



	atorvastatin				
Body System/ Adverse Event	Placebo N=270	10 mg N=863	20 mg N=36	40 mg N=79	80 mg N=94
BODY AS A WHOLE					
Infection	10.0	10.3	2.8	10.1	7.4
Headache	7.0	5.4	16.7	2.5	6.4
Accidental Injury	3.7	4.2	0.0	1.3	3.2
Flu Syndromé	1.9	2.2	0.0	2.5	3.2
Abdominal Pain	0.7	2.8	0.0	3.8	2.1
Back Pain	3.0	2.8	0.0	3.8	1.1
Allergic Reaction	2.6	0.9	2.8	1.3	0.0
Asthenia	1.9	2.2	0.0	3.8	0.0
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
Constipation	1.8	2.1	0.0	2.5	1.1
Diarrhea	1.5	2.7	0.0	3.8	5.3
Dyspepsia	4.1	2.3	2.8	1.3	2.1
Flatulence	3.3	2.1	2.8	1.3	1.1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
Sinusitis	2.6	2.8	0.0	2.5	6.4
Pharyngitis	1.5	2.5	0.0	1.3	2.1
SKIN AND APPENDAGES					
Rash	0.7	3.9	2.8	3.8	1.1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
Arthralgia	1.5	2.0	0.0	5.1	0.0
Mvalgia	1.1	3.2	5.6	1.3	0.0

Arthralgia1.52.00.05.10.0Myalgia1.13.25.61.30.0Angio-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (ASCOT): In ASCOT involving 10,305 participants treated with atorvastatin 10 mg daily
(n=5,168) or placebo (n=5,137), the safety and tolerability profile of the group treated with atorvastatin was comparable to that of the
group treated with placebo during a median of 3.3 years of follow-up. The following diverse events were reported, regardless of causality
assessment, in patients treated with atorvastatin in clinical trials. The events in italics occurred in >2% of patients of patients.
Body as a Whole: Chest pain, face edema, fever, neck rigidity, malaise, photosensitivity reaction,
generalized edema. Digestive System: Nausea, gastroenteritis, liver function tests abnormal, colitis, vomiting, gastritis, dry mouth,
rectal hemorrhage, esophaditis, eructation, glossitis, mouth ulceration, anorexia, increased appetite, stomatitis, biliary pain, chelitis,
cholestatic jaundice. Respiratory System: Bronchitis, rhinitis, pneumonia, dyspnea, asthma, epistaxis. Nervous System: Insomnia,
dizziness, paresthesia, somnolence, ammesia, abnormal dreams, libido decreased, emotional lability, incoordination, peripheral
neuropathy, torticollis, facial paralysis, hyperkinesia, depression, hypesthesia, hypertonia. Musculoskeletal System: Arthritis, lappeade, dry skin, sweating, acne, urticaria, eczema, seborthea, skin ulcer. Urogenial System: Unrary tract infection, urinary frequency,
cystitis, hematuria, impotence, dysuria, kidney calculus, nocturia, epididymitis, fibrocystic breast, vaginal hemorrhage, abuminuria,
breast enlargement, metorrhagia, nephritis, urinary incontinence, urinary retention, urinary urgency, abnormal ejaculation, urinary
tract infection, urinary frequency,
cystitis, hematuria, impotence, dysuria, kidney calculus, nocturia, epi

safety and tolerability profile of atorvastatin 10 to 20 mg daily was generally similar to that of placebo (see **PRECAUTIONS**, **Pediatric Use**). **OVERDOSAGE**: There is no information on overdosage with CADUET in humans. **Information on Amlodipine**: Single oral doses of amlodipine maleate equivalent to 40 mg amlodipine/kg and 100 mg amlodipine/kg in mice and rats, respectively, caused deaths. Single oral amlodipine maleate equivalent to 40 mg amlodipine/kg in dogs (11 or more times the maximum recommended clinica dose on a m/m⁺ basis) caused a marked peripheral vasodilation and hypotension. Overdosage might be expected to cause excessive peripheral vasodilation with marked hypotension and possibly a reflex tachycardia. In humans, seperience with intentional overdosage of amlodipine is limited. Reports of intentional overdosage include a patient who ingested 250 mg and was asymptomatic and was not hospitalized and had hypotension (90/50 mmHg) which normalized following plasma expansion. A patient who took 70 mg amlodipine datue the following day with abnormally high benzodiazepine plasma concentration. A case of accidental drug overdose has been documented in a 19-month-old male who ingested 30 mg amlodipine (about 2 mg/kg). During the emergency room presentation, vital signs were stable with ne evidence of hypotension, but a hear trate of 180 bpm. Jpecac was administered 3.5 hours after ingestion and no situsequent observation (overnight) no sequelae were noted. If massive overdose should occur, active cardiac and respiratory monitoring should be instituted. Frequent blood pressure measurements are essential. Should hypotension remains unresponsive to these conservative measures, administration of vasopressors (such as phenylephrine) should be considered with supportive measures instituted as required. Due to extensive drug binding to plasma proteins, hemodialysis is not expected to significantly enhance atorvastatin overdosage. In the event of an overdose, the patient should be treated symptomatically, and s

© 2004 Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals

₿¢ only Manufactured by: Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals Dublin, Ireland

Distributed by: **Pfizer Labs** Division of Pfizer Inc., NY, NY 10017 Rev. 1 October 2004

LN233581

© 2005 Pfizer Inc. All rights reserved.

Pizer U.S. Pharmaceuticals

Family Physicians Believe IUDs Are Safe and Effective

BY DAMIAN MCNAMARA Miami Bureau

NEW ORLEANS — Nearly all family physician faculty members believe intrauterine devices are safe and effective, and more than half reported insertion of one or more in the previous year, according to survey findings presented at the annual conference of the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine.

An estimated 10%-13% of women worldwide use an intrauterine device (IUD) versus less than 1% of women in the United States. Lower use in this country might be related to a lack of residency training or misconceptions about the devices. "It could be a fear of infection or liability concerns," said Smita Arora, M.D.

Dr. Arora surveyed a random sample of 500 clinical family medicine residency faculty selected from the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine membership list. There were 221 responses, for a 44% response rate. The mean age of respondents was 44 years and 56% were male. She used an IUD survey tool adapted from the work of Nancy Stanwood, M.D. (Obstet. Gynecol. 2002;99:275-80).

More than 92% of respondents said that they believe IUDs are a safe, effective, and cost-effective means of contraception, said Dr. Arora, associate director of residency and director of obstetric and women's health at Sacred Heart Hospital in Allentown, Pa. The survey was part of work she did at a previous position.

A total of 53% of physicians said they had inserted an IUD in the previous year. "I was very surprised at this result," Dr. Arora said. Of these physicians, 59% reported insertion of only 1-4 devices; 90% inserted copper IUDs, and 10% inserted levonorgestrel IUDs. A total of 18% said they had never inserted an IUD.

"So we have this large population of family [medicine] faculty who are open to using IUDs. So why is the rate so low?" Dr. Arora asked. "We need less restrictive and more evidence-based criteria for use of IUDs, which would be a more womanfriendly approach to contraception."

A majority of respondents, 74%, said they believe patients are receptive to learning about IUDs. Twenty percent believe IUDs are abortifacients and 6% think the devices lead to lawsuits.

Respondents said they would not consider use of an IUD in a patient with history of pelvic inflammatory disease (71%), nulliparity (53%), or sexually transmitted disease (52%).

When asked what they believe are the three most effective methods of contraception, 28% said tubal ligation, 21% said vasectomy, and 15% said a copper IUD. Dr. Arora said, "They may have responded with IUD because this was an IUD study. I'm not sure that 15% would really say IUD otherwise."

Adolescent Girls' Contraceptive **Methods Change Frequently**

BY SHARON WORCESTER Tallahassee Bureau

NEW ORLEANS — Changes in contraceptive methods are frequent among adolescent girls, and tend to reflect pregnancy status and changes in sexual relationships and behaviors, Jennifer L. Woods, M.D., reported at the annual meeting of the North American Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology.

A 27-month longitudinal study of 275 sexually active girls ages 14-17 years produced 1,513 pairs of sequential reports on contraceptive use. Of these, 19% consistently used no contraception, 38% consistently used condoms or hormonal contraception, and 43% changed contraceptive methods between quarterly reports during the study period, said Dr. Woods of Indiana University, Indianapolis.

Of those who changed contraception, 82% changed methods at least once during the study, and 44% changed at least three times. About 4% of the changes were from hormonal contraception to no contraception, about 5% of the changes were from no contraception to hormonal contraception, 5% were from condoms to no contraception, and 5% were from no contraception to condoms.

Participants in the study included adolescent patients at primary care clinics. They completed interviews at study entry and exit, and every 3 months during the study period, during which they reported the types of contraceptive method used in the previous 3 months. Method change was defined as any change in the reported contraceptive method at any two sequential quarterly visits.

Significant predictors of change included pregnancy and fewer sexual partners (which predicted both a change from hormonal to no contraception, and from condoms to no contraception), as well as not being pregnant and increased number of sexual partners (which predicted a change from no contraception to the use of condoms only). There were no significant predictors of a change from no contraception to hormonal contraception, Dr. Woods noted.

The findings are of concern, particularly given that consistent use of effective contraceptives by sexually active adolescents, which is among the federal government's Healthy People 2010 national health objectives most relevant to adolescents, has been shown to protect against sexually transmitted diseases and/or pregnancy, Dr. Woods said.