

Trastuzumab emtansine in advanced HER2-positive breast cancer

See Commentary on page 69

Trastuzumab emtansine is an antibody–drug conjugate composed of trastuzumab (T) linked to a highly potent cytotoxic derivative of maytansine (DM1) by a stable linker (a nonreducible thioether, SMCC).¹ DM1 binds to intracellular tubulin and prevents the assembly of microtubules, resulting in cell death. Trastuzumab targets the conjugate to the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) protein and the stable linker releases the cytotoxic agent only when the compound is internalized through receptor endocytosis. Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) has been found to be active in trastuzumab- and lapatinib-resistant disease, as well as in trastuzumab-naïve tumors. The conjugate also seems to maintain the antitumor activity of trastuzumab. Primary results of the phase 3 EMILIA trial that compared T-DM1 with capecitabine-plus-lapatinib in advanced HER2-positive breast cancer were reported at the 2012 American Society of Clinical Oncology meeting,² with the findings indicating significant improvement in progression free survival (PFS) with the conjugate. It was on the basis of those findings that the Food and Drug Administration recently approved T-DM1 for the treatment of women with HER2-positive, late-stage metastatic breast cancer.

In EMILIA, 991 patients with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-positive breast cancer who had previously received trastuzumab and a taxane were randomized in open-label fashion to T-DM1 (3.6 mg/kg IV every 3 weeks) alone or capecitabine (1,000 mg/m² orally twice daily on days 1-14 every 3 weeks) plus lapatinib (1,250 mg orally daily) until progressive disease or unmanageable toxicity.² The primary endpoint was PFS on independent review.

Overall, 978 patients received the study treatments. Median durations of follow-up were 12.9 months in the T-DM1 group and 12.4 months in the capecitabine–lapatinib group. Median PFS in the T-DM1 group was 9.6 months, compared with 6.4 months in the capecitabine–lapatinib group, yielding a significant 35% reduction in risk for progression (hazard ratio [HR], 0.650; 95% CI, 0.549-0.771; $P < .0001$).

What's new, what's important

The development of antibody drug conjugates is a major advance in cancer treatment. Ado-trastuzumab emtansine, more commonly known as TDM-1, is the first ADC to be approved by the Food and Drug Administration for HER2/neu-positive patients who have progressed on prior therapy with trastuzumab.

T-DM1 is an exciting development on many fronts. First, the concept and technology of combining a highly toxic drug (emtansine) with a targeted agent (trastuzumab) with a linker molecule will have a tremendous impact on future drug development. Second, and more importantly for many patients who progress on trastuzumab-containing regimens, this could be a highly viable option for improving progression free survival and improve overall survival for this population of patients. It is amazing to see that HER2-positive disease, which used to be considered an aggressive disease, has been redefined as a chronic disease in a short span of 10-12 years with the introduction of trastuzumab and other HER2- targeted agents.

The dose of T-DM1 is 3.6 mg/kg infused (over 90 minutes for the first dose, then over 30 minutes in subsequent treatments) every 3 weeks. Patients need to be carefully monitored for hepatic and cardiac toxicity. Thrombocytopenia is another T-DM1-associated side effect that was commonly seen in clinical trials. There should be appropriate dose reduction in the case of those toxicities. But overall, it is a well tolerated, extremely promising therapeutic option for patients with HER2-positive disease. Future clinical trials with T-DM1 in combination with pertuzumab and other *PI3K* inhibitors might provide us with more therapeutic options for patients with trastuzumab-resistant disease.

— Jame Abraham, MD

An interim overall survival (OS) analysis that was planned to occur at the time of the final PFS analysis had a prespecified efficacy boundary (HR, 0.617; $P = .0003$). At this interim analysis, median OS had not been reached in the T-DM1 group and it was 23.3 months in the

How we treat metastatic HER2-positive breast cancer

Until the Food and Drug Administration's approvals of pertuzumab in 2012 and trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) in early 2013, trastuzumab and lapatinib were the only commercially available targeted agents for the treatment of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive metastatic breast cancer. The anti-HER2 agent is usually combined with a cytotoxic agent, or, in select women, with an aromatase inhibitor. Trastuzumab and lapatinib can also be prescribed in combination. In women who are progressed on HER2-based chemotherapy, clinicians would generally replace the backbone while maintaining an anti-HER2 agent.

The history of metastatic HER2-positive tumors has changed substantially in recent months. In the CLEOPATRA trial, 808 patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer were randomly assigned to first-line trastuzumab and docetaxel with or without pertuzumab.¹ The primary endpoint, progression-free survival, was met with significant improvement in median PFS in the dual anti-HER2 arm (18.5 vs. 12.4 months, respectively; hazard ratio [HR], 0.62; $P < .001$). In addition, the risk of death was reduced by 46% favoring the dual HER2-blockade arm (HR, 0.64; $P = .005$). On the basis of the results from CLEOPATRA, we would recommend trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and docetaxel as first-line treatment for women with metastatic HER2-positive breast cancer.

In the EMILIA trial, T-DM1 was associated with significant improvement in all primary endpoints compared with capecitabine-plus-lapatinib, including median PFS (9.6 vs. 6.4 months, respectively; HR, 0.65; $P < .001$), and overall survival (30.9 vs. 25.1 months; HR, 0.68; $P < .001$).² More importantly, T-DM1 was extremely well tolerated. On the basis of the EMILIA results and the recent approval of T-DM1, we recommend T-DM1 as second-line treatment in women with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. Lapatinib-based therapy should be considered in the third-line setting in women who progressed despite prior treatment with the trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and taxane combination, and T-DM1.

Of note is that about 30% of women with HER2-positive tumors will develop brain metastasis.³ Lapatinib is a small molecule and is able to cross the blood-brain barrier. In a phase 2 study in patients who had been previously exposed to

trastuzumab and cranial irradiation, about 21% of participants showed at least a 20% reduction in the brain tumor volume.⁴ We would therefore consider lapatinib-based therapy in patients with progressing brain metastases. Lapatinib can be administered with a cytotoxic agent such as capecitabine, or in combination with trastuzumab in women with minimal distant metastases.

The approval of pertuzumab and T-DM1 presented new therapy options in the management of women with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. However, despite the impressive advances, most women will progress on available therapies and succumb to their disease. We therefore strongly recommend physicians and their patients consider participation in clinical trials throughout the treatment continuum. We anticipate that ongoing and future studies will help further define the role of T-DM1 alone or in combination with other cytotoxic agents such as taxanes or with anti-HER2 agents such as pertuzumab in the first-line treatment of metastatic disease or in the adjuvant or neo-adjuvant setting. Women who have progressed after treatment with pertuzumab-, trastuzumab-, T-DM1-, and lapatinib-based regimens should be considered for clinical trials with newer combinations, novel anti-HER2 agents such as neratinib or afatinib, or in studies in which anti-HER2 agents are combined with *PI3K* inhibitors or other drugs that may reverse resistance.

The recent additions to the treatment armamentarium have helped redefine the natural history of metastatic HER2-positive breast cancer. We are currently in uncharted territory, where women can live with the disease for many years and also expect an excellent quality of life.

— Maria Cristina Figueroa-Magalhães, MD, and Vered Stearns, MD

References

1. Baselga J, Cortes J, Kim SB, et al. Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab plus docetaxel for metastatic breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366:109-119.
2. Verma S, Miles D, Gianni L, et al. Trastuzumab emtansine for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2012; 367:1783-1791.
3. Lin NU, Winer EP. Brain metastases: the HER2 paradigm. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13:1648-1655.
4. Lin NU, Diéras V, Paul D, et al. Multicenter phase II study of lapatinib in patients with brain metastases from HER2-positive breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15:1452-1459.

capecitabine-lapatinib group, yielding an HR of 0.621 (95% CI, 0.475-0.813; $P = .0005$). This difference did not cross the interim efficacy boundary and thus it cannot yet be concluded that T-DM1 treatment was associated with a significant OS benefit. OS rates were 84.7% (95% CI,

80.8%-88.6%) in the T-DM1 group, compared with 77.0% (95% CI, 72.4%-81.5%) in the capecitabine-lapatinib group at 1 year (7.7% absolute difference), and 65.4% (95% CI, 58.7%-72.2%), compared with 47.5% (95% CI 39.2%-55.9%) at 2 years (17.9% absolute difference).

Objective response was observed in 43.6% of T-DM1 patients and in 30.8% of capecitabine–lapatinib patients. Median durations of response in patients with objective response were 12.6 months (95% CI, 8.4–20.8 months) in T-DM1 patients and 6.5 months (95% CI, 5.5–7.2 months) in capecitabine–lapatinib patients.

T-DM1 was well tolerated with no unexpected safety signals. Adverse events of grade 3 or higher occurred in 40.8% of the T-DM1 group and 57.0% of the capecitabine–lapatinib group. The most common grade 3 or higher events in the T-DM1 group were thrombocytopenia (12.9% vs 0.2% in the capecitabine–lapatinib group), increased aspartate aminotransferase levels (4.3% vs 0.8%), and increased alanine aminotransferase levels (2.9% vs 1.4%). The most common adverse events in the capecitabine–lapatinib group were diarrhea (20.7% vs 1.6% in the T-DM1 group), palmar plantar erythrodysesthesia (16.4% vs 0), and vomiting (4.5% vs 0.8%). Dose reduction was required in 16.3% of T-DM1 patients, and capecitabine and lapatinib dose reductions were required in 53.4% and 27.3%, respectively, of patients in the capecitabine–lapatinib group.

T-DM1 is being evaluated in 2 additional phase 3 trials in breast cancer — the MARIANNE trial, which compares T-DM1 with or without pertuzumab with trastuzumab plus a taxane in the first-line treatment of HER2-positive progressive or recurrent locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer; and the TH3RESA study, which compares T-DM1 with physician’s choice of treatment in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer who have received at least 2 prior regimens of HER2-directed therapy.

References

1. Hurvitz SA, Kakkar R. The potential for T-DM1 in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 positive metastatic breast cancer: latest evidence and ongoing studies. *Ther Adv Med Oncol*. 2012;4:235–245.
2. Blackwell KL, Miles D, Gianni L, et al. Primary results from EMILIA, a phase III study of T-DM1 (T-DM1) versus capecitabine (X) and lapatinib (L) in HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer (MBC) previously treated with trastuzumab (T) and a taxane [ASCO abstract LBA1]. http://www.asco.org/ASCOv2/Meetings/Abstracts?&vmview=abst_detail_view&confID=114&abstractID=98675. Accessed February 26, 2013.