# Lowest-Dose OCs May Not Optimize Teen BMD

#### BY DIANA MAHONEY New England Bureau

ATLANTA — Impaired bone mass acquisition associated with certain oral contraceptives may be a hidden public health problem affecting adolescent girls, Dr. Barbara Cromer said at the annual meeting of the North American Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology.

Preliminary data from a pilot study comparing bone mineral density in adolescent girls using oral contraceptives containing two different levels of estrogen demonstrated an apparent blunting of bone development gains associated with the lower-estrogen pill, said Dr. Cromer of MetroHealth Medical Center in Cleveland.

The adverse skeletal effects of hormonal contraceptives have come under scrutiny in recent years, particularly as studies have linked the use of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) to bone mineral density (BMD) loss in adolescents, Dr. Cromer said. The relationship between

	01
'If there is	tiv
clinical	is
onnour	h
significance, we	"\
need to think	st
	m
about whether the	W
appropriate dose	po
	ef
of ethynyl	m
estradiol for	W
	tr
teens may be	th
35 mcg.'	in
00 1105	ab

oral contracepves and BMD less clear. owever. While some tudies in prenenopausal omen suggest ositive or no ffects on bone nass associated vith oral conraceptives, nere is conflictng evidence about whether

ultralow-dose oral contraceptives [containing 20 mcg ethinyl estradiol] interfere with the large increases in bone mineral density normally observed in adolescence," Dr. Cromer said. On the other hand, low-dose oral contraceptives—those containing 30-40 mcg of ethinyl estradiol—seem to offer some bone protection in young adult women, she said.

Because an estimated 25%-40% of a woman's peak bone mass is acquired during the teen years, optimizing bone development during this period is considered the most important factor in the primary prevention of osteoporosis.

To determine whether and to what degree ultralow-dose oral contraceptives which are thought to decrease the associated risk of venous thromboembolic events (VTE) in adult women relative to combined oral contraceptives with more estrogen—Dr. Cromer and colleagues undertook a single-blind randomized clinical trail in adolescent girls comparing the skeletal effects associated with oral contraceptives containing 20 mcg and 35 mcg of ethinyl estradiol.

Of 12 girls aged 12-18 years included in the study, 5 received a combination oral contraceptive with 20 mcg of ethinyl estradiol and 1 mg of norethindrone acetate for 12 months and 7 received one with 35 mcg of ethinyl estradiol and 1 mg norethindrone for the same duration. The mean age of subjects in the 20-mcg group was 15.2 years, compared with 15.6 years for the 35-mcg group. Half of the lower-dose estrogen group was African American, compared with 62% of the higher-dose group, said Dr. Cromer.

All of the girls underwent BMD testing with dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry at the lumbar spine (L1-L4) and at the femoral neck at baseline, at 6 months, and 12 months. The main outcome measure was percent of change in BMD.

At 12 months, the mean percentage of

change in bone mass acquisition from baseline in the lower-estrogen group was 1%, compared with 2% in the higher-dose group, Dr. Cromer reported.

Although the study was not powered to detect statistical significance, the observed differences in bone development raise important questions, said Dr. Cromer. "The first question is obviously whether the difference is clinically significant, and it's one that absolutely warrants further investigation," she said. "If there is clinical significance, we need to think about whether the appropriate dose of ethynyl estradiol for teens may be 35 mcg and whether the trade-off with the risk of VTE—which is relatively small in adolescents—is acceptable." Additional questions include whether and to what degree bone mass recovery occurs after cessation of these agents and whether lifestyle factors, including nutrition and physical activity, can override some of the negative bone effects, she said

## NOW APPROVED: TWINRIX ACCELERATED DOSING...

## **GET A JUMP START AGAINST HEPATITIS A AND HEPATITIS B**

#### • 3 doses given within 3 weeks followed by a booster dose at 12 months

- Offers protection against both hepatitis A and hepatitis B in a single series<sup>1</sup>
- Delivers a sustained immune response—comparable to monovalent vaccines<sup>1</sup>



**TWINRIX**<sup>®</sup> Hepatitis A Inactivated & Hepatitis B (Recombinant) Vaccine

Why take the risk? Protect with TWINRIX.

TWINRIX is a registered trademark of GlaxoSmithKline. Manufactured by GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals, Rixensart, Belgium Distributed by GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

### **Important Safety Information**

TWINRIX is contraindicated in people with hypersensitivity to any component of the vaccine, including yeast and neomycin. In clinical trials with TWINRIX, the most common solicited adverse events were soreness at the injection site, redness at the injection site, headache, and fatigue. They were mild and self-limiting and did not last more than 48 hours. As with any vaccine, rare adverse events may occur. (See Adverse Reactions section of the Prescribing Information for TWINRIX for other potential side effects.) As with any vaccine, TWINRIX may not protect 100% of individuals receiving the vaccine.

Please see brief summary of prescribing information on adjacent page.

Order TWINRIX today. For more information, call GlaxoSmithKline at 1-888-825-5249 or visit us at www.gskvaccines.com/Twinrix/KeyFeatures.html.