O GYNECOLOGY DECEMBER 2011 • OB.GYN. NEWS

Obesity Does Not Alter Success of Insemination

BY DAMIAN MCNAMARA

FROM THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE

ORLANDO – A first of its kind study shows maternal weight does not play a role in pregnancy success via intrauterine insemination, in stark contrast to multiple trials that indicate as obesity increases, the chances for a woman to conceive via in vitro fertilization drop.

"We found no association between BMI [body mass index] and fecundability in women undergoing insemination," Dr. LaTasha B. Craig said.

She and her associates reviewed 1,120 cycles of intrauterine insemination (IUI), including donor inseminations, performed July 2007 to June 2010 at a University of Oklahoma, Oklahoma City, infertility clinic. They noted the maternal body mass in-



'We found no association between BMI and fecundability in women undergoing insemination.'

DR. CRAIG

dex within 6 months of initiation of IUI and classified 403 women according to National Institutes of Health BMI categories.

There were 152 pregnancies. The pregnancy rate was 7% in the underweight group; 12% in the normal weight group; 15% among overweight women; 11% in the obesity class I group; 12% in the class II group; and 20% in the class III obese women. None of these differences were statistically significant.

"Obesity is increasing and, I dare say, it's pandemic now. There is probably no better place to see it in the United States now than Oklahoma," Dr. Craig said. According to 2010 data, one-third of the state population was obese with a BMI greater than $30~{\rm kg/m^2}$ and another third was overweight with a BMI between 25 and $30~{\rm kg/m^2}$. "This is important because they are coming into our clinics ... and I cannot choose to treat only one-third of the population."

"We need information to counsel [patients] on what the realistic expectations are with obesity," Dr. Craig said at the meeting . "It turns out there is not much information about obesity and insemination outside the PCOS [polycystic ovarian syndrome] population."

The effect of obesity in the study remained nonsignificant after researchers adjusted for patient age, total motile sperm count, duration of infertility, diagnosis, source of sperm, and use of fertility medication. In addition, increased BMI had no significant impact when comparing subpopulations with and without ovulatory dysfunction.

"This is the first study evaluating effects of BMI on all women undergoing insemination in a real world scenario, regardless of diagnosis or medication used," said Dr. Craig, an ob.gyn. specializing in reproductive endocrinology and fertility at the University of Oklahoma.

The current findings add to the limited and conflicting literature. One study, for example, found a negative effect with increasing body weight. Researchers assessed 1,144 ovulatory, married women undergoing superovulation treatment and donor insemination (Arch. Androl.

2002;48:323-7). Pregnancy rates were significantly lower as BMI increased. For example, 42% of women with a BMI of 20-24 $\,\mathrm{kg/m^2}$ achieved a pregnancy, compared with 21% of women with a BMI of 28-36 $\,\mathrm{kg/m^2}$.

Another study looked at insemination of 333 ovulatory women and, similar to the current study, found no association between BMI and fecundity (Fert. Steril. 2006;86:642-6).

"To make things more confusing, Wang et al. from Australia found a positive effect of being overweight or obese in a study of 2,040 women undergoing controlled ovarian hyperstimulation and IUI," Dr. Craig said (Fert. Ster. 2004;81:1710-2).

In contrast, there is more of a consensus among recent trials that increased BMI is associated with decreased pregnancy rates through in vitro fertilization. For example, in a study of 45,163 assisted re-

ADVERTISEMENT

We're committed to you and your patients

At Ther-Rx, we take our commitment to you and your patients seriously. We have heard your concerns and have taken steps to make Makena™ (hydroxyprogesterone caproate injection) more accessible for clinically eligible patients.

We believe every woman deserves access to FDA-approved and regulated medications. As the only FDA-approved medication of its kind, Makena helps fulfill important unmet needs for certain at-risk women. We understand the responsibility associated with bringing Makena to market in a reliable manner for the thousands of moms in need of therapy every year.

Our commitment to affordable patient access

With our Patient Assistance Programs, clinically eligible patients can have affordable access to therapy.* Financial assistance is available for clinically eligible insured and uninsured patients upon request.

The Makena Co-pay Assistance Program will reduce co-pay costs for insured patients whose health plan covers Makena. Patients with a household income of up to \$120,000† will pay between \$0 and \$20 per injection for Makena. Since there are no income caps, patients with a household income greater than \$120,000 are also eligible for co-pay assistance.

The Makena Patient Assistance Program supports uninsured patients by offering the drug at no cost or reduced cost. Patients who are uninsured and have an annual household income less than \$60,000 will receive Makena at no out-of-pocket cost.

Our commitment to product quality and patient safety

We believe that there is a need for a quality FDA-approved treatment.

FDA-approved Makena—a sterile injectable—is manufactured in a facility compliant with current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMPs). These FDA-enforced regulations help ensure the identity, strength, quality, and purity of the medication by requiring control and monitoring of the manufacturing process and facilities. This also helps ensure consistency from dose to dose and accurate potency according to the amount declared on the label.¹ Adherence to these quality-management systems means your patients will receive the FDA-approved formulation for this indication.²

Makena is the only product for this indication that has been studied in clinical trials conducted by the NICHD and subsequently reviewed and approved by the FDA. As an FDA-approved medication, Makena is also subject to ongoing safety monitoring for adverse effects.

Our commitment to ongoing support

In addition to access to FDA-approved Makena, your patients will have access to educational materials and compliance reminders throughout therapy.

We established the Makena Care Connection™ to help facilitate the prescription process via a standardized distribution system. As part of this effort, dedicated specialists are available to support you, your staff, and your patients throughout the prescription process.

Our commitment goes beyond simply bringing Makena to market. We are conducting large follow-up trials on Makena, designed in collaboration with the FDA. These studies will help provide enhanced medical knowledge to patients, families, and society as a whole.

*Each patient's eligibility is evaluated on an individual basis. Program eligibility criteria are subject to change. Financial assistance programs are administered by the Makena Cares Foundation, which is managed by the Chronic Disease Fund.

†This encompasses 85% of US household incomes. Source: 2009 US census data.

References: 1. Facts About Current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMPs). Available at: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/Manufacturing/ucm169105.htm. Accessed July 22, 2011. 2. CFR - Code of Federal Regulations Title 21. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?CFRPart=211&showFR=1&subpart Node=21:4.0.1.1.11.6. Accessed July 22, 2011.

Visit www.makena.com for additional information about Makena.

Please see next page for important safety information.

production embryo transfers in 2007, increases in obesity were associated with lower clinical pregnancy and live birth weights (Human Reprod. 2011:26:245-52). In another example, for each increase in BMI point over 29 kg/m², the chance of pregnancy through assisted reproductive technology (ART) fell by 4%, compared with normal weight and overweight women in a population of subfertile, ovulatory women in this study of 3,029 consecutive subfertile couples (Human Reprod. 2008;23:234-8).

"My personal belief on our differences, compared [with] the ART data, is ART is

not physiologically normal. Superphysiologic estradiol levels and what we do with ovarian stimulation may exacerbate the metabolic abnormalities that come out with obesity," Dr. Craig said, "and/or we are getting these patients pregnant with less-aggressive treatment."

Participants in the current study underwent a mean of 2.6 IUI cycles and their mean age was 33 years. There were multiple reasons for insemination, but male factor infertility was the leading indication.

During the Q & A session, the moderator asked why the lower pregnancy rate in the underweight BMI group and nearly

double rate in the highest weight category were not statistically significant. Dr. Craig explained that these two categories had relatively fewer cycles (29 and 46, respectively), compared with the other groups.

A large cohort, adjustment for multiple confounders, and inclusion of almost all insemination cycles over 3 years were strengths of the study, Dr. Craig said. Only 49 cycles were excluded because the charts lacked BMI data. The retrospective design and an end point of fecundability rather than fecundity were limitations.

Fecundability is the ability or chance to

conceive within a given cycle. Fecundity is chance of getting pregnant and delivering a child per cycle. So fecundity is a bit lower than fecundability because some patients will miscarry, rather than deliver, Dr. Craig said in a later interview. In the future, she would like to assess fecundity and the pregnancy rate per woman.

Dr. Craig said that she had no relevant financial disclosures.

To view a video of Dr. Craig, use the QR code or go to www. obgynnews.com.



ADVERTISEMENT

Makena $^{\text{m}}$ is a progestin indicated to reduce the risk of preterm birth in women with a singleton pregnancy who have a history of singleton spontaneous preterm birth. The effectiveness of Makena is based on improvement in the proportion of women who delivered <37 weeks of gestation. There are no controlled trials demonstrating a direct clinical benefit, such as improvement in neonatal mortality and morbidity.

<u>Limitation of use:</u> While there are many risk factors for preterm birth, safety and efficacy of Makena has been demonstrated only in women with a prior spontaneous singleton preterm birth. It is not intended for use in women with multiple gestations or other risk factors for preterm birth.

Important safety information for Makena

- Makena should not be used in women with any of the following conditions:
 - -Current or history of thrombosis or thromboembolic disorders
 - Known or suspected breast cancer, other hormone-sensitive cancer or history of these conditions
 - -Undiagnosed abnormal vaginal bleeding unrelated to pregnancy
 - -Cholestatic jaundice of pregnancy
 - -Liver tumors, benign or malignant, or active liver disease
 - -Uncontrolled hypertension
- Makena should be discontinued if thrombosis or thromboembolism occurs
- Allergic reactions, including urticaria, pruritus and angioedema, have been reported with use of Makena or with other products containing castor oil
- Women receiving Makena should be monitored if they:
 - -Are prediabetic or diabetic
 - -Have conditions that may be affected by fluid retention, such as preeclampsia, epilepsy, cardiac or renal dysfunction
 - -Have a history of clinical depression; Makena should be discontinued if depression recurs
 - -Develop jaundice; consider whether benefit of use warrants continuation
 - -Develop hypertension
- Certain pregnancy-related fetal and maternal complications or events were numerically increased in Makena-treated subjects as compared to placebo subjects, including miscarriage (2.4% vs. 0%) and stillbirth (2% vs. 1.3%), admission for preterm labor (16% vs. 13.8%), preeclampsia or gestational hypertension (8.8% vs. 4.6%), gestational diabetes (5.6% vs. 4.6%), and oligohydramnios (3.6% vs. 1.3%)
- The most common adverse reactions reported in ≥2% of subjects and at a higher rate in the Makena group than in the control group were injection site reactions (pain [35% vs. 33%], swelling [17% vs. 8%], pruritus [6% vs. 3%], and nodule [5% vs. 2%]), urticaria (12% vs. 11%), pruritus (8% vs. 6%), nausea (6% vs. 5%), and diarrhea (2% vs. 1%)



Every week counts™

Please see next page for brief summary of prescribing information.



Marketed by Ther-Rx Corporation, St. Louis, MO 63044 © 2011 Ther-Rx Corporation 17-154-1 8/11