

Adverse Event	amlodipine		Placebo	
	M=% (N=1218)	F=% (N=512)	M=% (N=914)	F=% (N=336)
Edema	5.6	14.6	1.4	5.1
Flushing	1.5	4.5	0.3	0.9
Palpitations	1.4	3.3	0.9	0.9
Somnolence	1.3	1.6	0.8	0.3

The following events occurred in $\leq 1\%$ but $>0.1\%$ of patients treated with amlodipine in controlled clinical trials or under conditions of open trials or marketing experience where a causal relationship is uncertain; they are listed to alert the physician to a possible relationship: **Cardiovascular:** arrhythmia (including ventricular tachycardia and atrial fibrillation), bradycardia, chest pain, hypotension, peripheral ischemia, syncope, tachycardia, postural dizziness, postural hypotension, vasculitis. **Central and Peripheral Nervous System:** hyposthesia, neuropathy peripheral, paresthesia, tremor, vertigo. **Gastrointestinal:** anorexia, constipation, dyspepsia, dysphagia, diarrhea, flatulence, pancreatitis, vomiting, gingival hyperplasia. **General:** allergic reaction, asthenia, back pain, hot flushes, malaise, pain, rigors, weight gain, weight decrease. **Musculoskeletal System:** arthralgia, arthrosis, muscle cramps, myalgia. **Psychiatric:** sexual dysfunction (male and female), insomnia, nervousness, depression, abnormal dreams, anxiety, depersonalization. **Respiratory System:** dyspnea, epistaxis. **Skin and Appendages:** angioedema, erythema multiforme, pruritus, rash, rash erythematous, rash maculopapular. **Special Senses:** abnormal vision, conjunctivitis, diplopia, eye pain, tinnitus. **Urinary System:** micturition frequency, micturition disorder, nocturia. **Autonomic Nervous System:** dry mouth, sweating increased. **Metabolic and Nutritional:** hyperglycemia, thirst. **Hemopoietic:** leukopenia, purpura, thrombocytopenia. The following events occurred in $\leq 0.1\%$ of patients treated with amlodipine in controlled clinical trials or under conditions of open trials or marketing experience: cardiac failure, pulse irregularity, extrasystoles, skin discoloration, urticaria, skin dryness, alopecia, dermatitis, muscle weakness, twitching, ataxia, hypertension, migraine, cold and clammy skin, apathy, agitation, amnesia, gastritis, increased appetite, loose stools, coughing, rhinitis, dysuria, polyuria, parosmia, taste perversion, abnormal visual accommodation, and xerophthalmia. Other reactions occurred sporadically and cannot be distinguished from medications or concurrent disease states such as myocardial infarction and angina. Amlodipine therapy has not been associated with clinically significant changes in routine laboratory tests. No clinically relevant changes were noted in serum potassium, serum glucose, total triglycerides, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, uric acid, blood urea nitrogen, or creatinine. The following postmarketing event has been reported infrequently with amlodipine treatment where a causal relationship is uncertain: gynecomastia. In postmarketing experience, jaundice and hepatic enzyme elevations (mostly consistent with cholestasis or hepatitis) in some cases severe enough to require hospitalization have been reported in association with use of amlodipine. Amlodipine has been used safely in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, well-compensated congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and abnormal lipid profiles. **The Atorvastatin Component of CADUET:** Atorvastatin is generally well-tolerated. Adverse reactions have usually been mild and transient. In controlled clinical studies of 2502 patients, $<2\%$ of patients were discontinued due to adverse experiences attributable to atorvastatin calcium. The most frequent adverse events thought to be related to atorvastatin calcium were constipation, flatulence, dyspepsia, and abdominal pain. Clinical Adverse Experiences: Adverse experiences reported in $\geq 2\%$ of patients in placebo-controlled clinical studies of atorvastatin, regardless of causality assessment, are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Adverse Events in Placebo-Controlled Studies (% of Patients)

Body System/ Adverse Event	atorvastatin				
	Placebo N=270	10 mg N=863	20 mg N=36	40 mg N=79	80 mg N=94
BODY AS A WHOLE					
Infection	10.0	10.3	2.8	10.1	7.4
Headache	7.0	5.4	16.7	2.5	6.4
Accidental Injury	3.7	4.2	0.0	1.3	3.2
Flu Syndrome	1.9	2.2	0.0	2.5	3.2
Abdominal Pain	0.7	2.8	0.0	3.8	2.1
Back Pain	3.0	2.8	0.0	3.8	1.1
Allergic Reaction	2.6	0.9	2.8	1.3	0.0
Asthenia	1.9	2.2	0.0	3.8	0.0
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
Constipation	1.8	2.1	0.0	2.5	1.1
Diarrhea	1.5	2.7	0.0	3.8	5.3
Dyspepsia	4.1	2.3	2.8	1.3	2.1
Flatulence	3.3	2.1	2.8	1.3	1.1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
Sinusitis	2.6	2.8	0.0	2.5	6.4
Pharyngitis	1.5	2.5	0.0	1.3	2.1
SKIN AND APPENDAGES					
Rash	0.7	3.9	2.8	3.8	1.1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
Arthralgia	1.5	2.0	0.0	5.1	0.0
Myalgia	1.1	3.2	5.6	1.3	0.0

Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (ASCOT): In ASCOT involving 10,305 participants treated with atorvastatin 10 mg daily (n=5,168) or placebo (n=5,137), the safety and tolerability profile of the group treated with atorvastatin was comparable to that of the group treated with placebo during a median of 3.3 years of follow-up. The following adverse events were reported, regardless of causality assessment, in patients treated with atorvastatin in clinical trials. The events in italics occurred in $\geq 2\%$ of patients and the events in plain type occurred in $<2\%$ of patients. **Body as a Whole:** Chest pain, face edema, fever, neck rigidity, malaise, photosensitivity reaction, generalized edema. **Digestive System:** Nausea, gastroenteritis, liver function tests abnormal, colitis, vomiting, gastritis, dry mouth, rectal hemorrhage, esophagitis, eructation, glossitis, mouth ulceration, anorexia, increased appetite, stomatitis, biliary pain, cheilitis, duodenal ulcer, dysphagia, enteritis, melena, gum hemorrhage, stomach ulcer, tenesmus, ulcerative stomatitis, hepatitis, pancreatitis, cholestatic jaundice. **Respiratory System:** Bronchitis, rhinitis, pneumonia, dyspnea, asthma, epistaxis. **Nervous System:** Insomnia, dizziness, paresthesia, somnolence, amnesia, abnormal dreams, libido decreased, emotional lability, incoordination, peripheral neuropathy, torticollis, facial paralysis, hyperkinesia, depression, hypesthesia, hypertonia. **Musculoskeletal System:** Arthritis, leg cramps, bursitis, tenosynovitis, myositis, tendon contracture, myositis. **Skin and Appendages:** Pruritus, contact dermatitis, alopecia, dry skin, sweating, acne, urticaria, eczema, seborrhea, skin ulcer. **Urogenital System:** Urinary tract infection, urinary frequency, cystitis, hematuria, impotence, dysuria, kidney calculus, nocturia, epididymitis, fibrocystic breast, vaginal hemorrhage, albuminuria, breast enlargement, metrorrhagia, nephritis, urinary incontinence, urinary retention, urinary urgency, abnormal ejaculation, uterine hemorrhage. **Special Senses:** Amblyopia, tinnitus, dry eyes, refraction disorder, eye hemorrhage, deafness, glaucoma, parosmia, taste loss, taste perversion. **Cardiovascular System:** Palpitation, vasodilatation, syncope, migraine, postural hypotension, phlebitis, arrhythmia, angina pectoris, hypertension. **Metabolic and Nutritional Disorders:** Peripheral edema, hyperglycemia, creatine phosphokinase increased, gout, weight gain, hypoglycemia. **Hemic and Lymphatic System:** Echinomycosis, anemia, lymphadenopathy, thrombocytopenia, petechia. **Postintroduction Reports with Atorvastatin:** Adverse events associated with atorvastatin therapy reported since market introduction, that are not listed above, regardless of causality assessment, include the following: anaphylaxis, angioneurotic edema, bullous rashes (including erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis), and rhabdomyolysis. **Pediatric Patients (ages 10-17 years):** In a 26-week controlled study in boys and postmenarchal girls (n=140), the safety and tolerability profile of atorvastatin 10 to 20 mg daily was generally similar to that of placebo (see **PRECAUTIONS, Pediatric Use**).

OVERDOSAGE: There is no information on overdosage with CADUET in humans. **Information on Amlodipine:** Single oral doses of amlodipine maleate equivalent to 40 mg amlodipine/kg and 100 mg amlodipine/kg in mice and rats, respectively, caused deaths. Single oral amlodipine maleate doses equivalent to 4 or more mg amlodipine/kg in dogs (11 or more times the maximum recommended clinical dose on a mg/m² basis) caused a marked peripheral vasodilation and hypotension. Overdosage might be expected to cause excessive peripheral vasodilation with marked hypotension and possibly a reflex tachycardia. In humans, experience with intentional overdosage of amlodipine is limited. Reports of intentional overdosage include a patient who ingested 250 mg and was asymptomatic and was not hospitalized; another (120 mg) was hospitalized, underwent gastric lavage and remained normotensive; the third (105 mg) was hospitalized and had hypotension (90/50 mmHg) which normalized following plasma expansion. A patient who took 70 mg amlodipine and an unknown quantity of benzodiazepine in a suicide attempt developed shock which was refractory to treatment and died the following day with abnormally high benzodiazepine plasma concentration. A case of accidental drug overdose has been documented in a 19-month-old male who ingested 30 mg amlodipine (about 2 mg/kg). During the emergency room presentation, vital signs were stable with no evidence of hypotension, but a heart rate of 180 bpm. Ipecac was administered 3.5 hours after ingestion and on subsequent observation (overnight) no sequelae were noted. If massive overdose should occur, active cardiac and respiratory monitoring should be instituted. Frequent blood pressure measurements are essential. Should hypotension occur, cardiovascular support including elevation of the extremities and the judicious administration of fluids should be initiated. If hypotension remains unresponsive to these conservative measures, administration of vasopressors (such as phenylephrine) should be considered with attention to circulating volume and urine output. Intravenous calcium gluconate may help to reverse the effects of calcium entry blockade. As amlodipine is highly protein bound, hemodialysis is not likely to be of benefit. **Information on Atorvastatin:** There is no specific treatment for atorvastatin overdosage. In the event of an overdose, the patient should be treated symptomatically, and supportive measures instituted as required. Due to extensive drug binding to plasma proteins, hemodialysis is not expected to significantly enhance atorvastatin clearance.

*Based on patient weight of 50 kg.

**These events occurred in less than 1% in placebo-controlled trials, but the incidence of these side effects was between 1% and 2% in all multiple dose studies.

Rx only

Manufactured by:
Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals
Dublin, Ireland



Distributed by:

Pfizer Labs

Division of Pfizer Inc., NY, NY 10017

Rev. 1 October 2004

© 2004 Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals

LN233581

© 2005 Pfizer Inc.

All rights reserved.

U.S. Pharmaceuticals

Family Physicians Believe IUDs Are Safe and Effective

BY DAMIAN McNAMARA
Miami Bureau

NEW ORLEANS — Nearly all family physician faculty members believe intrauterine devices are safe and effective, and more than half reported insertion of one or more in the previous year, according to survey findings presented at the annual conference of the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine.

An estimated 10%-13% of women worldwide use an intrauterine device (IUD) versus less than 1% of women in the United States. Lower use in this country might be related to a lack of residency training or misconceptions about the devices. "It could be a fear of infection or liability concerns," said Smita Arora, M.D.

Dr. Arora surveyed a random sample of 500 clinical family medicine residency faculty selected from the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine membership list. There were 221 responses, for a 44% response rate. The mean age of respondents was 44 years and 56% were male. She used an IUD survey tool adapted from the work of Nancy Stanwood, M.D. (Obstet. Gynecol. 2002;99:275-80).

More than 92% of respondents said that they believe IUDs are a safe, effective, and cost-effective means of contraception, said Dr. Arora, associate director of residency and director of obstetric and women's health at Sacred Heart Hospital in Allen-

town, Pa. The survey was part of work she did at a previous position.

A total of 53% of physicians said they had inserted an IUD in the previous year. "I was very surprised at this result," Dr. Arora said. Of these physicians, 59% reported insertion of only 1-4 devices; 90% inserted copper IUDs, and 10% inserted levonorgestrel IUDs. A total of 18% said they had never inserted an IUD.

"So we have this large population of family [medicine] faculty who are open to using IUDs. So why is the rate so low?" Dr. Arora asked. "We need less restrictive and more evidence-based criteria for use of IUDs, which would be a more woman-friendly approach to contraception."

A majority of respondents, 74%, said they believe patients are receptive to learning about IUDs. Twenty percent believe IUDs are abortifacients and 6% think the devices lead to lawsuits.

Respondents said they would not consider use of an IUD in a patient with history of pelvic inflammatory disease (71%), nulliparity (53%), or sexually transmitted disease (52%).

When asked what they believe are the three most effective methods of contraception, 28% said tubal ligation, 21% said vasectomy, and 15% said a copper IUD. Dr. Arora said, "They may have responded with IUD because this was an IUD study. I'm not sure that 15% would really say IUD otherwise." ■

Adolescent Girls' Contraceptive Methods Change Frequently

BY SHARON WORCESTER
Tallahassee Bureau

NEW ORLEANS — Changes in contraceptive methods are frequent among adolescent girls, and tend to reflect pregnancy status and changes in sexual relationships and behaviors, Jennifer L. Woods, M.D., reported at the annual meeting of the North American Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology.

A 27-month longitudinal study of 275 sexually active girls ages 14-17 years produced 1,513 pairs of sequential reports on contraceptive use. Of these, 19% consistently used no contraception, 38% consistently used condoms or hormonal contraception, and 43% changed contraceptive methods between quarterly reports during the study period, said Dr. Woods of Indiana University, Indianapolis.

Of those who changed contraception, 82% changed methods at least once during the study, and 44% changed at least three times. About 4% of the changes were from hormonal contraception to no contraception, about 5% of the changes were from no contraception to hormonal contraception, 5% were from condoms to no contraception, and 5% were from no contraception to condoms.

Participants in the study included adolescent patients at primary care clinics. They completed interviews at study entry and exit, and every 3 months during the study period, during which they reported the types of contraceptive method used in the previous 3 months. Method change was defined as any change in the reported contraceptive method at any two sequential quarterly visits.

Significant predictors of change included pregnancy and fewer sexual partners (which predicted both a change from hormonal to no contraception, and from condoms to no contraception), as well as not being pregnant and increased number of sexual partners (which predicted a change from no contraception to the use of condoms only). There were no significant predictors of a change from no contraception to hormonal contraception, Dr. Woods noted.

The findings are of concern, particularly given that consistent use of effective contraceptives by sexually active adolescents, which is among the federal government's Healthy People 2010 national health objectives most relevant to adolescents, has been shown to protect against sexually transmitted diseases and/or pregnancy, Dr. Woods said. ■