

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

JOHN HICKNER, MD, MSc University of Illinois at Chicago

ASSOCIATE EDITOR

RICHARD P. USATINE, MD University of Texas Health at San Antonio (*Photo Rounds*)

ASSISTANT EDITORS

DOUG CAMPOS-OUTCALT, MD, MPA University of Arizona

RICK GUTHMANN, MD, MPH

Advocate Illinois Masonic Family Medicine Residency, Chicago

ROBERT B. KELLY, MD, MS
Fairview Hospital, a Cleveland Clinic hospital

GARY KELSBERG, MD, FAAFP

University of Washington, Renton

COREY LYON, DO University of Colorado, Denver

KATE ROWLAND, MD, MS Rush-Copley Medical Center, Chicago

E. CHRIS VINCENT, MD University of Washington, Seattle

EDITORIAL BOARD

FREDERICK CHEN, MD, MPH University of Washington, Seattle

JEFFREY T. KIRCHNER, DO, FAAFP, AAHIVS Lancaster General Hospital, Pa

TRACY MAHVAN, PHARMD University of Wyoming, Laramie

MICHAEL MENDOZA, MD, MPH, MS, FAAFP University of Rochester, New York

FRED MISER, MD, MA

The Ohio State University, Columbus
KEVIN PETERSON, MD, MPH

University of Minnesota, St. Paul

MICHAEL RADDOCK, MD
The MetroHealth System, Cleveland, Ohio

MICHELLE ROETT, MD, MPH, FAAFP, CPE Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC

KATE ROWLAND, MD, MS

Rush-Copley Medical Center, Chicago

University of Toledo, Ohio

JEFFREY R. UNGER, MD, ABFP, FACE Unger Primary Care Concierge Medical Group, Rancho Cucamonga, Calif

DIRECT INQUIRIES TO:

Frontline Medical Communications 7 Century Drive, Suite 302 Parsippany, NJ 07054 Telephone: (973) 206-3434 Fax: (973) 206-9378

GUEST EDITORIAL

T. R. Reid
T. R. Reid is the author of 13 books, including The Healing of America: A Global Quest for Better, Cheaper, and Fairer Health Care (Penguin Press). He has worked as a correspondent on several PBS documentaries on health care and was a foreign correspondent for The Washington Post and NPR.



Upending this country's approach to health care

n these first decades of the 21st century, the United States is the richest, strongest, most innovative nation on the planet. Americans like to chant "We're Number 1"—and by many measures, they're right. But in one crucial area of human endeavor—keeping people healthy—the mighty United States is a third-rate power.

All the other industrialized democracies have significantly better health outcomes than the United States—longer life expectancy, better recovery rates from illness or injury, less infant mortality. Yet these nations spend, on average, half as much as the United States does for health care. And these other rich democracies guarantee health care for everyone—while the United States leaves 29 million people ages <65 years with no health insurance, and another 50 million with deductibles so high that they are effectively uninsured. 2,3

This disgraceful state of affairs is not the fault of the nation's physicians. Rather, the problems with health care in the United States stem from the system that American providers have to work in.

■ Health care has become big business. As the physician-turned-reporter Dr. Elisabeth Rosenthal notes in *An American Sickness: How Healthcare Became Big Business*, profits have come to matter more than patients for much of the \$3.3 trillion US health care industry. ^{4,5} And the financial winners in our system—notably the "Big

Primary care doctors earn significantly less than specialists. But it doesn't have to be that way. Four" health insurance giants, the for-profit hospital chains, and "Big Pharma"—fight hard to protect their profits. When the Affordable Care Act ("ObamaCare") was first proposed, one of its main goals was to cut the administrative costs of health insurance, to force the private insurers to run their business as efficiently as Medicare. The insurance industry didn't like

that; its lobbyists fought back, successfully. As passed, the law allows the insurers to add up to 20% in administrative fees to every doctor and hospital bill—which adds hundreds of billions of dollars to the nation's total health care spending every year.

Then there's the problem that health-care economists call "specialty distribution imbalance." In plain English, this means that the United States has too many doctors working in narrow (but highly compensated) subspecialties and not enough in the primary care fields of family medicine, internal medicine, and pediatrics. This is one more area where our country is out of sync with other industrialized democracies.

When I traveled the world studying health care systems, economists and government health ministers regularly told me that an efficient system should have 2 primary care providers for every 1 specialist. That is, primary care should make up about 66% of the overall physician work force.

Most rich countries come close to this desired ratio. In the United Kingdom, family doctors working out of their own offices (it's called a "surgery") and treating patients on the local High Street (that is, Main Street), control 70% of the National

Health Service (NHS) budget.⁶ "That's the framework of the NHS, and of course we want to keep it," John Reid, the UK's former Minister of Health, told me. "If you just pop into your doctor's surgery on the high street, that's often just as effective, but never as expensive, as waiting to see a specialist."

If that 2:1 ratio is the right proportion for an effective health care system, the United States is upside down. For decades now, some two-thirds of new medical graduates have gone into narrower specialties, leaving our country with a serious shortage of primary care physicians.⁷ This situation helps to explain the higher cost and poorer overall outcomes that characterize American health care.

"Health care is often delivered according to a model that concentrates on diseases, high technology, and specialist care," a report from the World Health Organization noted.⁸ "The results are...higher overall costs, and exclusion of people who cannot pay." The report concluded that an emphasis on primary care leads to better outcomes for the same level of investment. This simple truth has been called the "Iron Law" of health care systems.

• How can the United States get more primary care physicians? One answer is compensation. American primary care doctors routinely earn significantly less than specialists. But it doesn't have to be that way. When I asked my family doctor in London, Dr. Ahmed Badat, why it is that 62% of British physicians are in family care, he was blunt: "Under the NHS, I make twice as much as a cardiac surgeon."

If the big payers—government programs and private insurers—beef up fees for primary care (and pay for it by reducing compensation for specialists), more young American med students are likely to choose that route. Repayment plans that forgive the student loans of those in primary care fields also would attract more newly-minted physi-

cians; these programs already are in place in several states.⁹

Medical schools also have a role to play. It's no secret that the schools have emphasized specialties, with faculty members often steering their best students into narrow fields. But schools could promote an atmosphere in which primary care is treated as the most desirable destination for new doctors. Actively seeking out, and accepting, applicants who say they want to practice primary care is another key tool the medical schools could employ to deal with this national problem.

More doctors in primary care would mean better health care at lower cost for American patients. It's long past time to take the steps needed to reach that goal.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. The World Health Report 2008 primary Health Care (Now More Than Ever). http://www.who. int/whr/2008/en/. Accessed October 10, 2018.
- Congressional Budget Office. Federal Subsidies for Health Insurance Coverage for People Under Age 65: 2018 to 2028. https://www.cbo.gov/publication/53826. Published May 23, 2018. Accessed November 5, 2018.
- Cohen RA, Martinez ME, Zammitti EP. Health Insurance Coverage: Early Release of Estimates From the National Health Interview Survey, January-March 2016. Division of Health Interview Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics. 2016. https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/ insur201609.pdf. Accessed November 5, 2018.
- 4. Rosentahl E. An American Sickness: How Healthcare Became Big Business. New York, NY: Penguin Press; 2017.
- U.S. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Health Expenditures 2016 Highlights. https://www.cms.gov/ Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Statistics-Trends-and-Reports/NationalHealthExpendData/Downloads/highlights. pdf. Accessed November 5, 2018.
- Roland M, Guthrie B, Thomé DC. Primary medical care in the United kingdom. J Am Board Fam Med. 2012;25 Suppl 1: S6-S11.
- U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The Number of Practicing Primary Care Physicians in the United States. https://www. ahrq.gov/research/findings/factsheets/primary/pcwork1/ index.html. Accessed October 10, 2018.
- World Health Organization. World Health Report calls for return to primary health care approach. http://www. who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2008/pr38/en/. Published October 14, 2008. Accessed October 15, 2018.
- 9. Association of American Medical Colleges. Loan Repayment/Forgiveness/Scholarship and Other Programs. https://services.aamc.org/fed_loan_pub/index.cfm?fuseaction=public.welcome&CFID=255039&CFTOK EN=96604802. Accessed October 15, 2018.

If a 2:1 ratio of primary care providers to specialists is right, then the United States is upside down.

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

Have a comment on an article, editorial, or department? You can send it by:

- 1. E-MAIL: jfp.eic@gmail.com
- 2. FAX: 973-206-9251 or
- 3. MAIL: The Journal of Family Practice, 7 Century Drive, Suite 302, Parsippany, NJ 07054

LETTERS SHOULD BE 200 WORDS OR LESS. THEY WILL BE EDITED PRIOR TO PUBLICATION.

