

EHR Incompatibility Hampers Implementation

BY MARY ELLEN SCHNEIDER
Senior Writer

BOSTON — Interoperability is key to the success of electronic health records, but there are barriers to sharing data between systems, said David Brailer, M.D., national coordinator for health information technology.

The major challenges include standards harmonization, unclear data control policies, a lack of uniform security practices,

the inability to ensure that products perform as advertised, and the lack of a business model around interoperability, he said.

"At the very basis of this—kind of the DNA of the interoperable electronic health record—is the emergence of harmonized standards," Dr. Brailer said at a congress sponsored by the American Medical Informatics Association.

There are many organizations involved in developing and approving standards, but there isn't a process for harmonizing

two conflicting standards, he commented.

In addition, there is no unified maintenance or release schedule for standards so that the industry can know what's coming and build investment plans around it, Dr. Brailer said.

Further, there is no means of providing input into the standards process. For example, there isn't a mechanism for taking a problem in health care and distilling that into requirements that could be used by organizations that develop standards.

"Problems don't come well packaged into a standard," Dr. Brailer said.

Harmonized standards are at the core of interoperability, but even with standards there are many other factors in achieving interoperability, he said.

One less well-known obstacle to interoperability is the lack of clear policies about data control. Health care right now lacks even a vocabulary to talk about the control of data, Dr. Brailer said. Deciding on a set of terms and their meanings will be essential to figuring out who decides if information flows from point A to point B, in what way, and who will be notified.

Security standards pose another set of problems, Dr. Brailer said. Currently, it's possible for any two health care organizations to be compliant with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act

of 1996 and still have security practices that render their data unable to be shared.

For example, one organization may adopt user names and passwords for authentication while another organization uses a biometric thumbprint.

Certification is important not just so EHRs will one day be 'plug and play' technology, but also because it will take some of the risk out of the marketplace.

Some solutions are being developed to bridge the different levels of security. For example, security brokers or other third parties could navigate between two systems. And some states have talked about creating more requirements for uniformity of security practices.

"I think this is a profound barrier to our ability to be interoperable, and standards won't address it," Dr. Brailer said.

Physicians also need to be able to know if the system they purchase will be able to deliver on the vendor's promises of interoperability. The industry is taking a step in that direction with the formation last year of the Certification Commission for Healthcare Information Technology, a group that will certify that EHRs and other products meet minimum standards.

This work is important not just so that EHRs will one day become "plug and play" technology, Dr. Brailer said, but also because it will take some of the risk out of the marketplace.

But ultimately, interoperable EHRs can't become successful without a viable business model.

The industry is just starting to experiment with the value drivers in this area, such as research, clinical improvement, and transaction simplification compared with paper.

"The government's not going to tell you what the business model is," Dr. Brailer said.

The challenge is not just what the business benefit is but who receives it, he said. And Dr. Brailer predicts that this interplay of costs and benefits will lead to new relationships between providers and payers and other entities.

MDlive.net and Derm.md present



Your Online Source for Quality CME


- ✓ More than 50 hours of Dermatology CME
- ✓ Dynamic & Informative Web-based Multimedia CME
- ✓ \$25 per hour of Category 1 CME credit
- ✓ Free CME tracking service

CME.md offers lectures by:

Dr. Alastair Carruthers
Dr. Jean Carruthers
Dr. Clay Cockerell
Dr. Jeffrey Dover
Dr. Philip LeBoit
Dr. Norman Levine

Dr. Gary Monheit
Dr. Randall Roenigk
Dr. Stuart J. Salasche
Dr. Bruce Smoller
Dr. Whitney Tope
Dr. Annette Wagner

and many, many more...



Visit www.cme.md to register and view talks!

Sponsored by an unrestricted educational grant from Ferndale Laboratories. www.ferndalelabs.com

FERNDALE
LABORATORIES INC.
ETHICAL PHARMACEUTICALS SINCE 1897