Adverse Event	amlodipine		Placebo	
	M=% (N=1218)	F=% (N=512)	M=% (N=914)	F=% (N=336)
Edema	5.6	` 14.6 ´	` 1.4 ´	` 5.1 ´
Flushing	1.5	4.5	0.3	0.9
Palpitations	1.4	3.3	0.9	0.9
Somnolence	1.3	1.6	0.8	0.3

Palpitations

1.4

Somnolence

1.3

1.6

Somnolence

1.3

1.6

Somnolence

1.3

1.6

Somnolence

1.3

1.6

Somnolence

1.8

Table 3. Adverse Events in Placebo-Controlled Studies (% of Patients)

	atorvastatin					
Body System/ Adverse Event	Placebo N=270	10 mg N=863	20 mg N=36	40 mg N=79	80 mg N=94	
BODY AS A WHOLE	N=270	N=003	N=30	N=79	11=94	
Infection	10.0	10.3	2.8	10.1	7.4	
Headache	7.0	5.4	16.7	2.5	6.4	
Accidental Injury	3.7	4.2	0.0	1.3	3.2	
Flu Syndrome	1.9	2.2	0.0	2.5	3.2	
Abdominal Pain	0.7	2.8	0.0	3.8	2.1	
Back Pain	3.0	2.8	0.0	3.8	1.1	
Allergic Reaction	2.6	0.9	2.8	1.3	0.0	
Asthenia	1.9	2.2	0.0	3.8	0.0	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
Constipation	1.8	2.1	0.0	2.5	1.1	
Diarrhea	1.5	2.7	0.0	3.8	5.3	
Dyspepsia	4.1	2.3	2.8	1.3	2.1	
Flatulence	3.3	2.1	2.8	1.3	1.1	
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
Sinusitis	2.6	2.8	0.0	2.5	6.4	
Pharyngitis	1.5	2.5	0.0	1.3	2.1	
SKIN AND APPENDAGES						
Rash	0.7	3.9	2.8	3.8	1.1	
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
Arthralgia	1.5	2.0	0.0	5.1	0.0	
Myalgia	1.1	3.2	5.6	1.3	0.0	

Arthralgia
1.5
2.0
3.2
5.6
1.3
0.0
Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (ASCOT): In ASCOT involving 10,305 participants treated with atorvastatin 10 mg daily (n=5,168) or placebo (n=5,137), the safety and tolerability profile of the group treated with atorvastatin was comparable to that of the group treated with patched with atorvastatin was comparable to that of the group treated with patched with atorvastatin in clinical trials. The events in italics occurred in <2% of patients and the events in plain type occurred in <2% of patients. Body as a Whole: Chest pain, face edema, fever, neck rigidity, malaise, photosensitivity reaction, generalized edema. Digestive System: Nausea, gastroenteritis, liver function tests abnormal, colitis, vomiting, gastritis, dry mouth, rectal hemorrhage, esophagitis, eructation, glossitis, mouth ulceration, anorexia, increased appetite, stomatitis, biliary pain, chelifitis, cholestatic jaundice. Respiratory System: Nausea, gastroenteritis, inver function tests abnormal, colitis, vomiting, gastritis, dry mouth, rectal hemorrhage, esophagitis, eructation, glossitis, mouth ulceration, anorexia, increased appetite, stomatitis, biliary pain, chelifitis, cholestatic jaundice. Respiratory System: Bronchitis, rhinitis, pneumonia, dyspnea, asthma, epistaxis. Nervous System: Insommia, dizziness, paresthesia, sommolence, amnesia, abnormal dreams, libido decreased, emotional lability, incoordination, peripheral neuropathy, torticollis, facial paralysis, hyperkinesia, depression, hypesthesia, hypertonia. Musculoskeletal System: Arthritis, leg cramps, bursitis, tenosynovitis, myasthenia, tendinous contracture, myositis. Skin and Appendages: Pruritus, contact dermatitis, alopecia, dry skin, sweating, acne, uriticaria, ezcema, seborrhea, skin ulcer. Urogenital Sy

safety and tolerability profile of atorvastatin 10 to 20 mg daily was generally similar to that of placebo (see **PRECAUTIONS**, **Pediatric Use**). **OVERDOSAGE**: There is no information on overdosage with CADUET in humans. **Information on Amlodipine**: Single oral doses of amlodipine maleate equivalent to 40 mg amlodipine/kg and 100 mg amlodipine/kg in mice and rats, respectively, caused deaths. Single oral amlodipine maleate doses equivalent to 4 or more mg amlodipine/kg in logs (11 or more times the maximum recommended clinical dose on a mg/m² basis) caused a marked peripheral vasodilation and hypotension. Overdosage might be expected to cause excessive peripheral vasodilation with marked hypotension and possibly a reflex tachycardia. In humans, experience with intentional overdosage of amlodipine is limited. Reports of intentional overdosage include a patient who ingested 250 mg and was asymptomatic and was not hospitalized; another (120 mg) was hospitalized, underwent gastric lavage and remained normotensive; the third (105 mg) was hospitalized and had hypotension (90/50 mmHg) which normalized following plasma expansion. A patient who took 70 mg amlodipine and an unknown quantity of benzodiazepine plasma concentration. A case of accidental drug overdose has been documented in a 19-month-old male who ingested 30 mg amlodipine (about 2 mg/kg). During the emergency room presentation, vital signs were stable with no evidence of hypotension, but a heart rate of 180 bpm. Ipecaed 3.5 hours after ingestion and on subsequent observation (overnight) no sequelae were noted. If massive overdose should occur, active cardiac and respiratory monitoring should be instituted. Frequent blood pressure measurements are essential. Should hypotension occur, cardiovascular support including elevation of the extremities and the judicious administration of the work of these conservative measures, administration of vasopressors (such as phenylephrine) should be considered with attention to circulating volume and urine output. Intraveno

\*Based on patient weight of 50 kg.

\*These events occurred in less than 1% in placebo-controlled trials, but the incidence of these side effects was between 1% and 2% in all multiple dose studies.

Manufactured by: Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals Dublin, Ireland

Distributed by



Rev. 1 October 2004

## Pizer U.S. Pharmaceuticals

## Low Blood Pressure May Not Be Best for CAD

Patients with the lowest pressures had a 44% increased risk of death or nonfatal MI or stroke.

BY MITCHEL L. ZOLER Philadelphia Bureau

ORLANDO — Current blood pressure categories should not serve as treatment goals for older patients with hypertension and coronary artery disease, based on a post hoc analysis of data collected from more than 22,000 patients.

In patients with hypertension and documented coronary artery disease (CAD), those who maintained a blood pressure of less than 120/80 mm Hg had a significantly higher rate of death, myocardial infarction, or stroke, compared with patients who maintained a pressure of 120-139/80-89 mm Hg, Rhonda M. Cooper-DeHoff, Pharm.D., reported in a poster at a conference on cardiovascular disease epidemiology and prevention sponsored by the American Heart Association. Further analysis showed that systolic pressure played the key role, and that patients did best if their systolic pressure was 120-139 mm Hg.

These findings are noteworthy because the current standard for treating hypertension in the United States, the Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee (JNC 7), labeled blood pressures in the range of 120-139/80-89 mm Hg "prehypertension" and said that patients with these pressures need lifestyle modifications to lower their pressure and prevent development of cardiovascular disease.

A major difference between the prehypertensive people described in JNC 7 and the patients in the new analysis is that the new study focused on patients with existing CAD who were treated with antihypertensive medications to reach their maintenance blood pressure. The JNC 7 guidelines apply to previously untreated people, most of whom would not have CAD.

"Our findings suggest that blood pressure reduction in elderly hypertensive CAD patients is important, but care should be taken to avoid excessive blood pressure lowering in this population," Dr. Cooper-DeHoff and her associates said in their poster.

Blood pressure that is less than 120/80 mm Hg in older patients with CAD may be danbecause gerous these patients have relatively stiff arteries and it may be hard to adequately perfuse important organs at lower blood pressures, Dr. Cooper-DeHoff said in an interview.

Treat hypertension in these patients, but "the idea that the lower the pressure the better

may not apply to these patients," said Dr. Cooper-DeHoff, associate director of the cardiovascular clinical trial program at the University of Florida, Gainesville.

Her analysis used data collected in the International Verapamil-Trandolapril Study (INVEST), which was designed to compare two antihypertensive strategies in patients with CAD (JAMA 2003;290:2805-13). The post hoc analysis by Dr. Cooper-DeHoff and her associates focused on the outcomes of patients based on their achieved pressure with treatment rather than on outcomes based on what treatment they received.

The analysis included data on 22,576 patients with documented CAD who were followed for an average of 2.7 years after starting their antihypertensive treatment. The patients were 50-90 years old, with an average age of 66. The primary outcomes tallied were death or nonfatal myocardial infarction or stroke.

One analytic approach divided the patients into three groups: about 1,500 patients who achieved an average pressure of less than 120/80 mm Hg, about 13,600 patients who reached a mean pressure of 120-139/80-89 mm Hg, and about 7,500 whose average pressure on treatment remained at or above 140/90 mm Hg.

After adjustment for demographic and clinical differences at baseline, patients with the lowest pressures had a 44% increased risk of a primary outcome, compared with patients in the middle group. Those with the highest pressures had a 53% increased risk of a primary outcome, compared with patients in the middle group. Both differences were statistically significant.

Further analyses looked at the link between the primary outcomes and achieved systolic blood pressure, and the primary outcomes and achieved diastolic blood pressure. These findings showed that on-treatment diastolic pressure had no significant link to bad outcomes, but achieved systolic pressure did have a significant impact. (See box.) The best outcomes were seen at systolic pressures of 120-139 mm Hg.

