressed FSH level has been found in postmenopausal women for weeks after discontinuation of OCs.<sup>42</sup>

Rather than use this imperfect science to try and predict the point of menopause, I recommend discontinuing OCs once the woman has attained age 55, arbitrarily assuming that she is menopausal at this age. I use the same approach for women using other hormonal contraceptives.<sup>8,43</sup> <sup>©</sup>

REFERENCES ON PAGE 38



DMPA in

contraceptive

relieved vasomotor

dosages has

symptoms in

menopausal

women

#### References

- 1. Mosher WD, Martinez GM, Chandra A, Abma JC, Willson SJ. Use of contraception and use of family planning services in the United States: 1982–2002. Advance data from vital and health statistics. No. 350. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, December 10, 2004.
- 2. Abma JC, Chandra A, Mosher WD, Peterson LS, Piccinino LJ. Fertility, family planning, and women's health: new data from the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth. Vital and health statistics. Series 23. No. 19. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, May 1997:1-114. (DHHS publication no. (PHS) 97-1995.)
- **3.** Callaghan WM, Berg CJ. Pregnancy-related mortality among women aged 35 years and older, United States, 1991–1997. Obstet Gynecol. 2003;102:1015–1021
- **4.** Viegas OA, Leong WP, Ahmed S, Ratnam SS. Obstetrical outcome with increasing maternal age. J Biosoc Sci. 1994;26:261–267.
- **5.** Strauss LT, Herndon J, Chang J, et al. Abortion surveillance—United States, 2001. MMWR Surveill Summ. 2004;53(SS-9):1-32.
- 6. Nightingale AL, Lawrenson RA, Simpson EL, Williams TJ, MacRae KD, Farmer RDT. The effects of age, body mass index, smoking and general health on the risk of venous thromboembolism in users of combined oral contraceptives. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care. 2000;5:265–274.
- Sidney S, Petitti DB, Soff GA, Cundiff DL, Tolan KK, Quesenberry CP Jr. Venous thromboembolic disease in users of low-estrogen combined estrogen-progestin oral contraceptives. Contraception. 2004;70:3-10.
- 8. ACOG Committee on Practice Bulletins-Gynecology. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 73: Use of hormonal contraceptive in women with coexisting medical conditions. Obstet Gynecol. 2006;107:1453-1472.
- **9.** Gallo MF, Nanda K, Grimes DA, Schulz KF. Twenty micrograms vs. >20 microg estrogen oral contraceptives for contraception: systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Contraception. 2005;71:162–169.
- **10.** Jick SS, Kaye JA, Russman S, Jick H. Risk of non-fatal venous thromboembolism with oral contraceptives containing levonorgestrel. Contraception. 2006;73:566–570.
- **11.** Sidney S, Siscovick DS, Petitti DB, et al. Myocardial infarction and use of low-dose oral contraceptives: a pooled analysis of 2 US studies. Circulation. 1998;98:1058–1063.
- **12.** Schwartz SM, Petitti DB, Siscovick DS, et al. Stroke and use of low-dose oral contraceptives in young women: a pooled analysis of two US studies. Stroke. 1998;29:2277–2284.
- **13.** Margolis KL, Adami H-O, Luo J, Ye W, Weiderpass E. A prospective study of oral contraceptive use and risk of myocardial infarction among Swedish

- women. Fertil Steril. 2007;88:310-316.
- **14.** MacClellan LR, Giles W, Cole J, et al. Probable migraine with visual aura and risk of ischemic stroke: the stroke prevention in young women study. Stroke. 2007;38:2438–2345.
- **15.** Medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use. 3rd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2004.
- **16.** Hannaford PC, Selvaraj S, Elliott AM, Angus V, Iversen L, Lee AJ. Cancer risk among users of oral contraceptives: cohort data from the Royal College of General Practitioners' oral contraception study. BMI. 2007;335:651.
- **17.** Marchbanks PA, McDonald JA, Wilson HG, et al. Oral contraceptives and the risk of breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2002;346:2025–2032.
- **18.** Gill JK, Press MF, Patel AV, Bernstein L. Oral contraceptive use and risk of breast carcinoma in situ (United States). Cancer Causes Control. 2006;17:1155–1162.
- **19.** Strom BL, Berlin JA, Weber AL, et al. Absence of an effect of injectable and implantable progestin-only contraceptives on subsequent risk of breast cancer. Contraception. 2004;69:353–360.
- **20.** Wingo PA, Austin A, Marchbanks PA, et al. Oral contraceptives and the risk of death from breast cancer. Obstet Gynecol. 2007;110:793–800.
- **21.** Silvera SA, Miller AB, Rohan TE. Oral contraceptive use and risk of breast cancer among women with a family history of breast cancer: a prospective cohort study. Cancer Causes Control. 2005;16:1059–1063.
- **22.** Narod SA, Dubé MP, Klijn J, et al. Oral contraceptives and the risk of breast cancer in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2002;94:1773–1779.
- **23.** Haile RW, Thomas DC, McGuire V, et al. BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers, oral contraceptive use, and breast cancer before age 50. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2006;15:1863–1870.
- **24.** Davis A, Godwin A, Lippman J, Olson W, Kafrissen M. Triphasic norgestimate-ethinyl estradiol for treating dysfunctional uterine bleeding. Obstet Gynecol. 2000;96:913–920.
- **25.** Kaunitz AM. Noncontraceptive health benefits of oral contraceptives. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2002;3:277–283.
- **26.** Hurskainen R, Reperi J, Rissanen P, et al. Clinical outcomes and costs with the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system or hysterectomy for treatment of menorrhagia: randomized trial 5-year follow-up. JAMA. 2004;291:1456–1463.
- **27.** Kaunitz AM. Progestin-releasing intrauterine systems and leiomyoma. Contraception. 2007;75 (6 Suppl):S130-S133.
- **28.** Bragheto AM, Caserta N, Bahamondes L, Petta CA. Effectiveness of the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system in the treatment of adenomyosis diagnosed and monitored by magnetic resonance imaging. Contraception. 2007;76:195–199.
- 29. Kaunitz AM. Depot medroxyprogesterone ac-

- etate for contraception. In: Rose BD, ed. UpToDate. Wellesley, MA: UpToDate, 2008.
- **30.** Petitti DB. Combination estrogen-progestin oral contraceptives. N Engl J Med. 2003;349:1443–1450. [Erratum, N Engl J Med. 2004;350:92.]
- **31.** Collaborative Group on Epidemiological Studies of Ovarian Cancer. Ovarian cancer and oral contraceptives: collaborative reanalysis of data from 45 epidemiological studies including 23,257 women with ovarian cancer and 87,303 controls. Lancet. 2008;371:303–314.
- **32.** Schlesselman JJ. Risk of endometrial cancer in relation to use of combined oral contraceptives: a practitioner's guide to meta-analysis. Hum Reprod. 1997;12:1851–1863.
- **33.** Fernandez E, LaVecchia C, Balducci A, Chatenoud L, Francheschi S, Negri E. Oral contraceptives and colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Br J Cancer. 2001;84:722–727.
- **34.** Gambacciani M, Cappagli B, Lazzarini V, Ciaponi M, Fruzzetti F, Genazzani AR. Longitudinal evaluation of perimenopausal bone loss: effects of different low dose oral contraceptive preparations on bone mineral density. Maturitas. 2006;54:176–180.
- **35.** Michaëlsson K, Baron JA, Farahmand BY, Persson I, Ljunghall S. Oral-contraceptive use and risk of hip fracture: a case-control study. Lancet. 1999;353:1481–1484.
- **36.** Rosenberg L, Zhang Y, Constant D, et al. Bone status after cessation of use of injectable progestin contraceptives. Contraception. 2007;76:425–431.
- 37. Casper RF, Dodin S, Reid RL. The effect of 20  $\mu$ g ethinyl estradiol/1 mg norethindrone acetate (Minestrin), a low-dose oral contraceptive, on vaginal bleeding patterns, hot flashes, and quality of life in symptomatic perimenopausal women. Menopause. 1997;4:139–147.
- **38.** Shargil AA. Hormone replacement therapy in perimenopausal women with a triphasic contraceptive compound: a three-year prospective study. Int J Fertil. 1985;30:15, 18–28.
- **39.** Hampton NRE, Rees MCP, Lowe DG, Rauramo I, Barlow D, Guillebaud J. Levonorgestrel intrauterine system (LNG-IUS) with conjugated equine estrogen: a successful regimen for HRT in perimenopausal women. Hum Reprod. 2005;20:2653–2669.
- **40.** North American Menopause Society. Treatment of menopause-associated vasomotor symptoms: position statement of the North American Menopause Society. Menopause. 2004;11:11–33.
- **41.** Gebbie AE, Glasier A, Sweeting V. Incidence of ovulation in perimenopausal women before and during hormone replacement therapy. Contraception. 1995;52:221–222.
- **42.** Creinin MD. Laboratory criteria for menopause in women using oral contraceptives. Fertil Steril. 1996;66:101–104.
- **43.** Kaunitz AM. Hormonal contraception in women of older reproductive age. N Engl J Med. 2008;358:1262–1270.

## There are 10,000 jobs on



**MED**opportunities.com

Find yours today!