# Hypoglycemia Predicts Higher Mortality in CAP

# BY KATE JOHNSON

MONTREAL — Patients with hypoglycemia at the time of hospitalization for community-acquired pneumonia have an increased risk of death, compared with patients with normoglycemia, according to a study reported at the World Diabetes Congress.

"Hypoglycemia is an easy-to-measure variable on admission, and should be a

red flag to alert physicians to possible high-risk pneumonia patients," said John-Michael Gamble, of the University of Alberta (Edmonton).

Because an influx of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) cases resulting from pandemic influenza A(H1N1) is expected in hospital intensive care units, quick recognition of high-risk factors is particularly important, Mr. Gamble said in an interview.

His prospective study included 956 CAP patients admitted to six Edmonton hospitals between 2000 and 2002, for whom random venous blood glucose tests measured 6.1 mmol/L or lower.

Hypoglycemia was defined as a measurement less than 4.0 mmol/L, and normoglycemia was defined as a measurement between 4.0 mmol/L and 6.1 mmol/L

The primary outcome of the study

TYGACIL® (tigecycline) Brief Summary See package insert for full Prescribing Information. For further product information and current package insert, please visit www.wyeth.com or call our medical communications department toll-free at 1-800-934-5556. INDICATIONS AND USAGE TYGACIL is indicated for the treatment of adults with complicated skin and skin structure infections caused by Escherichia coli, Entercocccus faecalis (vancomycin-susceptible isolates), Staphylococcus aureus (methicillin-susceptible and -resistant lositates), Streptococcus agalactiae, Streptococcus angious grp. (includes S. anginosus, S. intermedius, and S. constellatus), Streptococcus pyogenes, Enterobacter cloacae, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Bacteroides fragilis. TYGACIL is indicated for the treatment of adults with complicated intra-abdominal infections caused by Citrobacter freundii, Enterobacter cloacae, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella oxytoca, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Enterocus sangiouss, S. Streptococcus anginosus grp. (includes S. anginosus, S. intermedius, and S. constellatus), Bacteroides fragilis, Bacteroides thetaiatoamicron, Bacteroides uniformis, Bacteroides vulgatus, Clostridium perfringens, and Peptostreptococcus micro.

Sureproductus anymusus grp. (includes S. anginosus, S. intermedius, and S. constellatus), Bacteroides fragi Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, Bacteroides uniformis, Bacteroides vulgatus, Clostridium pertringens, and Peptostreptococcus micros. TYGACIL is indicated for the treatment of adults with community-acquired pneumonia infections caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae (penicillin-susceptible isolates), including cases with concurrent bacteremia, Haemophilus influenzae (beta-lactamase negative isolates), and Legionella pneumophila. CONTRAINDICATIONS TYGACIL is contrained for the interview in the second secon

TYGACIL is contraindicated for use in patients who have known hypersensitivity to tigecycline WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

Warkinkos AND Prictau LINIS Anaphytaxis/Anaphytactoid Reactions Anaphytaxis/Anaphytactoid reactions have been reported with nearly all antibacterial agents, including TYGACIL, and may be life-threatening. TYGACIL is structurally similar to tetracycline-class antibiotics and should be administered with caution in patients with known hypersensitivity to tetracycline-class antibiotics.

Should be administered with Caduoin in patients with known hypersensitivity to tenacycline-class and/oticls. **Hepatic Effects** Increases in total bilirubin concentration, prothrombin time and transaminases have been seen in patients treated with tigecycline. Isolated cases of significant hepatic dysfunction and hepatic failure have been reported in patients being treated with tigecycline. Some of these patients were receiving multiple concomitant medications. Patients who develop abnormal liver function tests during tigecycline therapy should be monitored for evidence of worsening hepatic function and evaluated for risk/benefit of continuing tigecycline therapy. Adverse events may occur after the drue has been discontinued.

hepatic function and evaluated for risk/benefit or community ugecycline arcrap, reference events, and drug has been discontinued. Mortality imbalance and Lower Cure Rates in Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia A study of patients with hospital acquired pneumonia failed to demonstrate the efficacy of TYGACIL. In this study, patients were andomized to receive TYGACIL (100 mg initially, then 50 mg every 12 hours) or a comparator. In addition, patients were allowed to receive TYGACIL (100 mg initially, then 50 mg every 12 hours) or a comparator. In addition, patients were allowed to receive TYGACIL (100 mg initially, then 50 mg every 12 hours) or a comparator. In addition, and greater mortality (25/131 [19.1%) versus 14/122 [11.5%]) than the comparator. Use During Pregnancy TYGACIL may cause fetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman. If the patient becomes pregnant while taking tigecycline, the patients) and fetal tissues. Decreased fetal weights in rats and rabbits (with associated delays in ossification) and fetal loss in rabbits have been observed with tigecycline [see USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS]. Tooth Development

SPECIFIC FUPULATIONS): Tooth Development The use of TYGACIL during tooth development (last half of pregnancy, infancy, and childhood to the age of 8 years) may cause permanent discoloration. TYGACIL they have been used they be an end of the teeth (yellow-gray-brown). Results of studies in rats with TYGACIL have shown bone discoloration. TYGACIL should not be used during tooth development unless other drugs are not likely to be effective or are contraindicated. Clostridium difficile associated diarrhea (CDAD) has been reported with use of nearly all antibacterial agents, including TYGACIL, and may range in severity from mild diarrhea to fatal collits. Treatment with antibacterial agents alters the normal flora of the colon leading to overgrowth of C. difficile cause increased morbidity and mortality, as these infections can be refractory to antimicrobial therapy and may require colectomy. CDAD must be considered in all patient who present with diarrhea following antibiotic use. Carrell medical history is necessary since CDAD has been reported to occur over two months after the administration of antibacterial agents. If CDAD is suspected or confirmed, ongoing antibiotic use not directed against C. difficile may need to be discontinued. Appropriate fluid and electrolyte management, protein supplementation, antibiotic treatment of C. difficile, and surgical evaluation should be instituted as clinically indicated. **Patients With Intestinal Perforation** 

# ents With Intestinal Perforation

Patients With Intestinal Perforation Caution should be exercised when considering TYGACIL monotherapy in patients with complicated intra-abdominal infections (cIAI) secondary to clinically apparent intestinal perforation. In cIAI studies (n=1642), 6 patients treated with TYGACIL and 2 patients treated with imigenem/cilastatin presented with intestinal perforations and developed sepsis/ septic shock. The 6 patients treated with TYGACIL had higher APACHE II scores (median = 13) versus the 2 patients treated with imigenem/cilastatin (APACHE II scores = 4 and 6). Due to differences in baseline APACHE II scores betwee treatment groups and small overall numbers, the relationship of this outcome to treatment cannot be established. Tetracycline-Class Effects

TYGACL is structurally similar to tetracycline-class antibiotics and may have similar adverse effects. Such effects may include: photosensitivity, pseudotumor cerebri, and anti-anabolic action (which has led to increased BUN, azot acidosis, and hyperphosphatemia). As with tetracyclines, pancreatitis has been reported with the use of TYGACLL. Superinfection

Actions, and hyperprocess and hyperprocess and the access and acce

observed in practice. In clinical trials, 2514 patients were treated with TYGACIL. TYGACIL was discontinued due to adverse reactions in 7% of patients compared to 6% for all comparators. Table 1 shows the incidence of treatment-emergent adverse reactions through test of cure reported in ≥2% of patients in these trials. Table 1. Incidence (%) of Adverse Reactions Through Test of Cure Parameter 20% of Patients Treated in Clinical Studies

| Body System                    | TYGACIL     | Comparators <sup>a</sup> |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| Adverse Reactions              | (N=2514)    | (N=2307)                 |
| Body as a Whole                |             |                          |
| Abdominal pain                 | 6           | 4                        |
| Abscess                        | 3<br>3<br>6 | 4<br>3<br>2<br>7         |
| Asthenia                       | 3           | 2                        |
| Headache                       | 6           | 7                        |
| Infection                      | 8           | 5                        |
| Cardiovascular System          |             |                          |
| Phlebitis                      | 3           | 4                        |
| Digestive System               |             |                          |
| Diarrhea                       | 12          | 11                       |
| Dyspepsia                      | 2           | 2                        |
| Nausea                         | 26          | 13                       |
| Vomiting                       | 18          | 9                        |
| Hemic and Lymphatic System     |             |                          |
| Anemia                         | 4           | 5                        |
| Metabolic and Nutritional      |             |                          |
| Alkaline Phosphatase Increased | 4           | 3                        |
| Amylase Increased              |             | 3<br>2                   |
| Bilirubinemia                  | 2           | 1                        |
| BUN Increased                  | 3<br>2<br>3 | 1                        |
| Healing Abnormal               |             | 3                        |
| Hypoproteinemia                | 4<br>5      | 3<br>3<br>5              |
| SGOT Increased <sup>b</sup>    | 4           | 5                        |
| SGPT Increased <sup>b</sup>    | 5           | 5                        |
| Nervous System                 | 5           | Ŭ                        |
| Dizziness                      | 3           | 3                        |
| Skin and Appendages            | 5           | Ŭ                        |
| Bash                           | 3           | 4                        |

<sup>a</sup> Vancomycin/Aztreonam, Imipenem/Cilastatin, Levofloxacin, Linezolid.
<sup>b</sup> LFT abnormalities in TYGACIL-treated patients were reported more frequently in the post therapy period than those in comparator-treated patients, which occurred more often on therapy.
In Phase 3 double-blind studies that included a comparator and employed a 1:1 randomization, death occurred in 4.7% (107/2274) of patients receiving TYGACIL and 3.8% (85/2264) of patients receiving comparator drugs. In a pooled analysis of these studies, the risk difference of all-cause mortality was 1.0% (95% Cl -0.3, 2.2) between TYGACIL and comparator reated patients. No significant differences were observed between treatments by infection type (see Table 2). Generally, deaths represented complications of the underlying disease or progression of disease. A causal relationship to TYGACIL has not been established.

| Table 2. Patients with Adverse Events with Outcome of Death by Infection Type |         |      |            |      |                  |  |
|---|---------|------|------------|------|------------------|--|
|   | TYGACIL |      | Comparator |      | Risk Difference* |  |
| Infection Type  | n/N     | %    | n/N        | %    | % (95%Cl)        |  |
| cSSSI   | 6/566   | 1.1  | 1/550      | 0.2  | 0.9 (-0.3, 2.2)  |  |
| cIAI  | 24/817  | 2.9  | 17/825     | 2.1  | 0.9 (-0.8, 2.6)  |  |
| CAP   | 12/424  | 2.8  | 11/422     | 2.6  | 0.2 (-2.3, 2.7)  |  |
| HAP   | 65/467  | 13.9 | 56/467     | 12.0 | 1.9 (-2.6, 6.4)  |  |
| Non-VAP <sup>a</sup>  | 40/336  | 11.9 | 42/345     | 12.2 | -0.3 (-5.4, 4.9) |  |
| VAPa  | 25/131  | 19.1 | 14/122     | 11.5 | 7.6 (-2.0, 16.9) |  |

CAP = Community-acquired pneumonia; cIAI = Complicated intra-abdominal infections; cSSSI=Complicated skin and skin structure infections; HAP = Hospital-acquired pneumonia; VAP=Ventilator-associated pneumonia. \* The difference between the percentage of patients who died in TVGACIL and comparator treatment groups. \* These are subgroups of the HAP population. Note: The Phase 3 Studies include 300 and 305 (cSSSI), 301 and 306 (cIAI), 308 and 313 (CAP), and 311 (HAP).

In comparative clinical studies, infection-related serious adverse events were more frequently reported for subjects treated with TYGACIL (7%) versus comparators (6%). Serious adverse events of sepsis/septic shock were more frequently reported for subjects treated with TYGACIL (2%) versus comparators (1%). Due to baseline differences between treatment groups in this subset of patients, the relationship of this outcome to treatment cannot be established [see WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS].

established [see WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS]. The most common treatment-emergent adverse reactions were nausea and vomiting which generally occurred during the first 1 – 2 days of therapy. The majority of cases of nausea and vomiting associated with TYGACIL and comparators were either mild or moderate in severity. In patients treated with TYGACIL, nausea incidence was 26% (17% mild, 8% moderate, 1% severe) and vomiting incidence was 18% (11% mild, 6% worker). In patients treated for complicated skin and skin structure infections (cSSS), nausea incidence was 35% for TYGACIL and 9% for vancomycin/aztreonam; vomiting incidence was 20% for TYGACIL and 4% for vancomycin/aztreonam. In patients treated for complicated intra-abdominal infections (cAI), nausea incidence was 25% for TYGACIL and 21% for imigenem/cilastatin, vomiting incidence was 20% for TYGACIL and 15% for imigenem/cilastatin. In patients treate tor community-acquired bacterial pneumonal (CABP), nausea incidence was 24% for TYGACIL and 8% for levofloxacin. Discontinuation from tincevcing was most frequently associated with nausea (1%) and vomiting (1%).

vomming incidence was 16% for TYGACIL and 6% for levofloxacin. Discontinuation from tigecycline was most frequently associated with nausea (1%) and vomiting (1%). For comparators, discontinuation was most frequently associated with nausea (1%). The following adverse reactions were reported infrequently second to the interview of the intervie

Metabolic/Nutritional System: increased creatinine, hypocalcemia, hypoglycemia, hyponatremia

Metabolic/Nutritonal System: nucleased or animo, increased international problem in the approximation of the animological problem in the prob

Urogenital System: vaginal moniliasis, vaginitis, leukorrhea Post-Marketing Experience The following adverse reactions have been identified during postapproval use of TYGACIL. Because these reactions are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not always possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish causal relationship to drug exposure. Anaphylaxis/anaphylactoid reactions, acute pancreatitis, hepatic cholestasis, and jaundice. DRUG INTERACTIONS

Prothrombin time or other suitable anticoagulation test should be monitored if tigecycline is administered with warfarin [see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY (12.3) in full Prescribing Information]. Oral Contraceptives

Concurrent use of antibacterial drugs with oral contraceptives may render oral contraceptives less effective. USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS

Use in SPECIFIC PUPULATIONS Pregnancy Teratogenic Effects—Pregnancy Category D [see WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS] Tigecycline was not teratogenic in the rat or rabbit. In preclinical safety studies, <sup>14</sup>C-labeled tigecycline crossed the placenta and was found in fetal tissues, including fetal bony structures. The administration of tigecycline was associated with slight reductions in fetal weights and an increased incidence of minor skeletal anomalies (delays in bone ossfication) at exposures of 5 times and 1 times the human daily dose based on AUC in rats and rabbits, respectively (28 mcg-hr/mL and 6 mcg-hr/mL at 12 and 4 mg/kg/day). An increased incidence of fetal loss was observed at matemotoxic doses in the rabbits with exposure equivalent to human dose. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of tigecycline in pregnant women. TYGACIL should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus. Nursing Mothers

Integrating only in the potential behavior busines the potential risk to the redus. Nursing Mothers Results from animal studies using <sup>14</sup>C-labeled tigecycline indicate that tigecycline is excreted readily via the milk of lacating rats. Consistent with the limited oral bioavailability of tigecycline, there is little or no systemic exposure to tigecycline in nursing pups as a result of exposure via maternal milk. It is not known whether this drug is excreted in human milk. Because many drugs are excreted in human milk, caution should be exercised when TYGACIL is administered to a nursing woman [see WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS].

Safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients below the age of 18 years have not been established. Because of effects on tooth development, use in patients under 8 years of age is not recommended [see WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS] Geriatric Use

Genatric Use Of the total number of subjects who received TYGACIL in Phase 3 clinical studies (n=2514), 664 were 65 and over, while 288 were 75 and over. No unexpected overall differences in safety or effectiveness were observed between these subjects and younger subjects, but greater sensitivity to adverse events of some older individuals cannot be

Index outputs and younger subjects but grader containing a drone of one of one of our manufactor control of a No significant difference in tigecycline exposure was observed between healthy elderly subjects and younger subjects following a single 100 mg dose of tigecycline [see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY (12.3) in full Prescribing Information]. Hepatic Impairment

Hepatic Impairment No dosage adjustment is warranted in patients with mild to moderate hepatic impairment (Child Pugh A and Child Pugh B). In patients with severe hepatic impairment (Child Pugh C), the initial dose of tigecycline should be 100 mg followed by a reduced maintenance dose of 25 mg every 12 hours. Patients with severe hepatic impairment (Child Pugh C) should be treated with caution and monitored for treatment response [see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY (12.3) and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION (2.2) in full Prescribing Information]. OVERDOSAGE

OVERDOSAGE No specific information is available on the treatment of overdosage with tigecycline. Intravenous administration of TYGACIL at a single dose of 300 mg over 60 minutes in healthy volunteers resulted in an increased incidence of nausea and vomiting. In single-dose intravenous toxicity studies conducted with tigecycline in mice, the estimated median lethal dose (LD<sub>5</sub>0) was 124 mg/kg in males and 98 mg/kg in females. In rats, the estimated LD<sub>50</sub> was 106 mg/kg for both sexes. Tigecycline is not removed in significant quantities by hemodialysis. This Brief Summary is based on TYGACIL direction circular W10521C011 ET01, revised 08/09

was in-hospital mortality. Secondary outcomes included 30-day and 1-year mortality. The mean age of the patients was 65 years, and 15% resided in nursing homes

Hypoglycemia was present at hospital admission in 54 patients (6%); among those patients, fewer than half (46%) were previously diagnosed diabetes patients.

The mortality rate was significantly greater at all time points among patients with hypoglycemia at admission, compared with normoglycemic patients, Mr. Gamble reported.

The in-hospital and 30-day mortality rates were both 20% for patients with hypoglycemia at admission, compared with 9% and 10%, respectively, in those with normoglycemia.

Similarly, at 1 year, patients with hypoglycemia at admission had a 35% mortality rate, compared with 25% in those patients with normoglycemia.

In addition to adjusting for age, sex, comorbidities, medication, and nursing home residence, the study adjusted for pneumonia severity index (PSI), smoking status, presence of advance directives, previous pneumococcal vaccine, and direct admission to the ICU. Several additional sensitivity analyses included clinical markers of physiologic stress, exclusion of patients admitted to the ICU, and exclusion of patients with diabetes.

Whether high or low, blood glucose abnormalities in general "may serve as a marker for sicker patients," commented Dr. Silvio Inzucchi, professor of medicine and clinical director of the section of endocrinology at Yale University, New Haven, Conn. Among nondiabetic patients, blood glucose abnormalities may be "particularly dangerous," Dr. Inzucchi explained in a separate presentation at the meeting.

Endocrinologists and intensivists are facing a "pendulum swing" regarding inpatient glucose control, Dr. Inzucchi noted, in light of a recent publication suggesting "very surprisingly" that intensive versus conventional control of hyperglycemia is associated with a 15-fold increase in hypoglycemia and significantly higher mortality (27.5% versus 24.9%) (N. Engl. J. Med. 2009;360:1283-97).

As a result, Dr. Inzucchi helped draft the recent American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American Diabetes Association Consensus Statement on Inpatient Glycemic Control, which recognizes the potential hypoglycemic risks of intensive control and recommends relaxing target blood glucose levels (Diabetes Care 2009;32:1344-5; Endocr. Pract. 2009;15:353-69).

"Specifically in the case of CAP, we need to look at the risks and benefits of treating admission hypoglycemia," Mr. Gamble commented.

Mr. Gamble said he had no conflicts of interest. Dr. Inzucchi declared paid lecturing with Novo Nordisk, an advisory board agreement with Medtronic Inc., research sponsored by Eli Lilly Co., and CME program participation in which Sanofi-Aventis was a funding source.