

Continued from previous page

"We're not using this to cure cancer," he said in an interview. "This is something that is a service to enhance patients' self-concepts."

Some gynecologists, he said, are "very upset right now" that their patients ask for cosmetic procedures these physicians do not know how to perform. "It's a resentment that they are getting behind."

The idea that the seminars offer "industry secrets," as well as the general lack of solid data about indications and outcomes, is what concerns Dr. Erin Tracy, an ob.gyn. at Massachusetts General Hospital and a faculty member at Harvard Medical School, both in Boston.

"If they truly have procedures that are safe and beneficial for women, I would think they would want to share this data with the scientific community," she said in an interview.

"Women need to be educated that at this point, these procedures are not proven to be safe or effective, and carry risks of bleeding, infection, pain with intercourse, and scar tissue.

"As a profession, we need to sit back and make sure rigorous studies are done," said Dr. Tracy.

She and other critics also questioned potential sexual and long-term complications of aesthetic gynecologic surgery, because the labia minora contain clitoral tissue, and the labia undergo physical changes over a woman's lifetime.

"There may be real risks we just don't know because of a lack of data," she said.

"Papers are coming," promised Dr. Matlock, who said a large, multicenter outcomes study of cosmetic genital procedures has been completed and accepted for publication by the *Journal of Sexual Medicine*.

Dr. Pelosi also provided a book chapter on "Cosmetogynecology" (a trademarked term) that he said will appear this year in a textbook on minimally invasive gynecologic surgery. The chapter outlines surgical alternatives for reducing the size of the labia minora, advice about removing "loose redundant folds of skin" in the clitoral region, and a brief description of a new vaginal retractor created for vaginal tightening, a procedure with a "postoperative satisfaction rate ... over 98%."

No other data are included.

Up to now, a handful of papers in scientific journals have been dwarfed by coverage of the procedures in women's magazines and the lay press, driving requests for the procedure.

"It's obviously interesting to the media," said Dr. Matlock. "Sex sells."

A recent literature review by a trio of gynecologists from University College in London identified 40 articles on 1,000 cases of labial reduction surgery since 1976 (*BJOG* 2009;117:20-5).

Of 21 studies containing patient data, 18 described surgical procedures.

However, none was a prospective, randomized controlled study, and 15 were case reports or case series. Outcomes were generally confined to patient satisfaction, in some cases described anecdotally with such statements as, "exceedingly pleased," "had no difficulty in wearing tight pants," and "went on to marry a professional golfer." Twelve papers reported 100% patient satisfaction.

Labial dimensions were not systematically described before and after surgery, nor was a "norm" defined. Authors' perceptions from the studies included descriptions such as "grossly enlarged," "deformed," and "look like spaniel's ears.

Two noncosmetic surgical indications were cited within the papers: Vulvar discomfort caused by genital protrusion and complaints about sexual discomfort were not investigated or objectively assessed.

"This review was initially planned as a systematic review. However, it soon became clear that the available literature was extremely rudimentary and precluded the use of ... recommended methodology," the authors wrote.

"In general, there are no complications," said Dr. Pelosi, although he said papers attempting to objectively quantify such measures are routinely rejected by major ob.gyn. journals for reasons of "bias," not a lack of scientific rigor.

Papers decrying the lack of objective outcomes "miss the point," he said. "Is the patient happy or unhappy? That's what it's all about."

Beyond its scientific criticism, the British paper also commented on advertisements

for labial reduction, which the authors said promote "a homogenized, nonprotruding, and smooth-skinned aesthetic that communicates female sexual immaturity ... distorting public perceptions [and] setting a new benchmark for women."

They went on to comment: "The similarities between cosmetic labial surgery and female genital mutilation are worrying."

Cosmetic gynecologic surgeons vehemently object to both notions: that their patients request a prepubescent labial appearance, and that there are parallels between female genital cosmetic surgery and female genital mutilation.

In interviews, in fact, they characterize the surgery as empowering, the embodiment of the feminist autonomy and control over one's body—the opposite of the culture of male-dominant social control and coercion underlying female genital mutilation.

"Despite the fact that ob.gyns. are involved their whole lives in dealing with women, [they] have no idea how to meet the needs of female patients," said the elder Dr. Pelosi. "If they are treating anything objective—pain, infections—they are extremely competent, but anything beyond that, they don't want to hear about. They don't listen to what women want," he said.

Feminist literature questions this distinction, suggesting that the same social pressures that perpetuate the cultural belief that girls should be circumcised to preserve their sexuality until marriage drives what they term "mutilation by choice," based on a socially reinforced belief that women's genitals are naturally unattractive and need to be altered to be sexually appealing (*Aust. Fem. Stud.* 2009;24:233-49).

Frequently the argument is made that women have not seen hundreds of vulvas and labias to compare to their own genital appearance, and should be educated during a surgical consultation about the wide range of normal anatomy, including labia minora widths at midline ranging from 7 to 50 mm (*BJOG* 2005;112:643-6).

The Web site for Dr. Miklos and Dr. Moore explains that labiaplasty can result

in a "sleeker, thinner ... more youthful" appearance of the labia, and "inner lips [that] do not protrude past the labia majora at all, giving them a much more appealing shape and eliminating many of the symptoms of enlarged labia."

To question women's decision to obtain a different aesthetic appearance of their genitals is arrogant and demeaning, said Dr. Matlock.

"Personally, I've treated women from all 50 states and 30 countries and everyone is saying the same thing: 'My gynecologist won't listen to me,'" he said.

"We need to empower women with knowledge, choice, and alternatives," said Dr. Matlock.

Meanwhile, in the Netherlands, Dr. Karen Marieke Paarlberg reviews a booklet of 38 pictures of normal vulvas with patients requesting labiaplasty and discusses with them alternative means of addressing discomfort, if that is an issue. (She notes that few male cyclists or horseback riders undergo surgery to reduce testicular contact during sporting activities.)

"I think that more than 50% of women can be reassured by a doctor who can listen very well and who tries to reassure the woman that she is perfectly normal," she said in an interview.

"Sometimes I perform labia reduction surgery," she said, but only in adult women with serious functional complaints.

She coauthored a proposal for practical guidelines for gynecologists encountering requests for such procedures (*J. Psychosom. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 2008; 29:230-4).

Dr. Tracy of Harvard said that when she receives such requests, she often finds that "when you probe, you find [psychological] issues that should be addressed," a point emphasized in Dr. Paarlberg's proposal.

Dr. Indman's point is that gynecologists exploit patients' psychological vulnerabilities merely by offering aesthetic procedures, because the decision implies an endorsement of aesthetic deficiencies among normal women.

"We really need to do what's in the best interest of women," said Dr. Indman. "We're all struggling in our practices, but ... if our duty is to provide ethical care, in my opinion we can't do cosmetic cash procedures. I refuse to sell myself." ■

Skin Color May Affect Visual Detection of Genital Trauma

BY HEIDI SPLETE

BOSTON — The prevalence of genital injuries was significantly higher among white patients than black patients, based on a review of 2,234 women aged 13 years and older who were examined after being raped.

This may be misleading, though, because methods of recognizing these injuries can be ineffectual in black women, said Linda Rossman, M.S.N., of

Michigan State University, East Lansing, and her colleagues.

Data from previous studies have shown that direct visualization, contrast media, and colposcopy may be less effective at identifying genital injuries in darker-skinned patients, she said.

"Color awareness may be an important component of the sexual assault forensic examination," she said in a poster presented at the annual meeting of the American College of Emer-

gency Physicians. The researchers reviewed data from 2,234 consecutive female patients who were referred to a community-based Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner program (SANE) from four urban emergency departments during a 10-year period. In this study, genital injury was defined as any visible tissue trauma that could be categorized using the TEARS classification system (tears, ecchymoses, abrasions, redness, and swelling).

In this community, 83% of the women were white and 17% were black, with similar demographic characteristics, and the details of the assault cases also were similar. Overall, the prevalence of documented anogenital injuries was significantly higher in whites, compared with blacks (64% vs. 54%). The pattern of anogenital injuries was similar in both groups. The injuries typically involved the fossa navicularis, followed by the posterior

fourchette, labia, and hymen, the researchers said. In addition, the prevalence of documented nongenital injuries was significantly higher in whites, compared with blacks (39% vs. 26%).

Lacerations were the most common injuries in all patients, but whites had a significantly greater incidence of documented erythema, compared with blacks, the researchers noted. ■

Disclosures: None was reported.