Gallbladder duplication can present a diagnostic challenge, particularly in patients who have had prior cholecystectomy with a missed duplicated gallbladder. Case Presentation: Presented is the case of a man with 16 years of recurrent, persistent right upper quadrant pain after cholecystectomy who was found to have a duplicated gallbladder. Conclusions: Gallbladder duplication can be difficult to diagnose and frequently evades preoperative visualization. In particular, patients who have had prior operations or infections that may lead to epigastric adhesions are at higher risk for a missed gallbladder duplication at time of operation. An intraoperative cholangiogram should be routinely performed when the inferior liver margin is poorly visualized due to scarring or patient habitus. Gallbladder anomalies should be considered in the differential preoperatively for all patients undergoing hepatobiliary procedures and for postoperative patients with persistent biliary symptoms.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 63-year-old man was referred to gastroenterology for recurrent episodic right upper quadrant pain. He reported intermittent both right and left upper abdominal pain that was variable in quality. At times it was associated with an empty stomach prior to meals; at other times, onset was 30 to 60 minutes after meals. The patient also reported significant flatulence and bloating and intermittent loose stools. Sixteen years before, he underwent a laparoscopic cholecystectomy. He reported that the pain he experienced before the cholecystectomy never resolved after surgery but occurred less frequently. For the next 16 years, the patient did not seek evaluation of his ongoing but infrequent symptoms until his pain became a daily occurrence. The patient's surgical history included a remote open vagotomy and antrectomy for peptic ulcer disease, laparoscopic appendectomy, and a laparoscopic cholecystectomy for reported biliary colic.

The gastroenterology evaluation included a colonoscopy and esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD); both were benign and without findings specific to identify the etiology for the patient's pain. The patient was given a course of rifaximin 1200 mg daily for 7 days for possible bacterial overgrowth and placed on a proton pump inhibitor twice daily. Neither of these interventions helped resolve the patient's symptoms. Further workup was pursued by gastroenterology to include a right upper quadrant ultrasound that showed a structure most consistent with a small gallbladder containing a small polyp vs stone. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) also was performed and showed the presence of a small gallbladder with a small 2-mm filling defect and an otherwise benign biliary tree. MRCP images and EGD documented a Billroth I...
reconstruction at the time of his remote antrectomy and vagotomy (Figure 1).

The patient was referred to general surgery for consideration of a repeat cholecystectomy. He confirmed the history of intermittent upper abdominal pain for the past 16 years, which was similar to the symptoms he had experienced before his original laparoscopic cholecystectomy. On examination, the patient had a body mass index of 38, had a large upper midline incision from his prior antrectomy and vagotomy procedure, and several scars presumed to be port incision scars to the right lateral abdominal wall. Hospital records were obtained from the patient's prior workup for biliary colic and cholecystectomy 16 years before. The preoperative abdominal ultrasound examination showed a mildly distended gallbladder but was notably described as "quite limited due to patient's body habitus and liver is not well seen." No additional imaging was documented in his preoperative evaluation notes and imaging records.

The operative report described a gallbladder that was densely adherent to adjacent fat and omental tissue with significant adhesions secondary to the prior vagotomy and antrectomy procedure. The cystic duct and artery were dissected free at the level of their junction with the gallbladder infundibulum. The cystic artery was divided with a harmonic scalpel. Following this the gallbladder body was dissected free from the liver bed in top-down fashion. A 0 Vicryl Endoloop suture was placed over the gallbladder infundibulum and the cystic duct divided above this suture. No surgical clips were used, which corresponded with the lack of surgical clips seen in imaging in his recent gastroenterology workup. No documentation of an intraoperative cholangiogram existed or was considered in the operative report.

The pathology report from this first cholecystectomy procedure noted the removed specimen to be an unopened 6-cm gallbladder containing 2 small yellow stones that otherwise were benign. At the time of this patient's re-presentation to general surgery, there was suspicion that the patient's prior surgical procedure had not been a cholecystectomy but rather a subtotal cholecystectomy. However, after appropriate workup and review of prior records, the patient had, indeed, previously undergone cholecystectomy and represented a rare case of gallbladder duplication resulting in abdominal pain for 16 years after his index operation.

The patient was consented for repeat cholecystectomy and underwent a laparoscopic lysis of adhesions, cholecystectomy, and intraoperative cholangiogram. Significant scarring was found at the liver undersurface that would have been exposed during the original laparoscopic resection of the gallbladder from its liver bed. Deeper to this, a small saccular structure was identified as the duplicate gallbladder (Figure 2). Though the visualized gallbladder was small with a deep intrahepatic lie, the critical view of safety was achieved and was without additional variation. An intraoperative cholangiogram was performed to determine whether residual ductal stumps or other additional evidence of the previously removed gallbladder could be identified. The cholangiogram showed clear visualization of the cystic duct, common bile duct, right and left hepatic ducts, and contrast into the duodenum without abnormal variants. There was no visualized accessory or secondary cystic duct stump seen on the cholangiogram (Figure 3). Pathology of

**FIGURE 1 Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography**
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the repeat cholecystectomy specimen confirmed a 3-cm gallbladder with a distinct duct leading out of the gallbladder and the presence of several gallstones. The patient had an uneventful recovery after the repeat laparoscopic cholecystectomy with complete resolution of his upper abdominal pain.

DISCUSSION

The first reported human case of gallbladder duplication was noted in a sacrificial victim of Emperor Augustus in 31 BCE. Sherren reported the first documented case of double accessory gallbladder in a living human in 1911.1,6 Though the exact incidence of gallbladder duplication is not fully known due to primary documentation from case reports, incidence is approximately 1 in 4000 to 5000 people. It was first formally classified by Boyden in 1926.7 Further anatomic classification based on morphology and embryogenesis was delineated by Harlaftis and colleagues in 1977, establishing type 1 and 2 structures of a duplicated gallbladder.8 Type 1 duplicated gallbladder anatomy shares a single cystic duct, whereas in type 2 each gallbladder has its own cystic duct. Later reports and studies identified triple gallbladders as well as trabecular variants with the most common classification used currently being the modified Harlaftis classification.9,10

The case presented here most likely represents either a Y-shaped type 1 primordial gallbladder or a type 2 accessory gallbladder based on historical data and intraoperative cholangiogram findings at the time of repeat cholecystectomy. Gallbladder duplication is clinically indistinguishable from regular gallbladder pathology preoperatively and can only be identified on imaging or intraoperatively.11 Prior case reports and studies have found that it is frequently missed on preoperative abdominal ultrasonography and CT in up to 50% of cases.12-14

The differential diagnosis of gallbladder duplication seen on preoperative imaging includes a gallbladder diverticulum, choledochal cyst, focal adenomyomatosis, Phrygian cap, or folded gallbladder.1,2 Historically, the most definitive test for gallbladder duplication has been either intraoperative cholangiography, which can also clarify biliary anatomy, or endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography with cholangiography.1,3 The debate over routine use of intraoperative cholangiography has been ongoing for the past several decades.13 Though intraoperative cholangiogram remains one of the most definitive tests for gallbladder duplication, given the overall low incidence of this variant, recommendation for routine intraoperative cholangiography solely to rule out gallbladder duplication cannot be definitively recommended based on our review of the literature. Currently, preoperative MRCP is the study of choice when there is concern from historical facts or from other imaging of gallbladder duplication as it is noninvasive and has a high degree of detail, particularly with 3D reconstructions.14,16 At the time of surgery, the most critical step to avoid inadvertent ductal injury is clear visualization of ductal anatomy and obtaining the critical view of safety.17 Though this will also assist in identifying some cases of gallbladder duplication, given the great variation of duplication, it will not prevent missing some variants. In our case, extensive local scarring from the patient’s prior antrectomy and vagotomy along with lack of the use of intraoperative cholangiography likely contributed to missing his duplication at the
time of his index cholecystectomy. 
Undiagnosed gallbladder duplication can lead to additional morbidity related to common entities associated with gallbladder pathology, such as biliary colic, cholecystitis, cholangitis, and pancreatitis. Additionally, case reports in the literature have documented more rare associations, such as empyema, carcinoma, cholecystoenteric fistula, and torsion, all associated with a duplicated gallbladder. Once identified pre- or intraoperatively, it is generally recommended that all gallbladders be removed in symptomatic patients and that intraoperative cholangiography be done to assure complete resection of the duplicated gallbladders and to avoid injury to the biliary trees.

CONCLUSIONS
Gallbladder duplication and other congenital biliary anatomic variations should be considered before a biliary operation and included in the differential diagnosis when evaluating patients who have clinical symptoms consistent with biliary pathology. In addition, intraoperative cholangiogram should be performed during cholecystectomy if the inferior liver edge cannot be visualized well, as in the case of this patient where a prior foregut operation resulted in extensive adhesive disease. Intraoperative cholangiogram also should be considered in patients whose preoperative imaging does not visualize the right upper quadrant well due to patient habitus. Doing so may identify gallbladder duplication and allow for complete cholecystectomy as well as proper identification and management of cystic duct variants. Awareness and consideration of duplicated biliary variants can help prevent intraoperative complications related to biliary anomalies and avoid the morbidity related to recurrent biliary disease and the need for repeat operative procedures.
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FIGURE 3 Intraoperative Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography Without Indication of Secondary Cystic Duct Stump

Secondary cystic duct stump cannot be seen.