Too few patients are being screened for abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA), resulting in severe morbidity and mortality. Many patients with AAA aren’t identified until they present with rupture, leading to mortality as high as 90%. Early detection is critical.

Medicare offers one-time free screening to eligible individuals > 65 years of age, and several professional organizations promote screening with published guidelines, which we discuss later in this article.

So who is at risk, who should be screened, and what is the best way to screen your patients?

**Risk factors and sex differences**
AAA has a prevalence of between 1% and 5% in men > 65 years old, and it is 4 to 6 times more common in men than women. Major risk factors include smoking, older age, family history, and genetic factors, while hypertension, history of coronary artery disease, hyperlipidemia, and peripheral arterial disease have weaker associations. Exercise and diabetes seem to have protective effects.

The incidence and mortality of AAA increased between the 1950s and the mid-1990s; however, both indicators have decreased in numerous countries in the 21st century. Although the prevalence is much lower in women, they have a higher risk of rupture than men at equivalent lesion diameters. The prevalence of AAA in women who smoke and are > 70 years of age is > 1%.

**Silent but deadly**
Most patients with AAA are asymptomatic. Their lesions are often detected incidentally on magnetic resonance imaging of the spine obtained for back pain, on an abdominal ultrasound (US) for gallstones, or on a routine computed tomography (CT) scan for the evaluation of abdominal pain. Some patients will experience vague abdominal discomfort from rapid expansion of an aneurysm prior to rupture, necessitating urgent repair. Also, some large aneurysms can erode into the spine and cause chronic back pain prior to rupture. An infrarenal abdominal aortic diameter > 30 mm defines an aneurysm, and once the diameter reaches 55 mm, the threat of rupture often justifies operative repair. (See “The preferred approach to repair” on page 190.)

Ruptured aneurysms will classically manifest with severe abdominal and/or back pain. Often a ruptured aneurysm will be contained in the retroperitoneum, allowing the patient to remain hemodynamically stable for a period of time and thus providing a window of opportunity for emergent repair.

**What is the evidence that screening is effective?**
In 1988, researchers in Chichester, England, randomized > 6000 men ages 65 to 80 years to...
either a control group or a group that was offered a one-time US screen for AAA. After 15 years of follow-up, no significant difference in AAA mortality was seen between the groups, although 26% of those invited for screening declined to participate and accounted for more than half of the AAA-related deaths in the group receiving an invitation for screening.8

The MASS Trial,9 another British study, began in 1997 and screened men ages 65 to 74. More than 67,000 men were randomized, with 1 group invited for AAA screening and the other serving as a control. The final report on this trial was published in 2012. After 13 years of follow-up, there was a 42% reduction in AAA-related deaths in the group invited for screening, a small reduction in all-cause mortality, and a significant reduction in risk of AAA rupture (hazard ratio = 0.57). The researchers noted that 216 patients would have to be invited for screening to prevent 1 death over 13 years. They also reported that 21% of the invited patients that had an AAA-related death had an initial scan that was negative for AAA (aortic diameter < 3 cm). However, despite this finding, screening still appeared to be beneficial.

Lindholdt et al10 randomized > 12,000 Danish men ages 64 to 73 to serve as controls or to be invited for US screening for AAA. After 13 years of follow-up, those invited for screening had a 66% relative risk reduction in AAA-related mortality, with screening considered cost effective. There were no differences between the groups in all-cause mortality. Conversely, the Western Australia Trial studied an older group of men, ages 64 to 83, but was unable to show a benefit of screening in lowering AAA-related mortality.11

Tikagi et al6 performed a meta-analysis on the data from the 4 trials above and reported up to 15 years of follow-up. Patients who attended screening sessions had a reduction in all-cause mortality with an odds ratio (OR) of 0.6, and a marked reduction in AAA-related mortality with an OR of 0.4. The favorable data on screening have prompted the United Kingdom and Sweden to offer screening to all men ≥ 65 years, based on the current estimate of a 1% prevalence of AAA, although screening is felt to remain cost effective down to a prevalence of 0.35%.12

Massachusetts General Hospital also reported13 that the detection rate of AAA increased, with the diagnosis made at smaller aneurysm dimensions, following publication of the US Preventive Services Task Force recommendations (reviewed below).

When to screen
Several professional organizations, as well as Medicare, have published AAA screening recommendations. While there are notable differences among them, their shared message is crucial: screen. Consider adding applicable reminders to your practice’s electronic medical record system to increase screening rates for eligible patients.

US Preventive Services Task Force14

- Recommend one-time AAA screening with ultrasonography for men ages 65 to 75 years who have ever smoked (B recommendation).
- Selectively offer AAA screening to men ages 65 to 75 years who have never smoked, rather than routinely screening all men in this group (C recommendation). Individual attributes that could favor screening include a family history of AAA, the presence of other arterial aneurysms, and the number of risk factors for cardiovascular disease.
- Do not routinely screen women for AAA if they have never smoked and have no family history of AAA (D recommendation). For women ages 65 to 75 years who have ever smoked or have a family history of AAA, current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of screening for AAA (I statement). (See the related Practice Alert on page 201 of this issue.)

Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care4

- Recommend one-time screening for AAA with US for men 65 to 80 years of age (weak level of recommendation; moderate-quality evidence).
- Do not routinely screen women for AAA if they have never smoked and have no family history of AAA (D recommendation). For women ages 65 to 75 years who have ever smoked or have a family history of AAA, current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of screening for AAA (I statement). (See the related Practice Alert on page 201 of this issue.)
The preferred approach to repair

Since the introduction of endovascular aneurysm repair (EVAR) in the latter part of the 20th century, it has become the standard of care for the surgical management of aneurysmal disease. Currently, > 80% of patients with an abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) who undergo repair are treated with EVAR.21 Typically, the AAA diameter is assessed via ultrasound. If repair is indicated, a computed tomography arteriogram is obtained to define the anatomy and help determine if the AAA is amenable to endografting. The most common contraindications to EVAR are either a short proximal neck (not enough distance below the renal arteries to safely anchor the stent graft) or an iliac artery diameter that is too small to allow delivery of the device. The operation can be performed under local, regional, or general anesthesia, and patients are usually discharged on the first postoperative day. These patients require lifelong surveillance due to the risk of delayed endoleak and reperfusion of the aneurysm sac.24

**How to screen**

- **Physical exam.** The abdominal aorta is often palpable in the epigastric region, and a thorough abdominal exam should include an attempt to detect it. It is critical that the patient be supine during palpation, to allow compression of the aorta against the lumbar spine. Even with a well-performed exam, however, its sensitivity is just 76% in the detection of AAA ≥ 5 cm.16

- **Imaging.** US is the preferred imaging procedure when screening for AAA, given its high sensitivity and specificity.17 If US yields poor image quality, noncontrast CT is suggested, with magnetic resonance angiography being another alternative.18 Handheld US has the potential to supplement the physical exam, and has been shown to be a viable method to detect AAA in an outpatient primary care setting at a reasonable cost.19 Typically, a formal aortic US requires a patient to go without food or liquids for 8 hours before the procedure to obtain the best image; however, a good estimate of aortic diameter can be obtained without this restriction.

**Society for Vascular Surgery**15

- Recommend one-time US screening for AAA for men or women 65 to 75 years of age with a history of tobacco use (strong recommendation with high-quality evidence).

- Recommend one-time screening if there is a history of smoking for men or women > 75 years of age who are in good health and have not previously been screened. (Weak recommendation with low-quality evidence).

- Recommend one-time screening of men or women 65 to 75 years of age who are first-degree relatives of someone with AAA, or in those older than age 75 and in good health (weak recommendation with low-quality evidence).

**Despite Medicare coverage and recs, few people are screened**

In 2007, Medicare started the SAAVE Program (Screening Aortic Aneurysm Very Effectively), offering a one-time US screening for AAA for eligible patients, as part of the Welcome to Medicare Program. Eligible individuals are men between 65 and 75 years of age with a history of smoking at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime, and men or women in the same age group with a family history of AAA.20 Despite these recommendations, few patients receive screening. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services data show that < 10% of eligible men were screened between 2004 and 2008,20 and Olchanski et al21 report that < 1% of eligible patients were screened from 2005-2009. A simulation model estimates that 131 additional life years could be gained per 1000 patients screened if the utilization rate could be increased to 80%, a seemingly achievable goal.21 Moreover, expanding the screening program to include female smokers could increase 10-year life expectancy by 13%.21 Reasons for underutilization of this Medicare screening benefit may include lack of awareness by physicians and patients, costs of co-pays, and underutilization of the basic Welcome to Medicare exam.21

**An additional consequence** of low utilization of AAA screening is a high percent-
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