Shared decision making falls short for lung cancer screening

BY BIANCA NOGRADY
MDedge News

A small study of discussions between clinicians and patients about lung cancer screening with low-dose computed tomography has highlighted a lack of shared decision making and information about potential harms.

“Our findings are consistent with increasingly robust evidence that patients, members of the public, and clinicians tend to overestimate the benefits and underestimate the harms of medical interventions, including treatments, tests, or screening tests,” wrote Alison T. Brenner, PhD, and her colleagues at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, in a presentation of the findings in JAMA Internal Medicine.

The researchers transcribed conversations between 14 patients – who were eligible for lung cancer screening because of their age – and their primary care or pulmonary care physicians. They found that not one physician adequately explained false positives or their consequences, such as the possibility of additional imaging or biopsy.

Sleep insufficiency costs billions in lost productivity worldwide

BY JEFF CRAVEN
MDedge News

The United States loses 1.23 million working days and up to $411 billion per year because of insufficient sleep in workers, and the problem extends to a substantial economic toll and increased health-related costs in other countries worldwide, according to a cross-country comparative analysis.

“Our study shows that the effects from a lack of sleep are massive. Sleep deprivation not only influences an individual’s health and well-being but has a significant impact on a nation’s economy, with lower productivity levels and a higher mortality risk among workers,” Marco Hafner, a research leader at RAND Europe and the report’s main author, stated in a press release.

Mr. Hafner and his colleagues analyzed data from 62,366 employees from the Britain’s Healthiest Workplace competition during 2015 and 2016 to determine factors affecting lack of sleep.

The investigators found that individuals who were overweight or obese slept an average of 2.5 minutes to 7 minutes less each day, compared with people at a healthy body mass index. Smoking was identified as a factor associated with insufficient sleep, and people who smoke slept 5 fewer minutes per day, compared with those who do not smoke.
Doctors could spend less time with their EHRs under Medicare’s proposed physician fee schedule for 2019. The sweeping proposal also would improve Medicare telemedicine opportunities and update portions of the Quality Payment Program and the Medicare Shared Savings Program, according to documents posted online July 12. There would also be more opportunities to be paid for telemedicine services under the proposed rule, released by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services online and scheduled for publication July 27 in the Federal Register.

“We are streamlining the system of office E&M codes and reducing the requirements for documentation,” CMS Administrator Seema Verma.
said during a July 12 press conference.

The proposal would condense all four levels of E&M coding to one level, with one payment – there would no longer be higher payments provided for high levels.

While the change could reduce payments to specialists who generally bill only at the highest level for E&M visits, that difference should be made up in the additional time physicians should have to see patients, according to a fact sheet on the proposed physician fee schedule.

“We estimate that this proposal would save approximately 51 hours of clinic time per clinician per year,” Ms. Verma said, or an additional 500 years of time available for patient care across the system.

The proposed schedule also would expand list of services that qualify for telemedicine payments and would add payments for virtual check-ins via phone or other communication technologies such as Skype, paying clinicians for time spent reviewing patient photos submitted via text or e-mail.

More time savings could come from proposed reductions to the documentation required to qualify for bonus payments under the Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) track of the Quality Payment Program.

CMS proposes to remove 34 process measures that are considered to be low value or low priority, Ms. Verma said, noting that most physicians are doing these measures but seeing no meaningful difference in the performance that would differentiate payment under the program.

“We estimate that this proposal would save approximately 51 hours of clinic time per clinician per year.”

The proposed update continues on with the MyHealthEData initiative by supporting greater patient access to their individual health records. Ms. Verma said that the agency will “reward providers that offer interoperability and provide patients access to their health information.”

While the proposal would not change most of the thresholds for participating MIPS – physicians still would be exempted if they bill Medicare $90,000 or less annually and see 200 or fewer Medicare patients – they also would be exempted if they perform 200 or fewer services under Medicare fee schedule. However, the agency is proposing for the first time to allow physicians to opt-in to the MIPS program if they are prepared to meet the program’s requirements, according to a fact sheet on the proposed changes to QPP.

CMS also is proposing changes to how it pays for new drugs administered in the physician office under Medicare Part B. The proposal would reduce reimbursement for drugs that have not yet been on the market long enough to establish an average sales price from wholesale acquisition cost (WAC) plus 6% to WAC plus 3%, potentially saving money for both patients and Medicare.

The agency also asked for information related to price transparency as part of the proposal. It is looking for perspectives on whether providers and suppliers can and should be required to provide charge and payments information, for health care services and out-of-pocket costs, as well as what data elements would be most useful to consumers to promote price shopping.
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Sleep deficit is a global problem // continued from page 1

pared with nonsmokers. People who had more than two sugary drinks per day slept an average of 3.4 minutes less per day, compared with those who consumed less or no sugary drinks. The authors noted people who performed 120 minutes of physical activity or less per day and people with a medium to high risk of mental health problems slept an average of 2.6 minutes and 17.2 minutes less each day, respectively.

Regarding workplace-associated factors for insuffi cient sleep, the investigators found lack of choice in their work routine was associated with 2.3 minutes less sleep per day, and those who worked irregular hours slept 2.7 minutes less per day on average; people with workplace stress and unrealistic time pressures slept 8 minutes less per day on average.

Commuters slept 9.3 minutes less per day if they had a 30- to 60-minute commute to work, while those who had a commute longer than 60 minutes slept 16.5 minutes less per day than people with shorter commutes.

Mr. Hafner and his colleagues also found the following personal and sociodemographic factors were associated with insufficient sleep:

- People who had financial concerns slept 10 minutes less per day, compared with people who did not have financial concerns.
- Unpaid care was associated with an average of 5 minutes less sleep per day.
- People with dependent children under 18 years old in the same household slept an average of 4.2 minutes less daily.
- Men slept 9 minutes less per day, compared with women.
- Never being married was associated with sleeping an average of 4.8 minutes less per day; while people who were separated from their partner slept an average of 6.5 minutes less per day.

“At fi rst glance, the estimates of minutes of sleep lost due to the various factors outlined above may seem small,” the investigators wrote in their report. The loss of sleep for each factor can a few minutes. “However, it is important to stress that the estimates represent the effect on sleep duration of each single factor, holding all other factors constant.”

That lost sleep can significantly affect a person’s health, the authors noted. Sleeping less than 6 hours per night was associated with a 13% increased risk in all-cause mortality and a person sleeping between 6 hours and 7 hours per night had a 7% increased risk of all-cause mortality, compared with people who slept 9 hours or more per day.

Continued on following page
Little time spent on discussing screening trade-offs // continued from page 1

additional imaging and invasive diagnostic procedures, nor did any discuss the potential for diagnosis and treatment of cancer that would not have affected the individual during his or her lifetime (overdiagnosis).

Researchers used a 12-item scoring system for physician behaviors, with 0-4 points allocated to each item. The items included telling patients there was more than one way to deal with the identified problem, explaining the pros and cons of the available options, exploring patients’ fears and concerns, and offering the patient clear opportunities to ask questions.

Mean scores for each item ranged from 0 to 0.79. Two conversations met the baseline skill criteria – a score of two points – for one item each, two other conversations met the baseline skill criteria for two items. But for 8 of the 12 items, not one conversation achieved even a baseline skill score. The mean total visit length was 13:07 minutes, and the mean time spent discussing lung cancer screening (LCS) was 0:59 minute (range, 0:16–2:19 minutes).

“Although experts disagree on how well the existing evidence suggests an overall net benefit of LCS, consensus has emerged on the importance of shared decision making,” wrote the investigators. Current U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendations stress that lung cancer screening should not occur without a shared decision-making process, including a thorough discussion of benefits and harms.

The authors said that, while their study was small, it did raise concerns that shared decision making in practice is a long way from what is recommended by the guidelines.

“The fact that the main drivers of harms from LCS (false positives and their sequelae, as well as overdiagnosis) were not adequately explained by physicians is troubling,” they wrote. “However, these findings are consistent with other evidence that discussions between patients and physicians regarding preference-sensitive cancer screening decisions are imbalanced with respect to explaining the pros and cons.”

Based on these findings, the authors called for urgent discussions between clinical leaders, policy makers, and researchers about how to involve patients more meaningfully in discussions about lung cancer screening.

“Until more is known, we believe that guideline and policy makers should not assume that recommending SDM [shared decision making] for cancer-screening decisions with a ‘tenuous balance of benefits and harms,’ like LCS, will protect patients who would value avoiding screening harms.”

The study was supported by the North Carolina Translational and Clinical Sciences Institute and the National Cancer Institute. No conflicts of interest were declared.


Percentage of adults averaging less than 7 hours of sleep

The results of this first real-world study of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendations on lung cancer screening — which comes 4 years after the recommendations were made— are disappointing. Even the highest-scoring conversations made no mention of possible harms, such as a 98% false-positive rate, additional testing, and the small increased cancer risk from radiation.

Despite the small sample size, there is no reason to suspect these conversations are atypical. It may be that limited time, lack of education about shared decision making, and a lack of emphasis on the importance of discussing the potential harms and benefits of cancer screening play a role in the lack of shared decision making.

Rita F. Redberg, MD, is from the department of medicine in the division of cardiology at the University of California, San Francisco, and the editor of JAMA Internal Medicine. These comments are taken from an accompanying editorial (JAMA Int Med. 2018 Aug 13. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.3527). Dr. Redberg chaired the April 2014 Medicare Evidence Development & Coverage Advisory Committee meeting on lung cancer screening.
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Next-gen sputum PCR panel boosts CAP diagnostics

BY BRUCE JANCIN
MDEdge News

NEW ORLEANS – A next-generation lower respiratory tract sputum polymerase chain reaction (PCR) film array panel identified etiologic pathogens in 100% of a group of patients hospitalized for community-acquired pneumonia, Kathryn Hendrickson, MD, reported at the annual meeting of the American College of Physicians.

The investigational new diagnostic assay, the BioFire Pneumonia Panel, is now under Food and Drug Administration review for marketing clearance. It offers great potential for targeted therapy along with reduced overuse of antibiotics in patients with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), observed Dr. Hendrickson, an internal medicine resident at Providence Portland Medical Center. The new product is designed to complement the currently available respiratory panels from BioFire.

“Rapid-detection results in less empiric antibiotic use in hospitalized patients. When it’s FDA-approved, this investigational sputum PCR panel will simplify the diagnostic bundle while improving antibiotic stewardship,” she observed.

She presented a prospective study of 63 patients with CAP hospitalized at the medical center, all of whom were evaluated by two laboratory methods: the hospital’s standard bundle of diagnostic tests and the new BioFire film array panel. The purpose was to determine if there was a difference between the two tests in the detection rate of viral and/or bacterial pathogens as well as the clinical significance of any such differences; that is, was there an impact on days of treatment and length of hospital stay?

Traditional diagnostic methods detect an etiologic pathogen in at best half of hospitalized CAP patients, and the results take too much time. So Providence Portland Medical Center adopted as its standard diagnostic bundle a nasopharyngeal swab and a BioFire film array PCR that’s currently on the market and can detect nine viruses and three bacteria, along with urine antigens for Legionella sp. and Streptococcus pneumoniae, nucleic acid amplification testing for S. pneumoniae and Staphylococcus aureus, and blood and sputum cultures. In contrast, the investigational panel probes for 17 viruses, 18 bacterial pathogens, and seven antibiotic-resistant genes; it also measures procalcitonin levels in order to distinguish between bacterial colonization and invasion.

The new BioFire Pneumonia Panel detected a mean of 1.4 species of pathogenic bacteria in 79% of patients, while the standard diagnostic bundle detected 0.7 species in 59% of patients. The investigational panel identified a mean of 1.0 species of viral pathogens in 86% of the CAP patients; the standard bundle detected a mean of 0.6 species in 56%.

All told, any CAP pathogen was detected in 100% of patients using the new panel, with a mean of 2.5 different pathogens identified. The standard bundle detected any pathogen in 84% of patients, with half as many different pathogens found, according to Dr. Hendrickson.

A peak procalcitonin level of 0.25 ng/mL or less, which was defined as bacterial colonization, was associated with a mean 7 days of treatment, while a level above that threshold was associated with 11.3 days of treatment. Patients with a peak procalcitonin of 0.25 ng/mL or less had an average hospital length of stay of 5.9 days, versus 7.8 days for those with a higher procalcitonin indicative of bacterial invasion.

The new biofilm assay reports information about the abundance of 15 of the 18 bacterial targets in the sample, the investigators didn’t find this bacterial quantitation feature to be substantially useful in distinguishing bacterial colonization from invasion.

FDA approves first EpiPen and EpiPen Jr. generic

BY CHRISTOPHER PALMER
MDEdge News

The Food and Drug Administration has approved the first generic EpiPen and EpiPen Jr. autoinjector for the emergency treatment of allergic reactions, including anaphylaxis, for adults and children weighing more than 33 pounds, according to an announcement from the agency.

“Today’s approval of the first generic version of the most widely prescribed epinephrine autoinjector in the U.S. is part of our longstanding commitment to advance access to lower cost, safe, and effective generic alternatives once patents and other exclusivities no longer prevent approval,” FDA commissioner Scott Gottlieb, MD, said in the release.

Manufactured by Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, the two strengths of the generic versions are 0.3 mg and 0.15 mg.

The FDA has previously approved other epinephrine autoinjectors, which include brand-name products and so-called “authorized generic” versions of Epi-Pen and Adrenaclick.

An authorized generic “is made under the brand name’s existing drug application using the same formulation, process, and manufacturing facilities that are used by the brand name manufacturer. The labeling or packaging is, however, changed to remove the brand name or other trade dress. In some cases, a company may choose to sell an authorized generic at a lower cost than the brand-name drug product,” according to the FDA statement.

“Complex” generics – those that, as with this generic, include both a drug and a delivery device – face a tougher path to approval because the FDA has to evaluate and approve both components.

“We remain committed to doing our part to provide scientific and regulatory clarity for sponsors seeking to develop complex generics, as well as prioritize the approval of medicines with little or no generic competition, as part of our overarching effort to remove barriers to generic development and market entry of critically important medicines,” Dr. Gottlieb explained. “This approval means patients living with severe allergies who require constant access to life-saving epinephrine should have a lower-cost option, as well as another approved product to help protect against potential drug shortages.”

Side effects of epinephrine autoinjectors include anxiety, restlessness, palpitations, nausea, and weakness; rarely, serious skin and soft-tissue infections after use of epinephrine autoinjectors have been reported.

Dr. Hendrickson reported no conflicts regarding the study, which was supported by BioFire Diagnostics.
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Dupilumab succeeds in reducing asthma exacerbations

BY MICHELE G. SULLIVAN
MDedge News

Among patients with moderate to severe asthma, dupilumab reduced exacerbations by almost 50%, while also allowing glucocorticoid-treated patients to cut their use of that medication by 70%, with no increased risk of exacerbation.

The pair of placebo-controlled studies—Liberty Asthma Quest and Liberty Asthma Venture—also showed treatment-associated stability in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) evidence of lung remodeling among those who took the antibody, Mario Castro, MD, of Washington University, St. Louis, and his colleagues reported in the New England Journal of Medicine.

By week 12, FEV₁ had already increased by 0.32 L, they said. “An analysis of the postbronchodilator FEV₁ slope showed a loss of lung function in patients who received placebo and no loss in those who received dupilumab, findings that suggest a potential effect of dupilumab on airway remodeling,” wrote Dr. Castro and his colleagues. “The slope analysis showed that patients who received placebo lost, on average, approximately 40 mL annually, which is consistent with data from other cohorts of patients with asthma.”

Dupilumab is an anti– interleukin-4 alpha antibody that blocks both IL-4 and IL-13. The Quest trial examined efficacy and safety of two doses (200 mg and 300 mg every 2 weeks), compared with placebo in patients with uncontrolled asthma. Venture examined efficacy and safety of 300 mg or placebo as add-on therapy for patients with severe asthma who were taking glucocorticoids.

Liberty Asthma Quest

This 52-week study randomized 1,902 patients with severe exacerbations and the change in FEV₁ by week 12. The study also looked at these endpoints in patients whose baseline eosinophil count was greater than 300 per cubic millimeter. Patients were a mean of 48 years old with a mean baseline FEV₁ of about 1.75 L (about 58% of the predicted normal value). They had a mean of two exacerbations per year and an average eosinophil count of about 350 per cubic millimeter.

Both doses outperformed placebo in all endpoints. Among those taking 200 mg, the annual relapse rate was 0.46 versus 0.87 among those taking placebo—a significant 47.7% risk reduction. Among those taking 300 mg, the exacerbation rate was 0.52 versus 0.97; this translated to a significant 46% risk reduction.

The response rate was even greater among those with an eosinophil count greater than 300 per cubic millimeter: 0.37 for 200 mg and 0.40 for 300 mg versus the placebo rates of 1.08 and 1.24. This translated to risk reductions of 65.8% and 67.4%, respectively.

By week 12, FEV₁ had significantly increased by 0.32 L in the 200-mg group and by 0.34 L in the 300-mg group, compared with nonsignificant increases among those taking placebo.

Liberty Asthma Venture

In this study, the effect of dupilumab on glucocorticoid use among 210 patients with severe asthma was examined. Patients were randomized to add-on dupilumab 300 mg every 2 weeks for 24 weeks. Glucocorticoids were tapered downward from weeks 4 to 20. The primary endpoints were percent reduction in glucocorticoid dose at week 24, and the percentage of patients who experienced a reduction of at least 50% in glucocorticoid dose.

Oral glucocorticoid use decreased by a mean of 70.1% in the active group, compared with 41.9% in the placebo group, a statistically significant difference, Klaus F. Rabe, MD, of Christian Albrechts University, Kiel, Germany, and his coauthors wrote in the New England Journal of Medicine. The median change was even better: a 100% reduction in the active group and 50% reduction in the placebo group.

Oral glucocorticoid dose was less than 5 mg/day in 69% of the dupilumab group, compared with 33% of the placebo group.

Like Quest, Venture showed a treatment advantage among patients with high baseline eosinophil count. “The magnitude of the effect was largest in patients with a higher eosinophil count at baseline,” the investigators wrote. “… The odds ratios [a 50% glucocorticoid reduction] for dupilumab versus placebo were 6.59 among patients with 300 or more cells per cubic millimeter at baseline and 2.91 among those with less than 300 cells per cubic millimeter at baseline.”

In a fully adjusted model at week 24, 48% of the patients in the dupilumab group were able to stop oral glucocorticoids entirely, compared with 25% of the placebo group.

Dupilumab was also associated with a significant 59% reduction in severe annual asthma exacerbations, FEV₁ among the active group was 0.22 L better than that in the placebo group at week 24.

Both trials were funded by Sanofi and Regeneron. Dr. Castro has received grant support from Sanofi. Dr. Rabe has received consulting and lecture fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, Sanofi, and Teva Pharmaceutical Industries.

Ivacaftor approved for patients aged 1-2 years

BY CHRISTOPHER PALMER
MDedge News

The Food and Drug Administration has approved Kalydeco (ivacaftor) for the treatment of patients aged 12 to less than 24 months who have cystic fibrosis that is caused by any of 10 mutations in the CFTR gene and is responsive to the drug, the drug’s developer announced.

The drug was approved for patients aged 6 years and older in 2012 and in patients aged 2-5 years in 2013 and is the only approved drug that treats the underlying cause of cystic fibrosis rather than its symptoms.

The approval is based on the ongoing phase 3, open-label ARRIVAL trial (NCT02725567), which is assessing the drug’s safety in children aged 12 months to less than 24 months. The trial’s investigators have found that its safety profile in this age group is consistent with that seen in older children and adults. Most adverse events were mild to moderate; the most common (occurring in more than 30% of patients) were cough, pyrexia, elevated aspartate aminotransferase, elevated alanine aminotransferase, and runny nose. The trial found that, after 24 weeks of treatment, the mean sweat chloride levels decreased from 104.1 mmol/L (n = 14) to 33.8 mmol/L (n = 14).

Ivacaftor is contraindicated in patients taking certain antibiotics, seizure medications, or other medications; risk of drug interaction – affecting either the performance of ivacaftor or that of the other medication – is also a concern. Patients should inform their doctors if they are pregnant, planning to become pregnant, or breastfeeding; have liver or kidney problems; or drink grapefruit juice or eat grapefruit or Seville oranges. There is also a risk of high liver enzymes or cataracts. Ivacaftor is available in 150-mg tablets for adults and pediatric patients aged 6 years and older and in 50-mg and 75-mg granules for younger patients. Full prescribing information can be found on the FDA website.

Lumacaftor/ivacaftor indication for younger children

The FDA has expanded the indication for Orkambi (lumacaftor/ivacaftor) to include patients who are aged as young as 2 years with cystic fibrosis (CF), according to its manufacturer, Vertex Pharmaceuticals. Specifically, the drug is meant to treat the most common underlying cause of CF – having two copies of the F508del-CFTR mutation – and is the first drug to treat it.

The approval is based on a phase 3, two-part, open-label, multicenter study that assessed various doses in patients aged 2-5 years. The study demonstrated safety and tolerability in that age group equivalent to that seen in older patients. The drug is expected to be available for this age group within 2-4 weeks of this approval.

Available as oral granules in two doses for weight-based dosing (either lumacaftor 100 mg/ivacaftor 125 mg or lumacaftor 150 mg/ivacaftor 188 mg), the compound targets the defective chloride channels responsible for CF; the two halves work together to increase the number of chloride channels on cell surfaces and also improve their function.

Orkambi should be prescribed only for patients with CF who have the dual F508del-CFTR mutation; it is not indicated for other types of CF. Patients should not take this drug if they are taking drugs such as rifampin, phenytoin, triazolam, or cyclosporine because of possible drug interactions. It can also lead to worsening liver function and elevated blood liver enzymes, increased blood pressure, or cataracts. The most common side effects include breathing problems, nausea, fatigue, and rash.

cpalmer@mdedge.com
SAN DIEGO — For people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease at high risk of exacerbation, the addition of low-dose theophylline to inhaled corticosteroids conferred no overall clinical benefit, results from a large trial funded by the UK found.

“Globally, theophylline was used for decades as a bronchodilator,” one of the study authors, David B. Price, MB BChir, said at an international conference of the American Thoracic Society. “The problem is theophylline has a narrow therapeutic index, it requires some blood monitoring, and it has been replaced by more effective inhaled bronchodilators. However, there has been a lot of discussion about whether low-dose theophylline has anti-inflammatory effects on its own and whether it increases sensitivity to inhaled steroids in COPD.”

According to the 2018 Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) guidelines, there is “limited and contradictory evidence regarding the effect of low-dose theophylline on exacerbation rates,” and its clinical relevance has “not yet been fully established.” Dr. Price, a professor of primary care respiratory medicine at the University of Aberdeen (Scotland), and his associates hypothesized that the addition of low-dose theophylline to inhaled steroid therapy in COPD would reduce the risk of moderate to severe COPD exacerbations after 1 year. Theophylline dose (200 mg once/twice a day) was determined by ideal body weight and smoking status. Primary outcome was the number of participant-reported exacerbations in the 1-year treatment period treated with antibiotics and/or oral corticosteroids in the previous year were recruited in 121 U.K. primary and secondary care sites from January 2014 through August 2016. They were randomized to receive low-dose theophylline or placebo for 1 year.

Dr. David B. Price said, “If it worked, it would be wonderful; it would save the National Health Service a fortune.”
More frequent imaging didn’t improve 5-year survival in patients with resected non–small cell lung carcinoma, even after researchers controlled for tumor histology and recurrence.

Compared with those followed every 3 months, the hazard ratio for 6-month follow-up with CT scanning was 1.16, and 1.06 for annual follow-up – a nonsignificant difference. Nor did more frequent imaging improve survival among the subgroup of patients who were cancer free 9 months after their surgery or among those who had recurrences, Timothy L. McMurry, PhD, and his colleagues reported in the Annals of Surgery. The paper was presented at the annual meeting of the American Surgical Association.

The results probably reflect the very poor survival rates of any patients who develop recurrent non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), wrote Dr. McMurry, a biostatistician at the University of Virginia, Charlottesville, and his coauthors. “Surveillance recommendations need to be considered in the context of potential harms and benefits to patients and their caregivers,” they said. “Follow-up imaging and office visits increase cost and can lead to patient anxiety. Although it seems intuitive that earlier detection of asymptomatic recurrence could improve outcomes, patients with recurrent NSCLC do very poorly … poor survival after recurrence helps explain why more intense surveillance after surgical resection was not associated with improvement in overall survival.”

However, they noted, treatment advances for recurrent and metastatic disease may already be changing the outlook for these patients, “systemic therapy and targeted agents are demonstrating clinically significant survival benefits for small patient subgroups, which, in the future, may augment the benefits of early recurrence detection.”

The team undertook this retrospective study – the largest of its kind in NSCLC patients – in light of current follow-up recommendations that are based almost solely on expert consensus, with low-level data.

“Because there is a paucity of high-quality data on NSCLC surveillance, practice guidelines are based on small retrospective analyses and expert opinion. This results in wide variation in practice including both underuse and overuse of surveillance services.”

The study plumbed the National Cancer Database, extracting information on patients who underwent surgery for NSCLC stages I-III during 2006-2007. All had complete resection and negative margins. Patients were followed through 2012, or until they had a recurrence, a new primary cancer, or they died.

The cohort comprised 4,463 who were followed with CT imaging: 1,614 every 3 months, 1,999 every 6 months, and 850 annually. These intervals correspond to the three different major recommendations. The most common procedure was a lobectomy (about 80%). Patients with higher-stage cancers were significantly more likely to receive more frequent imaging. The regression model controlled for age, sex, comorbidities, tumor stage, and surgical procedure.

After 14 months, 3,552 patients (79.5%) were alive and cancer free. However, during the rest of the follow-up period, 11% developed a new primary cancer and 24% a recurrence of their lung cancer, with no between-group differences. The regression analysis showed no significant difference in recurrence related to surveillance interval, whether 6 months was compared with 3 months (hazard ratio, 1.16) or 1 year with 3 months (HR, 1.06).

Results were much the same for the subgroup of 3,165 who were alive and cancer free 9 months after surgery. In this group, 11% developed a new primary cancer and 29% a recurrence of their lung cancer, with similar numbers in each of the surveillance groups (HR, 1.12 for 6 months vs. 3 months).

Finally, a model including only those who had recurrence, new cancers, or were lost to follow-up within 14 months of surgery also showed no benefit for more frequent surveillance.

“More recent prerecurrence imaging was not associated with postrecurrence survival (HR, 1.02 per month since imaging), and patients who had gone more than 14 months without imaging were at no greater risk of death (HR, 1.01),” the investigators wrote. However, the data show that “at least annual CT surveillance is appropriate but that there is no benefit to more than biannual surveillance.”

The authors reported no financial conflicts.


The authors reported no financial conflicts.

Nicotine preloading linked to reduced varenicline usage

BY LUCAS FRANKI
MDedge News

Nicotine preloading with patches 4 weeks before making a quit attempt was not significantly associated with smoking abstinence, mainly because of a decline in varenicline use, according to Paul Aveyard, PhD, and his associates at Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford (England).

The primary study outcome, biochemically validated abstinence at 6 months, was achieved by 17.5% of the 899 people who preloaded with a 21-mg/24-hr nicotine patch for 4 weeks and by 14.4% of the 893 in the control group. After 1 year, 14.0% of people in the preloading group maintained long-term abstinence, compared with 11.3% in the control group.

In addition, 35.5% of the preloading group and 32.3% of the control group achieved abstinence 4 weeks from baseline.

The unadjusted odds ratio for the effect of preloading at 6 months was 1.25 (95% confidence interval, 0.97-1.62; \(P = .08\)) and not statistically significant. However, when reduced varenicline usage in the preloading group was taken into account, the effect of preloading did reach statistical significance (OR, 1.34; 95% CI, 1.03-1.73; \(P = .03\)). Similar results were found at 1 year and at 4 weeks, where the preloading effect did not reach significance until adjusted for varenicline usage.

"Nicotine preloading with a 21-mg/24-hr nicotine patch for 4 weeks seems to be efficacious, safe, and well tolerated, but probably deters the use of varenicline, the most effective smoking cessation drug," the investigators concluded.

Better adherence, shorter course with rifampin for TB

BY ANDREW D. BOWSER
MDedge News

Four months of rifampin is effective in prevention of active tuberculosis, with significantly higher adherence rates versus 9 months of isoniazid in adults and children, a pair of recent studies suggest.

In one randomized, open-label trial that included adults with latent *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection, the 4-month rifampin regimen was not inferior to the 9-month isoniazid regimen in preventing active tuberculosis, had better safety, and had a rate of treatment completion 15.1 percentage points higher than the comparator.

“This trial adds to the mounting evidence of benefits of rifamycin-containing regimens of 3 or 4 months’ duration,” investigators reported in the New England Journal of Medicine.

Similarly, in an open-label study in children with latent *M. tuberculosis* infection, the shorter rifampin regimen had comparable efficacy and safety, according to investigators, along with a rate of treatment completion 13.4 percentage points higher than the longer isoniazid regimen.

“Rifampin has the advantage of being a single-drug regimen with existing palatable formulations for children,” reported authors of this companion study, also published in the journal.

**Treatment challenges**

Treating latent tuberculosis infection is central to the World Health Organization End TB Strategy and other tuberculosis elimination plans. An estimated 1.7 billion individuals, or about one-quarter of the global population, harbor latent tuberculosis infection, according to one recent estimate.

The WHO recommends treatment of latent tuberculosis infection, as well as for children under 5 years of age who are household contacts of individuals with tuberculosis. The recommended treatment is 6 or 9 months of isoniazid, with the longer duration being associated with better efficacy, previous studies have shown.

However, isoniazid treatment has been associated with low rates of regimen completion because of the hepatotoxic effects, according to authors of the current studies comparing isoniazid to rifampin.

The 4-month daily rifampin regimen has been associated with superior treatment adherence rates...
and fewer hepatotoxic effects, compared with the 9-month isoniazid regimen in previous observational studies. Moreover, an earlier randomized trial including 679 men in Hong Kong demonstrated that 3 months of rifampin was superior to placebo and comparable to 6 months of isoniazid as tuberculosis prophylaxis.

**Rifampin: Latest data**
The adult trial just published in the New England Journal of Medicine demonstrates the efficacy and real-world effectiveness of the 4-month rifampin regimen versus the 9-month isoniazid regimen for prevention of active tuberculosis, according to lead
The 4-month rifampin regimen is a "fundamental game-changer in TB prevention" based on its comparable efficacy in adults, along with improved safety and acceptability, Dr. Menzies said in a recent press release.

Dr. Menzies and his colleagues reported on 6,063 adults (aged 18 years or older) randomized to the 4-month rifampin or 9-month isoniazid regimen at trial sites in Australia, Benin, Brazil, Canada, Ghana, Guinea, Indonesia, Saudi Arabia, and South Korea.

Treatment was completed by 78.8% of individuals in the rifampin arm, compared with 63.2% of patients in the isoniazid arm, for a difference of 15.1 percentage points (95% confidence interval, 12.7-17.4; P less than .001), the researchers reported.

Rifampin was not inferior to isoniazid in preventing tuberculosis, according to the report. In the per-protocol analysis, there were a total of five confirmed or clinically diagnosed cases of active tuberculosis in each of the trial arms. All active cases were treated successfully, including two cases that had demonstrated drug resistance, investigators added.

The rifampin group had consistently lower rates of grade 3–grade 5 adverse events, particularly hepato-
totoxic events, versus the isoniazid group, according to analyses outlined in the report.

“We believe this 4-month rifampin treatment should replace the 9 months on isoniazid for most people who need therapy for latent tuberculosis,” said Dr. Menzies, a respirologist with the Montreal Chest Institute and a professor of medicine, epidemiology and biostatistics at McGill University, also in Montreal.

**Experience in children**

In the related study, reported by lead author Thierno Diallo, MD, of Hôpital National Ignace Deen, in Conakry, Guinea, along with Dr. Menzies, and their coauthors, 829 children were randomized to 4 months of rifampin or 9 months of isoniazid.

The study population included 79 children under 2 years, the age group that has the highest risk of life-threatening TB, Dr. Diallo and his colleagues wrote in their report.

Treatment was completed in 86.5% of all children randomized to rifampin, compared with 77.1% in the isoniazid arm (difference of 13.6 percentage points; 95% confidence interval, 7.9-19.3; P less than .001), according to the investigators.

Two active tuberculosis cases were diagnosed in the isoniazid group over 542 person-years of follow-up, versus no cases in the rifampin group over a similar follow-up period.

“Although the only cases of active tuberculosis were diagnosed in the isoniazid group, we cannot conclude that 4 months of rifampin was either superior or noninferior to 9 months of isoniazid for the prevention of active tuberculosis,” the authors wrote.

“However, since there were no cases of active tuberculosis in the rifampin group in our trial or among 434 children who received 3 months of once-weekly isoniazid plus rifapentine in another trial, we suggest that these shorter rifamycin containing regimens are effective,” they added.

In contrast to the adult trial, safety profiles in this study were similar for rifampin and isoniazid, investigators said.

The lack of difference is side effects was possibly because of the differences in the pharmacokinetic activity of rifampin in younger patients, a topic that deserves further study, they concluded.

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to the studies were reported by Dr. Menzies, Dr. Diallo, or their coauthors.

Both studies were supported by grants from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. The adult study was supported in part by a grant from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council, while the companion study in children was supported in part by a grant from the Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa in Brazil.

MALMO, SWEDEN – Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus gets the blame in the Americas as the main cause of a great wave of community-acquired severe invasive staphylococcal infections in children and adolescents during the past nearly 2 decades, but many European pediatric infectious disease specialists believe that Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL), a frequent co- traveler with MRSA, is the true bad actor.

“The American literature focused first on MRSA, but we’ve seen very similar, very severe cases with MSSA [methicillin-susceptible S. aureus] PVL-positive and MRSA PVL-positive infections,” Pablo Rojo, MD, PhD, said at the annual meeting of the European Society for Paediatric Infectious Diseases.

“It is only because at the beginning there were so many MRSA cases in the States that they thought that was the driver of the disease. It is still unclear. There is still a discussion. But we’ve done extensive pioneering work on severe S. aureus pneumonia, while MRSA osteomyelitis is associated with a greater than 50% mortality rate, the Lyon group cites both S. aureus and PVL-positive infection involving deep vein thrombosis, and invasive S. aureus infection plus shock.

• Severe S. aureus pneumonia. Investigators at Claude Bernard University in Lyon, France, have done extensive pioneering work on severe PVL-positive S. aureus invasive infections in children. In an early paper, they highlighted the characteristics that distinguish severe PVL-positive pneumonia: It typically occurs in previously healthy children and adolescents without underlying comorbid conditions, and it is often preceded by a influenza-like syndrome followed by an acute severe pneumonia with hemoptysis. Mortality was very high in this early series, with nearly half of the patients being dead within the first several days after admission (Lancet. 2002 Mar 2;359[9308]:753-9).

• Severe osteomyelitis. Investigators at Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, were among the first to observe that osteomyelitis caused by PVL-positive strains of S. aureus are associated with more severe local disease, with multiple affected areas, bigger abscesses, a greater systemic inflammatory response, and more surgeries required compared with osteomyelitis caused by PVL-negative S. aureus (Pediatrics. 2006 Feb;117[2]:433-40).

• Osteomyelitis with deep vein thrombosis. When a child hospitalized for acute hematogenous osteomyelitis due to S. aureus develops difficulty breathing, that’s a red flag for a severe PVL-positive infection involving deep vein thrombosis. Indeed, investigators at the Leeds (England) General Infirmary have reported that deep vein thrombosis in the setting of S. aureus osteomyelitis is associated with a greater than eightfold increased likelihood of a PVL-positive infection (Br J Hosp Med [Lond]. 2015 Jan;76[1]:18-24). Also, patients with PVL-positive osteomyelitis and deep vein thrombosis are prone to formation of septic emboli.

• Osteomyelitis with septic shock. The Lyon group compared outcomes in 14 pediatric patients with PVL-positive S. aureus osteomyelitis and a control group of 17 patients with PVL-negative disease. All 14 PVL-positive patients had severe sepsis and 6 of them had septic shock. In contrast, none of the controls did. Median duration of hospitalization was 46 days in the PVL-positive group, compared with 13 days in controls (Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2007 Nov;26[11]:1042-8).

Treatment

No randomized trials exist to guide treatment, but Dr. Rojo recommends the protocol utilized by the Lyon group: a bactericidal antibiotic – vancomycin or a beta-lactam – to take on the S. aureus, coupled with a ribosomally active antibiotic – clindamycin or linezolid – to suppress the PVL toxin’s virulence expression. The French group cites both in vitro and in vivo evidence that clindamycin and linezolid in their standard dosing have such an antitoxin effect (Clin Microbiol Rev. 2017 Oct;30[4]:887-917).

In addition, Dr. Rojo recommends utilizing any of the commercially available intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) products on the basis of work by investigators at Vanderbilt University in Nashville, Tenn., who have demonstrated that these products contain functional neutralizing antibodies against S. aureus leukocidins. This observation provides a likely explanation for anecdotal reports of improved outcomes in IVIG-treated patients with toxin-associated staphylococcal disease (Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017 Oct 24;61[11]. pii: e00968-17).

He reported having no financial conflicts.
This advertisement is not available for the digital edition.
A bundle of blood cultures, broad-spectrum antibiotics, and intravenous fluid replacement reduces risk of in-hospital mortality among children with sepsis if all three forms of management are initiated within an hour, according to a cohort study published in JAMA.

When provided within 1 hour, none of individual components of the bundles were associated with a significant reduction of risk-adjusted, in-hospital mortality by themselves.

Although published guidelines already recommend prompt initiation of these three elements of care, a mandate created in New York in 2013 called for these interventions to be initiated in children within 1 hour of sepsis recognition. The newly published cohort study shows a mortality benefit when this is done.

In the study, which evaluated the impact of the bundle as well as each of the components in 1,179 pediatric patients with sepsis treated at 54 hospitals, the risk-adjusted odds ratio of in-hospital mortality was 0.59 (\(P = .02\)) among patients receiving the mandated protocol, compared with those who did not.

When provided within 1 hour, none of individual components of the bundles were associated with a significant reduction of risk-adjusted, in-hospital mortality by themselves. However, there were trends for benefit with blood cultures (OR, 0.73; \(P = .1\)) and broad-spectrum antibiotics (OR, 0.78; \(P = .18\)). There was no trend for administration of intravenous fluids (OR, 0.88; \(P = .56\)), for which the mandate

### VIEW ON THE NEWS

**Sepsis bundle completion may not be only reason for better outcomes**

The data published by Evans et al. support a protocol approach to sepsis management in children as well as prompt delivery of the components outlined in the New York state mandate, according to an accompanying editorial written by Robert J. Vinci, MD, of Boston Medical Center, and Elliot Melendez, MD, of Johns Hopkins All Children’s Hospital, St. Petersburg, Fla. However, it cannot be determined from this study whether it is prompt delivery of these three mandated components or a more rigorous approach to pediatric sepsis management that deserves the most credit for the mortality benefit.

“Organizations that undertake quality improvement initiatives may have systems of care that promote the bundle completion, which then leads to improved outcomes,” they wrote. As a result, bundle completion may be a marker of expertise in managing critically ill children. They agreed that the data support the tested protocol, but they questioned whether this is sufficient.

“Organizations should be cautious about merely adopting a bundle of care without ensuring they have a universal culture of safety and quality that is adopted and supported from front-line clinical caregivers to organizational leaders and administrators,” they stated.

Dr. Vinci and Dr. Melendez had no disclosures to report.

---

**three things to know about CHEST Guidelines**

1. CHEST guidelines are always evidence-based and developed from a systematic review of the literature.
2. Since 2015, 23 CHEST guidelines covering 5 clinical topic areas have been published.
3. Our guidelines have been cited more than 900 times during the past 3 1/2 years.
This advertisement is not available for the digital edition.
CRITICAL CARE

Better ICU staff communication with family may improve end-of-life decision making

BY MICHELE G. SULLIVAN
MDedge News

A nurse-led support intervention for the families of critically ill patients did little to ease families’ psychological symptoms, but it did improve their perception of staff communication and family-centered care in the intensive care unit.

The length of ICU stay was also significantly shorter and the in-unit death rate higher among patients whose families received the intervention — a finding that suggests difficult end-of-life choices may have been eased, reported Douglas B. White, MD, and his colleagues (N Engl J Med. 2018;378:2365-75).

“The intervention resulted in significant improvements in markers of the quality of decision making, including the patient- and family-centeredness of care and the quality of clinician-family communication. Taken together, these findings suggest that the intervention allowed surrogates to transition a patient’s treatment to comfort-focused care when doing so aligned with the patient’s values,” wrote Dr. White of the University of Pittsburgh. “A previous study that was conducted in the context of advanced illness suggested that treatment that accords with the patient’s preferences may lead to shorter survival among those who prioritize comfort over longevity.”

The trial randomized 1,420 patients and their family surrogates in five ICUs to usual care, or to the multicomponent family-support intervention. The primary outcome was change in the surrogates’ scores on the Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS) at 6 months. The secondary outcomes were changes in Impact of Event Scale (IES; a measure of posttraumatic stress) the Quality of Communication (QOC) scale, quality of clinician-family communication measured by the Patient Perception of Centeredness (PPPC) scale and the mean length of ICU stay.

The intervention was delivered by nurses who received special training on communication and other skills needed to support the families of critically ill patients. Nurses met with families every day and arranged regular meetings with ICU clinicians. A quality improvement specialist incorporated the family support into daily work flow.

In a fully adjusted model, there was no significant between-group difference in the 6-month HADS scores (11.7 vs. 12 points). Likewise, there was no significant difference between the groups in the mean IES score at 6 months.

Family members in the active group did rate the quality of clinician-family communication as significantly better, and they also gave significantly higher ratings to the quality of patient- and family-centered care during the ICU stay. The shorter length of stay was reflected in the time to death among patients who died during the stay (4.4 days in the intervention group vs. 6.8 days in the control group), although there was no significant difference in length of stay among patients who survived to discharge. Significantly more patients in the intervention group died in the ICU as well (36% vs. 28.5%); however, there was no significant difference in 6-month mortality (60.4% vs. 55.4%).

The study was supported by an Innovation Award from the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center Health System and by the Greenwell Foundation. Dr. White reported having no financial disclosures.


continued from page 28

specified 20 mL/kg.

Although 46.5% of patients received intravenous fluids, 62.8% received broad-spectrum antibiotics, and blood cultures were obtained in 67.7% of the children within 1 hour, only 24.9% were managed with the entire sepsis bundle. Across hospitals, the proportion of children completing the bundle ranged from 7.3% to 46.1%.

Bundle completion was more common in hospitals already treating a relatively high volume of pediatric patients and in those with pediatric specialty services, but the study authors noted that this was not a linear relationship. Rather, they called this association “hypothesis generating” and speculated that other factors might also be important.

The children in this cohort ranged in age from under 1 month to 17 years. Slightly more than half were aged 6 years or older and nearly one-third were older than 12 years. Nearly 45% had no comorbidities. Slightly more than one-third had a malignancy or were immunosuppressed.

None of the study authors reported any relevant financial relationships with industry.


VIEW ON THE NEWS

Glimpsing a path forward

Although the results by White and colleagues “cannot be interpreted as clinically directive,” the study offers a glimpse of the path forward in improving the experience of families with critically ill loved ones, Daniela Lamas, MD, wrote in an accompanying editorial (N Engl J Med. 2018;378:2431-2).

The study didn’t meet its primary endpoint of reducing surrogates’ psychological symptoms at 6 months, but it did lead to an improved ICU experience, with better clinician communication. There was another finding that deserves a close look: In the intervention group, ICU length of stay was shorter and in-hospital mortality greater, although mortality among those who survived to discharge was similar at 6 months.

These findings suggest that the intervention did not lead to the premature death of patients who would have otherwise done well, but rather was associated with a shorter dying process for those who faced a dismal prognosis, according to Dr. Lamas.

“As we increasingly look beyond mortality as the primary outcome that matters, seeking to maximize quality of life and minimize suffering, this work represents an ‘end of the beginning’ by suggesting the next steps in moving closer to achieving these goals.”

Dr. Lamas is a pulmonary and critical care doctor at Brigham & Women’s Hospital and on the faculty at Harvard Medical School, Boston.
This advertisement is not available for the digital edition.
Some PE patients don’t require hospitalization

BY JENNIFER SMITH
MDedge News

FROM THE JOURNAL CHEST® • A new study suggests that certain patients with acute pulmonary embolism (PE) may be better off receiving outpatient treatment.

Researchers tested outpatient anticoagulant therapy in 200 patients with PE with a low mortality risk. At 90 days of follow-up, there were no deaths or recurrences of venous thromboembolism (VTE), but one patient experienced major bleeding after a traumatic injury. A majority of patients said they were satisfied with outpatient care.

Joseph R. Bledsoe, MD, of Intermountain Medical Center in Salt Lake City, and his colleagues reported these results in CHEST®.

The researchers tracked patients who were treated for acute PE in five Intermountain Healthcare emergency departments from 2013 to 2016. The patients had to have a low mortality risk according to the Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index (score less than 86), echocardiography (no signs of right heart strain), and whole-leg compression ultrasound. Patients could not have deep vein thrombosis proximal to the popliteal vein, hypoxia, hypotension, hepatic failure, or renal failure. They had to be eligible for therapeutic anticoagulation and could not have any condition requiring hospitalization.

With these criteria, the researchers selected 200 patients. They were observed in the ED or hospital for 12-24 hours and then discharged with anticoagulant therapy. Patients received rivaroxaban (n = 149), enoxaparin transitioned to warfarin (n = 26), apixaban (n = 24), or enoxaparin alone (n = 1).

Results
The study’s primary outcome was the 90-day composite rate of all-cause mortality, recurrent symptomatic VTE, and major bleeding. There were no deaths and no cases of recurrent VTE, but one patient did experience major bleeding at day 61 because of a traumatic thigh injury.

Within 7 days of study enrollment, there were 19 patients (9.5%) who returned to the ED and 2 patients (1%) who were admitted to the hospital. One patient with pulmonary infarct was admitted for pain control (day 2); the other was admitted for an elective coronary intervention (day 7) because of a positive cardiac stress test.

Within 30 days, 32 patients (16%) returned to the ED, and 5 (3%) were admitted to the hospital for events unrelated to their PE.
The study also showed that patients were largely satisfied with outpatient care. Of the 146 patients who completed a satisfaction survey at 90 days, 89% said they would choose outpatient management if they had another PE in the future. “We found a large subset of patients with blood clots who’d do well at home; in fact, who probably did better at home,” Dr. Bledsoe said. “When patients are sent home versus staying in the hospital, they’re at lower risk of getting another infection. It’s a lot less expensive, too.”

Currently, the standard of care in the United States for acute PE is hospitalization for all patients. That’s recommended, in part, because their overall mortality rate is 17%. However, the lower mortality rate among some appropriately risk-stratified patients suggests that at-home care, which has become the norm in some European countries, leads to better outcomes for those patients overall and less chance of a hospital-introduced infection, according to Dr. Bledsoe.

He added that similar research should be conducted outside of the Intermountain Healthcare system to confirm the results of this study. The investigators reported no conflicts related to this study.

Long-acting beta_{2}-agonists don’t increase CV risk

BY CHRISTOPHER PALMER
MDedge News

Neither heart rate nor blood pressure worsened under long-term use of long-acting beta_{2}-agonists (LABAs) olodaterol or formoterol in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), according to a post hoc pooled analysis published in Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics.

The study was conducted by Stefan Andreas, MD, department of cardiology and pneumology, University Medical Centre Göttingen, and Lung Clinic Immenhausen, both in Germany. “Long-term effects of LABAs on basal heart rate and BP have not been previously investigated in a large patient cohort,” the investigators wrote.

The analysis evaluated data from four studies and included a total of 3,104 patients with moderate to very severe COPD, which was defined as Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease stage 2-4. Patients were randomized to either once-daily olodaterol (5 or 10 mcg), twice-daily formoterol (12 mcg), or placebo. Heart rate and blood pressure were measured before and after dosing at baseline and at four time points during the study: 6 weeks, 12 weeks, 24 weeks, and 48 weeks.

At all time points, the increases seen in the placebo group were greater than seen in the treatment groups; both systolic and diastolic blood pressure showed either slight decreases from or similarities with those seen at baseline, depending on time point. Short-term effects were seen around dosing, from before administration to after, although these changes were quantitatively small.

Obstructive Lung Disease stage 2-4. One limitation of the study is that it couldn’t include patients with unstable COPD because of safety reasons; this prevents the findings from being more broadly generalizable. In addition, they noted, “caution is needed, particularly when interpreting data collected within the post-marketing period, which can be confounded by a greater number of patients receiving active or new treatment due to having higher severity disease and having not responded well to other treatments.”

They reported personal fees from various industry entities, such as Novartis, AstraZeneca, and GlaxoSmithKline. Some also reported receiving personal fees from or working for Boehringer Ingelheim, which funded the work.

cpalmer@mdedge.com

Patch-based AF screening boosts diagnosis rate

BY MITCHEL L. ZOLER
MDedge News

People at increased risk for atrial fibrillation who wore a screening ECG patch for about 2 weeks had their arrhythmia diagnosis rate boosted by 200%-800% during 4 months of follow-up, compared with conventionally followed adults in a randomized, novel-design trial with more than 2,600 randomized participants. The patients who wore an ECG patch had a 3.9% rate of atrial fibrillation (AF) diagnosis in the study’s intention-to-treat analysis, and a 5.1% rate in the per protocol analysis that were the co-primary endpoints for the study, compared with usual care, had more AF diagnoses, greater rates of 0.9% and 0.6%, respectively, among people at increased risk for AF onset: those aged 75 years or older with at least one of several specified comorbidities. This identified more than 359,000 eligible insured patients. Dr. Steinhubl and his associates invited more than 100,000 people to participate, of whom 2,659 consented and met further eligibility screens. They randomized these people to either undergo immediate ECG patch screening, or have their screening delayed for 4 months while undergoing clinical follow-up.

The researchers sent two commercially available patches to the 1,366 people randomized to immediate screening, with instructions that they wear one patch for 2 weeks immediately, and wear the second patch for 2 weeks starting 3 months after they removed the first patch. Participants mailed their patches to a central site for analysis. Diagnosis of AF was based on an adjudicated episode of at least 30 seconds, and the researchers alerted participants and their individual physicians about diagnostic positives.

Among the 1,366 immediate patch recipients, a third never wore a patch for at least 30 minutes and were excluded from the per protocol analysis. The 908 patch users from the immediate screening subgroup as well as the patch users from the delayed subgroup wore each patch for an average of nearly 12 days, and about two-thirds wore both assigned patches. People diagnosed with AF had, on average, nearly 10 discrete episodes during screening, with a median episode duration of 186 minutes. The median AF burden among those who screened positive was 0.9%, reported Dr. Steinhubl, a cardiologist and director of digital medicine at the Scripps Translational Science Institute in La Jolla, Calif.

The researchers also compared medical interventions during the year following entry among all 1,738 screened patients (from both the immediate and delayed screening subgroups) and a matched group of 3,476 unscreened people who had consented to participate in the study. This showed that AF screening was linked to a doubled rate of anticoagulant treatment initiation. The ECG patch screening also identified 70 additional people with various other potentially actionable cardiac arrhythmias.

Of the 1,738 people who wore at least one patch for more than 30 minutes, 40 (2%) had skin irritation, 32 stopped using the patch prematurely because of irritation, and 2 people sought medical treatment for their irritation, which involved topical treatment.

mSToPS was funded by Janssen. Dr. Steinhubl has received research funding from Janssen, Dynosense, EasyG, Spry Health, and Strivit.


24-hour ambulatory BP strongly predicts mortality

BY ANDREW D. BOWSER
MDedge News

Ambulatory measurements of blood pressure more strongly predicted all-cause and cardiovascular mortality than did BP measured in the clinic, according to analysis of a large patient registry in Spain.

The results also showed an increased risk of death associated with white coat hypertension and an even stronger association between death and masked hypertension. They were published in the New England Journal of Medicine.

Previous investigations had found that 24-hour ambulatory BP measurements were better predictors of patient outcomes than those obtained in the clinic or at home, but those investigations were small or population based.

“In these studies, the number of clinical outcomes was limited, which reduced the ability to assess the predictive value of clinic blood pressure data as compared with ambulatory data,” reported José R. Banegas, MD, of the department of preventive medicine and public health at the Autonomous University of Madrid and his colleagues. “Moreover, the implications of hypertension phenotypes, such as ‘whitecoat’ hypertension and masked hypertension, with regard to mortality have remained ill-defined.”

To better define the prognostic value of 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure measurement, Dr. Banegas and his colleagues looked at data on a large cohort of primary care patients in the Spanish Ambulatory Blood Pressure Registry. Their analysis included 63,910 adults recruited to the registry during 2004-2014. Patients had blood pressure measurements taken in the clinic according to standard procedures. Afterwards, they had ambulatory blood pressure monitoring that used an automated device programmed to record BP every 20 minutes during the day and every 30 minutes at night.

They found that overall clinic and ambulatory blood pressure measurements had a relatively similar magnitude of association with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality.

However, clinic systolic pressure lost its predictive power for all-cause mortality after adjustment for 24-hour ambulatory systolic pressure. The hazard ratio for all-cause mortality dropped by 1.54 before the adjustment to 1.02 after the adjustment, Dr. Banegas and his colleagues reported.
**CARDIOVASCULAR MEDICINE**

**ODYSSEY Outcomes trial: Alirocumab confers greater cardiac benefits for higher-risk diabetes patients**

**BY RANDY DOTINGA**
**MDedge News**

ORLANDO – Higher risk translates to higher benefits. That’s the message of a new analysis of the ODYSSEY Outcomes trial in the PCSK9-inhibitor alirocumab that finds people with diabetes gained about twice the reduction in risk of major adverse cardiac events as their non-diabetic counterparts.

“Patients with diabetes and a recent heart attack are at double the risk of a cardiovascular event in the next 3 years as are nondiabetics, despite guideline-based care,” said study presenting author Kausik Ray, MD, ChB, of the School of Public Health of Imperial College London, in an interview. “These patients in our study had LDL of around 89 mg/dL, despite high-intensity statins. Current guidelines recommend a goal of LDL of 55 mg/dL in this group. We brought LDL down to around 38 mg/dL, and showed that by doing this, diabetics derived a greater reduction in the risk of major cardiovascular events. A greater absolute benefit was observed, and a smaller number needed to treat.”

Dr. Ray presented the study findings, a prespecified analysis of results of ODYSSEY Outcomes, at the annual scientific sessions of the American Diabetes Association.

The trial randomly assigned 18,924 patients with recent acute coronary syndrome and LDL cholesterol of at least 70 mg/dL, despite maximum statin therapy, to 75 mg of alirocumab every 2 weeks or placebo. Doses of alirocumab were increased blindly, to 150 mg, to reach LDL cholesterol levels of 25-50 mg/dL.

During a median 2.8 years of follow-up, the overall cumulative rate of major cardiac adverse events (coronary heart disease death, nonfatal MI, ischemic stroke, or hospitalization for unstable angina) occurred in 9.5% of the overall population randomized to alirocumab and 11.1% of those on placebo, for an absolute risk reduction of 1.6% and a statistically significant and clinically meaningful 15% reduction in relative risk. The results were presented at the annual scientific sessions of the American College of Cardiology in March.

In the current analysis, in patients with diabetes, the cumulative rate of incidents was 14.1% (380 of 2,693) with alirocumab and 16.4% (452 of 2,751) with placebo, for an ARR of 2.3%.

The ARRs for the prediabetes and normoglycemia groups were both 1.2%.

Dr. Ray noted that there’s no sign that the drug works differently in patients with diabetes. “The drug works in the same way and as effectively in everyone: LDL came down by 64% at 16 weeks in everyone. But absolute risk depends upon absolute risk to start with. So, in higher-risk patients, the absolute benefit is greater.”

“As an aside,” Dr. Ray noted, “We were able to get the people with diabetes on average 55% down from baseline, which is quite high in the real world.”

During a median 2.8 years of follow-up, the overall cumulative rate of major cardiac adverse events (coronary heart disease death, nonfatal MI, ischemic stroke, or hospitalization for unstable angina) occurred in 9.5% of the overall population randomized to alirocumab and 11.1% of those on placebo, for an absolute risk reduction of 1.6% and a statistically significant and clinically meaningful 15% reduction in relative risk. The results were presented at the annual scientific sessions of the American Diabetes Association in March.

During a median 2.8 years of follow-up, the overall cumulative rate of major cardiac adverse events (coronary heart disease death, nonfatal MI, ischemic stroke, or hospitalization for unstable angina) occurred in 9.5% of the overall population randomized to alirocumab and 11.1% of those on placebo, for an absolute risk reduction of 1.6% and a statistically significant and clinically meaningful 15% reduction in relative risk. The results were presented at the annual scientific sessions of the American Diabetes Association in March.

In response, Dr. Ray said “the benefits quoted are time-to-first-event, and these are modest. But if you look at recurrent events, which represent the natural course of disease, then the benefits and absolute benefits are greater. These are add-on therapies and will never be used in every single patient at current cost.”

Glen J. Pearson, PharmD, of the University of Alberta, Edmonton, said in an interview that, “while these absolute numbers do seem relatively small, it must be remembered that these patients are already receiving very effective therapies to reduce their risk of future cardiovascular outcomes.”

ODYSSEY Outcomes was funded by Sanofi and Regeneron. The presenter reports various disclosures including consulting and research support relationships with Sanofi and Regeneron. The other study authors report various disclosures. Dr. Pearson reports no relevant disclosures. Dr. Shah reports receiving grant support from Sanofi Regeneron. 

**SOURCE:** Ray K et al. ADA 2018, Abstract 6-LB.
To address concerns regarding the voluntary recall of some valsartan products, affected drugmakers and the Food and Drug Administration have issued advisories for recognizing the recalled products and prescribing replacement products. The affected products containing the active ingredient valsartan were voluntarily recalled because of the detection of N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA), an impurity that is classified as a probable carcinogen. The presence of NDMA was unexpected and is thought to be related to changes in the manufacturing process, the FDA announced in a press release.

The voluntary recall affects all lots of nonexpired products that contain the ingredient valsartan supplied to companies by Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceuticals, Linhai, China. This company has stopped distributing valsartan. The FDA is working with the affected manufacturers – Major Pharmaceuticals, Solco Healthcare, and Teva Pharmaceuticals – to reduce or eliminate impure valsartan from future products. The voluntary recall also applies to Solco and Teva valsartan/hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ) combination products.

The agency said its review is ongoing and includes investigating the levels of NDMA in the recalled products, assessing the possible effect on patients who have been taking them, and what measures can be taken to reduce or eliminate the impurity from future batches.

“Our drug shortages team is also working hard to ensure patients’ therapeutic needs are met in the United States with an adequate supply of unaffected medications,” FDA Commissioner Scott Gottlieb, MD, said.

In the interim, patients taking the recalled valsartan-containing medicines should continue taking their medicine until they have a replacement product, the statement said. To determine whether a specific product has been recalled, patients should be instructed to look at the drug name and company name on the label of their prescription bottle. If the information is not on the bottle, patients should contact the pharmacy that dispensed the medicine. If a patient is taking one of the recalled medicines, they should follow the recall instructions provided by the company. Contact information for each manufacturer can be found as follows:

mdales@mdedge.com
ED key to reducing pediatric asthma x-rays

BY M. ALEXANDER OTTO
MDedge News

ATLANTA – It’s possible to reduce chest x-rays for routine pediatric asthma exacerbations in the ED, but accomplishing this goal takes more than a new clinical practice guideline, according to a quality improvement team at the Monroe Carell Jr. Children’s Hospital at Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tenn.

The team eventually reduced the chest x-ray rate for pediatric asthma exacerbations from 30% to 15% without increasing 3-day all-cause readmissions, but it took some sleuthing in the ED and good relations with staff. “We were way out in left field when we started this. Working in silos is never ideal,” said senior project member David Johnson, MD, a pediatric hospitalist and assistant professor of pediatrics at Vanderbilt.
It’s been known for a while that chest x-rays are almost always a waste of time and money for asthma exacerbations, and national guidelines recommend against them. X-rays don’t improve outcomes and needlessly expose children to radiation.

In 2014, some of the providers at Vanderbilt, which has about 1,700 asthma encounters a year, realized that the institution’s 30% x-ray rate was a problem. The quality improvement team hoped a new guideline would address the issue, but that didn’t happen. “We roll out clinical practice guidelines” from on high, “and think people will magically change their behavior,” but they don’t, Dr. Johnson said at the annual Pediatric Hospital Medicine meeting. The guideline was not being fully implemented. So the team asked the ED what was the standard procedure for a child presenting with asthma exacerbation. It turned out that the ED had a dyspnea order set that the team “had no idea existed.” Chest x-rays were at the top of the

Continued on following page
The next conversation was to figure out why x-rays were being ordered in the first place. ED staff said they were worried about missing something, especially pneumonia. They also thought they were helping hospitalists by getting x-rays before sending kids to the ward even though, in reality, it didn’t matter whether x-rays were done a few hours later on the floor. ED providers also said that ill-appearing children often got better after a few hours but were kept back from discharge because x-ray results were still pending and that sometimes these results revealed problems at 3 a.m. that had nothing to do with why the patients were in the ED but still required a work-up.

This discussion opened a door. The ED staff didn’t want to order unnecessary x-rays, either. That led to talks about letting kids declare themselves a bit before x-rays were ordered. ED staff liked the idea, so the guidelines were updated in early 2016 to say that chest x-rays should be ordered only if there is persistent severe respiratory distress with hypoxia, there are focal findings that don’t improve after 12 hours of treatment, or there were concerns for pneumomediastinum or collapsed lung. The updated guidelines were posted in work areas.
and brought home by resident education.

It worked. Chest x-ray rates in asthma fell to 15%, and have remained there since.

“We gave them permission to take their foot off the throttle and wait a little bit, and we don’t have more kids bouncing back from reduced x-rays.” The approach is “probably generalizable everywhere,” Dr. Johnson said.

There was no industry funding, and Dr. Johnson didn’t have any disclosures.

**VIEW ON THE NEWS**

Susan Millard, MD, FCCP, comments: This is an excellent report about how a pediatric program evaluated and problem-solved a problem. Choosing Wisely® is an initiative of the ABIM Foundation and the Society of Hospital Medicine has a recommendation regarding not ordering chest radiographs in children with uncomplicated asthma or bronchiolitis. My only caveat is that first time “wheezers” should be considered for a chest x-ray before starting an oral steroid because of the rare risk that a steroid burst would mask initial symptoms of a T-cell lymphoma in the chest, for example.

aotto@mdedge.com
Asthma medication ratio identifies high-risk patients

BY M. ALEXANDER OTTO
Frontline Medical News

ATLANTA – An asthma medication ratio below 0.5 nearly doubles the risk of children ending up in the hospital with an acute asthma exacerbation, according to researchers from the Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC), Charleston.

The asthma medication ratio (AMR) – the number of prescriptions for controller medications divided by the number of prescriptions for both controller and rescue medications – has been around for a while, but it’s mostly been used as a quality metric. The new study shows that it’s also useful in the clinic to identify children who could benefit from extra attention.

A perfect ratio of 1 means that control is good without rescue inhalers. The ratio falls as the number of rescue inhalers goes up, signaling poorer control. Children with a ratio below 0.5 are considered high risk; they’d hit that mark if, for instance, they were prescribed one control medication such as fluticasone propionate (Flovent) and two albuterol rescue inhalers in a month.

If control is good, “you should only need a rescue inhaler very, very sporadically;” high-risk children probably need a higher dose of their controller, or help with compliance, explained lead investigator Annie L. Andrews, MD, associate professor of pediatrics at MUSC.

The university uses the EPIC records system, which incorporates prescription data from Surescripts, so the number of asthma medication fills is already available. The system just needs to be adjusted to calculate and report AMRs monthly, something Dr. Andrews and her team are working on. “The information is right there, but it’s an untapped resource,” she said. “We just need to crunch the numbers, and operationalize it. Why are we waiting until kids are in the hospital” to intervene?

Dr. Andrews presented a proof-of-concept study at the Pediatric Hospital Medicine meeting. Her team identified 214,452 asthma patients aged 2-17 years with at least one claim for an inhaled corticosteroid in the Truven MarketScan Medicaid database from 2013-14.

They calculated AMRs for each child every 3 months over a 15-month period. About 9% of children at any given time had AMRs below 0.5.

The first AMR was at or above 0.5 in 93,512 children; 18.1% had a subsequent asthma-related event, meaning an ED visit or hospitalization, during the course of the study. Among the 17,635 children with an initial AMR below 0.5, 25% had asthma-related events. The initial AMR couldn’t be calculated in 103,305 children, which likely meant they had less-active disease. Those children had the lowest pro-
portion of asthma events, at 13.9%. An AMR below 0.5 nearly doubled the risk of an asthma-related hospitalization or ED visit in the subsequent 3 months, with an odds ratios ranging from 1.7 to 1.9, compared with other children. The findings were statistically significant.

In short, serial AMRs helped predict exacerbations among Medicaid children. The team showed the same trend among commercially insured children in a recently published study. The only difference was that Medicaid children had a higher proportion of high-risk AMRs, and a higher number of asthma events (Am J Manag Care. 2018 Jun;24[6]:294-300). Together, the studies validate "the rolling 3-month AMR as an appropriate method for identifying children at high risk for imminent exacerbation," the investigators concluded.

With automatic AMR reporting already in the works at MUSC, "we are now trying to figure out how to intervene. Do we just tell providers who their high-risk kids are and let them figure out how to contact families, or do we use this information to contact families directly? That's kind of what I favor: 'Hey, your kid just popped up as high risk, so let's figure out what you need. Do you need a new prescription or a reminder to see your doctor?'” Dr. Andrews said.

Her team is developing a mobile app to communicate with families.

The mean age in the study was 7.9 years; 59% of the children were boys, and 41% were black. The work was funded by the National Institutes of Health, among others. Dr. Andrews had no disclosures.

The work was funded by the National Institutes of Health, among others. Dr. Andrews had no disclosures. The meeting was sponsored by the Society of Hospital Medicine, the American Academy of Pediatrics, and the Academic Pediatric Association.

### Sleep may mediate healthy behavior in children

**BY RICHARD MARK KIRKNER**

BALTIMORE – Children who get up to 10 hours of sleep nightly may be more likely to develop healthy behaviors that reduce their chances of being overweight or obese, a 6-year follow-up of children in the Infant Feeding Practices Study II determined.

However, improving health in these children is more than a matter of simply seeing that they get more sleep, said lead investigator Jill Landsbaugh Kaar, PhD, of Children’s Hospital Colorado, Aurora, in presenting the results at the annual meeting of the Associated Professional Sleep Societies. Her research indicates that three factors – sleep, diet, and activity – are more interrelated than one being causative of the others (JAMA. 2014 Feb 26;311[8]:806-14).

Dr. Kaar’s research used data collected by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as part of a 6-year follow-up study of women from the Infant Feeding Practices Study II. Some 1,542 women completed mailed questionnaires about their 6-year-olds’ diet, activity, screen time, sleep duration, height, and weight. The analysis characterized children into three health behavior pattern groups: poorest eaters (22%), healthy children (37%), and active supereaters with the highest screen time (41%). The poorest eaters were more likely to be female (58%) and obese (18%) than the other groups, but even 10% of the healthy children group were obese.

In the first model, the poorest eaters had the highest risk of obesity. In the second model, both the poorest eaters and active supereaters had shorter sleep duration than healthy children – 9.46 and 9.59 hours a night, respectively, versus 9.97 hours for healthy children – “thus telling me that sleep was really driving that relationship,” Dr. Kaar said.

Dr. Kaar reported having no financial relationships. An American Heart Association Scientist Development Award provided funding for the study.

chestphysiciannews@chestnet.org
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**Docs push back on step therapy in Medicare Advantage**

**BY GREGORY TWACHTMAN**

MDedge News

A new policy that allows Medicare Advantage plans to use step therapy to control spending on prescription drugs administered in the office is not going over well with doctors.

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services announced the policy change Aug. 7, which will give Medicare Advantage plan sponsors the "choice of implementing step therapy to manage Part B drugs, beginning Jan. 1, 2019," the agency said in a statement. Step therapy, as described by the announcement "is a type of prior authorization for drugs that begins medication for a medical condition with the most preferred drug therapy and progresses to other therapies only if necessary, promoting better clinical decisions."

Doctors aren’t having it.

"Put simply, this policy change is a gross affront to America’s sickest Medicare patients — individuals living with diseases like inflammatory arthritis and cancer — who depend on timely access to safe, affordable, and high-quality treatments," American College of Rheumatology President David Daikh, MD, PhD, said in a statement.

"Utilization management techniques like step therapy prevent and delay important treatments for rheumatic disease patients, which can result in irreversible joint or organ damage," Dr. Daikh continued. "The action is part of the broader Trump administration initiative to lower the prices and out-of-pocket costs of prescription drugs as outlined in the American Patients First blueprint.

By "implementing step therapy along with care coordination and drug adherence programs in [Medicare Advantage], it will lower costs and improve the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries," CMS officials said in a statement. The move to allow step therapy will give Medicare Advantage plan sponsors the ability to negotiate the designation of a preferred drug, something the agency believes could result in lower prices for these drugs, which in turn will lower the co-pays for Medicare beneficiaries.

Plan sponsors will be required to pass savings onto beneficiaries through some sort of rewards program, according to a memo detailing the policy change, but rewards "cannot be offered in the form of cash or monetary rebate, but may be offered as gift cards or other items value to all eligible enrollees."

The value of the rewards must be more than half of the savings generated from implementing the step therapy program, according to the memo.

CMS officials said there will be a process that beneficiaries can follow if they believe they need direct access to a drug that would otherwise be available only after failing on another drug.

The American Society of Clinical Oncology also voiced its objection. ASCO strongly opposes the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services decision to allow Medicare Advantage plans to employ step therapy," ASCO President Monica Bertagnolli, MD, said in a statement. "Step therapy requires patients to try and fail to have a desired clinical outcome on a lower-cost medications before they can access the medication prescribed by their health care provider. This not only delays patient access to proper treatments, but also potentially leads to irreversible disease progression and other significant patient health risks."

"Put simply, this policy change is a gross affront to America’s sickest Medicare patients — individuals living with diseases like inflammatory arthritis and cancer — who depend on timely access to safe, affordable, and high-quality treatments," American College of Rheumatology President David Daikh, MD, PhD, said in a statement.

The new policy allows Medicare Advantage plan sponsors the ability to negotiate the designation of a preferred drug, something the agency believes could result in lower prices for these drugs, which in turn will lower the co-pays for Medicare beneficiaries.

Plan sponsors will be required to pass savings onto beneficiaries through some sort of rewards program, according to a memo detailing the policy change, but rewards "cannot be offered in the form of cash or monetary rebate, but may be offered as gift cards or other items value to all eligible enrollees."

The value of the rewards must be more than half of the savings generated from implementing the step therapy program, according to the memo.

CMS officials said there will be a process that beneficiaries can follow if they believe they need direct access to a drug that would otherwise be available only after failing on another drug.

The American Society of Clinical Oncology also voiced its objection. ASCO strongly opposes the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services decision to allow Medicare Advantage plans to employ step therapy," ASCO President Monica Bertagnolli, MD, said in a statement. "Step therapy requires patients to try and fail to have a desired clinical outcome on a lower-cost medications before they can access the medication prescribed by their health care provider. This not only delays patient access to proper treatments, but also potentially leads to irreversible disease progression and other significant patient health risks."

Barbara L. McAneny, MD, president of the American Medical Association, said that physicians "are concerned with patients getting the most effective treatment, and step therapy requirements frequently get in the way. ... Physicians have no easy access to patient benefits and formulary information at the point of prescribing, so they will not be able to readily determine which drugs are preferred by their patients’ [Medicare Advantage] plans. This results in treatment delays and unnecessary red tape for physicians and patients." The new policy applies to only new prescriptions or administrations of Part B drugs. Patients will not have current treatments disrupted if that drug is not the first drug on the step therapy ladder.

gtwachtman@mmedge.com

---

**VIEW ON THE NEWS**

Michael E. Nelson, MD, FCCP, comments: This is not a new idea, as private payers have been using this technique for many years to guide patients to preferred therapy — not ideal therapy. That should be determined by the physician and the patient. As noted by many in the article, step therapy may delay appropriate patient care and adds administrative burdens to physician who must justify their clinical decisions. Perhaps a better solution would be to allow CMS to negotiate pricing directly with pharmaceutical companies, as is done in many other countries, where pharmaceutical prices are much lower than in the United States.

Barbara L. McAneny, MD, president of the American Medical Association, said that physicians “are concerned with patients getting the most effective treatment, and step therapy requirements frequently get in the way. ... Physicians have no easy access to patient benefits and formulary information at the point of prescribing, so they will not be able to readily determine which drugs are preferred by their patients’ [Medicare Advantage] plans. This results in treatment delays and unnecessary red tape for physicians and patients.”

The new policy applies to only new prescriptions or administrations of Part B drugs. Patients will not have current treatments disrupted if that drug is not the first drug on the step therapy ladder.

gtwachtman@mmedge.com

---

**PhRMA spending leads health-sector lobbying efforts**

**BY RICHARD FRANKI**

MDedge News

The Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America (PhRMA) led the way on health-sector lobbying in the first half of 2018 with spending that’s on pace to top its previous 1-year high, according to the Center for Responsive Politics.

PhRMA spent over $15.7 million on lobbying through the end of June, and equaling that amount over the second half of the year would eclipse the $27.4 million the organization spent in 2009. PhRMA’s total for the year so far puts it third among all entities: The U.S. Chamber of Commerce was first with $43.7 million and the National Association of Realtors was second at $27.3 million, the center reported on OpenSecrets.org. The Chamber has been first every year since 2001.

The health sector’s 3 other representatives in the lobbying Top 10 for the first half of this year are Blue Cross/Blue Shield in fifth with $11.8 million in spending, the American Hospital Association in sixth ($11.4 million), and the American Medical Association in eighth ($11.2 million), based on the center’s analysis of data from the Senate Office of Public Records. The four current health sector representatives have all been in the top 10 every year since 2013.

Ten highest-spending lobbyers in 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Total (millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td>$43.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Assn. of Realtors</td>
<td>$27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhRMA*</td>
<td>$15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Society Policy Center</td>
<td>$11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Cross/Blue Shield</td>
<td>$11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Hospital Assn.</td>
<td>$11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Roundtable</td>
<td>$11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Medical Assn.</td>
<td>$11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphabet (Google)</td>
<td>$9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>T &amp; T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Based on data from the Senate Office of Public Records for Jan. 1 to June 30.

Source: Center for Responsive Politics

rfranki@mmedge.com
This advertisement is not available for the digital edition.
Reflections on a lifetime practicing chest medicine

BY KRISTIN CROWE AND PAM GOORSKY

Richard Irwin, MD, Master FCCP, the Editor in Chief for the journal CHEST®, and Chair of UMass Memorial Medical Center’s Department of Critical Care, has observed the way patient-focused care has evolved through the years. He will be speaking on this topic at the CHEST 2018 opening session on Sunday, October 7.

During Dr. Irwin’s early years at UMass Memorial, the then chairman of Medicine, Dr. James Dalen, a longtime CHEST member who was about to begin his term as CHEST President, strongly encouraged Dr. Irwin to join the American College of Chest Physicians. By joining the college, Dr. Irwin was able to form strong connections with other influential chest medicine professionals, such as Dr. Jack Weg, a former CHEST President, and Dr. Alfred Soffer – who was the Editor in Chief of the journal CHEST.

While Dr. Irwin was not yet a member of the CHEST community, the college became instrumental in focusing Dr. Irwin’s academic career because of a manuscript that he and colleagues had been working on, titled “Cough. A Comprehensive Review.” After submitting the early version of his manuscript to ten different journals and being rejected by each one, Dr. Irwin contacted Dr. Soffer and asked him, if he had the time, could he please read it and offer advice. Dr. Soffer, who had a reputation of being a mentor with endless generosity of his time, reviewed the manuscript and worked with Dr. Irwin on the article, leading to its publication in the Archives of Internal Medicine in 1977.

Dr. Soffer’s kindness would lead to the start of Dr. Irwin’s 40-year career of studying cough.

Dr. Irwin has been very influential within the CHEST organization throughout his career. In addition to his years as the Editor in Chief of the CHEST journal, he also served on every major CHEST committee and held the office of CHEST President in 2003-2004. “If you want to join a society that has a family-feel to it and focuses on clinical care and education, then CHEST is the place to be.”

Throughout his years as a physician, Dr. Irwin has been interested in the way physicians learn. During his formative years, he says the way he learned was to “see one, do one, teach one.” He gives the example of the flexible fiber-optic bronchoscope, which was developed in Japan in the late 1960s, arriving in the US in 1970. It was a new way of performing bronchoscopy, which led to physicians reading about it, and then putting what they read into action. Now, there are high-fidelity simulation instruments and models and a lot of experiential learning prefacing the use of new technologies for patients.

We have CHEST to thank for being a leader in experiential learning and an international resource for simulation training.
Palliative care, respiratory care, and sleep medicine

Palliative and End-of-Life Care
Patient-tailored goals-of-care discussions: Is this the new standard?
Goals-of-care discussions can be challenging conversations for even the most seasoned physicians. The challenge often is not just the timing but also knowing how to stitch together the content of the discussion. In most cases, physicians have minimal prior knowledge of patient and family preferences, and this adds to the complexity. In addition, the majority of these discussions happen in the inpatient setting (Mack et al. Ann Intern Med. 2012;156[3]:204) where the acuity of the illness adds to the barriers of effective communication (Fulmer et al. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2018; May 23. doi: 10.1111/jgs.15374. [Epub ahead of print]). Can these discussions be tailored to suit individual patient needs and can such attempts better goals-of-care communication? A recent publication by Curtis et al in JAMA Internal Medicine (2018;178[7]:930) attempts to shed light on these unanswered questions and provide physician guidance to better engage in these critical discussions. The cluster-randomized trial included both clinicians and patients. Patients were sent a survey assessing their individual preferences, and physicians were given a summary and communication tips based on these preferences (Jumpstart-Tips). This simple, cost-effective yet scalable intervention was able to improve the frequency, documentation, and patient-assessed quality of goals-of-care discussions in an outpatient setting. In addition, the delivery of goal-concordant care was increased at 3 months in the subgroup of patients with stable goals.

A notable limitation of this study was the low participation among physicians. Further studies will be needed to further dissect the characteristics of participating and nonparticipating physicians. Research will also need to ascertain how to seamlessly integrate this into health-care delivery. But one irrefutable point is that interventions to improve communication hold the key to better end-of-life care delivery for our patients with serious illnesses.

One irrefutable point is that interventions to improve communication hold the key to better end-of-life care delivery for our patients with serious illnesses.

Respiratory Care
Prevention of health-care professional errors in use of inhalers
Asthma affects approximately 300 million people worldwide. The 2018 Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guidelines recommend assessing the patient’s inhaler technique on a regular basis (www.ginasthma.org. Updated August 1, 2018). The pressurized metered-dose inhaler (pMDI) and dry powder inhaler (DPI) are the most common aerosolized medication delivery devices.

Proper inhaler technique optimizes delivery of medication, and patients rely on a variety of their health-care providers (HCP) to teach them to use the devices. Unfortunately, evidence demonstrates patients are unable to use their inhalers properly (Sanchis et al. Chest. 2016;150[2]:394). Improper and inadequate inhaler technique is commonly associated with poor disease control, exacerbations, hospitalization stays, and need for systemic corticosteroids and antibiotic therapy (Capanoglu et al. J Asthma. 2015;52[8]:838; Levy et al. Prim Care Respir J. 2013;22:406; Westerik et al. J Asthma. 2015;53[3]:1).

Incorrect inhaler use is attributed to the design of the device, poor patient understanding, and HCPs having insufficient knowledge of the inhalers and performed the correct inhaler technique 15.5% of the time (Plaza et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol Prac. 2018;6[3]:987).

Health-care providers who are directly responsible for managing patients with pulmonary disease must have knowledge of correct inhaler techniques to effectively teach patients and properly assess their use of these devices. The quality of the HCP instruction to the patient is key to reducing poor inhaler technique (Klijn et al. JPI Prim Care Respir Med. 2017;27[1]:24. doi: 10.1038/s41533-017-0022-1). Targeted inhaler technique educational programs for HCPs have been shown to improve clinical outcomes of patients with asthma (Myers. Respir Care. 2015;60[8]:1190). The Respiratory Care NetWork is developing HCP and patient handouts for each aerosol delivery device, which may be available in early 2019. Dr De Gardner, DrPH, RRT-NPS, FCCP Steering Committee Member

Sleep Medicine
Pediatric sleep disorders
The Sleep Medicine NetWork has worked hard to contribute to the CHEST 2018 exciting program of events by highlighting hot topics, discussing clinical controversies, and presenting challenging cases in sleep medicine. The goal of the Sleep Medicine NetWork has been to design content relevant to the diverse audience attending CHEST in San Antonio this year.

This goal includes topics relevant to pediatric sleep medicine. Why is this important to the larger audience at CHEST? Demand for pediatric sleep physicians significantly outpaces access in many areas of this country (Phillips et al. Ann J Respir Crit Care Med. 2015;192[8]:915). Adult sleep physicians may treat older children or adolescents in their practice, they may care for medically complex children when they transition to adulthood, and they may be asked for advice regarding the sleep concerns of children of their friends and colleagues. Sleep problems in children are common and may affect up to a quarter of children at some point during their lifetime (Owens. Prim Care. 2008;35[3]:533). The entire household is affected when children are not receiving adequate sleep; the sleep of their caregivers and family members is impacted. While many similarities exist between adult and pediatric sleep medicine, physicians who regularly care for children need to be aware of the important differences in the evaluation and treatment of pediatric sleep disorders.

How else can we connect with your practice? If you have an important topic you would like considered for CHEST 2019, please seek out the Sleep Medicine NetWork meeting in San Antonio, so we can continue to generate relevant content for your practice.

Julie Baughn, MD
Steering Committee Member
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NAPDRC news

BY PHIL PORTE
Executive Director, NAPDRC

NAPDRC will host its 42nd Annual Educational Conference March 14-16, 2019, in Sonoma, California, with a blue chip program featuring nationally recognized speakers. Keynote speakers include Bartolome Celli, MD, FCCP; E. Wesley Ely Jr., MD, FCCP; and a special “Conversation on Health Care Strategies” with Troyen Brennan, MD, Executive Vice President and Chief Medical Officer of CVS Health.

The NAPDRC conference format is unlike other pulmonary focused conferences. All sessions are plenary, and speakers are encouraged to take advantage of our wireless audience response system by simply texting their responses to questions. Sessions begin by 8:00 AM each day and conclude by 12:30 PM to provide ample time for all attendees to enjoy the Napa Sonoma region.

Details regarding registration, lodging, and more specifics regarding the program, social events, and related matters are available at the NAPDRC website at www.napdrc.org.

A few highlights:
• Thursday, March 14
  Wesley Ely, MD – ICU Liberation and the ABCDEF Bundle – New bolism: New Approaches
  Colleen Channick, MD – The Role of Interventional Pulmonology in the Management of Cancer: From Diagnosis to Palliation
  Stanley Yong-Chuan Lui, MD – Surgical Approach to OSA
  Daniel Calver, DO, FCCP – Sarcoïdosis

• Friday, March 15
  Peter Gay, MD, FCCP – Heart Failure in Central Sleep Apnea
  Susan Jacobs, RN, Christine Garvey, FNP, MSN, Phil Porte – Optimizing Oxygen Therapy
  Bartolome Celli, MD, FCCP – Changing the Natural Course of COPD
  Alan Plummer, MD, FCCP – Coding Update, 2019
  Steve Peters, MD, FCCP – Practice Management Update
  Phillip Porte – Legislative and Regulatory Updates

• Saturday, March 16
  Bartolome Celli, MD, FCCP – Pharmacological Therapy of COPD: Reasons for Optimism
  Richard Channick, MD – Management of Acute Pulmonary Emphysema
  *Douglas J. Mathisen, MD, MASTER FCCP

• Regulatory proposals from CMS
  CMS has released several proposed rules to take effect January 1 that, if implemented, will impact patients and physicians. The first regulation recommends important changes in the durable medical equipment competitive bidding program in general, with specific recommendations related to improving availability of liquid oxygen. CMS acknowledges that access to liquid oxygen has become problematic and is seeking comment on a proposal that would bump payment for liquid oxygen, including high flow, approximately 50%.

  While the acknowledgement is important, the proposed solution falls far short of what virtually everyone in the industry believes is workable. For perspective, allowable charges for 2016 for liquid portable systems was just over $2 million, less than 2% of all outlays for portable equipment. Statutory language would require “budget neutrality,” thereby reducing payment for all other oxygen systems to bump liquid payment. Experts agree that the proposed 50% bump is nowhere near the bump necessary to address the costs to suppliers to provide oxygen. Just as most oxygen modalities fit into the “nondelivery business model” that has reduced direct contact with patients, liquid fits into a “delivery business model” that necessitates constant refills by the supplier. That added cost needs to be reflected in any payment, and competitive bidding has eviscerated that payment.

  NAPDRC and other societies recommend a “carve out” for liquid oxygen, removing it entirely from competitive bidding. While this approach would revert to a 1986 payment methodology, adjusted over time, it could be enough incentive for some suppliers to re-enter the liquid arena.

  The second proposal espoused by CMS reduces payment for Level 4 and Level 5 office visits, with extra dollars going to lower intensity visits. Depending on a physician’s particular practice, the impact could be minimal or, at the other end of the spectrum, quite damaging. The proposal has its origins with the family practice community, long frustrated by the relatively low payment for Level 1 and level 2 visits. CMS ostensibly refers to reduced paperwork, but most physicians see the real impact affecting their memberships.

  CMS will publish final rules, reflecting public comment, around November 1, with an implementation date of January 1, 2019.

This month in the journal CHEST®

BY RICHARD S. IRWIN, MD, MASTER FCCP
Editor in Chief

GIANTS IN CHEST MEDICINE
Douglas J. Mathisen, MD
By Douglas E. Wood

ORIGINAL RESEARCH
Assessment of Plasma Proteomics Biomarker’s Ability to Distinguish Benign From Malignant Lung Nodules: Results of the PANOPTIC (Pulmonary Nodule Plasma Proteomics Classifier) Trial.
By Dr. G. A. Silvestri, et al.

Predictive Variables for Failure in Administration of Intrapleural Tissue Plasminogen Activator/Deoxyribonuclease in Patients With Complicated Parapneumonic Effusions/Empyema.
By Dr. D. Khemaswian, et al.

How Fragile Are Clinical Trial Outcomes That Support the CHEST Clinical Practice Guidelines for VTE?
By Dr. E. Edwards, et al.

SPECIAL FEATURES
Marijuana and Lung Disease.
By Dr. D. Tashkin

Impact factor news for the journal CHEST®

The journal CHEST® was recently awarded a 2-year impact factor of 7.652, the highest in its history, which equates to a 24% increase over last year’s score. In addition, our 5-year impact factor is 7.854, a 7% increase over last year. With respect to the 2-year factor, CHEST® is ranked 4th out of 33 journals in the Critical Care category and 7th out of 59 journals in the Respiratory System category. Our recent Eigenfactor places us as the second-highest ranked journal in both respiratory and critical care categories. The Eigenfactor metric adjusts the impact factor by eliminating self-citations and factoring in citations in the top-tier journals. Congratulations to our journal CHEST®!

NetWorks Challenge recap

The CHEST Foundation is proud to announce the completion of the 2018 NetWorks Challenge Giving Month! Through your generous contributions, we reached our ambitious fundraising goal of $60,000 over the course of just 1 month.

This year, every NetWork was eligible to win travel grants to CHEST 2018 by donating in their NetWorks name during the month of June.

The highest contributing NetWork, Pulmonary and Vascular Disease NetWork, and the NetWork with highest percentage of participation, the Practice Operations NetWork, each receive additional travel grants and session time at CHEST 2018! Additionally, the Transplant NetWork raised over $5,000 through their efforts and will be receiving a travel grant to CHEST 2018 for their strong support of our clinical research grants, patient education initiatives, and community service events.

Thank you to all who contributed during the NetWorks Challenge Giving Month!
CHEST 2018 keynote to bridge the gap between generations

BY KRISTIN CROWE

Scott Zimmer, a product of generation X, went through college with a passion for public speaking, as well as a deep interest in the generational divide. In 2013, he began working for a company called BridgeWorks and so began his career as one of three speakers at this firm of “generational junkies and trend spotters.”

Founded in 1998, BridgeWorks strives to bridge the generational gaps that are found in all workplaces through research, keynote speakers, workshops, blogs, training, trivia, and more. BridgeWorks is a team of 13 people coming from the baby boomer generation down to millennials on the cusp of being classified with generation Z (gen edgers, as Zimmer calls them). Each team member has their own interesting and diverse background with a passion for the topic of generations, and everyone engages this passion by conducting research with the BridgeWorks team.

There are generational clashes in every single industry, according to Zimmer. Just at BridgeWorks, he even notices when simply sending a text he perceives as “normal” to one of his millennial coworkers, that it is sometimes received as curt and leaves the recipient concerned that they have done something to offend him. This topic is not foreign to anyone—everyone has had a moment of saying “kids these days,” or “ugh, old people.” Because of this, Zimmer starts every session knowing that each person will leave with relevant insights and actionable takeaways.

Zimmer also loves to integrate nostalgia into his presentations, and working with generational theory at BridgeWorks allows him to do just that in a way that helps drive home points and makes ideas more relatable. “Some people like to say we are all just people and we grow out of certain things. But we develop specific traits and values at an impressionable age, and I love looking at what was happening in our lives during those formative years. What are these shared experiences that will form who we are?” This love of nostalgia set Zimmer up for a great opportunity to develop his own trivia gameshow at BridgeWorks. GenPOP! is an interactive trivia gameshow that pairs members of different generations up and quizzes them on all things pop culture from different decades, while also teaching audience members new things about the people they interact with every day.

“So much goes into who we are and who shows up to the workplace, what effects our behavior, and our motivation,” says Zimmer when asked where his passion for this topic stems. “It could be our gender, the region we grew up in, or birth order, and I personally like looking at it through the lens of these different generations.”

So, what will Zimmer bring to CHEST 2018? During his keynote presentation on Monday, October 8, in San Antonio, Zimmer will examine the generational gaps that are existent in the medical community. “You don’t want your young medical professionals to feel like they are sitting at the ‘kids table’ or being talked down to when they have something to share because they do not have equal experience.”

Each generation and each member of a medical team communicates differently, and understanding those differences and feeling like an equal part of the team is very important. How information is conveyed to patients and medical team members of any age affects how they perceive given information and the level of comfort that is felt by each party. Finding ways to bridge the obvious gaps between the generations is a key component to making any team work efficiently.
Sleep Strategies

Value-based sleep: understanding and maximizing value

BY EMERSON M. WICKWIRE, PHD

In addition to well-documented health consequences, obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is associated with substantial economic costs borne by patients, payers, employers, and society at large. For example, in a recent white paper commissioned by the American Academy of Sleep Medicine, the total societal-level costs of OSA were estimated to exceed $150 billion per year in the United States alone. In addition to direct costs associated with OSA diagnosis and treatment, indirect costs were estimated at $86.9 billion for lost workplace productivity; $30 billion for increased health-care utilization (HCU); $26.2 billion for motor vehicle crashes (MVC); and $6.5 billion for workplace accidents and injuries.1

More important, evidence suggests that OSA treatments provide positive economic impact, for example reducing health-care utilization and reducing days missed from work. Our group at the University of Maryland is currently heavily involved in related research examining the health economic impact of sleep disorders and their treatments.

Value-based sleep is a concept that I created several years ago to guide a greater emphasis on health economic outcomes in order to advance our field. In addition to working with payers, industry partners, employers, and forward-thinking startups, much effort has been invested into provider education regarding the health economic aspects of sleep. This article examines what value-based sleep is, how to increase the value of sleep in your practice setting, and steps to prepare for payment models of the future.

Value is in the eye of the beholder

Unlike sleep medicine providers (and some patients), the majority of society views sleep as means to an end and not as an end-in-itself. That is, people only value sleep insofar as sleep will help them achieve their primary objectives, whatever they might be. In health economic terms, these distinct viewpoints are referred to as perspectives. For example, from the patient perspective, sleep is valued to the extent that it helps to increase quality of life.

From the payer perspective, sleep is valued to the extent that it reduces health-care utilization. From the employer perspective, sleep is valued to the extent that it increases workplace productivity and reduces health-care expenses. Table 1 summarizes common stakeholders and perspectives in sleep medicine.

Table 1. Stakeholders and perspectives in sleep medicine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder perspective</th>
<th>Value-based outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>Quality of life, ease of experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payer</td>
<td>Cost savings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer</td>
<td>Workplace productivity, accident risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health system</td>
<td>Revenue (margin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society</td>
<td>Aggregated costs and outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Speaking the language of value

In order to define, demonstrate, and maximize the perceived value of sleep medicine services, sleep physicians must understand and clearly articulate the values of these multiple constituents. Most important, this means that sleep physicians must move beyond discussing the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI). To be clear, no one other than sleep medicine insiders care about the AHI! Of course, the AHI is an important (although imperfect) measure of OSA disease severity and treatment outcomes. However, when was the last time that a patient told you they woke up one morning dreaming about a lower AHI? It simply does not happen. Instead, stakeholders care about outcomes that matter to them, from their own unique perspectives. To speak directly to these interests and frame the value of sleep, sleep medicine providers must methodically develop value propositions with each unique target constituency in mind. Speak the language of your audience, and use terms that matter to them.

Adopting value-based payments

Much has been spoken about a transition from fee-for-service to value-based care in medicine. New health-care business models will soon impact patients, providers, payers, and health systems. To guide and ensure sustainable change, multi-stakeholder organizations, such as the Health Care Payment & Learning Action Network, are heavily engaged in the development and implementation of alternate payment models (APMs) to facilitate the transition from fee-for-service to population health. As depicted in Figure 1, sequential steps toward value-based care include increased fees corresponding to improved outcomes. A reimbursement model that is fully value-based centers on shared financial risks. Although private practitioners may be ill-equipped to provide population-level services or negotiate fully value-based models, sleep medicine providers should do well to increase familiarity with APMs and their impact on primary and specialty care services.

Five steps to a value-based approach

In the modern health-care climate of increasing costs on the one hand and limited resources on the other, sleep medicine providers must embrace a value-based perspective to survive, thrive, and grow in a new world of value-based care. This will require sleep medicine providers to learn, adapt, and adjust. The good news is that regardless of your practice or organizational setting, these strategies and tactics will help guide you:

1. Know thyself. What are your personal and organization objectives? Where are you, career-wise? Where do you want to be in 2, 3, and 5 years?
2. Know your customer. Whom do you serve? More broadly, whom does sleep serve? Listen carefully and identify the outcomes that matter to your constituents. Make these your endpoints.
4. Understand trends in payments and technology. Is your region adopting bundled payments or paying more for improved outcomes? How might telemedicine or preauthorization for PAP impact your practice?
5. Know your numbers. To negotiate with confidence, you need to know your numbers. What are your costs per patient, per test, per outcome, and lifetime value of the patient?

Summary and next steps

To survive and thrive in a value-based future, you need to define, demonstrate, and maximize your perceived value. This will require greater attention to the language that you use, the results that you emphasize, and the data that you use to make decisions, all while attending to the perspectives of diverse stakeholders. The need for sleep medicine services has never been greater. Adopt a value-based sleep approach to ensure your bright future.
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