Adverse Event	amlodipine		Placebo	
	M=% (N=1218)	F=% (N=512)	M=% (N=914)	F=% (N=336)
Edema	5.6	14.6	1.4	` 5.1 ´
Flushing	1.5	4.5	0.3	0.9
Palpitations	1.4	3.3	0.9	0.9
Somnolence	1.3	1.6	0.8	0.3

Palpitations

1.3

1.6

1.8

1.7

The following events occurred in ≤1% but >0.1% of patients treated with amlodipine in controlled clinical trials or under conditions of open trials or marketing experience where a causal relationship is uncertain; they are listed to alert the physician to a possible relationship: Cardiovascular: arrhythmia (including ventricular tachycardia and atrial fibrillation), bradycardia, chest pain, hypotension, peripheral ischemia, syncope, tachycardia, postural dizziness, postural hypotension, vasculitis. Central and Peripheral Nervous System: hypoesthesia, neuropathy peripheral, paresthesia, tremor, vertigo. Gastrointestinal: anorexia, constipation, dyspepsia. \*\*dysphagia, diarrhea, flatulence, pancreatitis, vomiting, gingival hyperplasia. General: allergic reaction, asthenia, \*\* back pain, hot flushes, malaise, pain, rigors, weight gain, weight decrease. Musculoskeletal System: arthralgia, arthrosis, muscle cramps, \*\* myalgia. Psychiatric: sexual dysfunction (male \*\* and female), insomnia, nervousness, depression, abnormal dreams, anxiety, depersonalization. Psepiataris Skist and Appendages: angioedema, erythema multiforme, pruritus, \*\* rash, \*\* rash erythematous, rash maculopapular. Special Senses: abnormal vision, conjunctivitis, diplopia, eye pain, tinnitus. Urinary System: inclurition frequency, micturition disorder, nocturia. Autonomic Nervous System: dry mouth, sweating increased. Metabolic and Nutritional: hyperglycemia, thirst. Hemopoletic: leukopenia, purpura, thrombocytopenia. The following events occurred in ≤0.1% of patients treated with amlodipine in controlled clinical trials or under conditions of open trials or marketing experience: cardiac failure, pulse irregularity, extrasystoles, skin discoloration, urticaria, skin dryness, alopecia, demantitis, muscle weakness, twitching, ataxia, hypertonia, migraine, cold and clammy skin, apathy, agitation, amnesia, gastritis, increased appetite, loose stools, coughing, rhinitis, dysuria, polyuria, parosmia, taste perversion,

Table 3. Adverse	Events in Pla	cebo-Controlled	Studies (%	of Patients)
------------------	---------------	-----------------	------------	--------------

Table 3. Auverse Events III Flat	statin				
Body System/ Adverse Event	Placebo N=270	10 mg N=863	20 mg N=36	40 mg N=79	80 mg N=94
BODY AS A WHOLE					
Infection	10.0	10.3	2.8	10.1	7.4
Headache	7.0	5.4	16.7	2.5	6.4
Accidental Injury	3.7	4.2	0.0	1.3	3.2
Flu Syndrome	1.9	2.2	0.0	2.5	3.2
Abdominal Pain	0.7	2.8	0.0	3.8	2.1
Back Pain	3.0	2.8	0.0	3.8	1.1
Allergic Reaction	2.6	0.9	2.8	1.3	0.0
Asthenia	1.9	2.2	0.0	3.8	0.0
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
Constipation	1.8	2.1	0.0	2.5	1.1
Diarrhea	1.5	2.7	0.0	3.8	5.3
Dyspepsia	4.1	2.3	2.8	1.3	2.1
Flatulence	3.3	2.1	2.8	1.3	1.1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
Sinusitis	2.6	2.8	0.0	2.5	6.4
Pharyngitis	1.5	2.5	0.0	1.3	2.1
SKIN AND APPENDAGES					
Rash	0.7	3.9	2.8	3.8	1.1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
Arthra <b>l</b> gia	1.5	2.0	0.0	5.1	0.0
Myalgia	1.1	3.2	5.6	1.3	0.0

Arthralgia
1.5
2.0
3.2
5.6
1.3
3.2
3.6
Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (ASCOT): In ASCOT involving 10,305 participants treated with atorvastatin 10 mg daily (n=5,168) or placebo (n=5,137), the safety and tolerability profile of the group treated with atorvastatin was comparable to that of the group treated with placebo during a median of 3.3 years of follow-up. The following adverse events were reported, regardless of causality assessment, in patients treated with atorvastatin in clinical trials. The events in italics occurred in ≥2% of patients. Body as a Whole: Chest pain, face edema, fever, neck rigidity, malaise, photosensitivity reaction, generalized edema. Digestive System: Nausea, gastroenteritis, liver function tests abnormal, collitis, vomiting, gastritis, dry mouth, rectal hemorrhage, esophagitis, eructation, glossitis, mouth ulceration, anorexia, increased appetite, stomatitis, beliary pain, cheilitis, cholestatic jaundice. Respiratory System: Bronchitis, rhinitis, pneumonia, dyspnea, asthma, epistaxis. Nervous System: Insomnia. dizziness, paresthesia, somnolence, ammesia, abnormal dreams, libido decreased, emotional lability, incoordination, peripheral neuropathy, torticollis, facial paralysis, hyperkinesia, depression, hypesthesia, hypertonia. Musculoskeletal System: Arthritis, leg cramps, bursitis, tenosynovitis, myasthenia, tendinous contracture, myositis. Skin and Appendages: Pruritus, contact dermatitis, alopecia, dry skin, sweating, acne, uriclacria, eczema, seborrhea, skin ulcer. Urogenital System: Urinary tract infection, urinary frequency, cystitis, hematuria, impotence, dysuria, kidney calculus, nocturia, epididymitis, fibrocystic breast, vaginal hemorrhage, albuminuria, breast enlargement, metrorrhagia, nephritis, urinary incontinence, urinary retention, urinary gream, abnormal ejaculation, utrinary frequency, cystitis, hematuria, apina pectoris, hypertension. Metabolic and Nutritional Disorder: Peripheral edema, hyperplycemia, creatine phosphokinase increased, gout. weight gain safety and tolerability profile of atorvastatin 10 to 20 mg daily was generally similar to that of placebo (see PRECAUTIONS, Pediatric Use).

OVERDOSAGE: There is no information on overdosage with CADUET in humans. Information on Amlodipine: Single oral doses of

OVERDOSAGE: There is no information on overdosage with CADUET in humans. Information on Amlodipine: Single oral doses of amlodipine maleate equivalent to 40 mg amlodipine/kg and 100 mg amlodipine/kg in mice and rats, respectively, caused deaths. Single oral amlodipine maleate doses equivalent to 40 mg amlodipine/kg and 100 mg amlodipine/kg in mice and rats, respectively, caused deaths. Single oral amlodipine maleate doses equivalent to 40 more mg amlodipine/kg in dogs (11 or more times the maximum recommended clinical dose on a mg/m² basis) caused a marked peripheral vasodilation and hypotension. Overdosage might be expected to cause excessive peripheral vasodilation with marked hypotension and possibly a reflex tachycardia. In humans, experience with intentional overdosage of amlodipine is limited. Reports of intentional overdosage include a patient who ingested 250 mg and was saymptomatic and was not hospitalized; another (120 mg) was hospitalized, underwent gastric lavage and remained normotensive; the third (105 mg) was hospitalized and had hypotension (90/50 mmHg) which normalized following plasma expansion. A patient who took 70 mg amlodipine and an unknown quantity of benzodiazepine in a suicide attempt developed shock which was refractory to treatment and died the following day with abnormally high benzodiazepine plasma concentration. A case of accidental drug overdose has been documented in a 19-month-old male who ingested 30 mg amlodipine (about 2 mg/kg). During the emergency room presentation, vital signs were stable with no evidence of hypotension, but a heart rate of 180 bpm. Ipecac was administered 3.5 hours after ingestion and on subsequent observation (overnight) no sequelae were noted. If massive overdose should occur, active cardiac and respiratory monitoring should be instituted. Frequent blood pressure measurements are essential. Should hypotension occur, cardiovascular support including elevation of the extremities and the judicious administration of fluids should be initiated. If hypotensi

\*\*These events occurred in less than 1% in placebo-controlled trials, but the incidence of these side effects was between 1% and 2% in all multiple dose studies.

 ${f R}$  only Manufactured by:
Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals
Dublin, Ireland

LN273466



Rev. 1 October 2004

© 2004 Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals

## Intra-Arterial Stroke Therapy Has Rapid Effect on Some

BY JANE SALODOF MACNEIL

Southwest Bureau

SAN FRANCISCO — One in four consecutive acute ischemic stroke patients displayed immediate improvement within a day of receiving intra-arterial thrombolytic treatment, the investigator of a retrospective 108-patient study reported at the 32nd International Stroke Conference.

Faster time to treatment, greater reper-

fusion, and good pial collateral fordistinmation guished the 26 patients (24.5%) who displayed the "Lazarus phenomenon" from those who did not make rapid recoveries, according to a poster



presented by Dr. Gregory A. Christoforidis and his colleagues.

'Some people improve even on the table after you dissolve the clot," Dr. Christoforidis, an interventional radiologist at Ohio State University, Columbus, said Feb. 8 at the meeting, which was sponsored by the American Stroke Association. This improved condition disrupted the procedure in some cases, he said, as they started to move limbs that had been immobilized.

All patients in the study underwent intra-arterial thrombolytic treatment within 6 hours of symptom onset. The invesdefined phenomenon" as a decrease in the National Institutes of Health Stroke scale (NIHSS) score by at least 50% within the first 24 hours after treatment.

The 49 women and 59 men in the study presented with a median NIHSS score of 16. Lazarus phenomenon patients improved a median of 10 points during the first 24 hours.

Although intra-arterial treatment has a longer time window than the 0- to 3-hour standard for intravenous treatment with clot-busting drugs, time to treatment was one of three significant factors favoring the Lazarus phenomenon in a multivariate

Nearly half the patients with 50% or greater reperfusion experienced rapid recovery.

DR. CHRISTOFORIDIS

analysis. Most patients in the study were treated between 3 and 6 hours after onset of symptoms. Those who recovered rapidly were treated within 198 minutes on average vs. 299 minutes for the others.

Nearly all the Lazarus phenomenon patients (92.3%) had good pial collateral formation vs. 59.7% of the other patients. The investigators scored pial collaterals on the basis of angiography. They said the study suggests that patients with a large ischemic penumbra, as evidenced by greater pial collateral formation, are most likely to benefit from reperfusion.

Nearly half the patients with 50% or greater reperfusion experienced the Lazarus phenomenon. It was not seen in any patients with poor reperfusion and poor pial collateral formation.

None of the other factors studied—systolic blood pressure, admitting glucose levels, admitting platelet levels, site of occlusion thrombolytic agent, and age—was significant in predicting rapid recovery.

## Neurocognitive Function May Get A Boost From Carotid Stenting

HOLLYWOOD, FLA. — Carotid artery stenting produced sustained and significant improvements in neurocognitive function in a study with 37 patients who were followed for a year.

The finding was unexpected because half of the patients were classified as asymptomatic at the time that they underwent CAS, suggesting that their initial carotid stenosis had a physiologic impact that went unnoticed, Dr. Rodney Raabe said at the 19th International Symposium on Endovascular Therapy.

It's been generally assumed that the circle of Willis and collateral circulation provides enough brain perfusion to prevent ischemia and reduced brain function in patients with carotid stenosis who lack the conventional symptoms of stroke or transient ischemic attack. But if future results continue to dispute this, then improved brain function may become a new goal for CAS or endarterectomy, said Dr. Raabe, chief of radiology at Sacred Heart Medical Center in Spokane, Wash.

The study enrolled 62 patients who were divided evenly between symptomatic and

asymptomatic. The patients were assessed by a panel of 12 neurocognitive tests at baseline and during follow-up by a neuropsychologist and psychometrician. The patients at baseline served as their own controls. Symptomatic patients had a minimum of 70% carotid stenosis; asymptomatic patients had at least 80% stenosis. All patients were treated with an Acculink stent and distal protection device.

So far, 37 of the patients were assessed 1 year after stenting and they showed, on average, statistically significant improvements in several neurocognitive parameters, including memory and intelligence. When assessed individually, 16 of the 37 had improvements in their dementia-rating score that were more than a half standard deviation better than their baseline levels, and another 20 patients had stable scores. Only one patient had a substantial decline in cognition.

Improvements in neurocognitive scores began appearing 3 months after CAS, and by 6 months the differences had widened and become significant, Dr. Raabe said.

-Mitchel L. Zoler

