Boostrix Stacks Up to Current Pertussis Vaccines

BY MIRIAM E. TUCKER
Senior Writer

WASHINGTON — GlaxoSmithKline's candidate reduced–antigen content tetanus-diphtheria–acellular pertussis booster vaccine for adolescents compares favorably with other currently licensed vaccines, Leonard Friedland, M.D., reported at the annual Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy.

In a pivotal clinical study of 4,114 healthy 10-18 year olds, Boostrix (tetanus toxoid, reduced diphtheria toxoid, and acellular pertussis vaccine adsorbed [Tdap]) was comparable in both immunogenicity and safety with a currently licensed tetanus-diphtheria (Td) vaccine and produced antibody responses at least

Because immunity wanes after 10 years and no vaccine is licensed for persons over age 7 years, pertussis is still increasing in the United States. as high as those seen in infants who receive the current higherantigen DTaP vaccine, said Dr. Friedland. director of clinresearch ical and development and medical affairs for GlaxoSmith-Kline's Vaccines North America division.

Pertussis is the only disease against which children are routinely immunized that is still increasing in the United States. That's because immunity from the vaccine wanes after about 10 years, and no pertussis vaccine is licensed for persons over age 7 years. In 2003, adolescents aged 10-19 made up 39% of all pertussis cases in the United States.

Boostrix is currently under review by the U.S. Food and Drug Adminstration for use as a single-dose booster in adolescents. If approved, it could replace the current Td booster, thereby protecting adolescents against pertussis without adding an extra injection, he noted at the conference, sponsored by the American Society for Microbiology.

All study subjects had previously received the routine childhood vaccinations against diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis according to the recommended schedule. Most had received their first three doses as whole-cell pertussis vaccine. Some had received their fourth and/or fifth doses as acellular pertussis vaccine.

The proportion achieving a fourfold rise in titers of antidiphtheria antibody at 1 month postvaccination was 90.6% among the subjects who received Tdap, compared with 95.9% of those given Td. For antitetanus antibody, the proportions were 89.7% and 92.5%, respectively. Moreover, seroprotective levels of both antibodies were achieved in more than 99.9% of the subjects in both groups. These results met the pre-defined criteria for "noninferiority" of Tdap vs. Td, Dr. Friedland said.

Since there is no established serologic correlate of protection for pertussis, the antibody responses to each of the three pertussis antigens (PT, FHA, and PRN) of

the subjects in this study were compared with those seen in infants following receipt of GlaxoSmithKline's DTaP vaccine (Infanrix). For each antigen, the geometric mean titers (enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay units/ml) were considerably higher in the adolescents following Tdap than among the infants who received DTaP (85.9 vs. 48.6 for PT, 617.3 vs. 89.1 for FHA, and 469.3 vs. 124.2 for PRN).

It is therefore "reasonable to assume that Tdap will be at least as effective as In-

fanrix for preventing pertussis in adolescents," he remarked.

Overall pain at the injection site did not differ between Tdap (75.3%) and Td (71.7%). The proportion reporting grade 2 or 3 pain was slightly greater in the Tdap group (51.2% vs. 42.5%), but the percentage with grade 3 pain—preventing normal activity—was less than 5% in both groups and not significantly different between them.

Large areas of swelling at the injection site is an adverse effect that has been ob-

served in children receiving the DTaP booster. Only two subjects in this study—one from each vaccine group—reported diffuse swelling. The swelling did not involve adjacent joints and resolved completely in both subjects, Dr. Friedland said.

Headaches preventing normal activity were more frequent in the Tdap subjects (15.7% vs. 12.7%), with no differences in fever, fatigue, or GI symptoms. No serious events occurred in either group in the 31 days post vaccination, he reported.

For moderate to severe pain when a continuous, around-the-clock analgesic is needed for an extended period of time



- Q12h dosing convenience
- Onset of analgesia within 1 hour in most patients^{1*}
- Convenient conversion and titration
- OxyContin® is an opioid agonist and a Schedule II controlled substance with an abuse liability similar to morphine. Consider this when an increased risk of misuse, abuse, or diversion is a concern
- OxyContin® Tablets are NOT intended for use as a prn analgesic
- OxyContin® TABLETS ARE TO BE SWALLOWED WHOLE AND ARE NOT TO BE BROKEN, CHEWED, OR CRUSHED. TAKING BROKEN, CHEWED, OR CRUSHED OxyContin® TABLETS LEADS TO RAPID RELEASE AND ABSORPTION OF A POTENTIALLY FATAL DOSE OF OXYCODONE
- OxyContin® 80 mg and 160 mg Tablets ARE FOR USE IN OPIOID-TOLERANT PATIENTS ONLY. These tablets may cause fatal respiratory depression when administered to opioid-naive patients
- The most serious risk with OxyContin[®] is respiratory depression, which can be fatal
- OxyContin® is not indicated for pre-emptive analgesia, pain in the immediate
 postoperative period (the first 12 to 24 hours following surgery) in patients not previously
 taking OxyContin® (because its safety in this setting has not been established), or pain
 that is mild or not expected to persist for an extended period of time
- As used here, "moderate" and "moderate to severe" pain do not include commonplace and ordinary aches and pains, pulled muscles, cramps, sprains, or similar discomfort

Purdue is firmly committed to maintaining the highest standards of marketing practices in the industry while continuing to advance the proper treatment of pain in America. If Purdue's marketing and sales practices fail to meet this standard, we urge you to contact us at **1-888-690-9211.**

*From a single-dose study.

Reference: 1. Sunshine A, Olson NZ, Colon A, et al. Analgesic efficacy of controlled-release oxycodone in postoperative pain. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 1996;36:595-603.



IT WORKS

Please read brief summary of prescribing information, including boxed warning, on adjacent page.

Copyright 2004, Purdue Pharma L.P., Stamford, CT 06901-3431

D7O87-F

PUR-4001220