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Background: Despite the use of platinum-based chemotherapy, lung cancer continues to be the leading cause of cancer-related death in the world. To overcome the rate of lung cancer–related death, scientists discovered advanced therapies, including mutant epidermal growth factor receptor–tyrosine kinase (EGFR-TK) inhibitors.

Observations: We conducted a meta-analysis to determine the safety profile of mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors in the management of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Included in this study are 9 phase 3 randomized controlled trials designed to study the safety profile of mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors in patients with advanced NSCLC. The study showed that mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors have an incidence of adverse effects that is less reported when compared with platinum-based chemotherapy.

Conclusions: We recommend continuing using mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors in patients with advanced NSCLC especially in patients having mutant EGFR receptors. Adverse effects caused by mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors are significant but are usually tolerable and can be avoided by reducing the dosage of it with each cycle or by skipping or delaying the dose until patient is symptomatic.

Lung cancer has been the leading cause of cancer-related mortality for decades. It is also predicted to remain as the leading cause of cancer-related mortality through 2030.1 Platinum-based chemotherapy, including carboplatin and paclitaxel, was introduced 3 decades ago and revolutionized the management of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). A more recent advancement has been mutant epidermal growth factor receptor–tyrosine kinase (EGFR-TK) inhibitors.1 EGFR is a transmembrane protein that functions by transducing essential growth factor signaling from the extracellular milieu to the cell. As 60% of the advanced NSCLC expresses this receptor, blocking the mutant EGFR receptor was a groundbreaking development in the management of advanced NSCLC.2 Development of mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors has revolutionized the management of advanced NSCLC. This study was conducted to determine the safety profile of mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors in the management of advanced NSCLC.

METHODS

This meta-analysis was conducted according to Cochrane Collaboration guidelines and reported as per Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. The findings are summarized in the PRISMA flow diagram (Figure 1). Two authors (MZ and MM) performed a systematic literature search using databases such as MEDLINE (via PubMed), Embase, and Cochrane Library using the medical search terms and their respective entry words with the following search strategy: safety, “mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors,” advanced, “non–small cell,” “lung cancer,” “adverse effect,” and literature. Additionally, unpublished trials were identified from clinicaltrials.gov, and references of all pertinent articles were also scrutinized to ensure the inclusion of all relevant studies. The search was completed on June 1, 2021, and we only included studies available in English. Two authors (MM and MZ) independently screened the search results in a 2-step process based on predetermined inclusion/exclusion criteria. First, 890 articles were evaluated for relevance on title and abstract level, followed by full-text screening of the final list of 140 articles. Any disagreements were resolved by discussion or third-party review, and a total of 9 articles were included in the study.

The following eligibility criteria were used: original articles reporting adverse effects (AEs) of mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors in patients with advanced NSCLC compared with control groups receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. All the patients included in the study had an EGFR mutation but randomly assigned to either treatment or control group. All articles with
subjective data on mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors AEs in patients with advanced NSCLC compared with control groups receiving platinum-based chemotherapy were included in the analysis. Only 9 articles qualified the aforementioned selection criteria for eligibility. All qualifying studies were nationwide inpatient or pooled clinical trials data. The reasons for exclusion of the other 71 articles were irrelevant (n = 31), duplicate (n = 13), reviews (n = 14), and poor data reporting (n = 12). Out of the 9 included studies, 9 studies showed correlation of AEs, including rash, diarrhea, nausea, and fatigue. Seven studies showed correlation of AEs including neutropenia, anorexia, and vomiting. Six studies showed correlation of anemia, cough, and stomatitis. Five studies showed correlation of elevated aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and leucopenia. Four studies showed correlation of fever between mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors and platinum-based chemotherapy.

The primary endpoints were reported AEs including rash, diarrhea, elevated ALT, elevated AST, stomatitis, nausea, leucopenia, fatigue, neutropenia, anorexia, anemia, cough, vomiting, and fever, respectively. Data on baseline characteristics and clinical outcomes were then extracted, and summary tables were created. Summary estimates of the clinical endpoints were then calculated with risk ratio (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using the random-effects model. Heterogeneity between studies was examined with the Cochran Q I² statistic which can be defined as low (25% to 50%), moderate (50% to 75%), or high (> 75%). Statistical analysis was performed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Software CMA Version 3.0.

## RESULTS

A total of 9 studies including 3415 patients (1775 in EGFR-TK inhibitor treatment group while 1640 patients in platinum-based chemotherapy control group) were included in the study. All 9 studies were phase III randomized controlled clinical trials conducted to compare the safety profile of mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors in patients with advanced NSCLC. Mean age was 61 years in both treatment and control groups. Further details on study and participant characteristics and safety profile including AEs are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. No evidence of publication bias was found.

Rash developed in 45.8% of patients in the treatment group receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors vs only 5.6% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 7.38 with the 95% CI noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher rash event rates in patients receiving EGFR-TK inhibitors for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 2).

Diarrhea occurred in 33.6% of patients in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors treatment group vs 13.5% of patients in the control group.
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Stomatitis developed in 17.2% of patients in the treatment group receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors compared with 7.9% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 2.63 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher diarrheal rates in patients receiving EGFR-TK inhibitors for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 3).

Elevated ALT levels developed in 27.9% of patients in the treatment group receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors compared with 15.1% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 1.37 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher ALT levels in patients receiving EGFR-TK inhibitors for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 4).

Elevated AST levels occurred in 40.7% of patients in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors treatment group vs 12.8% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 1.77 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming elevated AST levels in patients receiving EGFR-TK inhibitors for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 5).

Stomatitis developed in 17.2% of patients in the treatment group receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors compared with 7.9% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 2.63 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher diarrheal rates in patients receiving EGFR-TK inhibitors for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 3).

Elevated ALT levels developed in 27.9% of patients in the treatment group receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors compared with 15.1% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 1.37 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher ALT levels in patients receiving EGFR-TK inhibitors for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 4).

Elevated AST levels occurred in 40.7% of patients in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors treatment group vs 12.8% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 1.77 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming elevated AST levels in patients receiving EGFR-TK inhibitors for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 5).

Nausea occurred in 16.5% of patients in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors group vs 42.5% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 0.37 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher nausea rates in patients receiving EGFR-TK inhibitors for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 6).

Leucopenia developed in 9.7% of patients in the control group receiving EGFR-TK inhibitors compared with 51.3% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall

### Table 2: Adverse Effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Rash, No. (%)</th>
<th>Diarrhea, No. (%)</th>
<th>Elevated ALT, No. (%)</th>
<th>Elevated AST, No. (%)</th>
<th>Stomatitis, No. (%)</th>
<th>Nausea, No. (%)</th>
<th>Leucopenia, No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADJUVANT/CTONG110419</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>43 (40.6)</td>
<td>28 (26.4)</td>
<td>29 (27.4)</td>
<td>12 (11.3)</td>
<td>8 (7.5)</td>
<td>3 (2.8)</td>
<td>4 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (4.6)</td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
<td>5 (5.7)</td>
<td>38 (43.7)</td>
<td>41 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUX LUNG 620</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>144 (82.3)</td>
<td>153 (87.4)</td>
<td>39 (22.3)</td>
<td>32 (18.3)</td>
<td>88 (50.3)</td>
<td>11 (6.3)</td>
<td>5 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>9 (9.5)</td>
<td>10 (10.5)</td>
<td>16 (17)</td>
<td>10 (10.5)</td>
<td>5 (5.3)</td>
<td>72 (75.8)</td>
<td>53 (55.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVINCE21</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>22 (15)</td>
<td>11 (7.4)</td>
<td>10 (6.7)</td>
<td>12 (81)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4 (2.7)</td>
<td>11 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
<td>6 (4.3)</td>
<td>19 (13.9)</td>
<td>15 (11)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>63 (46)</td>
<td>60 (43.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPRESS22</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>14 (11)</td>
<td>44 (33.3)</td>
<td>17 (12.9)</td>
<td>30 (22.7%)</td>
<td>14 (10.6)</td>
<td>85 (64.4)</td>
<td>27 (20.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>11 (8)</td>
<td>19 (14.9)</td>
<td>23 (17)</td>
<td>29 (22%)</td>
<td>5 (3.7)</td>
<td>81 (61.4)</td>
<td>22 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCIC CTG BR1923</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>21 (8.5)</td>
<td>18 (7.2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6 (2.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCSG-LU08-0124</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>31 (46)</td>
<td>18 (26)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11 (16.2)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3 (4.5)</td>
<td>3 (4.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11 (16.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WJTOG340525</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>74 (85)</td>
<td>47 (54)</td>
<td>61 (70)</td>
<td>61 (70%)</td>
<td>19 (21.8)</td>
<td>15 (17.2)</td>
<td>13 (14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7 (8)</td>
<td>35 (40)</td>
<td>35 (40)</td>
<td>17 (19.3%)</td>
<td>13 (14.7)</td>
<td>83 (94)</td>
<td>82 (93.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISTANA26</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>61 (75)</td>
<td>21 (26)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3 (3.7)</td>
<td>13 (16)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>12 (15.7)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9 (1.8)</td>
<td>14 (18.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTEREST27</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>360 (49)</td>
<td>255 (35)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>67 (9.2)</td>
<td>148 (20.3)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>73 (10.3)</td>
<td>177 (24.7)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>93 (13)</td>
<td>187 (26.2)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.
RR of 0.18 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher leukopenia incidence in patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy compared with treatment group for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 8).

Fatigue was reported in 17% of patients in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors group compared with 29.5% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 0.59 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher fatigue rates in patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy compared with the treatment group for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 9).

Neutropenia developed in 6.1% of patients in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors group vs 48.2% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 0.11 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher neutropenia rates in patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy compared with the treatment group for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 10).

Anorexia developed in 21.3% of patients in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors group vs 31.4% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 0.44 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher anorexia rates in patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy compared with the treatment group for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 11).

Anemia occurred in 8.7% of patients in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors group compared with 32.1% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 0.24 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher anemia rates in patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy compared with the treatment group for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 12).

Cough was reported in 17.8% of patients in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors group compared with 18.9% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 0.99 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher cough rates in patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy compared with the treatment group for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 13).

### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Fatigue, No. (%)</th>
<th>Neutropenia, No. (%)</th>
<th>Anorexia, No. (%)</th>
<th>Anemia, No. (%)</th>
<th>Cough, No. (%)</th>
<th>Vomiting, No. (%)</th>
<th>Fever, No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADJUVANT/</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>4 (3.7)</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>11 (10.4)</td>
<td>5 (4.7)</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTONG110419</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4 (4.6)</td>
<td>46 (52.9)</td>
<td>20 (23)</td>
<td>44 (50.6)</td>
<td>15 (17.2)</td>
<td>36 (41.4)</td>
<td>9 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUX LUNG 6</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>14 (8)</td>
<td>3 (1.7)</td>
<td>11 (6.3)</td>
<td>9 (5.2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>14 (8)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>37 (39)</td>
<td>53 (55.8)</td>
<td>39 (41)</td>
<td>26 (27.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>75 (78.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVINCET21</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>5 (3.4)</td>
<td>5 (3.4)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>4 (2.7)</td>
<td>2 (1.4)</td>
<td>2 (1.4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20 (14.6)</td>
<td>58 (42.3)</td>
<td>32 (23.4)</td>
<td>17 (12.4)</td>
<td>3 (2.2)</td>
<td>40 (29.2)</td>
<td>4 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPRESS22</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>28 (21.2)</td>
<td>29 (22)</td>
<td>65 (49.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>18 (13.6)</td>
<td>55 (41.6)</td>
<td>22 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>23 (17.4)</td>
<td>28 (21.2)</td>
<td>45 (34.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
<td>15 (11.4)</td>
<td>44 (33.3)</td>
<td>14 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCIC CTG BR1923</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>15 (6)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3 (1.2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6 (2.5)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCSG-LU08-01</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>15 (22.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>22 (32.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25 (36.7)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>14 (21)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>20 (29.8)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>24 (35.8)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WJTOG340525</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>34 (39.1)</td>
<td>7 (8.1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>33 (37.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>73 (82.9)</td>
<td>81 (92)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>79 (89.7)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISTANA26</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>20 (24.7)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>29 (35.8)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25 (30.8)</td>
<td>4 (4.9)</td>
<td>4 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>28 (36.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>36 (47.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25 (32.9)</td>
<td>8 (10.5)</td>
<td>8 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTEREST27</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>182 (25)</td>
<td>35 (4.8)</td>
<td>159 (21.8)</td>
<td>34 (4.7)</td>
<td>108 (14.8)</td>
<td>109 (15)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>334 (46.7)</td>
<td>514 (72)</td>
<td>151 (21.2)</td>
<td>84 (11.7)</td>
<td>102 (14.3)</td>
<td>123 (17.2)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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noted, which was statistically significant, confirming slightly higher cough rates in patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy compared with treatment for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 13).

Vomiting developed in 11% of patients in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors group vs 30.1% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 0.35 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher vomiting rates in patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy compared with the treatment group for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 14).

Fever occurred in 5.6% of patients in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors group compared with 30.1% of patients in the control group receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. Overall RR of 0.41 and 95% CI was noted, which was statistically significant, confirming higher fever rates in patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy compared with the treatment group for their advanced NSCLC (Figure 15).

DISCUSSION

Despite the advancement in the treatment of metastatic NSCLC, lung cancer stays as most common cause of cancer-related death in North America and European countries, as patients usually have an advanced disease at the time of diagnosis. In the past, platinum-based chemotherapy remained the standard of care for most of the patients affected with advanced NSCLC, but the higher recurrence rate and increase in frequency and intensity of AEs with platinum-based chemotherapy led to the development of targeted therapy for NSCLC, one of which includes mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors, including erlotinib, gefitinib, dacomitinib, lapatinib, and osimertinib. Smoking is the most common reversible risk factor associated with lung cancer. The EURTAC trial was the first perspective study in this regard, which compared safety and efficacy of mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors with platinum-based chemotherapy. Results analyzed in this study were in favor of mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors except in the group of former smokers. On the contrary, the OPTIMAL trial showed results in favor of mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors both in active and former smokers; this trial also confirmed the efficacy of mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors in European and Asian populations, confirming the rationale for routine testing of EGFR mutation in all the patients being diagnosed with advanced NSCLC. Similarly, osimertinib is one of the most recent mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors developed for the treatment of advanced NSCLC in patients with EGFR-positive receptors.

According to the FLAURA trial, patients receiving osimertinib showed significantly longer progression-free survival compared with platinum-based chemotherapy and early mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors. Median progression-free survival was noted to be 18.9 months, which showed 54% lower risk of disease progression in the treatment group receiving osimertinib. The ARCHER study emphasized a significant improvement in overall survival as well as progression-free survival among a patient population receiving dacomitinib compared with platinum-based chemotherapy.

Being a potent targeted therapy, mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors do come with some AEs including diarrhea, which was seen in 33.6% of the patients receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors in our study vs 53% in the chemotherapy group, as was observed in the study conducted by Pless and colleagues. Similarly, only 16.5% of patients receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors developed nausea compared with 66% being observed in patients receiving...
FIGURE 2 Rash Adverse Events
Risk ratio > 1 indicates higher rash event rates in the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor group compared with the chemotherapy group.

FIGURE 3 Diarrhea Adverse Events
Risk ratio > 1 indicates higher diarrhea event rates in the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor group compared with the chemotherapy group.

FIGURE 4 Elevated Alanine Transaminase Adverse Events
Risk ratio > 1 indicates higher elevated alanine transaminase event rates in the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor group compared with the chemotherapy group.

FIGURE 5 Elevated Aspartate Aminotransferase Adverse Events
Risk ratio > 1 indicates higher elevated aspartate aminotransferase event rates in the estimated epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor group compared with the chemotherapy group.

FIGURE 6 Stomatitis Adverse Events
Risk ratio > 1 indicates higher stomatitis event rates in the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor group compared with the chemotherapy group.

FIGURE 7 Nausea Adverse Events
Risk ratio > 1 indicates higher nausea event rates in the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor group compared with the chemotherapy group.

FIGURE 8 Leucopenia Adverse Events
Risk ratio > 1 indicates higher leucopenia event rates in the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor group compared with the chemotherapy group.

FIGURE 9 Fatigue Adverse Events
Risk ratio > 1 indicates higher fatigue event rates in the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor group compared with the chemotherapy group.
Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer

Correspondingly, only a small fraction of patients (9.7%) receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors developed leukopenia, which was 10 times less reported in mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors compared with patients receiving chemotherapy having a percentage of 100%. A similar trend was reported for neutropenia and anemia in mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors with an incidence of 6.1% and 8.7%, compared with the platinum-based chemotherapy group in which the incidence was found to be 80% and 100%, respectively. It was concluded that platinum-based chemotherapy had played a vital role

in the treatment of advanced NSCLC but at an expense of serious and severe AEs which led to discontinuation or withdrawal of treatment, leading to relapse and recurrence of lung cancer.10,11

Zhong and colleagues conducted a phase 2 randomized clinical trial comparing mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors with platinum-based chemotherapy. They concluded that in patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy, incidence of rash, vomiting, anorexia, neutropenia, and nausea were 29.4%, 47%, 41.2%, 55.8%, and 32.4% compared with 45.8%, 11%, 21.3%, 6.1%, and 16.5%, respectively,
reported in patients receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors for their advanced NSCLC.\textsuperscript{12}

Another study was conducted in 2019 by Noronha and colleagues to determine the impact of platinum-based chemotherapy combined with gefitinib on patients with advanced NSCLC.\textsuperscript{13} They concluded that 70\% of the patients receiving combination treatment developed rash, which was significantly higher compared with 45.8\% patients receiving the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors alone in our study. Also, 56\% of patients receiving combination therapy developed diarrhea vs 33.6\% of patients receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors only. Similarly, 96\% of patients in the combination therapy group developed some degree of anemia compared with only 8.7\% patients in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors group included in our study. In the same way, neutropenia was observed in 55\% of patients receiving combination therapy vs 6.1\% in patients receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors solely. They concluded that mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors when combined with platinum-based chemotherapy increase the incidence of AEs of chemotherapy by many folds.\textsuperscript{13,14}

Kato and colleagues conducted a study to determine the impact on AEs when erlotinib was combined with anti–vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors like bevacizumab, they stated that 98.7\% of patients in combination therapy developed rash, the incidence of which was only 45.8\% in patients receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors as was observed in our study. Similar trends were noticed with other AEs, including diarrhea, fatigue, nausea, and elevated liver enzymes.\textsuperscript{15}

With the latest advancements in the management of advanced NSCLC, nivolumab, a programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) inhibitor, was developed and either used as monotherapy in patients with PD-L1 expression or was combined with platinum-based chemotherapy regardless of PD-L1 expression.\textsuperscript{16,17} Patients expressing lower PD-L1 levels were not omitted from receiving nivolumab as no significant difference was noted in progression-free span and overall survival in patients receiving nivolumab irrespective of PD-L1 levels.\textsuperscript{15} Rash developed in 17\% of patients after receiving nivolumab vs 45.8\% patients being observed in our study. A similar trend was observed with diarrhea as only 17\% of the population receiving nivolumab developed diarrhea compared with 33.6\% of the population receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors in our study. Likewise, only 9.9\% of the patients receiving nivolumab developed nausea as an AE compared with 16.5\% being observed in mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors in our study. Also, fatigue was observed in 14.4\% of the population receiving nivolumab vs 17\% observed in patients receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors as was noticed in our study.\textsuperscript{7,8}

Rizvi and colleagues conducted a study on the role of nivolumab when combined with platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC and reported that 40\% of patients included in the study developed rash compared with 45.8\% reported in mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors in our study. Similarly, only 13\% of patients in the nivolumab group developed diarrhea vs 33.6\% cases reported in the mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors group included in our study. Also, 7\% of patients in the nivolumab group developed elevated ALT levels vs 27.9\% of patients receiving mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors included in our study, concluding that addition of immune checkpoint inhibitors like nivolumab to platinum-based chemotherapy does not increase the frequency of AEs.\textsuperscript{18}

CONCLUSIONS

Our study focused on the safety profile of mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors vs platinum-based chemotherapy in the treatment of advanced NSCLC. Mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors are safer than platinum-based chemotherapy when compared for nausea, leucopenia, fatigue, neutropenia, anorexia, anemia, cough, vomiting, and fever. On the other end, mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors cause slightly higher AEs, including rash, diarrhea, elevated AST and ALT levels, and stomatitis. However, considering that the development of mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors laid a foundation of targeted therapy, we recommend continuing using mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors in patients with advanced NSCLC especially in patients having mutant EGFR receptors. AEs caused by mutant EGFR-TK inhibitors are significant but are usually tolerable and can be avoided by reducing the dosage of it with each cycle or by skipping or delaying the dose until the patient is symptomatic.
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