

Induced Abortions Linked to Preterm Delivery

VITALS

Major Finding: A history of one or more abortions was associated with a twofold increase in preterm delivery at less than 24 weeks' gestation.

Data Source: A study of 3,138 women who had one or more therapeutic abortions who underwent a subsequent delivery of a live singleton or multiples and 14,778 women who had no history of abortion and who gave birth at the hospital during the same period.

Disclosures: None was reported.

BY KATE JOHNSON

FROM THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE SOCIETY OF OBSTETRICIANS AND GYNAECOLOGISTS OF CANADA

MONTREAL — Women with a history of one or more therapeutic abortions have double the risk of preterm delivery before 24 weeks' gestation in a subsequent

pregnancy, compared with those with no abortion history, a study by researchers from McGill University in Montreal has shown.

"The implications are that abortions can lead to cervical insufficiency, and that public health efforts should aim at prevention through early counseling and provision of effective contraception for all women," said the

principal investigator, Dr. Haim Abenhaim, obstetrician/gynecologist at Montreal's SMBD Jewish General Hospital, which is part of McGill University.

The retrospective study, presented by Dr. Ghislain Hardy at the meeting, looked at all women who had undergone an induced abortion and subsequent delivery of a live singleton or multiples birth at a single, tertiary care institution between 2001 and 2006.

A total of 2,276 women had undergone one abortion, and 862 had undergone two or more. These women were compared with 14,778 women who had no history of abortion and who gave birth at the hospital during the same period.

Most of the therapeutic abortions [TABS] were first-trimester dilation and curettage, Dr. Abenhaim said in an interview. "For a therapeutic abortion (voluntary, for a normal pregnancy), the most common approach is a D&C [that is, surgical], while a medical approach is the more common for an arrested pregnancy."

After adjustment for age, smoking, alcohol consumption, body mass index, marital status, and education, the investigators found that a history of one or more abortions was associated with a twofold increase in preterm delivery at less than 24 weeks. In addition, women with a history of abortion were more likely to require tocolysis — and this became statistically significant with two or more abortions (odds ratio, 1.42). Dr. Abenhaim did not present absolute numbers and did not respond to requests to acquire this information.

"The association between therapeutic abortions and prematurity has been seen before; however, our study was different in that it tried to examine the relationship between TABs and the timing of prematurity," explained Dr. Abenhaim. "Prematurity caused by a cervical insufficiency is believed to occur earlier than prematurity which is considered idiopathic or infectious. Our results suggest that the effect of TABs is more consistent with early/cervical insufficiency-type preterm births."

The findings are potentially important, said Dr. Anthony Armonson, who chaired the session in which the study was presented. However, they should be interpreted with caution, especially since the study has not yet been published, said the professor and head of obstetrics and gynecology at Dalhousie University in Halifax, N.S.

"Certainly there has been evidence to support [the risk of] two or more therapeutic abortions in the first trimester, or any in the second-trimester — but that observation has not always been supported," he said in an interview. "A number of investigators in the '80s and '90s, including myself, tried to come up with a risk-scoring algorithm to establish what factors were important in preterm birth. But in the vast majority, one therapeutic abortion did not come up as a risk factor."

Brief Summary: Consult package insert for complete Prescribing Information.



Clinical Trials Experience. Because clinical studies are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical studies of a drug cannot be directly compared to rates in the clinical studies of another drug and may not reflect the rates observed in clinical practice.

Treatment of postmenopausal women with osteoporosis

The safety of Prolia in the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis was assessed in a 3-year, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multinational study of 7808 postmenopausal women aged 60 to 91 years. A total of 3876 women were exposed to placebo and 3886 women were exposed to Prolia administered subcutaneously once every 6 months as a single 60 mg dose. All women were instructed to take at least 1000 mg of calcium and 400 IU of vitamin D supplementation per day. The incidence of all-cause mortality was 2.3% ($n = 90$) in the placebo group and 1.8% ($n = 70$) in the Prolia group. The incidence of nonfatal serious adverse events was 24.2% in the placebo group and 25.0% in the Prolia group. The percentage of patients who withdrew from the study due to adverse events was 2.1% and 2.4% for the placebo and Prolia groups, respectively. Adverse reactions reported in $\geq 2\%$ of postmenopausal women with osteoporosis and more frequently in the Prolia-treated women than in the placebo-treated women are listed in the table below.

Table 1. Adverse Reactions Occurring in $\geq 2\%$ of Patients with Osteoporosis and More Frequently in Placebo-Treated Patients

| SYSTEM ORGAN CLASS Preferred Term | Prolia (N = 3886) n (%) | Placebo (N = 3876) n (%) |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| BLOOD AND LYMPHATIC SYSTEM DISORDERS | | |
| Anemia | 129 [3.3] | 107 [2.8] |
| CARDIAC DISORDERS | | |
| Angina pectoris | 101 [2.6] | 87 [2.2] |
| Atrial fibrillation | 79 [2.0] | 77 [2.0] |
| EAR AND LABYRINTH DISORDERS | | |
| Vertigo | 195 [5.0] | 187 [4.8] |
| GASTROINTESTINAL DISORDERS | | |
| Abdominal pain upper | 129 [3.3] | 111 [2.9] |
| Flatulence | 84 [2.2] | 53 [1.4] |
| Gastroesophageal reflux disease | 80 [2.1] | 66 [1.7] |
| GENERAL DISORDERS AND ADMINISTRATION SITE CONDITIONS | | |
| Edema peripheral | 189 [4.9] | 155 [4.0] |
| Asthenia | 90 [2.3] | 73 [1.9] |
| INFECTIONS AND INFESTATIONS | | |
| Cystitis | 228 [5.9] | 225 [5.8] |
| Upper respiratory tract infection | 190 [4.9] | 167 [4.3] |
| Pneumonia | 152 [3.9] | 150 [3.9] |
| Pharyngitis | 91 [2.3] | 78 [2.0] |
| Herpes zoster | 79 [2.0] | 72 [1.9] |
| METABOLISM AND NUTRITION DISORDERS | | |
| Hypercholesterolemia | 280 [7.2] | 236 [6.1] |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL AND CONNECTIVE TISSUE DISORDERS | | |
| Back pain | 1347 [34.7] | 1340 [34.6] |
| Pain in extremity | 453 [11.7] | 430 [11.1] |
| Musculoskeletal pain | 297 [7.6] | 291 [7.5] |
| Bone pain | 142 [3.7] | 117 [3.0] |
| Myalgia | 114 [2.9] | 94 [2.4] |
| Spinal osteoarthritis | 82 [2.1] | 64 [1.7] |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM DISORDERS | | |
| Sciatica | 178 [4.6] | 149 [3.8] |
| PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS | | |
| Insomnia | 126 [3.2] | 122 [3.1] |
| SKIN AND SUBCUTANEOUS TISSUE DISORDERS | | |
| Rash | 96 [2.5] | 79 [2.0] |
| Pruritus | 87 [2.2] | 82 [2.1] |

Hypocalcemia. Decreases in serum calcium levels to less than 8.5 mg/dL were reported in 0.4% women in the placebo group and 1.7% women in the Prolia group at the month 1 visit. The nadir in serum calcium level occurs at approximately day 10 after Prolia dosing in subjects with normal renal function.

In clinical studies, subjects with impaired renal function were more likely to have greater reductions in serum calcium levels compared to subjects with normal renal function. In a study of 55 patients with varying degrees of renal function, serum calcium levels <7.5 mg/dL or symptomatic hypocalcemia were observed in 5 subjects. These included no subjects in the normal renal function group, 10% of subjects in the CrCL 50 to 80 mL/min group, 29% of subjects in the CrCL < 30 mL/min group, and 29% of subjects in the hemodialysis group. These subjects did not receive calcium and vitamin D supplementation. In a study of 4550 postmenopausal women with osteoporosis, the mean change from baseline in serum calcium level 10 days after Prolia dosing was -5.5% in subjects with creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min vs. -3.1% in subjects with CrCL \geq 30 mL/min.

Serious Infections. Receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL) is expressed on activated T and B lymphocytes and in lymph nodes. Therefore, a RANKL inhibitor such as Prolia may increase the risk of infection. In the clinical study of 7808 postmenopausal women with osteoporosis, the incidence of infections resulting in death was 0.2% in both placebo and Prolia treatment groups. However, the incidence of nonfatal serious infections was 3.3% in the placebo group and 4.0% in the Prolia group. Hospitalizations due to serious infections in the abdomen (0.7% placebo vs. 0.9% Prolia), urinary tract (0.5% placebo vs. 0.7% Prolia), and ear (0.0% placebo vs. 0.1% Prolia) were reported. Endocarditis was reported in no placebo patients and 3 patients receiving Prolia.

Skin infections, including erysipelas and cellulitis, leading to hospitalization were reported more frequently in patients treated with Prolia (< 0.1% placebo vs. 0.4% Prolia). There was no imbalance in the reporting of opportunistic infections.

Dermatologic Reactions. A significantly higher number of patients treated with Prolia developed epidermal and dermal adverse events [such as dermatitis, eczema, and rashes], with these events reported in 8.2% of placebo and 10.8% of Prolia group ($p < 0.0001$). Most of these events were not specific to the injection site [see Warnings and Precautions].

The most common adverse reactions reported with Prolia are back pain, pain in extremity, musculoskeletal pain, hypercholesterolemia, and cystitis. The most common adverse reactions leading to discontinuation of Prolia are breast cancer, back pain, and constipation. The Prolia Postmarketing Active Safety Surveillance Program is available to collect information from prescribers on specific adverse events. Please see www.proliasafety.com or call 1-800-772-6436 for more information about this program.

Osteonecrosis of the Jaw. ONJ has been reported in the osteoporosis clinical trial program in patients treated with Prolia [see Warnings and Precautions].

Pancreatitis. Pancreatitis was reported in 4 patients (0.1%) in the placebo and 8 patients (0.2%) in the Prolia groups. Of these reports, one subject in the placebo group and all 8 subjects in the Prolia group had serious events including one death in the Prolia group. Several patients had a prior history of pancreatitis. The time from product administration to event occurrence was variable.

New Malignancies. The overall incidence of new malignancies was 4.3% in the placebo and 4.8% in the Prolia groups. New malignancies related to breast (0.7% placebo vs. 0.9% Prolia), reproductive (0.2% placebo vs. 0.5% Prolia), and gastrointestinal systems (0.6% placebo vs. 0.9% Prolia) were reported. A causal relationship to drug exposure has not been established.

Immunogenicity. Denosumab is a human monoclonal antibody. As with all therapeutic proteins, there is potential for immunogenicity. Using an electrochemiluminescent bridging immunoassay, less than 1% (55 out of 8113) of patients treated with Prolia for up to 5 years tested positive for binding antibodies [including pre-existing, transient, and developing antibodies]. None of the patients tested positive for neutralizing antibodies, as was assessed using a chemiluminescent cell-based in vitro biological assay. No evidence of altered pharmacokinetic profile, toxicity profile, or clinical response was associated with binding antibody development. The incidence of antibody formation is highly dependent on the sensitivity and specificity of the assay. Additionally, the observed incidence of a positive antibody [including neutralizing antibody] test result may be influenced by several factors, including assay methodology, sample handling, timing of sample collection, concomitant medications, and underlying disease. For these reasons, comparison of antibodies to denosumab with the incidence of antibodies to other products may be misleading.

DRUG INTERACTIONS: No drug-drug interaction studies have been conducted with Prolia.

USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS:

Pregnancy. **Pregnancy Category C.** There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of Prolia in pregnant women. In genetically engineered mice in which RANK ligand (RANKL) was turned off by gene removal [a "knockout mouse"], absence of RANKL [the target of denosumab] caused fetal lymph node agenesis and led to postnatal impairment of dentition and bone growth. Pregnant RANKL knockout mice also showed altered maturation of the maternal mammary gland, leading to impaired lactation postpartum [see Use in Nursing Mothers]. Prolia is approved only for use in postmenopausal women. Prolia should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus. Women who become pregnant during Prolia treatment are encouraged to enroll in Amgen's Pregnancy Surveillance Program. Patients or their physicians should call 1-800-772-6436 to enroll. In an embryofetal developmental study, cynomolgus monkeys received subcutaneous denosumab weekly during organogenesis at doses up to 13-fold higher than the recommended human dose of 60 mg administered once every 6 months based on body weight (mg/kg). No evidence of maternal toxicity or fetal harm was observed. However, this study only assessed fetal toxicity during a period equivalent to the first trimester and fetal lymph nodes were not examined. Monoclonal antibodies are transported across the placenta in a linear fashion as pregnancy progresses, with the largest amount transferred during the third trimester. Potential adverse developmental effects resulting from exposures during the second and third trimesters have not been assessed in animals [see Nonclinical Toxicology (13.2) in Full Prescribing Information].

Nursing Mothers. It is not known whether Prolia is excreted into human milk. Because many drugs are excreted in human milk and because of the potential for serious adverse reactions in nursing infants from Prolia, a decision should be made whether to discontinue nursing or discontinue the drug, taking into account the importance of the drug to the mother. Maternal exposure to Prolia during pregnancy may impair mammary gland development and lactation based on animal studies in pregnant mice lacking the RANK/RANKL signaling pathway that have shown altered maturation of the maternal mammary gland, leading to impaired lactation postpartum [see Nonclinical Toxicology (13.2) in Full Prescribing Information].

Pediatric Use. Prolia is not recommended in pediatric patients. The safety and effectiveness of Prolia in pediatric patients have not been established. Treatment with Prolia may impair bone growth in children with open growth plates and may inhibit eruption of dentition. In neonatal rats, inhibition of RANKL [the target of Prolia therapy] with a construct of osteoprotegerin bound to Fc (OPG-Fc) at doses \leq 10 mg/kg was associated with inhibition of bone growth and tooth eruption. Adolescent primates dosed with denosumab at 10 and 50 times (10 and 50 mg/kg) dose higher than the recommended human dose of 60 mg administered once every 6 months, based on body weight (mg/kg), had abnormal growth plates [see Nonclinical Toxicology (13.2) in Full Prescribing Information].

Geriatric Use. Of the total number of patients in clinical studies of Prolia, 9943 patients (76%) were \geq 65 years old, while 3576 (27%) were \geq 75 years old. No overall differences in safety or efficacy were observed between these patients and younger patients and other reported clinical experience has not identified differences in responses between the elderly and younger patients, but greater sensitivity of some older individuals cannot be ruled out.

Renal Impairment. No dose adjustment is necessary in patients with renal impairment. In clinical studies, patients with severe renal impairment (creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min) or receiving dialysis were at greater risk of developing hypocalcemia. Consider the benefit-risk profile when administering Prolia to patients with severe renal impairment or receiving dialysis. Clinical monitoring of calcium and mineral levels [phosphorus and magnesium] is highly recommended. Adequate intake of calcium and vitamin D is important in patients with severe renal impairment or receiving dialysis [see Warnings and Precautions, Adverse Reactions, and Clinical Pharmacology (12.3) in the Full Prescribing Information].

Hepatic Impairment. No clinical studies have been conducted to evaluate the effect of hepatic impairment on the pharmacokinetics of Prolia.

Amgen Manufacturing Limited, a subsidiary of Amgen Inc.
One Amgen Center Drive
Thousand Oaks, California 91320-1799
©2010 Amgen Inc. 50263-C
All rights reserved. Printed in USA.

