



EDITORIAL

The Future of Family Medicine: A call for careful consideration

Most of you have seen synopses of the Future of Family Medicine Project, read discussions of the New Model of practice, and heard the call to reengineer our discipline. I am excited about challenging an acute care model that forces us to practice like hamsters in a wheel, and am enthused about a more patient-focused approach. But I am concerned about adopting a “Model” without adequate evidence, about focusing on a single solution rather than multiple creative approaches to practice, and about ignoring underlying deficiencies in American health care.

I am instinctively distrustful of claims for a single solution to a complex and diverse problem such as America’s health care crisis. To assert that we have developed a “New Model of Family Medicine” without empiric validation seems rash. Reams of focus group data and patient interviews do not a new model make. Where is the theory development and experimental data that support this? Rather than purporting to have discovered a single “New Model,” shouldn’t we be encouraging multiple creative models of family medicine? Rather than standardizing a market basket of services, shouldn’t we be encouraging a community responsive approach? By experimenting and trying many approaches to achieving better patient outcomes, we will be sure that we have developed a robust model worth replicating. Let’s leave the market baskets to Krogers.

Also, tools such as EHR, open access scheduling, or asynchronous communication via the Internet are only means to an end. Let’s have a healthy appreciation of diverse methods to enhance community health, patient outcomes including satisfaction, access to care, and timely and appropriate treatment. All these tools may indeed be crucial, but they are just tools—not patient-oriented outcomes.

What gaps might exist in this toolbox? I keep wondering how much we can improve health care of populations without a clear denominator (ie, a registered list of patients) or an insurance “system” that consistently covers the new patients for whom I care. These issues aside, the gap between practice reality and theory, and the economic challenge to meet the initial costs of the new model leave me distressed—problems many of you lament.

Finally, let us remember that even the most robustly functioning practices will not cure a demand-based workforce policy, a financing system that leaves many millions without health insurance and reimburses routine technology over careful coordination of care and cognitive services. So as we consider the Future of Family Medicine, let us demand evidence, spur creativity, and not settle for half-baked solutions that ignore the root causes of a health system in crisis.

Jeff Susman, MD
Editor, *JFP*

EDITOR

Jeffrey L. Susman, MD,
University of Cincinnati

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

• Clinical Inquiries

James Stevermer, MD, MSPH,
University of Missouri, Columbia

• Applied Evidence

Cheryl A. Flynn, MD, MS, State University
of New York, Syracuse

• Original Research

John Hickner, MD, MSc, University of
Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine

• Photo Rounds

Richard P. Usatine, MD, University of
Texas Health Sciences Center at San Antonio

CONSULTING EDITOR

• Clinical Inquiries

Bernard Ewigman, MD, MSPH,
University of Chicago Pritzker School of
Medicine

ASSISTANT EDITORS

• Clinical Inquiries

M. Lee Chambliss, MD, MSPH, Moses Cone
Family Medicine Residency, Greensboro, NC

Mark R. Ellis, MD, MSPH, Cox Family
Practice Residency, Springfield, Mo

Charissa Fotinos, MD, University of
Washington, Seattle

Kevin Y. Kane, MD, MSPH, University
of Missouri, Columbia

Gary Kelsberg, MD, FAAFP, University
of Washington, Renton

Jon O. Neher, MD, University of Washington,
Renton

M. Norman Oliver, MD, MA, University
of Virginia, Charlottesville

Peter C. Smith, MD, University of Colorado
Health Sciences Center, Denver

Donald C. Spencer, MD, MBA, University of
North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Fred Tudiver, MD, East Tennessee State
University, Johnson City

Jan P. Vleck, MD, University of
Washington, Olympia

• Reinventing Practice

Gary N. Fox, MD, St. Vincent Mercy
Medical Center, Toledo, Ohio

• Practice Alert

Doug Campos-Outcalt, MD, MPA,
University of Arizona, Phoenix

Eric Henley, MD, MPH, University of
Illinois, Rockford

• Guideline Update

Keith B. Holten, MD, University of
Cincinnati

• Language of Evidence

Goutham Rao, MD, MPA, University of
Pittsburgh

• Family Medicine Grand Rounds

Audrey Paulman, MD, MMM, and
Paul M. Paulman, MD, University of
Nebraska College of Medicine, Omaha

• Supplements

Rick Ricer, MD, University of Cincinnati

EDITORIAL BOARD

Frederick Chen, MD, MPH, University of
Washington, Seattle

Larry Culpepper, MD, MPH, Boston
University Medical Center, Boston, Mass

John W. Ely, MD, MSPH, University of
Iowa College of Medicine, Iowa City

Linda French, Michigan State University,
East Lansing

Theodore G. Ganiats, MD, University of
California–San Diego Health Outcomes
Assessment Program, La Jolla, Calif

Paul Gordon, MD, University of Arizona,
Tucson

Caryl J. Heaton, DO, University of
Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey,
Newark

Fred Miser, MD, MA, Ohio State
University, Columbus

Kevin Peterson, MD, MPH, University of
Minnesota, St Paul

Kendra Schwartz, MD, MSPH, Wayne
State University, Detroit, Mich

Douglas R. Smucker, MD, MPH, Moses Cone
Family Medicine Residency, Greensboro, NC

Jack Westfall, MD, University of Colorado

Direct editorial information and inquiries to:

EDITORIAL OFFICE

Health Professions Building, Department
of Family Medicine, PO Box 670582,
Cincinnati, OH 45267-0582.

Telephone: (513) 558-4021.

PUBLISHING OFFICES

Dowden Health Media, Inc.,
110 Summit Avenue, Montvale, NJ 07645.

Telephone: (201) 782-5735.

Fax: (201) 505-5890