Negative Pressure Beats Moist Tx for Foot Ulcers

BY MARK S. LESNEY Senior Editor

greater percentage of diabetic foot ulcers achieved complete closure with negative pressure wound therapy than with advanced moist wound therapy in a randomized controlled study of more than 300 patients.

There were also significantly fewer secondary amputations seen in the group undergoing negative pressure therapy, Dr.

Peter A. Blume and colleagues reported. Diabetic foot ulcers lead to nonhealing chronic wounds that are difficult to treat and are a significant risk factor for nontraumatic amputation.

Several diabetic foot ulcer treatments have been reported. All of them require integration of multiple treatment modalities, with debridement being the foundation for these therapies. Success of any particular form of treatment is dependent on the appropriate match of patient and treatment factors, according to Dr. Blume of the North American Center for Limb Preservation, New Haven, Conn., and colleagues.

whether negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) was a better or equivalent treatment to advanced moist wound therapy (AMWT) in concurrence with debridement for treating foot ulcers in diabetic adults with adequate blood circulation.

Patients were randomly assigned to either NPWT, which used vacuum-assisted

SC twice weekly. In plaque psoriasis studies, ENBREL® doses studied were 25 mg SC once a week, 25 mg SC twice a week, and 50 mg SC twice a week.

Were 25 mg 50 once a week, 20 mg 60 mms 1 mg 60 mms 1 mg 61 mg 61

Infections In controlled trials, there were no differences in rates of infection in controlled trials, there were no differences in rates of infection Infections In controlled trials, there were no differences in rates of infection among RA, psoriatic arthritis, ankylosing spondyittis, and plaque psoriasis patients treated with ENBREL® and those treated with placebo for MTX for RA and psoriatic arthritis, patients). The most common type of infection was upper respiratory infection, which occurred at rate of approximately 20% among both ENBREL®. and placebo-treated patients in RA, psoriatic arthritis, and AS trials, and at a rate of approximately 12% among both ENBREL®. and placebo-treated patients in Plaque psoriasis trials in the first 3 months of treatment. In placebo-controlled trials in RA, psoriatic arthritis, ankylosing spondylitts, and plaque psoriasis no increase in the incidence of serious infections was observed (approximately 1% in both placebo-and ENBREL®-treated groups). In all clinical trials in RA, serious infections experienced by patients have included: pyelonephritis, bronchitis, septic arthritis, abdominal abscess, leg ulcer, diarrhea, sinusitis, and sepsis. The rate of serious infections has not increased in open-label extension trials and is similar to that observed in ENBREL®- and placebo-treated patients from controlled trials. Serious infections, including sepsis and death, have also been reported during post-marketing use of ENBREL®. Some have occurred within a few weeks after initiating treatment with ENBREL®. Many of the patients had underlying conditions (e.g., diabetes, congestive heart failure, history of active or chronic infections) in addition to their rheumatoid arthritis (see WARNINGS). Data from a sepsis clinical trial not specifically in patients with RA suggest that ENBREL® treatment may increase mortality in patients with established sepsis.®

mortality in patients with established sepsis.⁹ In patients who received both ENBREL[®] and anakinra for up to 24 weeks, the incidence of serious infections was 7%. The most common infections consisted of bacterial pneumonia (4 cases) and cellulitis (4 cases). One patient with pulmonary fibrosis and pneumonia died due to respiratory failure. In post-marketing experience in rheumatologic indications, infections have been observed with various pathogens including viral, bacterial, fungal, and protozoal organisms. Infections have been noted in all organ systems and have been reported in patients receiving ENBREL[®] alone or in combination with immunosuppressive agents. In clinical trials in plaque psoriasis, serious infections experienced by ENBREL[®]-treated patients have included: cellulitis, gastroenteritis, pneumonia, abscess, and osteomyelitis.

preumonia, abscess, and osteomyenus. In global clinical studies of 20,070 patients (28,308 patient-years of therapy), tuberculosis was observed in approximately 0.01% of patients. In 15,438 patients (23,524 patient-years of therapy) from clinical studies in the US and Canada, tuberculosis was observed in approximately 0.007% of patients. These studies include reports of pulmonary and extra-pulmonary tuberculosis (see **WARNINGS**).

eXita-pullificitiaty tubercurses (see writering). Malignancies Patients have been observed in clinical trials with ENBREL® for over five years. Among 4462 rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with ENBREL® in clinical trials for a mean of 27 months (approximately 10000 patient-years of therapy), 9 lymphomas were observed for a rate of 0.09 cases per 100 patient-years. This is 3-fold higher than the cite of tumesmas expredent in the neural nonulation based on the rate of 0.09 cases per 100 patient-years. This is 3-10d higher than the rate of 0.09 cases per 100 patient-years. This is 3-10d higher than the surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Database.¹⁰ An increased rate of lymphoma up to several fold has been reported in the rheumatoid arthritis patient population, and may be further increased in patients with more severe disease activity¹¹³. (see WARNINGS: Malignancies). Sixty-seven malignancies, other than lymphoma, were observed. Of these, the most common malignancies were colon, breast, lung, and prostate, which were similar in type and number to what would be expected in the general population.¹⁶ Analysis of the years of observation.

cancer rates at 6 month intervals suggest constaint rates over five years of observation. In the placebo-controlled portions of the psoriasis studies, 8 of 933 patients who received ENBREL® at any dose were diagnosed with a malignancy compared to 1 of 414 patients who received placebo. Among the 1261 patients with psoriasis who received placebo. Among the 1261 patients with psoriasis who received placebo. Among the 1261 patients with non-cutaneous solid tumors, 12 patients with 13 non-melanoma skin cancers (8 basal, 5 squamous), and 1 patient with non-holdgkin's lymphoma. Among the placebo-treated patients (90 patient-years of observation) 1 patient was diagnosed with 2 squamous cell cancers. The size of the placebo-group and limited duration of the controlled portions of studies precludes the ability to draw firm conclusions. Among 89 patients with Wegener's granulomatosis receiving ENBREL® in a randomized, placebo-controlled trial, 5 experienced a variety of non-cutaneous solid malignancies compared with none receiving placebo (see WARNINGS: Malignancies). Immunogenicity

placebo (see WARNINGS: Malignancies). Immunogenicity Patients with RA, psoriatic arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, or plaque psoriasis were tested at multiple timepoints for antibodies to ENBREL." Antibodies to the TNF receptor portion or other protein components of the ENBREL[®] drug product were detected at least once in sera of approximately 6% of adult patients with RA, psoriatic arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, or plaque psoriasis. These antibodies were all non-neutralizing. No apparent correlation of antibody development to clinical response or adverse events was observed. Results from JIA patients were similar to those seen in adult RA patients treated with ENBREL[®]. The long-term immunogenicity of ENBREL[®] is unknown. The data reflect the percentage of patients whose test results were considered positive for antibodies to ENBREL® in an ELISA assay, and are highly dependent on the sensitivity and specificity of the assay. Additionally, the observed incidence of any antibody positivity in an assay is highly dependent on several factors including assay sensitivity

and specificity, assay methodology, sample handling, timing of sample collection, concomitant medications, and underlying disease. For these reasons, comparison of the incidence of antibodies to ENBREL® with the incidence of antibodies to other products may be misleading.

Automition of antibodies to other products may be misleading. Autoantibodies Patients with RA had serum samples tested for autoantibodies at multiple timepoints. In RA Studies I and II, the percentage of patients evaluated for antinuclear antibodies (ANA) who developed new positive anti-developed new positive anti-double-stranded DNA antibodies who developed new positive anti-double-stranded DNA antibodies was also higher by radioimmunoassay (15% of patients treated with ENBREL[®] compared to 4% of placebo-treated patients) and by Crithidia luciliae assay (3% of patients treated with ENBREL[®] compared to none of placebo-treated patients). The proportion of patients treated with ENBREL[®] who developed anticardiolipian antibodies was similarly increased compared to placebo-treated patients. In Study III, no pattern of increased autoantibody development was seen in ENBREL[®] patients compared to MTX patients. The impact of long-term treatment with ENBREL[®] on the development

Compared to MTA paterns. The impact of long-term treatment with ENBREL® on the development of autoimmune diseases is unknown. Bare adverse event reports have described patients with rheumatoif factor positive and/or erosive RA who have developed additional autoantibodies in conjunction with rash and other features suggesting a lupus-like syndrome. *Other Advances Reaction*

HA who have developed additional autoantibodies in conjunction with rash and other features suggesting a lupus-like syndrome. **Dither Adverse Reactions** Table 10 summarizes events reported in at least 3% of all patients with higher incidence in patients treated with ENBREL[®] compared to controls in placebo-controlled RA trials (including the combination methotrexate trial) and relevant events from Study III. In placebo-controlled plaque psoriasis trials, the percentages of patients reporting injection site reactions were lower in the placebo dose group (6.4%) than in the ENBREL[®] dose groups (15.5%) in Studies 1 and II. Otherwise, the percentages of patients reporting adverse events in the 50 mg wrice a week dose group were similar to those observed in the 25 mg write a week dose group or placebo group. In sporiasis Study I, there were no serious adverse events of worsening psoriasis following withdrawal of study drug. However, adverse events were observed during the course of the clinical trials. Urticaria and non-infectious heya bits ourse lower a simal number of patients and angioedema was observed in one patient in clinical studies. Urticaria and angioedema have also been reported in spontaneous post-marketing reports. Adverse events in psoriatic arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, and plaque psoriasis trials were similar to those reported in RA clinical trials.

Table 10 Percent of RA Patients Reporting Adverse Events in Controlled Clinical Trials*

	Placebo Controlled		Active Controlled (Study III)	
	Percent of patients		Percent of patients	
vent	Placebo [†] (N = 152)	ENBREL® (N = 349)	MTX (N = 217)	ENBREL® (N = 415)
njection site reaction	10	37	7	34
nfection (total)**	32	35	72	64
Non-upper respiratory infection (non-URI)** Upper respiratory	32	38	60	51
infection (URI)**	16	29	39	31
leadache	13	17	27	24
lausea	10	9	29	15
Rhinitis	8	12	14	16
lizziness	5 5	7	11	8
Pharyngitis	5	7	9	6
Cough	3 3 3 3	6	6	5
sthenia	3	5	12	11
bdominal pain	3	5	10	10
lash	3	5	23	14
eripheral edema	3	5 5 2 5	4	8
Respiratory disorder	1	5	NA	NA
lyspepsia	1	4	10	11
Sinusitis	2	3	3	5
/omiting	-	3	8	5
Nouth ulcer	1	2	14	6
lopecia	1	1	12	6
neumonitis "MTX lung")	_	_	2	0
*Includes data from th	– e 6-month	- study in wh		-

concurrent MTX therapy.

†The duration of exposure for patients receiving placebo was less than the ENBREL®-treated patients.

*Infection (total) includes data from all three placebo-controlled trials. Non-VRI and URI include data only from the two placebo-controlled trials where infections were collected separately from adverse events (placebo N = 110, ENBREL® N = 213).

In controlled trials of RA and psoriatic arthritis, rates of serious adverse events were seen at a frequency of approximately 5% among ENBREL®-and control-treated patients. In controlled trials of plaque psoriasis, rates of serious adverse events were seen at a frequency of < 1.5% among ENBREL®- and placebo-treated patients in the first 3 months of treatment. Among patients with RA in placebo-controlled, active-controlled, and open-label trials of ENBREL®, malignancies (see WARNINGS: Malingancies ADVERSE REACTIONS: Malingancies) and infections and open-label trials of ENBREL®, malignancies (see WARNINGS: Malignancies, ADVERSE REACTIONS: Malignancies) and infections (see ADVERSE REACTIONS: Infections) were the most common serious adverse events observed. Other infrequent serious adverse events observed in RA, psoriatic arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, or plaque psoriasis clinical trials are listed by body system below: heart failure, myocardial infarction, myocardial ischemia, hypertension, hypotension, deep vein thrombosis thrombophlebitis Cardiovascular: cholecystitis, pancreatitis, gastrointestinal hemorrhage, appendicitis Digestive: Hematologic/Lymphatic: Musculoskeletal: lymphadenopathy lymphacenopaury bursitis, polymyositis cerebral ischemia, depression, multiple sclerosis (see WARNINGS: Neurologic Events)

Nervous

The study goal was to determine

Respiratory:	dyspnea, pulmonary embolism, sarcoidosis
Skin:	worsening psoriasis
Urogenital:	membranous glomerulonephropathy,

kunney calculus In a randomized controlled trial in which 51 patients with RA received ENBREL® 50 mg twice weekly and 25 patients received ENBREL® 25 mg twice weekly, the following serious adverse events were observed in the 50 mg twice weekly arm: gastrointestinal bleeding, normal pressure hydrocephalus, seizure, and stroke. No serious adverse events were observed in the 25 mg arm.

Adverse Reactions in Patients with JIA In general, the adverse events in pediatric patients were similar in frequency and type as those seen in adult patients (see WARNINGS and other sections under ADVERSE REACTIONS). Differences from and other sections under ADVERSE REACTIONS). Differences from adults and other special considerations are discussed in the following paragraphic lowing paragraphs

following paragraphs. Severe adverse reactions reported in 69 JIA patients ages 4 to 17 years included varicella (see also **PRECAUTIONS: Immunizations**), gastroenteritis, depression/personality disorder, cutaneous ulcer, esophagitis/gastritis, group A streptococcal septic shock, Type 1 diabetes mellitus, and soft tissue and post-operative wound infection. blackets mellitus, and soft tissue and post-operative wound infection. Forty-three of 69 (62%) children with JA experienced an infection while receiving ENBREL[®] during three months of study (part 1 open-label), and the frequency and severity of infections was similar in 58 patients completing 12 months of open-label extension therapy. The types of infections reported in JIA patients were generally mild and consistent with those commonly seen in outpatient pediatric populations. Two JIA patients developed varicella infection and signs and symptoms of aseptic meningitis which resolved without sequelae.

aseptic meninging which resolved without sequetae. The following adverse events were reported more commonly in 69 JIA patients receiving 3 months of ENBREL® compared to the 349 adult RA patients in placebo-controlled trials. These included headache (19% of patients, 1.7 events per patient-year), nausea (9%, 1.0 events per patient-year), abdominal pain (19%, 0.74 events per patient-year), and vomiting (13%, 0.74 events per patient-year).

In open-label clinical studies of children with JIA, adverse events reported in those aged 2 to 4 years were similar to adverse events reported in older children.

older children. In post-marketing experience, the following additional serious adverse events have been reported in pediatric patients: abscess with bacteremia, optic neuritis, pancytopenia, seizures, tuberculous arthritis, urinary tract infection (see WARNINGS), coagulopathy, cutaneous vasculitis, and transaminase elevations. The frequency of these events and their causal relationship to ENBREL® therapy are unknown.

Causal relationship to ENBREL® therapy are unknown. Patients with Heart Failure Two randomized placebo-controlled studies have been performed in patients with CHF. In one study, patients received either ENBREL® 25 mg twice weekly, 25 mg three times weekly, or placebo. In a second study, patients received either ENBREL® 25 mg once weekly, 25 mg twice weekly, or placebo. Results of the first study suggested higher mortality in patients treated with ENBREL® at either schedule compared to placebo. Results of the second study did not corroborate these observations. Analyses di not identify specific factors associated with increased risk of adverse outcomes in heart failure patients treated with ENBREL® (see PRECAUTIONS: Patients with Heart Failure). Adverse Reaction Information from Sonataneous Reands

Adverse Reaction Information from Spontaneous Reports Adverse events have been reported during post-approval use of ENBREL®. Because these events are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not always possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to ENBREL® exposure. Additional adverse events are listed by body system below. Body as a whole angioedema, fatigue, fever, flu syndrome generalized pain, weight gain

Cardiovascular:	chest pain, vasodilation (flushing), new-onset congestive heart failure (see PRECAUTIONS: Patients with Heart Failure)	
Digestive:	altered sense of taste, anorexia, diarrhea, dry mouth, intestinal perforation	
Hematologic/Lymphatic:	adenopathy, anemia, aplastic anemia, leukopenia, neutropenia, pancytopenia, thrombocytopenia (see WARNINGS)	
Hepatobiliary:	autoimmune hepatitis	
Musculoskeletal:	joint pain, lupus-like syndrome with manifestations including rash consistent with subacute or discoid lupus	
Nervous:	paresthesias, stroke, seizures and central nervous system events suggestive of multiple sclerosis or isolated demyelinating conditions such as transverse myelitis or optic neuritis (see WARNINGS)	
Ocular:	dry eyes, ocular inflammation	
Respiratory:	dyspnea, interstitial lung disease, pulmonary disease, worsening of prior lung disorder	
Skin:	cutaneous vasculitis, erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis, pruritus, subcutaneous nodules, urticaria	
Rx Only. This brief summary is based on ENBREL prescribing information v. 33: 03/2008		

Manufactured by: Immunex Corporation Thousand Oaks, CA 91320-1799 U.S. License Number 1132 Marketed by Amgen and Wyeth Pharmac naceuticals © 1998 – 2008 Immunex Corporation. All rights reserved.

Immunex U.S. Patent Numbers: 5,395,760; 5,605,690; 5,945,397; 6,201,105; 6,572,852; Re. 36,755

AMGEN[®] Wyeth[®]

For more information please call 1-888-436-2735 or visit www.enbrel.com © 2007 Amgen, Thousand Oaks, CA 91320 and Wyeth Pharmaceuticals Inc., Philadelphia, PA 19101. All rights reserved.

closure, or AMWT, which primarily used hydrogels and alginates. The study could not be blinded because of the distinct nature of the two therapies, according to the study investigators.

The multicenter study enrolled 342 patients with a mean age of 58 years over the period of August 2002 to August 2005; 79% of the patients were men. All patients were initially debrided as needed within 2 days of randomization and received standard off-loading therapy as needed after treatment was initiated.

Treatment was continued until ulcer closure, sufficient granulation tissue formation for healing, or until day 112. All patients were examined weekly for the first

'Although the exact mechanism of the decrease in secondary amputations remains unclear,' treatment of ulcers with negative pressure promotes healing. 4 weeks then other everv week until dav 112 or ulcer Paclosure. tients achieving ulcer closure were followed at 3 and 9 months (Diabetes Care 2008;31:631-6). Complete ulcer closure was defined as skin closure (100%

reepithelialization) without a draining or dressing requirement. Closure occurred in 73 of 169 (43%) of the NPWT patients, compared with 48 of 166 (29%) of the AMWT patients. In addition, significantly more NPWT patients achieved 75% closure (105 of 169, 62%), than did AMWT patients (85 of 166, 51%).

The incidence of secondary amputations was also significantly less for NPWT (4%) than for AMWT (10%).

"Although the exact mechanism of the decrease in secondary amputations remains unclear, treatment of DFUs [diabetic foot ulcers] with NPWT appears to promote significant healing," the authors concluded.

Dr. John Lantis (Saint Luke's Roosevelt Hospital, New York), a study coauthor, disclosed receiving honoraria from KCI USA, which provided the vacuum therapy system used and whose global biometrics group provided the data analysis.



