

# What is the evaluation and treatment strategy for Raynaud's phenomenon?

**Heather Tagliarino, MD**

Swedish Medical Center, Seattle; University of Washington, Seattle

**Michael Purdon, MD**

Swedish Medical Center, Seattle

**Barbara Jamieson, MLS**

Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee

## EVIDENCE-BASED ANSWER

Raynaud's phenomenon is diagnosed by history, which also plays a key role in distinguishing primary from secondary Raynaud's phenomenon (strength of recommendation [SOR]: **C**, based on expert opinion). The initial treatment includes conservative measures such as the use of gloves,

cold avoidance, and rapid rewarming (SOR: **C**, based on expert opinion); in refractory cases, the vasodilatory agents nifedipine or prazosin alleviate symptoms (SOR: **A** for both, based on multiple randomized controlled trials) (**TABLE**).

## CLINICAL COMMENTARY

### Reserve pharmacotherapy for cases that are resistant to conservative measures

Raynaud's phenomenon is one of those clinical syndromes that stirs the desire to find an exotic explanation, such as systemic lupus erythematosus, but most often yields less glamorous results. Usually I must tell patients that I can't explain the cause and recommend that they keep their hands as warm as possible to avoid the symptoms. I have learned to discipline myself over the years to

pursue a connective tissue cause only when other signs or symptoms of such a disease are also present. The use of the ophthalmoscope at high power to look for distorted nail-fold capillary loops is a helpful pearl.

I reserve pharmacotherapy for cases that are resistant to conservative measures due to the cost and side effects of the drug options.

**Grant Hoekzema, MD**

Mercy Family Medicine Residency, St. Louis, Mo

## ■ Evidence summary

Raynaud's phenomenon is diagnosed by a history of cold temperatures or emotional stress precipitating episodic digital artery vasospasm, according to expert opinion. This presents as well-demarcated digital pallor and cyanosis, often followed by reactive hyperemia occurring 15 to 20 minutes after rewarming.<sup>1,2</sup> No reliable office test confirms the diagnosis. By definition, primary Raynaud's phenomenon occurs in the absence of associated diseases and is considered an exaggerated vasoconstrictive response to cold. It must be distinguished from normal mottling of the digits in response to cold temperatures, effects of vasoconstrictive

medications, environmental injury (frostbite, use of vibrating tools), neuropathy, and thoracic outlet syndrome.<sup>1,2</sup> Experts differ on whether laboratory evaluation with erythrocyte sedimentation rate and an antinuclear antibody test is necessary for patients with primary Raynaud's phenomenon.<sup>1,3</sup>

Patients with secondary Raynaud's phenomenon have an underlying cause or disease, such as scleroderma or systemic lupus erythematosus.<sup>2</sup> The finding of distorted capillaries in the nail folds using an ophthalmoscope set at 40+ diopter magnification is the best predictor of an associated connective-tissue disease.<sup>4</sup> A cold-water challenge to trigger

CONTINUED

TABLE

Primary therapies for Raynaud's phenomenon

TREATMENT	RECOMMENDATION LEVEL	COMMON ADVERSE EFFECTS
Nifedipine	A	Lower extremity edema, flushing, headache, dizziness
Prazosin	A	Dizziness, hypotension, palpitations
Conservative	C	—

an attack of Raynaud's phenomenon produces inconsistent results and is not recommended. Research tools such as thermographic and laser Doppler imaging can measure digital artery blood flow but are rarely used clinically.<sup>1,5</sup> Patients with secondary Raynaud's phenomenon should have a complete blood count, biochemistry profile, and urinalysis. They may need additional tests as determined by the nature of their underlying disease.<sup>1</sup>

Conservative management is helpful for all patients with Raynaud's phenomenon, and may be the only treatment needed. Experts advise dressing warmly, wearing gloves when appropriate, using abortive strategies such as placing the hands into warm water, and avoiding sudden cold exposure, emotional stress, and vasoconstrictive agents such as nicotine.<sup>6</sup>

Medication may be helpful for patients whose symptoms are not controlled with conservative measures. Six randomized, placebo-controlled trials involving 451 people with primary Raynaud's phenomenon demonstrated that nifedipine decreases the mean frequency of vasospastic attacks. Three of these trials also showed subjective improvement in symptom severity with nifedipine vs placebo.<sup>7</sup> A meta-analysis of 6 randomized crossover studies compared nifedipine or nicardipine with placebo in 59 patients with secondary Raynaud's phenomenon and underlying systemic sclerosis. Nifedipine significantly decreased the frequency and severity of attacks. Nicardipine showed a trend towards reduced symptoms in 1 trial with only 15 patients.<sup>8</sup> Another randomized trial com-

paring sustained-release nifedipine to placebo showed a 66% reduction in the number of attacks in the treatment group at 1 year; 19 of the 77 people in the nifedipine group dropped out of the study, as did 24 of the 81 people in the placebo group.<sup>9</sup>

A systematic review of 2 randomized controlled trials with a total of 40 patients found prazosin modestly effective in secondary Raynaud's phenomenon, but it was less well-tolerated than calcium channel blockers.<sup>10</sup> Single, small randomized crossover trials showed improved responses to both fluoxetine<sup>11</sup> and losartan<sup>12</sup> when compared with nifedipine. In general, these medications appear to reduce attacks by 30% to 40%.

Small, prospective studies of low-level laser irradiation, palmar sympathectomy, and endoscopic thoracic sympathectomy show some benefit for patients with digital ulcers.<sup>13-15</sup> A randomized controlled trial showed biofeedback was ineffective for voluntary control of digital blood flow for patients with Raynaud's phenomenon.<sup>9</sup>

Recommendations from others

The American College of Rheumatology does not offer recommendations for the diagnosis or treatment of Raynaud's phenomenon. UpToDate recommends treatment with conservative measures; sustained-release nifedipine or amlodipine may be used if these are insufficient. Other vasodilators may be added or substituted in the event of an adverse reaction or poor response to the calcium-channel blocker.<sup>16</sup>

FAST TRACK

Medication may be beneficial for patients not helped by conservative measures—dressing warmly, wearing gloves, avoiding cold and emotional stress

## REFERENCES

1. Bowling JC, Dowd PM. Raynaud's disease. *Lancet* 2003; 361:2078–2080.
2. Wigley FM. Clinical practice. Raynaud's phenomenon. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 347:1001–1008.
3. Wigley FM. Clinical manifestations and diagnosis of the Raynaud phenomenon. UpToDate. Available at: www.uptodate.com. Accessed on May 9, 2005.
4. Nagy Z, Czirjak L. Nailfold digital capillaroscopy in 447 patients with connective tissue disease and Raynaud's disease. *Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2004; 18:62–68.
5. Clark S, Dunn G, Moore T, Jayson M 4th, King TA, Herrick, AL. Comparison of thermography and laser Doppler imaging in the assessment of Raynaud's phenomenon. *Microvasc Res* 2003; 66:73–76.
6. Brown KM, Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Bielory L. The effects of stress, anxiety, and outdoor temperature on the frequency and severity of Raynaud's attacks: the Raynaud's treatment study. *J Behav Med* 2001; 24:137–153.
7. Pope J. Raynaud's phenomenon (primary). *Clin Evid* 2004; 11:1–2.
8. Thompson AE, Shea B, Welch B, Fenlon D, Pope J. Calcium-channel blockers for Raynaud's phenomenon in systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001; 44:1841–1847.
9. Comparison of sustained-release nifedipine and temperature biofeedback for treatment of primary Raynaud phenomenon. Results from a randomized clinical trial with 1-year follow-up. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; 160:1101–1108.
10. Pope J, Fenlon D, Thompson A, et al. Prazosin for Raynaud's phenomenon in progressive systemic sclerosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000(2):CD000956.
11. Coleiro B, Marshall SE, Denton CP, et al. Treatment of Raynaud's phenomenon with the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor fluoxetine. *Rheumatol (Oxf)* 2001; 40:1038–1043.
12. Dziadzio M, Denton CP, Smith R, et al. Losartan therapy for Raynaud's phenomenon and scleroderma: clinical and biochemical findings in a fifteen-week, randomized, parallel-group, controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999; 42:2646–2655.
13. al-Awami M, Schillinger M, Gschwandtner ME, Maca T, Haumer M, Minar E. Low level laser treatment of primary and secondary Raynaud's phenomenon. *VASA* 2001; 30:281–284.
14. Tomaino MM, Goitz RJ, Medsger TA. Surgery for ischemic pain and Raynaud's phenomenon in scleroderma: a description of treatment protocol and evaluation of results. *Microsurgery* 2001; 21:75–79.
15. Matsumoto Y, Ueyama T, Endo M, Sasaki H, Kasashima F, Abe Y et al. Endoscopic thoracic sympathectomy for Raynaud's phenomenon. *J Vasc Surg* 2002; 36:57–61.
16. Wigley FM. Treatment of the Raynaud phenomenon. UpToDate. Available at: www.uptodate.com. Accessed on May 9, 2005.

# THE JOURNAL OF FAMILY PRACTICE

## Evidence-based medicine ratings

THE JOURNAL OF FAMILY PRACTICE uses a simplified rating system system called the Strength of Recommendation Taxonomy (SORT). More detailed information can be found in the February 2003 issue, "Simplifying the language of patient care," pages 111–120.

**Strength of Recommendation (SOR)** ratings are given for key recommendations for readers. SORs should be based on the highest-quality evidence available.

- A Recommendation based on consistent and good-quality patient-oriented evidence.
- B Recommendation based on inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence.
- C Recommendation based on consensus, usual practice, opinion, disease-oriented evidence, or case series for studies of diagnosis, treatment, prevention, or screening

**Levels of evidence** determine whether a study measuring patient-oriented outcomes is of good or limited quality, and whether the results are consistent or inconsistent between studies.

### STUDY QUALITY

- 1—Good-quality, patient-oriented evidence (eg, validated clinical decision rules, systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials [RCTs] with consistent results, high-quality RCTs, or diagnostic cohort studies)
- 2—Lower-quality patient-oriented evidence (eg, unvalidated clinical decision rules, lower-quality clinical trials, retrospective cohort studies, case control studies, case series)
- 3—Other evidence (eg, consensus guidelines, usual practice, opinion, case series for studies of diagnosis, treatment, prevention, or screening)

### Consistency across studies

**Consistent**—Most studies found similar or at least coherent conclusions (coherence means that differences are explainable); or If high-quality and up-to-date systematic reviews or meta-analyses exist, they support the recommendation

**Inconsistent**—Considerable variation among study findings and lack of coherence; or If high-quality and up-to-date systematic reviews or meta-analyses exist, they do not find consistent evidence in favor of the recommendation