Avoid Common Pitfalls of EHR Implementation

BY MARY ELLEN SCHNEIDER Senior Writer

BOSTON — To successfully implement an electronic health record system, set clear and specific goals and involve your clinical and administrative staff in all of the planning, Jerome H. Carter, M.D., said at a congress sponsored by the American Medical Informatics Association.

"You have to plan," said Dr. Carter, chief executive officer of NT&M Infor-

Exetimibe: The pharmacokinetics of exetimibe in adolescents (10 to 18 years) have been shown to be similar to that in adults. Treatment experience with exetimibe in the pediatric population is limited to 4 patients (9 to 17 years) with hormozygous situsterolemia and 5 patients (11 to 17 years) with HoFH. Treatment with exetimibe in children (<10 years) is not recommended. *Simvastatin*: Safety and effectiveness of simvastatin in patients 10-17 years of age with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia have been evaluated in a controlled dincal trial in adolescent boys and in gifs who were at least 1 year post-menarche. Patients treated with simvastatin had an adverse experience profile generally similar to that of patients treated with simvastatin had an adverse experience profile generally similar to that of patients treated with simvastatin had an adverse experience profile generally similar to that of patients treated with simvastatin had an adverse experience profile generally similar to that of patients treated with simvastatin had an adverse experience profile generally similar to that of patients treated with simvastatin had na adverse experience profile generally similar to that of patients treated with simvastatin had na adverse experience profile generally similar to that of patients treated with should be courseled on appropriate contraceptive methodow shife on therapy with simvastatin (see CONTRAINDICATIONS and PRECAUTIONS, *Pregranory*). Simvastatin has not been studied in patients younger than 10 years of age, nor in pre-menarchal girls. *Genatic Use*

not been studied in patients younger than 10 years of age, nor in pre-menarchal gris. *Ceritatic USe* Of the patients who received V/TORINTM (ezetimibe/simvastatin) in clinical studies, 792, were 65 and older (this included 176 who were 75 and older). The safety of V/TORIN was similar between these patients and younger patients. Greater sensitivity of some older individuals cannot be nicled out. (See CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Special Populations and ADVERSE REACTIONS.) **ADVERSE REACTIONS**

ADVERSE REACTIONS VYTORIN has been evaluated for safety in more than 3800 patients in dinical trials. VYTORIN was generally well tolerated. Table 1 summarizes the frequency of clinical adverse experiences reported in \geq 2% of patients treated with VYTORIN (n=1256) and at an incidence greater than placebo regardless of causality assessment from 3 similarly designed, placebo-controlled trials. Table 1 *

Clinical Adverse Events Occurring in $\geq 2\%$ of Patients Treated with VYTORIN and at an Incidence Greater than Placebo, Regardless of Causality

Body System/ Organ Class	Placebo (%)	Ezetimibe 10 mg	Simvastatin** (%)	VYTORIN** (%)
Adverse Eveni		(90)	1074	. 1070
	N=311	n=302	n=1234	N=1236
Body as a whole – general disorders				
Headache	6.4	6.0	5.9	6.8
Infection and infestations				
Influenza	1.0	1.0	1.9	2.6
Upper respiratory	2.6	5.0	5.0	3.9
tract infection				
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders				

2.9 1.3 2.3 3.0 Myalgia Pain in extremity 2.3 2.0 * Includes 2 placebo-controlled combination studies in which the active ingredient VYTORIN were coadministered and 1 placebo-controlled study in which VYTORIN ** All doses.

Ezetimibe: Other adverse experiences reported with ezetimibe in placebo-controlled studies, regardless of causality assessment: Body as a whole – general disorders: fatigue; Castrointestinal system disorders: abdominal pan, diarthea; Infection and infestators: infection vial, pharyngits, sinusitis; Musculoakeletal system disorders: anthralgia, back pair, Respiratory system disorders: coughing.

Post-marketing Experience The following adverse reactions have been reported in post-marketing experience,

Post-marketing expense: The following adverse reactions have been reported in post-marketing experience, regardless of causality assessment: Hypersensitivity reactions, including angioedema and rash; increased CPK; elevations in liver transaminases; hepatitis, thrombocytopenia; pancreatitis; nausea; cholelithiasis; cholecystits; and, very rarely in patients taking an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor with reatimble; rhadomyolysis (see WARNINGS, Myopathy/Rhodamyolysis). *Simvastatin*: Other adverse experiences reported with simvastatin in placebo-controlled clinical studies, regardless of causality assessment: Body as a whole - general disorders: saftenia; *Eye disorders*: cataratic, *Castronitestical system* disorders: abdominal pain; constipation, diarrhea, dyspepsia, flatulence, nausea; *Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders*: ecame, puritus; rash. The following effects have been reported with other HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. Not all the effects listed below have necessarily been associated with simvastatin therapy. *Musculoskeletal system disorders*: muscle cramps, myalgia, myopathy, rhabdomyolysis, arthralgias.

all the effects listed below have necessarily been associated with simvastatin therapy. *Musculoskeletal system disorders:* muscle cramps, myalgia, myopathy, rhabdomyolysis, arthralgias. *Nervous system disorders:* dysfunction of certain cranial nerves (including alteration of taske, impairment of extra-ocular movement, facial paresis), tremor, dizziness, memory loss, paresthesia, peripheral neuropathy, peripheral nerve palsy, psychic disturbances. *Ear and labyrinth disorders:* vertigo. *Psychiatric disorders:* anney, insomina, depression, loss of libido. *Hypersensitivity Reactions:* An apparent hypersensitivity syndrome has been reported rarely which has included 1 or more of the following features: anaphylaxis, angioedema, lupus erythematous-like syndrome, polymyalgia rheumaica, dermatomyositis, vasculitis, nalaise, dyspme, toxic epidermal necrolysis, erythema multiforme, including Stevens-Johnson syndrome. *Castrointestinal system disorders:* pancreatitis, vomiting. *Hepatobility disorders:* hapatitis, including chronic active hepatitis, cholestatic jaundice, fatty drange in liver, and, rarely, cirrhosis, fulnimant hepatic necrosis, and hepatoma. *Skin and subcutoneous tissue disorders:* alopecia, puritus. A variey of skin changes (eg. *Sondules, discolaraton, dyness of skin/muccus*, membranes, changes to hair/nails) have been reported.

been reported. Been reported Reproductive system and breast disorders: gynecomastia, erectile dysfunction. Eye disorders: progression of cataracts (ens opacites), ophthalmoplegia. Laboratory Abnormalities: elevated transaminases, alkaline phosphatase, y-glutamyl transpeptidase, and bilirubin; thyroid function abnormalities. Laboratory Test

Laboratory Tests Laboratory Tests Marked persistent increases of serum transaminases have been noted (see WARNINGS, Liver Enzymes). About 5% of patients taking simvastatin had elevations of CK levels of 3 or more times the normal value on 1 or more occasions. This was attributable to the noncardiac fraction of CK. Muscle pain or dysfunction usually was not reported (see WARNINGS, Myopathy/Rhabdomyolysis). Concomitant Lipid-Lovering Theropy In controlled chincal studies in which simvastatin was administered concomitantly with cholestyramine, no adverse reactions that occurred were limited to those reported previously with simvastatin or cholestyramine. Adolescent Printiane (concer 11 21 concert)

with simulation of checkyramine. Adolescent Patients (ages 10-17) years) In a 48-week controlled study in adolescent boys and girls who were at least 1 year post-menarche, 10-17 years of age with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (r=175), the safety and tolerability profile of the group treated with placebo, with het most common adverse experiences observed in both groups being upper respiratory infection, headache, abdominal pain, and nausea (see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Special Populations and PRECAUTIONS, Pediatric Use).

MERCK / Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals

Manufactured for: MERCK/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals

Marthadata (1997) Marthad (19 Marthad (1997) Marthad (19 Marthad (1997) Marthad (20502352(1)(602)-VYT matics, Inc., Atlanta, and the editor of "Electronic Medical Records: A Guide for Clinicians and Administrators," published by the American College of Physicians.

As many as half of complex software implementations fail, Dr. Carter said, and usually for the same reasons: vague objectives, bad planning and estimation, poor project management, insufficient involvement by senior staff, and poor vendor performance.

"This is not the time to experiment with the latest gadgets," he said.

Implementation doesn't start when the organization purchases the EHR products, but, rather, as soon as the group accepts the idea of moving from paper to an electronic system, Dr. Carter said.

The first step is to understand the current problems within the practice, to figure out how the practice should function, and identify what keeps the practice and its current system from working in an ideal way.

Potential EHR buyers should spend at least 3-4 weeks canvassing everyone in the practice to find out the problems and goals and to create a statement to capture those ideas. he said.

The next step is a systems and process analysis to be conducted by clinicians and executive management. This is a chance to figure out if an EHR will help to solve current problems, he said.

The executive management should also

assess everyone's job functions. Adding an EHR to a practice will change job functions, and it's important to make sure that all the important duties are still covered, Dr. Carter said.

Once this backgrounding has been done, a request for proposals based on practice needs can be created.

During product review, it's important to have a designated project manager whose

only job is to shepherd the project through each stage. In addition, senior executive supportboth administrative and clinical—is key since that group will make the final decision on a system.

And staff input is essential since these are the people who really know what goes on in your practice, Dr. Carter said.

Spend time figuring out what resources will be needed in terms of new personnel, technical support, security, and equipment.

Without that level of estimation and planning, it's very likely you'll be in a situation where you need a critical person and that person is not there," he said.

Consider hardware issues. For example, it's important to consider the types of input devices that will be used, such as tablets, desktop computers, or personal

digital assistants (PDAs). Tablet computers are popular but people also tend to drop them and spill coffee on them, he said.

Don't forget to factor in security issues, Dr. Carter advised. For example, practices should be sure that any system they buy is compatible with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996. When the time comes, there are a variety of ways to roll out a system, Dr.

Carter said. For ex-Spend at least 3-4 weeks ample, a practice can test all the features at once through a pilot the practice to find out the at one site in the practice. Another option problems and goals and to

is to phase in imple-

mentation of the

most important fea-

tures first across the

entire organization.

canvassing everyone in

create a statement to

capture those ideas.

Or a practice could opt to try a "big bang" rollout where all features are implemented across the organization at once. This approach is generally more successful in smaller practices with only two sites and fewer than 10 physicians, Dr. Carter said.

Regardless of the type of rollout, ongoing staff training is critical. It is not a one-time event. Staff will need training on the workflow change and planning aspects and the actual EHR system. Physicians will need additional training on physician-specific issues related to implementation, he said.

Coalition to Begin Certifying Electronic Health Record Software in the Fall

BOSTON — A coalition of private sector informatics groups plans to launch a process for certifying electronic health record products late this year.

Certification will bring some predictability into the market for physicians, vendors, and payers, Mark Leavitt, M.D., chair of the Certification Commission for Healthcare Information Technology, said at a congress sponsored by the American Medical Informatics Association.

The commission's initial scope is to certify electronic health record (EHR) products for physician offices and other ambulatory settings. They plan to begin beta testing products as part of a pilot project in September.

By the end of the year, the commission is slated to publish certification requirements and to outline a roadmap for vendors for requirements for the next 1-2 years, Dr. Leavitt said.

The roadmap is a key part of the commission's work because the cycle for getting new features, interfaces, and interoperability functions into a product can be 6-18 months or more. "We need to signal to the industry as to where we are going next, so it has time to respond," he said.

The commission was founded last year by the American Health Information Management Association, the Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society (HIMSS), and the National Alliance for Health Information Technology.

The three groups have provided seed funding and have loaned staff members to the effort. As the process moves forward, the commission will charge fees to the vendors to cover the cost of testing the products. They also plan to seek sustaining grants from other organizations to maintain their operations, said Dr. Leavitt, who is also the medical director at HIMSS.

Under the voluntary certification process, products will either be certified or not certified. "We are not trying to create a competitive rating system," Dr. Leavitt said.

The idea is that the commission will be setting a baseline standard, leaving space for competition and innovation above that standard. And the standard needs to be based on reality, he said, to get participation from vendors.

In the first year of certification, the members of the commission want to be sure that they don't create requirements that will shut down the marketplace. However, Dr. Leavitt said he expects that as the standards become more rigorous in the years to come, the marketplace will evolve to follow the certification process.

Currently, adoption is progressing slowly because the market lacks order and predictability. For example, physicians won't buy EHR systems until costs are lower, their own risk is lower, and the incentives are higher. However, it's hard for vendors to bring down prices when the sales volumes are so low and the sales cycle is so costly.

Payers have expressed interest in offering incentives for the use of EHRs, but many are concerned that if they start to offer incentives, an industry of minimal systems will spring up to capture that money, Dr. Leavitt said.

Certification is a way to take some of the risk out of the process for all the players, Dr. Leavitt said.

Another challenge is to make sure that there isn't a wave of adoption of products that aren't interoperable.

'We want to ensure that these products that get adopted will be interoperable in this emerging infrastructure," according to Dr. Leavitt. "The challenge is the infrastructure isn't there yet, it's emerging."

-Mary Ellen Schneider

For more information on the certification timeline, visit www.cchit.org.