Sociodemographics Sway Physician Quality Scores

BY DEBRA L. BECK Contributing Writer

TORONTO — Physician practices treating higher proportions of less-educated patients have consistently lower HEDIS performance scores, according to preliminary research presented at the annual meeting of the Society of General Internal Medicine.

In fact, an increase of just one standard deviation in the proportion of non-college graduate patients is associated with a significant Health Employer Data and Information Set (HEDIS) performance score decrease of as much as 2.5%, according to the findings.

"Our concern is that practice sites caring for disproportionate shares of vulnerable patients may be penalized by pubperformance reporting and lic pay-for-performance contracts," reported Dr. Mark Friedberg, of the division of general medicine at Brigham and

R only

Women's Hospital and Harvard School of Public Health, both in Boston,

Without adjusting HEDIS scores for patient sociodemographic characteristics-or adjusting some aspect of the way these scores are used-physicians may feel an incentive to avoid patients from vulnerable populations," he said.

The measurement of primary care quality for public reporting $\bar{\mbox{h}} as$ become a hot issue in recent years, with physicians who care for minority patients and those with

Tetanus Toxoid, Reduced Diphtheria Toxoid and Acellular Pertussis Vaccine Adsorbed ADACEL™

 ee package insert for full prescribing informatior Brief Summay: Please see package insert for full prescribing information INDICATIONS AND USACE ADACEL vaccine is indicated for active booster immurization for the prevention of tetanus, diphtheria and pertussis as a single dose in persons 11 through 64 years of age. The use of ADACEL vaccine as a primary series, or to complete the primary series, has not been studied. As with any vaccine, ADACEL vaccine may not protect 100% of vaccinated individuals. CONTRAINDICATIONS Known systemic hypersensitivity to any component of ADACEL vaccine or a life-threating reaction after previous administration of the vaccine or a vaccine containing the same substances are contraindications to vaccination with ADACEL vaccine. Because of uncertainty as to which component of the vaccine may be responsible, additional vaccinations with the diphtheria, tetanus or pertussis components should not be administered. Alternatively, such individuals may be referred to an allergist for evaluation if further immunizations are to be considered. The following events are contraindications to administration of any netwisk containing vacrine: (1)

allegs to revaluation in intrue immunications are to be considered. The following events are contraining vacations: (1) • Encephalopathy within 7 days of a previous dose of pertussis containing vacation end attributable to another identifiable cause. • Progressive neurological disorder, uncontrolled epilepsy, or progressive encephalopathy. Pertussis vacations should not be administered to individuals with these conditions until a treatment regimen has been established, the condition has stabilized, and the benefit dearly outweights the risk.

ADACEL vaccine is not contraindicated for use in individuals with HIV infection. (1)

Deally outweigh the inst. ADACEL vaccine is not contraindicated for use in individuals with HIV infection. (1) WARNINGS Because intramuscular injection can cause injection site hermatoma, ADACEL vaccine should not be given to persons with any bleeding disorder, such as hemophilia or thrombocytopenia, or to persons on anticoagulant therapy unless the potential benefits cady outweigh the risk of administration. If the decision is made to administer ADACEL vaccine in such persons, it should be given with auton, with steps taken to avoid the risk of hematoma formation following injection. (1) If any of the following events occurred in temporal relation to previous receipt of a vaccine containing a whole-cell pertussis (g. DTP) or an acellular pertussis component, the decision to give ADACEL vaccine should be based on careful consideration of the potential benefits and possible risks: (2) (3) • Temperature of B40.5°C (105°F) within 48 hours, occurring within 48 hours; • Seizures with or without fever courding within 3 days. When a decision is made to withhold pertussis vaccine, Td vaccine should be given. Persons who experienced Arthus-type hypersen-sitivity reactions (e.g. severe local reactions associated with systemic symptoms) (4) following a prior dose of tetanus toxoid usually have high serum tetanus antitoxin levels and should not be given emergency doses of tetanus toxoid-containing vaccines more frequently than every 10 years, even if the wound is neither dean nor minor. (4) (5) If Guillan-Barré Syndrome occured within 6 wecks of receipt to individuals with stable central nervous system (CNS) disorders must be made by the health-care provider on an individual basis, with consideration of all relevant factors associated withory of sizes or other CNS disorders is not a containing tetanus toxoid should be have on careful consideration of the potential henefits and possible risks: (1) The decision to an individual basis, with consideration of all relevant factors and assessment of optential ri

immunizing such individuals. (2) A family history of seizures or other CNS disorders is not a contraindication to pertussis vaccine. (2) The ACIP has published guidelines for vaccination of persons with recent or acute liness. (1) **PRECAUTIONS General** Do not administer by intravascular injection: ensure that the needle does not penetrate a blood vessel. ADACEL vaccine should not be administered into the buttocks nor by the intrademal route, since these methods of administration have not been studied, a weaker immune response has been observed when these routes of administration have been used with other vaccines. (1) The possibility of allergic reactions in persons sensitive to components of the vaccine should be evaluated. Epinephrine Hydrochloride Solution (1:1,000) and other appropriate agents and equipment should be available for immediate use incase an anaphylactic or acute hypersensitivity reaction occurs. Prior administration of ADACEL vaccine, the vaccine recipient and/or these an anaphylactic or acute hypersensitivity reaction occurs. Prior administration bioty, current health status and any adverse event after previous immunizations. In persons who have a history of serious or severe reaction within 48 hours of a previ-ous injection with a vaccine containing similar components, administration of ADACEL vaccine. The Vaccine receiptent and/or parent or guardian must be asked about persons myo be subportinal. (1) The immune response to ADACEL vaccine adminis-tered to immunocompromised persons (whether from disease or treatment) has not been studied. A separate, tertile syning and ne-die, or asterile disposable unit, must be used for each person to prevent transmission of blood bome infectious agents. Needles should inform the vaccine recipient and/or parent or guardian for the benefits and risks. The health-care provider should inform the vaccine recipient and/or parent or guardian about the potential for adverse reactions that have been temporally associated with ADACEL vaccine rus serio

Drug Interactions Immusuppressive therapies, including irradiation, antimetabolites, alkylating agents, cytotoxic drugs and cor-ticoteroids (used in greater than physiologic does), may reduce the immune response to vaccines. (See PRECAUTIONS, General.) For information regarding simultaneous administration with other vaccines refer to the ADVERSE REACTIONS and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION sections.

AdMINISTRATION isocions: Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility No studies have been performed with ADACEL vaccine to evaluate carcino-genicity, mutagenic potential, or impairment of fertility. No studies have been performed with ADACEL vaccine to evaluate carcino-genicity, mutagenic potential, or impairment of fertility. Pregnancy Category C Animal reproduction studies have not been conducted with ADACEL vaccine. It is also not known whether ADACEL vaccine can cause fetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman or can affect reproduction capacity. ADACEL vac-cine should be given to a pregnant woman only if clearly needed. Animal fertility studies have not been conducted with ADACEL vaccine. The effect of ADACEL vaccine on embryo-fetal and pre-weaning development was evaluated in two developmental tox-icity studies using pregnant rabbits. Animals were administered ADACEL vaccine twice prior to gestation, during the period of organogenesis (gestation day 6) and later during pregnancy on gestation day 29, 05 mL/rabbit/occasion (a 17-fold Increae com-pared to the human dose of ADACEL vaccine on a body weight basi), by intramuscular injection. No adverse effects on pregnan-cy partuition, lactation, embryo-fetal or pre-weaning development were observed. There were no vaccine related fetal malforma-tions or other evidence of tratagenesis noted in this study. (8) **Pregnancy Reeisty** Health-care provides are encouraged to resider organant women who receive ADACEL vaccine in Sanofi Pasteur

omen who receive ADACEL vaccine in Sanofi Pasteu Pregnancy Registry Health-care providers are encouraged to register pregnant wome Inc.'s vaccination pregnancy registry by calling 1-800-822-2463 (1-800-VACCINE).

Nursing Mothers It is not known whether ADACEL vaccine is excreted in human milk. Because many drugs are excreted in human milk, caution should be exercised when ADACEL vaccine is given to a nursing woman. Pediatric Use DACEL vaccine is not indicated for individuals less than 11 years of age. (See INDICATIONS AND USAGE) For immu-nization of persons 6 weeks through 6 years of age against diphtheria, tetanus and pertussis refer to manufacturers' package inserts for DTaP vaccines.

for DTaP vacines. Geriatric Use ADACEL vacine is not indicated for individuals 65 years of age and older. No data are available regarding the safety and effectiveness of ADACEL vacine in individuals 65 years of age and older as clinical studies of ADACEL vacine did not include subjects in the geriatric population. ADVERSE REACTIONS The safety of ADACEL vacine was evaluated in 4 clinical studies. A total of 5,841 individuals 11-64 years of age inclusive (3,393 adolescent 11-17 years of age and 2,448 adults 18-64 years) received a single booster dose of ADACEL vacine. The principal safety study was a randomized, observer blind, active controlled trial that enrolled participants 11-17 years of age (ADACEL vacine N = 1,184; ff vacine N = 792) and 18-64 years) received a single booster were blind design, is study per-sonnel collecting the safety data differed from personnel administering the vacines, was used due to different vacine N = actively additioned from the periodus 5 was used due to different vacine packaging (ADA-

Product information as of January 2006

Manufactured by: **Sanofi Pasteur Limited** Toronto Ontario Canada MKT10383-1R

CEL vaccine supplied in single dose vials; Td vaccine supplied in multi-dose vials). Solicited local and systemic reactions and unsolicited events were monitored daily for 14 days post-vaccination using a diary card. From days 14-28 post-vaccination, information on adverse events necessitating a medical contact, such as a telephone call, visit to an emergency room, physician's office or hopalitazions. Information regard-for unexpected visits to a physician's office or to an emergency room, orset of serious liness and hopatizations. Information regard-ing adverse events that occurred in the 6 month post-vaccination time period was obtained via telephone interview. Approximately pol 8% of participants completed the 6-month follow-vace evaluation. In the concomitant vaccination study with ADACEL and Hepatitis B vaccines, local and systemic adverse events were monitored daily for 14 days post-vaccination using a diary card. Local adverse events were only monitored at site/arm of ADACEL vaccine administration. Unsolicited reactions (including immediate reac-tions, serious adverse events that clicited seeking medical attention) were collect at a clinic visit or valtelephone interview for the duration of the trial, ie, up to six months post-vaccination. In the concomitant vaccination study with ADACEL waccine administration. Unsolicited at actions cardination using a diary card. Local adverse events that clicited seeking medical attention) were constinut waccination study with ADACEL waccine administration. Unsolicited reactions (including interview constinuted to event that clicited seeking medical attention) were constinut waccination study with ADACEL waccine administration. Unsolicited at a clinic vaccination using a diary card. Local adverse events that clicited seeking medical attention were monitored for 14 days post-vaccination using a diary card and the interviewer levents and the local and systemic adverse events were monitored for 14 days post-vaccination using a diary card. for the duration of the trial, ie, up to six months post-vaccination. In the concomitant vaccination study with ADACEL vaccine and triva-lent inactivated influenza vaccines local and systemic adverse events were monitored for 14 days post-vaccination using a days card. All unsolicited reactions occurring through day 14 were collected. From day 14 to the end of the frail, up to 84 days, only events that elicited seeking medical attention were collected. In all studies, subjects were monitored for senious adverse events throughout the duration of the study. Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in the clin-calit hais of a vaccine cannot be directly compared to rates in the clinical trials of another vaccine and may not reflect the rates observed in practice. The adverse reaction information from clinical trials does, however, provide a basis for identifying the adverse events that appear to be related to vaccine use and for approximating rates of those events. Serious Adverse Events in All Safety Studies Throughout the 6-month follow-up period in the principal safety study, serious adverse events were reported in 15% of ADACEL vaccine reopients and 1.4% in Td vaccine administration; one severe events in adultarel facial paralysis and one diagnosis of nerve compression in neck and left arm. Similar or lower rates of serious adverse events were reported in the other trials and three were no additional neuropathic events reported.

in the other trials and there were no additional neuropathic events reported. Solicited Adverse Events in the Principal Safety Study The frequency of selected solicited adverse events (erythema, swelling, pain and fever) occurring during Days 0-14 following one dose of ADACEL vaccine or Td vaccine were reported at a similar frequency in both groups. Few participants (<T%) sought medical attention for these reactions. Pain at the injection site was the most common adverse reaction occurring in 0-27% of all vaccines. In addition, on overall rats of pain were higher in addescent reopients of ADA-CEL vaccine compared to Td vaccine reopients. Rates of moderate and severe pain in addescents did not significantly differ for adults. Fever of 38°C and higher was uncommon, although in the ado-lescent age group, it cocurred significantly differ for adults. Fever of 38°C and higher was uncommon, although in the ado-lescent age group, it cocurred significantly differ for adults. Fever of 38°C and higher was uncommon, although in the ado-lescent age group, it cocurred significantly differ to adults. Fever of 38°C and higher was uncommon, although in the ado-lescent age group, it cocurred significantly differ to adults. Fever of 38°C and higher was uncommon, although in the ado-lescent age group, it cocurred significantly differ to adults. Fever of 38°C and higher was uncommon, although in the ado-lescent age group, it cocurred significantly differ to adults. Fever of 38°C and higher was uncommon, although in the ado-lescent age group, it cocurred significantly differ to adults. Fever of 38°C and higher was uncommon, although in the ado-second and systemic solicited reactions occurred within the first 3 days after vaccination (with a men duration of less than 3 days). Headache was the most frequent systemic reaction and was usually of mild to moderate intensity. Adverse Events in the Concomitant Vaccine Studies

Adverse Events in the Concomitant Vaccine Studies Local and Systemic Reactions when Given with Hepatitis B Vaccine The rates reported for fever and injection site pain (at the ADA-CEL vaccine administration site) were similar when ADACEL and Hep B vaccines were given concurrently or separately. However, the rates of injection site enthema (23.4% for concomitant vaccination and 21.4% for separate administration and swelling (23.9% for concomitant vaccination and 17.9% for separate administration) at the ADACEL vaccine administration site were increased when on-administered. Swolen and/or sore joints were reported by 22.5% for concomitant vaccination and 7.9% for separate administra-tion. The rates of generalized body aches in the individuals who reported swollen and/or sore joints were 86.7% for concomitant vac-riation and 72.2% for separate administration. Most joint compliants were mid in Intensity with a mean duration of 1.8 days. The incidence of other solicited and unsolicited adverse events were not different between the 2 study groups. (8)

Incidence of other solicited and unsolicited adverse events were not different between the 2 study groups. (8) Local and Systemic Reactions when Given with Trivialent Inactivated Influenza Vaccime The rates of fever and injection site crythe-ma and swelling were similar for recipients of concurrent and separate administration of ADACEL vaccine and TIV. However, pain at the ADACEL vaccine injection site occurred at statistically higher rates following concurrent administration (66.6%) versus separate administration (60.6%). The rates of sole and/or sole of 3% for concurrent administration and 9% for separate admin-istration. Most joint complaints were mild in intensity with a mean duration of 2.0 days. The incidence of other solicited and unso-licited adverse events were similar between the 2 study groups. (8) Additional Studies An additional 1,806 adolescents received ADACEL vaccine as part of the lot consistency study used to support ADACEL vaccine licensure. This study was a randomized, double-blind, multi-center trial designed to assess lot consistency are form and but the affect and immingencipies of ADACEL vaccine as part of the lot consistency study used to support ADACEL vaccine licensure. This study was a randomized, double-blind, multi-center trial designed to asses lot consistency are form

ADACEL vaccine licensure. This study was a randomized, double-blind, multi-center trial designed to assess lot consistency as meas-ured by the safety and immunogenicity of 3 lots of ADACEL vaccine when given as a booster dose to adolescents 11-17 years of age inclusive. Local and systemic adverse events were monitored for 14 days post-vaccination using a dayr card. Unsolidet adverse events and serious adverse events were collected for 28 days post-vaccination. Pain was the most frequently reported local adverse event occurring in approximately 80% of all subjects. Headache was the most frequently reported systemic event occurring in approx-imately 44% of all subjects. Soce and/or swollen joints were reported by approximately 14% of participants. Most joint complaints were mild in intensity with a mean duration of 2.0 days. (8) An additional 962 adolescents and adults received ADACEL vaccine in three supportive. Canadian studies used as the basis for lensure in other countries. Within these dinical triak, the rates of local and systemic reactions following ADACEL vaccine into those reported in the four principal triak in the US with the exception of a higher rate (86%) of adults experiencing 'any' local injection site pain. The rate of severe pain (0.8%), however, was compara-ble to the tates reported in the four principal triak. (8) There was one spontaneous report of whole-arm swelling of the injected limb among the 277 Td vaccine recipients, and two spontaneous reports among the 962 ADACEL vaccine in the of ADACEL

be to the rates reported in the four principal frials. (8) There was one spontaneous report of whole-arm swelling of the injected limb among the 277 fd vaccine recipients, and two spontaneous reports among the 962 ADACEL vaccine recipients. **Postmarketing Reports** The following adverse events have been spontaneous/ reported during the post-marketing use of ADACEL vaccine in other countries. Because these events are reported voluntarily form a population of uncertain size, it is no possible to reliably estimate their frequency or epoting or the strength of causal association to ADACEL vaccine. Central disorders and administration site conditions: injection site bruising, sterile abscess, skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders pruntus, urticaria. There have been spontaneous/prosts of nervous system disorders such as myelitis, syncope vasovagal, parestheia, hypoesthesia and muscleakelal and connective tissue disorders such as myositis and muscle spasms temporally associated with ADACEL vaccine. **Reporting** of Adverse Events The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, established by the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, established by the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, established by the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Anny Act of 1996, requires physicians and other health-care providers who administer vacines to maintain permanent vaccination records of the manufacturer and lot number of the vaccine administered in the vaccine and the name, address and title of the person administering the vaccine. The At further requires the health-care professional to report to the US Department of Health and Human Services House courrence following immunization of any event stat would contraindicate Intriher dows of vaccine administration seconds the transcence line user (J) (9) (10) The US Department of Health and Human Services heas established the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) to acoept al reports of subspected adverse events further dow

STORAGE Store at 2° to 8°C (35° - 46°F). DO NOT FREEZE. Discard product if exposed to freezing. Do not use after

expiration date. REFERENCES 1. CDC. General recommendations on immunization: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) and the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP). MMWR 2002;51(RR-2):1-35.2. CDC. Pertussis vaccina-tion: Use of acellular pertussis vaccines among infants and young children. Recommendations of the ACIP. MMWR 1997;46(RR-7):1-25.3. CDC Update. Vaccine side effects, adverse reactions, contraindications and precautions - recommendations of Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 1999;45(RR-12):1-35.4. CDC. Update on adult immunization: recommendations of the Advisory Committee (ACIP). MMWR 1991;40(RR-12):1-52. 5. CDC. Diphtheria, tetanus and pertussis: recommendations for vaccine use and other preventive measures. Recommendations of the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP). MMWR 1991;40(RR-10):1-25. 4. CDC. Update on adult immunization Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP). MMWR 1991;40(RR-10):1-25. 4. CDC. Update on adult immunization persons with altered immunocompetence. Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 1993;42(RR-4):1-18.7. CDC. Current trends - Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) United States. MMWR 1990;39(4):1730-3.8. Data on file af Sanofi Pasteur Limited. 9. CDC. Current Trends - rational vaccine injury act: requirements for permanent vaccination records and for reporting of selected events after vaccination. MMWR 1988;37(13):197-200. 10. FDA. New reporting requirements for vaccine adverse event. FDA Drug Bull 1988;18(2):16-8.

Printed in Canada

Distributed by Sanofi Pasteur Inc Swiftwater PA 18370 USA R1-0106 lower incomes worried that they may be at a disadvantage in a system with a onesize-fits-all approach to quality measurement.

Dr. Friedberg noted a recent study (Health Aff. 2007;26:w405-w414 [Epub doi:10.1377/hlthaff.26.3.w405]) that found that 85% of physicians polled agreed with the statement: "At present, measures of quality are not adequately adjusted for patients' socioeconomic status."

Fully 82% were concerned that measuring quality may deter physicians from treating high-risk patients.

Dr. Friedberg and his colleagues used the Massachusetts Health Quality Partners (MHQP) statewide reporting program, which supplied data from commercial insurers aggregated at the physician level on eight HEDIS measures: breast cancer, cervical cancer, chlamydia, asthma controller medications, HbA_{1c} testing, cholesterol testing, eye exams, and nephropathy.

MHQP is a statewide collaborative that

	includes the
Primary care	five largest
practice sites with	health plans in
	Massachusetts,
disproportionate	contracting
shares of natients	with 90% of
	state primary
having lower	care providers
educational	and covering
	63% of Massa-
attainment may	chusetts resi-
incur a	dents, or about
	4 million peo-
'pertormance	ple.
measure penalty.'	Data were
menence periory	

Data were collected from

241 physician practice sites (including 1,489 physicians) that provided adult primary care to insured patients during 2004.

These data were linked to patient responses from the 2002-2003 Massachusetts Ambulatory Care Experiences Survey to calculate the prevalence of sociodemographic characteristics (age, gender, race, ethnicity, and education) within each practice site's patient panel. Practice site was used as the unit of analysis.

Median site-level HEDIS scores ranged from 94% for HbA1c screening (interquartile range, 90%-96%) to 43% for chlamydia screening in women aged 21-25 years (interquartile range 34%-52%).

In bivariate analyses, lower site-level proportions of college graduate patients were significantly associated with lower HEDIS scores on all eight measures. These associations remained statistically significant for seven of the eight measures even after multivariate adjustment.

Significant bivariate associations between sites' HEDIS scores and the age, racial, and ethnic composition of their patient panels were present for chlamydia screening, but these associations did not remain statistically significant after multivariate adjustment.

"Primary care practice sites with disproportionate shares of patients having lower educational attainment may incur a 'performance measure penalty' on widely used HEDIS quality measures," Dr. Friedberg concluded.