Underinsured Children Outnumber Uninsured

BY NASEEM S. MILLER

FROM THE NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL OF MEDICINE

hildren who are underinsured outnumber uninsured children and are almost as likely to have problems with health care access and quality, according to a study of more than 90,000 children.

Nearly a quarter of children with con-

tinuous health care coverage in 2007 did not have coverage adequate enough to provide access to appropriate services and providers, wrote lead author Michael Kogan, Ph.D., of the Health Resources and Services Administration's Maternal and Child Health Bureau, and his colleagues.

They analyzed data collected from the 2007 National Survey of Children's Health, conducted by random-digital-dial interviews with the parents or guardians

of 91,642 children. They found that in 2007, 19% (14.1 million) of all U.S. children were underinsured (continuous but inadequate coverage), while 5% (3.4 million) were uninsured, and 10% (7.6 million) were sometimes insured. In contrast, 66% (48.2 million) were fully insured.

Children with private insurance were twice as likely to be underinsured as were those with public insurance, for example coverage under either Medicaid or

Bx Only

a State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP), they wrote. Inadequate coverage of charges was the most common source of underinsurance, accounting for 12.1 million children.

Certain groups of insured children were more likely to be underinsured: those older than 6 years, Hispanic and black children, those in the Midwest, and those who had special health care needs.

Underinsured children had no access

I ANTUS®

(insulin glargine [rDNA origin] injection) solution for subcutaneous injection

Brief Summary of Prescribing Information

INDICATIONS AND USAGE

LANTUS is indicated to improve glycemic control in adults and children with type 1 diabetes mellitus and in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Important Limitations of Use:

• LANTUS is not recommended for the treatment of diabetic ketoacidosis. Intravenous short-acting insulin is the preferred treatment for this condition. DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

2.1 Dosing

LANTUS is a recombinant human insulin analog for once daily subcutaneous administration with potency that is approximately the same as the potency of human insulin. LANTUS exhibits a relatively constant glucose-lowering profile over 24 hours that permits once-daily dosing.

LANTUS may be administered at any time during the day. LANTUS should be administered subcutaneously once a day at the same time every day. The dose of LANTUS must be individualized based on clinical response. Blood glucose monitoring is essential in all patients receiving insulin therapy.

Patients adjusting the amount or timing of dosing with LANTUS, should only do so under medical supervision with appropriate glucose monitoring [see Warnings and Precautions (5.1).]

In patients with type 1 diabetes, LANTUS must be used in regimens with short-acting insulin.

The intended duration of activity of LANTUS is dependent on injection into subcutaneous tissue [see Clinical pharmacology (12.2) in the full prescribing information]. LANTUS should not be administered intravenously or via an insulin pump. Intravenous administration of the usual subcutaneous doe could result in severe hypoglycemia [see Warnings and Precautions (5.3)].

severe hypoglycemia [see Warnings and Precautions (5.3)]. As with all insulins, injection sites should be rotated within the same region (abdomen, thigh, or deltoid) from one injection to the next to reduce the risk of lipodystrophy [See Adverse Reactions (6.1)]. In clinical studies, there was no clinically relevant difference in insulin glargine absorption after abdominal, deltoid, or thigh subcutaneous administration. As for all insulins, the rate of absorption, and consequently the onset and duration of action, may be affected by exercise and other variables, such as stress, intercurrent illness, or changes in co-administered drugs or meal patterns. or changes in co-administered drugs or meal patterns.

2.2 Initiation of LANTUS therapy The recommended starting dose of LANTUS in patients with type 1 diabetes should be approximately one-third of the total daily insulin requirements. Short-acting, premeal insulin should be used to satisfy the remainder of the daily insulin requirements.

The recommended starting dose of LANTUS in patients with type 2 diabetes who are not currently treated with insulin is 10 units (or 0.2 Units/kg) once daily, which should subsequently be adjusted to the patient's needs.

The dose of LANTUS should be adjusted according to blood glucose measure-ments. The dosage of LANTUS should be individualized under the supervision of a healthcare provider in accordance with the needs of the patient.

2.3 Converting to LANTUS from other insulin therapies

If changing from a treatment regimen with an intermediate- or long-acting insulin to a regimen with LANTUS, the amount and timing of shorter-acting insulins and doses of any oral anti-diabetic drugs may need to be adjusted.
If transferring patients from once-daily NPH insulin to once-daily LANTUS, the recommended initial LANTUS dose is the same as the dose of NPH that is being dispertived.

- being discontinued.
- If transferring patients from twice-daily NPH insulin to once-daily LANTUS, the recommended initial LANTUS dose is 80% of the total NPH dose that is being discontinued. This dose reduction will lower the likelihood of hypoglycemia [see Warnings and Precautions (5.3)].
- CONTRAINDICATIONS

LANTUS is contraindicated in patients with hypersensitivity to LANTUS or one of its

WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

5.1 Dosage adjustment and monitoring Glucose monitoring is essential for all patients receiving insulin therapy. Changes to an insulin regimen should be made cautiously and only under medical supervi-

Changes in insulin strength, manufacturer, type, or method of administration may result in the need for a change in insulin dose or an adjustment in concomitant oral anti-diabetic treatment.

As with all insulin preparations, the time course of action for LANTUS may vary in different individuals or at different times in the same individual and is dependent on many conditions, including the local blood supply, local temperature, and physical activity. **5.2** Administration

Do not administer LANTUS intravenously or via an insulin pump. The intended duration of activity of LANTUS is dependent on injection into subcutaneous tissue Intravenous administration of the usual subcutaneous dose could result in severe hypoglycemia [see Warnings and Precautions (5.3)]. Do not dilute or mix LANTUS with any other insulin or solution. If LANTUS is diluted or mixed, the solution may become cloudy, and the pharmacokinetic or pharma-codynamic profile (e.g., onset of action, time to peak effect) of LANTUS and the mixed insulin may be altered in an unpredictable manner. When LANTUS and regular human insulin were mixed immediately before injection in dogs, a delayed onset of action and a delayed time to maximum effect for regular human insulin was observed. The teth bioarcellability of the mixture was placed on set of action and a delayed time to maximum effect for regular human insulin was observed. The total bioavailability of the mixture was also slightly decreased compared to separate injections of LANTUS and regular human insulin. The relevance of these observations in dogs to humans is unknown. Do not share disposable or reusable insulin devices or needles between patients,

because doing so carries a risk for transmission of blood-borne pathogens 5.3 Hypoglycemia

Hypoglycemia is the most common adverse reaction of insulin, including LANTUS. The risk of hypoglycemia increases with intensive glycemic control. Patients must be educated to recognize and manage hypoglycemia. Severe hypoglycemia can lead to unconsciousness or convulsions and may result in temporary or permanent

lead to unconsciousness or convulsions and may result in temporary or permanent impairment of brain function or death. Severe hypoglycemia requiring the assistance of another person or parenteral glucose infusion or glucagon administration has been observed in clinical trials with insulin, including trials with LANTUS. The timing of hypoglycemia usually reflects the time-action profile of the adminis-tered insulin formulations. Other factors such as changes in food intake (e.g., amount of food or timing of meals), exercise, and concomitant medications may also alter the risk of hypoglycemia [See Drug Interactions (7]]. The prolonged effect of subcutaneous LANTUS may delay recovery from hypogly-cemia. Patients being switched from twice daily NPH insulin to once-daily LANTUS should have their initial LANTUS dose reduced by 20% from the previous total daily NPH dose to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia [see Dosage and Administration (2.3)]

NPH dose to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia [see Dosage and Administration (2.3)]. As with all insulins, use caution in patients with hypoglycemia unawareness and in patients who may be predisposed to hypoglycemia (e.g., the pediatric population and patients who fast or have erratic food intake). The patient's ability to concentrate and react may be impaired as a result of hypoglycemia. This may present a risk in situations where these abilities are especially important, such as driving or operating other other machinery.

other machinery. Early warning symptoms of hypoglycemia may be different or less pronounced under certain conditions, such as longstanding diabetes, diabetic neuropathy, use of medications such as beta-blockers, or intensified glycemic control. These situations may result in severe hypoglycemia (and, possibly, loss of consciousness) prior to the patient's awareness of hypoglycemia. 5.4 Hypersensitivity and allergic reactions

Severe, life-threatening, generalized allergy, including anaphylaxis, can occur with insulin products, including LANTUS.

5.5 Renal impairment

Due to its long duration of action, Lantus is not recommended during periods of Although studies have not been performed in patients with diabetes and renal impairment, a reduction in the LANTUS dose may be required in patients with renal impairment because of reduced insulin metabolism, similar to observations found with other insulins. [See Clinical Pharmacology (12.3) in the full prescribing information].

5.6 Hepatic impairment

5.6 Hepatic impairment Due to its long duration of action, Lantus is not recommended during periods of rapidly declining hepatic function because of the risk for prolonged hypoglycemia. Although studies have not been performed in patients with diabetes and hepatic impairment, a reduction in the LANTUS dose may be required in patients with hepatic impairment because of reduced capacity for gluconeogenesis and reduced insulin metabolism, similar to observations found with other insulins. [See Clinical Pharmacology (12.3) in the full prescribing information]. 5.7 Drug interactions

Some medications may alter insulin requirements and subsequently increase the risk for hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia [See Drug Interactions (7)]. 6. ADVERSE REACTIONS

- The following adverse reactions are discussed elsewhere:
- Hypoglycemia [See Warnings and Precautions (5.3)]

 Hypersensitivity and allergic reactions [See Warnings and Precautions (5.4)]
 Clinical trial experience Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying designs, the adverse reaction rates reported in one clinical trial may not be easily compared to those rates

reported in another clinical trial, and may not reflect the rates actually observed in clinical practice.

The frequencies of treatment-emergent adverse events during LANTUS clinical trials in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus and type 2 diabetes mellitus are listed in the tables below

Table 1: Treatment –emergent adverse events in pooled clinical trials up to 28 weeks duration in adults with type 1 diabetes (adverse events with frequency \geq 5%)

	LANTUS, % (n=1257)	NPH, % (n=1070)
Upper respiratory tract infection	22.4	23.1
Infection *	9.4	10.3

to a medical home on the same scale as their sometimes-insured peers-55% and 58% respectively. Dr. Kogan and colleagues found a similar situation regarding access to specialty care: 26% of underinsured children had difficulty obtaining specialist care, compared with 29% of sometimes insured children and 25% of uninsured children.

While attention has been focused on the woes of adult underinsurance, less has been paid to childhood underinsurance, noted Dr. Kogan, adding that it is not clear whether the number of uninsured children has been on the rise over the years, because there are no similar studies for comparison.

As implementation of the Affordable Care Act continues, "it may be worthwhile to consider not only the number of uninsured children in the United States but also the adequacy of coverage for those with current insurance," wrote Dr. Kogan and colleagues.

Study limitations included the crosssectional design, which made it difficult to establish the direction of causality; that the data exclude institutionalized children: and that data collected in a phone survey are subject to biases.

"This problem is more prevalent than the number of uninsured kids," and should be taken into account in future policy considerations, Dr. Kogan wrote, noting that HRSA plans to repeat the study within the next few years.

In an editorial, Dr. James Perrin of the MGH Center for Child and Adolescent Health Policy, Boston, noted that the study offers "compelling evidence that underinsured children face major problems in obtaining both the appropriate quality of care and access to that care. Implementation of the Affordable Care Act offers important opportunities to

LANTUS[®]

address the problem of underinsurance.'

But the law "may leave chronically ill children with CHIP coverage and newly insured Medicaid population underinsured," he added. While expansion of benefits is unlikely, "CHIP and the new Medicaid could offer such benefits to persons meeting certain disability criteria [and potentially offer a better federal match to encourage states to include these benefits]."

The study authors and Dr. Perrin disclosed that they have no relevant conflicts of interest.

Table 1: Treatment –emergent adverse events in pooled clinical trials up to 28 weeks duration in adults with type 1 diabetes (adverse events with frequency \geq 5%) (continued)

	LANTUS, % (n=1257)	NPH, % (n=1070)
Accidental injury	5.7	6.4
Headache	5.5	4.7

*Body System not Specified

Table 2: Treatment -emergent adverse events in pooled clinical trials up to 1 year duration in adults with type 2 diabetes (adverse events with frequency \geq 5%)

	LANTUS, % (n=849)	NPH, % (n=714)
Upper respiratory tract infection	11.4	13.3
Infection*	10.4	11.6
Retinal vascular disorder	5.8	7.4

*Body System not Specified

Table 3: Treatment –emergent adverse events in a 5-year trial of adults with type 2 diabetes (adverse events with frequency \geq 10%)

	LANTUS, % (n=514)	NPH, % (n=503)
Upper respiratory tract infection	29.0	33.6
Edema peripheral	20.0	22.7
Hypertension	19.6	18.9
Influenza	18.7	19.5
Sinusitis	18.5	17.9
Cataract	18.1	15.9
Bronchitis	15.2	14.1
Arthralgia	14.2	16.1
Pain in extremity	13.0	13.1
Back pain	12.8	12.3
Cough	12.1	7.4
Urinary tract infection	10.7	10.1
Diarrhea	10.7	10.3
Depression	10.5	9.7
Headache	10.3	9.3

Table 4: Treatment -emergent adverse events in a 28-week clinical trial of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes (adverse events with frequency $\ge 5\%$)

	•••	
	LANTUS, % (n=174)	NPH, % (n=175)
Infection*	13.8	17.7
Upper respiratory tract infection	13.8	16.0
Pharyngitis	7.5	8.6
Rhinitis	5.2	5.1

*Body System not Specified

Severe Hypoglycemia

Hypoglycemia is the most commonly observed adverse reaction in patients using insulin, including LANTUS [See Warnings and Precautions (5.3)]. Tables 5 and 6 summarize the incidence of severe hypoglycemia in the LANTUS individual clinical trials. Severe symptomatic hypoglycemia was defined as an event with symptoms consistent with hypoglycemia requiring the assistance of another person and associated with either a blood glucose below 50 mg/dL (\leq 56 mg/dL in the 5-year

(insulin glargine [rDNA origin] injection) solution for subcutaneous injection trial) or prompt recovery after oral carbohydrate, intravenous glucose or glucagon administration

The rates of severe symptomatic hypoglycemia in the LANTUS clinical trials (see Section 14 in the full prescribing information for a description of the study designs) were comparable for all treatment regimens (see Tables 5 and 6). In the pediatric phase 3 clinical trial, children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes had a higher compared to the adult trials with type 1 diabetes. (see Table 5) [See Clinical Studies (14) in the full prescribing information].

Table	5:	Severe	Symptomatic	Hypoglycemia	in	Patients	with	Туре	1
				Diabotoc					

	Diabetes								
	Study A Type 1Study B Type 1Diabetes Adults 28Diabetes Adults 28Adults 28 n combination with regular insulinIn combination with regular insulin		Study Type Diabe Adults week In combina with ins lispr	Study C Type 1 Diabetes Adults 16 weeks In combination with insulin lispro		Study D Type 1 Diabetes Pediatrics 26 weeks In combination with regular insulin			
	LANTUS	NPH	LANTUS	NPH	LANTUS	NPH	LANTUS	NPH	
Percent of patients (n/total N)	10.6 (31/ 292)	15.0 (44/ 293)	8.7 (23/ 264)	10.4 (28/ 270)	6.5 (20/ 310)	5.2 (16/ 309)	23.0 (40/ 174)	28.6 (50/ 175)	

Table 6: Severe Symptomatic Hypoglycemia in Patients with Type 2

	Study E Type 2 Diabetes Adults 52 weeks In combination with oral agents		Study F Type 2 Diabetes Adults 28 weeks In combination with regular insulin		Study G Type 2 Diabetes Adults 5 years In combination with regular insulin	
	LANTUS	NPH	LANTUS	NPH	LANTUS	NPH
Percent of patients (n/total N)	1.7 (5/289)	1.1 (3/281)	0.4 (1/259)	2.3 (6/259)	7.8 (40/513)	11.9 (60/504)

 <u>Retinopathy</u>
Retinopathy was evaluated in the LANTUS clinical studies by analysis of reported retinal adverse events and fundus photography. The numbers of retinal adverse events reported for LANTUS and NPH insulin treatment groups were similar for patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes. LANTUS was compared to NPH insulin in a 5-year randomized clinical trial that

EAN DS was compared to NPH insulin in a Syear randomized clinical trial trial evaluated the progression of retinopathy as assessed with fundus photography using a grading protocol derived from the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Scale (ETDRS). Patients had type 2 diabetes (mean age 55 yrs) with no (86%) or mild (14%) retinopathy at baseline. Mean baseline HbA1c was 8.4%. The primary outcome was progression by 3 or more steps on the ETDRS scale at study endpoint. Patients with pre-specified post-baseline eye procedures (pan-retinal photocoague tetion for proliferative or severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy local photolation for proliferative or severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy, local photo-coagulation for new vessels, and vitrectomy for diabetic retinopathy) were also considered as 3-step progressors regardless of actual change in ETDRS score from baseline. Retinopathy graders were blinded to treatment group assignment. The results for the primary endpoint are shown in Table 7 for both the per-protocol and Intent-to-Treat populations, and indicate similarity of Lantus to NPH in the progres-sion of diabetic retinopathy as assessed by this outcome.

Table 7. Number (%) of patients with 3 or more step progression on

	Lantus (%)	NPH (%)	Difference ^{*,†} (SE)	95% CI for difference			
Per-	53/374	57/363	-2.0%	-7.0% to			
protocol	(14.2%)	(15.7%)	(2.6%)	+3.1%			
Intent-to-	63/502	71/487	- 2.1%	-6.3% to			
Treat	(12.5%)	(14.6%)	(2.1%)	+2.1%			

*Difference = Lantus - NPH tusing a generalized linear model (SAS GENMOD) with treatment and baseline HDA1c strata (cutoff 9.0%) as the classified independent variables, and with binomial distribution and identity link function