



# What's the best treatment setting for stable PE patients?

The answer seems to be the outpatient setting. Here's why.

## PRACTICE CHANGER

Manage patients with acute pulmonary embolism (PE) who are hemodynamically stable in the outpatient setting to decrease adverse events—regardless of their initial risk category.<sup>1</sup>

### STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION

**B:** Based upon a good-quality retrospective cohort propensity score analysis.

Roy PM, Corsi DJ, Carrier M, et al. Net clinical benefit of hospitalization versus outpatient management of patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2017;15:685-694.

## ILLUSTRATIVE CASE

A 63-year-old woman with a history of hypertension presents to the emergency department (ED) with acute onset shortness of breath and pleuritic chest pain after traveling across the country for a work conference. She has no history of cancer, liver disease, or renal disease. Her blood pressure is 140/80 mm Hg, and her heart rate is 90 bpm. You diagnose an acute PE in this patient and start anticoagulation. Should you admit her to the hospital to decrease morbidity and mortality?

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, venous thromboembolism (VTE) affects approximately 900,000 people each year, and approximately 60,000 to 100,000 of these patients die annually.<sup>2</sup> Pulmonary embolism is the third leading cause of death from cardiovascular disease, following heart attacks and strokes.<sup>3</sup> Prompt diagnosis and treatment with systemic anticoagulation improves patient

outcomes and decreases the risk of long-term complications.

The 2016 American College of Chest Physicians (CHEST) guideline on antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease recommends home treatment or early discharge over standard discharge (after the first 5 days of treatment) for patients who meet the following clinical criteria: “clinically stable with good cardiopulmonary reserve; no contraindications such as recent bleeding, severe renal or liver disease, or severe thrombocytopenia (ie, <70,000/mm<sup>3</sup>); expected to be compliant with treatment; and the patient feels well enough to be treated at home.”<sup>3</sup>

The guideline states that various clinical decision tools, such as the Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index (PESI), can aid in identifying low-risk patients to be considered for treatment at home. The PESI uses age, gender, vital signs, mental status, and a history of cancer, lung, and cardiac disease to stratify patients by risk.<sup>4</sup>

A systematic review of 1 randomized controlled trial (RCT) and 7 observational studies found that in low-risk patients, outpatient treatment was as safe as inpatient treatment.<sup>5</sup> This more recent study determines the net clinical benefit of hospitalized vs outpatient management in a wider range of patients with acute PE, regardless of initial risk.<sup>1</sup>

## STUDY SUMMARY

### Hospitalization confers no benefit to stable patients with acute PE

This retrospective, propensity-matched co-

Monica Schaffer, MD;  
Gregory Castelli,  
PharmD, BCPS, BC-ADM  
UPMC St. Margaret,  
Pittsburgh, Pa

### DEPUTY EDITOR

Anne Mounsey, MD  
Department of Family  
Medicine, University of  
North Carolina, Chapel Hill

TABLE

Comparison of inpatient vs outpatient treatment of patients with PE

	Hospitalized (%)	Outpatient (%)	OR
Primary composite outcome	13	3.3	5.07 (95% CI, 1.68-15.28)
Recurrent VTE	1.7	0.6	5.92 (95% CI, 1.25-28.04)
Major bleeding	3.8	0	OR not evaluable
All-cause mortality	8.2	2.8	3.11 (95% CI, 0.88-11.04)

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; PE, pulmonary embolism; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

**>**  
 This trial supports guideline recommendations to manage hemodynamically stable patients with acute PE as outpatients.

hort study compared rates of adverse events in 1127 patients with acute PE managed in the hospital vs outpatient setting.<sup>1</sup> Patients were classified as outpatients if they were discharged from the ED or discharged from the hospital within 48 hours of admission. Patients were included if a symptomatic acute PE was diagnosed via computed tomography scan or high-probability ventilation-perfusion scan and excluded if they were <19 years of age, diagnosed with a PE during hospitalization, had chronic PE, or were hemodynamically unstable, among other factors. The investigators calculated PESI scores for all patients.

Propensity scores matched patients on 28 patient characteristics and known risk factors for adverse events to ensure the groups were similar. The primary outcome was rate of adverse events, including recurrent VTE, major bleeding, or death at 14 days. The secondary outcome was rates of the above during the 3-month follow-up period.

Of the 1127 eligible patients, 1081 were included in the matched cohort, with 576 (53%) treated as hospitalized patients and 505 (47%) treated as outpatients. The mean age of the matched cohorts was 63.2 years for the inpatient group and 63.6 years for the outpatient group. Overall, the cohorts were well matched.

The 14-day rate of adverse events was higher in hospitalized patients than in outpatients (13% vs 3.3%; odds ratio [OR] = 5.07; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.68-15.28), with each of the adverse events that made up the primary outcome occurring more frequently in the hospitalized group (TABLE). The rate of adverse events at 3 months was also greater for hospitalized

patients compared with outpatients (21.7% vs 6.9%; OR = 4.9; 95% CI, 2.62-9.17). The results remained similar for high-risk patients (Class III-V) based on their PESI score.

**WHAT'S NEW**

**A higher rate of AEs in those treated as inpatients vs outpatients**

This trial supports the CHEST guideline recommendations<sup>3</sup> to manage hemodynamically stable patients with acute PE as outpatients. It adds to the conversation by demonstrating higher rates of adverse events with hospitalization, even in high-risk subgroups (PESI Class III-V).

**CAVEATS**

**A good study, but it wasn't an RCT**

While this is a well-designed cohort study, it is not a randomized controlled trial (RCT). This study defined outpatient management as patients discharged from the ED or hospitalized for <48 hours. However, only 59 of the 544 patients in the outpatient group were early hospital discharges, while the rest were never admitted. Finally, a specialized thrombosis clinic followed up with the patients within 24 hours of discharge, and patients had telephone access to specialized health care professionals; such organization of care contributed to the safe outpatient management of these PE patients.

**CHALLENGES TO IMPLEMENTATION**

**Insurance coverage may present an issue**  
 Medication coverage of direct oral anticoagulants and low molecular weight heparin



Visit us @  
mledge.com/jfponline

may present a barrier to patients treated in the outpatient setting who have no insurance or are insured by certain insurance carriers.

JFP

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The PURLs Surveillance System was supported in part by Grant Number UL1RR024999 from the National Center For Research Resources, a Clinical Translational Science Award to the University of Chicago. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Center For Research Resources or the National Institutes of Health.

Copyright © 2018. The Family Physicians Inquiries Network. All rights reserved.

#### References

- Roy PM, Corsi DJ, Carrier M, et al. Net clinical benefit of hospitalization versus outpatient management of patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2017;15:685-694.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Venous Thromboembolism Data & Statistics. February 5, 2018. <https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/dvt/data.html>. Accessed July 6, 2018.
- Kearon C, Akl EA, Ornelas J, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: CHEST guideline and expert panel report. *CHEST.* 2016;149:315-352.
- Aujesky D, Obrosky DS, Stone RA, et al. Derivation and validation of a prognostic model for pulmonary embolism. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2005;172:1041-1046.
- Vinson DR, Zehtabchi S, Yealy DM. Can selected patients with newly diagnosed pulmonary embolism be safely treated without hospitalization? A systematic review. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2012;60:651-662.

## WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

Have a comment on an article, editorial, or department? You can send it by:

- E-MAIL:** [jfp.eic@gmail.com](mailto:jfp.eic@gmail.com)
- FAX:** 973-206-9251 or
- MAIL:** The Journal of Family Practice, 7 Century Drive, Suite 302, Parsippany, NJ 07054

THE JOURNAL OF  
**FAMILY  
PRACTICE**

LETTERS SHOULD BE 200 WORDS OR LESS. THEY WILL BE EDITED PRIOR TO PUBLICATION.



## Aspirin as CVD prevention in seniors? Think twice

Doug Campos-Outcalt, MD, MPA



## Residents' Rapid Review

Check out these quizzes, which are designed to help physicians pass their family medicine recertification—and certification—exam.

[mledge.com/jfponline](http://mledge.com/jfponline)



## INSTANT POLL

Do you try to taper opioids yourself, or do you send patients to pain clinics to handle that aspect of opioid management?

## ONLINE EXCLUSIVES

- **APPLIED EVIDENCE**  
Dermoscopy in family medicine: A primer
- **Epistaxis: A guide to assessment and management**

## PHOTO ROUNDS FRIDAY

Test your diagnostic skills at [www.jfponline.com/articles/photo-rounds-friday.html](http://www.jfponline.com/articles/photo-rounds-friday.html)

#### GET UPDATES FROM US ON

FACEBOOK



TWITTER



GOOGLE+



[www.facebook.com/JFamPract](http://www.facebook.com/JFamPract) <http://twitter.com/JFamPract> [http://bit.ly/JFP\\_GooglePlus](http://bit.ly/JFP_GooglePlus)

[www.mledge.com/jfponline](http://www.mledge.com/jfponline)