Fear and loathing abound in the 'off-label' presidential election of 2016

Name-calling. Grandiosity. Pressured speech. Excessive cockiness. Serial lying. Hostility. And more: irritability, hyperthymic temperament, low energy, high energy, wacky ideas, verbal bullying, sexual innuendo, lability, aggression, lack of insight, messianic behavior, history of attempted stabbing, exuberant predictions, moodiness, and bizarre thoughts.

Aday in the psychiatry clinic? No—just scenes from that high-stakes festival of intense human competitiveness gone awry: the current presidential election. Alas, we have no FDA-approved treatments for any of these unusual political behaviors.

More stunning is how blind some loyal voters are to the flaws of their candidate of choice. They seem to be joyfully intoxicated by sharing the unusual beliefs of the candidate, in a cultish *folie en masse* of epidemic proportion.

Other (rational) voters are stunned and jarred by what they see and hear; they appear to be in need of Rx: an intellectual antiemetic.

The rise of uber-narcissism

A certain amount of narcissism is, understandably, necessary to run

for the nation's highest office and to believe, against all odds, that winning is certain despite microscopic favorability in the polls. In this election cycle, the cup of narcissism has run over; yet, to adoring fans, narcissism only adds a wondrous halo to their candidate.

The history of the United States is rife with similar behavior by elected officials, including our revered Founding Fathers. But today's psychiatrists, witnessing this national charade, are perplexed and question the rationality of the national psyche. Established rules for seeking the Presidency have been demolished and the show goes on as if heightened narcissism is the new normal in human behavior.

Giving voice to my colleagues' consternation

Here are a few thoughts that might cross the mind of psychiatrists as they watch, with a frown and pursed lips, this unconventional election cycle:

From a psychoanalytic perspective, the *id* has left the *ego* in its dust, and the *super-ego* went home to hide.

When boorishness trumps civility, hillaryous consequences ensue.

The gullibility of voters deserves serious scientific study. Jeste and Harris² continued on page 26



Henry A. Nasrallah, MD Editor-in-Chief

If only neuroscientists would develop and license vaccines to inoculate presidential candidates against demagoguery, dishonesty, and pandering

To comment on this editorial or other topics of interest, visit www.facebook.com/
CurrentPsychiatry, or go to
CurrentPsychiatry.com and click on the "Contact us" link.



Editorial Staff

EDITOR John Baranowski MANAGING EDITOR Erica Vonderheid ASSOCIATE EDITOR Patrice Kubik WEB ASSISTANTS Tyler Mundhenk, Kathryn Wighton

Art & Production Staff

CREATIVE DIRECTOR Mary Ellen Niatas ART DIRECTOR Pat Fopma DIRECTOR, JOURNAL MANUFACTURING Michael Wendt

PRODUCTION MANAGER Donna Pituras

Publishing Staff

PUBLISHER Sharon J. Spector ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR, eBUSINESS DEVELOPMENT Joshua Norton MARKETPLACE ACCOUNT MANAGER Linda Wilson

CONFERENCE MARKETING MANAGER Kathy Wenzler

Editor-in-Chief Emeritus

James Randolph Hillard, MD

Frontline Medical Communications

CHAIRMAN Stephen Stoneburn EVP DIGITAL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT/CFO Douglas E. Grose

PRESIDENT/CEO Alan J. Imhoff PRESIDENT CUSTOM SOLUTIONS JOAnn Wahl VICE PRESIDENT, FINANCE Dennis Quirk VICE PRESIDENT, OPERATIONS Jim Chicca VICE PRESIDENT, AUDIENCE DEVELOPMENT Donna Sickles

VICE PRESIDENT, CUSTOM PROGRAMS Carol Nathan

VICE PRESIDENT, CUSTOM SOLUTIONS Wendy Raupers

VICE PRESIDENT, eBUSINESS DEVELOPMENT Lee Schweizer

VICE PRESIDENT HUMAN RESOURCES & FACILITY OPERATIONS Carolyn Caccavelli VICE PRESIDENT MARKETING & CUSTOMER ADVOCACY Jim McDonough VICE PRESIDENT, SALES Mike Guire

VICE PRESIDENT, SOCIETY PARTNERS Mark Branca

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, RESEARCH & COMMUNICATIONS Lori Raskin EDITORIAL DIRECTOR Karen J. Clemments

Subscription Services: (800) 480-4851

In affiliation with Global Academy for Medical Education, LLC VICE PRESIDENT, MEDICAL EDUCATION & CONFERENCES Sylvia H. Reitman, MBA VICE PRESIDENT, EVENTS David J. Small, MBA

7 Century Drive, Suite 302 Parsippany, NJ 07054 Tel: (973) 206-3434 Fax: (973) 206-9378 www.frontlinemedcom.com

Published through an educational partnership with Saint Louis University



continued from page 21

reviewed the evidence for a neurobiology of wisdom; The National Institutes of Health should fund research into how some voters believe the candidate of their choice will provide them with everything they wish. The chicken in every pot expands to 100 in every pot, and money grows on trees (at least on 1% of the forest!).

From an evolutionary standpoint, survival of the fittest has become survival of the most bombastic.

The zeitgeist reflects an electorate that oscillates agonizingly from surprise to anger to cynicism to disgust.

The traditional internal conflict (studied by political scientists) of choosing between 2 reasonably meritorious candidates has been transformed into a conflict over whether to vote at all.

This is the least nuanced presidential campaign—ever.

All decision-making in politics is **unconscious**, political scientist Jon A. Krosnick proposed. In this election, however, candidates' enunciations are so overt that it's hard to believe there's anything left in the unconscious. Freud spoke of the "primary process" arising from the unconscious; he definitely was not referring to the primary process we experienced during this election cycle.

From a neuropsychiatric perspective,

the limbic system has kicked the cortex in the metaphorical derrière in this election campaign.

Unabashed display of character flaws, personal shortcomings, and biases prove that anyone can run for president in a democracy, and that some voters will display a flight of reason and vote for a flawed candidate.

Even an inept demagogue can be **misperceived** as a savior by followers. Some voters could use a few sessions of insight-oriented therapy or cognitivebehavioral therapy for their unrealistic expectations.

It is dizzying, mentally, to watch candidates' verbal acrobatics as they try to pass several litmus tests to satisfy disparate demands of sundry constituencies and mendaciously flip-flop on many issues-ignoring the fact that everything they have said was recorded or videotaped. Intellectual transvestism is a political sin, and sinners abound.

Oh, for a Jenner, Pasteur, or Sabin to discover vaccines for the intellect

Writing this editorial has been therapeutic. It feels good to ventilate about this bizarre election process that has the nation in its grip. I would feel much better if neuroscientists would develop and license vaccines that would broadly inoculate candidates against demagoguery, dishonesty, and pandering and voters against mind-boggling gullibility.

That would make elections so boring. But also so on-label....

Jung A. Namallali Henry A. Nasrallah, MD

Editor-in-Chief

References

- 1. Gartner JD. The hypomanic edge: the link between (a little) craziness and (a lot of) success in America. New York, NY: Simon & Schuster; 2005.
- 2. Jeste DV, Harris JC. Wisdom-a neuroscience perspective. JAMA. 2013;304(14):1602-1603.