Practice Trends

MedPAC Backs Reimbursement Hike for Physicians

BY TODD ZWILLICH

Contributing Writer

he committee advising Congress on Medicare payments has called for reimbursement increases for physicians and hospitals next year, but is proposing to slow the growth rate for hospital payments.

In its March report, the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC) called for a 2.8% increase in payments to doctors, instead of the 4.6% cut required by law next year. Doctors narrowly dodged a similar cut in January when Congress repealed it in the budget bill.

MedPAC also recommended that hospitals get a 2.95% increase for treating Medicare's 42 million beneficiaries. That would pare back the projected growth in hospital payments by nearly half a percent. The commission noted that a slowdown was needed to help control the program's

The proposal is in line with the White House fiscal 2007 budget, which calls for \$480 million in hospital payment cuts for 2007 as part of efforts to control entitlement spending. Hospitals have complained bitterly that they already lose money on Medicare, and that further cuts could drive some of them out of

However, hospitals may have little to fear this year, according to several key members of Congress.

At a Capitol Hill hearing, Rep. Nancy L. Johnson (R-Conn.) said that half of all hospitals already operate in the red on money from Medicare patients.

In an earlier interview, Rep. Johnson, who chairs the House Ways and Means subcommittee on health, said that President Bush's budget is likely to be "substantially rewritten" by Congress.

Congress approved \$6.4 billion in cuts to Medicare over 5 years in February. The White House budget called for \$36 billion more in cuts by 2011.

California Rep. F. Pete Stark, Rep. Johnson's Democratic counterpart, suggested that Congress will be unwilling to back any more significant changes to Medicare in an election year. "They're not going to give the raises the doctors want and the hospitals aren't going to get cut as much as they think," he said in an interview.

Sen. Gordon H. Smith (R-Ore.) agreed. "It's very bleak for doing anything. In ses-

In an election year, members of Congress are 'not going to give the raises the doctors want and the hospitals aren't going to get cut as much as they think.'

sions that precede elections, it's all politics all the time," said Mr. Smith, a member of the Senate Finance Committee.

The American Medical Association praised Med-PAC's call for higher physician payments.

"If enacted by

Congress, this new MedPAC recommendation will help physicians continue to treat Medicare patients," AMA board member Dr. Duane Cady said in a state-

But the group is likely to be less impressed by a renewed MedPAC recommendation that calls for a new committee to advise Medicare on the resource-based relative value scale (RBRVS) that sets reimbursement for medical services.

An AMA panel known as the RVS Update Committee (RUC) currently makes recommendations on payment updates for hundreds of treatment and diagnostic codes.

MedPAC chair Glenn Hackbarth told reporters that physicians on the RUC tend to counsel for increases and that MedPAC members want a new committee within the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services to review the AMA's work and make "independent" recommendations on code values.

Mr. Hackbarth said MedPAC members worry that rising code values for some services, particularly specialty care, are robbing resources from the primary care and preventive services that Medicare is now hoping to emphasize.

It's been a concern of ours that the current process is skewed," he said.

If an additional expert panel is appointed to help identify services to be reviewed by the RUC, "it should represent current practicing physicians," Dr. J. Edward Hill, the AMA president, said in a statement.

BONIVA® (ibandronate sodium) INJECTION BRIEF SUMMARY CONSULT PACKAGE INSERT FOR FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

CONTRAINDICATIONS

- Known hypersensitivity to BONIVA Injection or to any of its excipients
 Uncorrected hypocalcemia (see PRECAUTIONS: General)

BONIVA Injection, like other bisphosphonates administered intr BONIVA Injection, like Other bisponsponorates administered intravenously, may cause a transient decrease in serum calcium values (see PRECAUTIONS), BONIVA Injection must only be administered intravenously. Care must be taken not to administer BONIVA Injection intra-arterially or paravenously as this could lead to tissue damage. Do not administer BONIVA Injection by any other route of administration. The safety and efficacy of BONIVA Injection following non-intravenous routes of administration have not been established.

PRECAUTIONS: General

Mineral Metabolism: Hypocalcemia, hypovitaminosis D, and other disturbances of bone and mineral metabolism must be effectively treated before starting BONIVA Injection therapy. Adequate intake of calcium and vitamin D is important in all patients. Patients must receive supplemental calcium and vitamin D.

patients. Patients must receive supplemental calcium and vitamin D.

Renal Impairment. Treatment with intravenous bisphosphonates has been associated
with renal toxicity manifested as deterioration in renal function (ie, increased serum
creatinine) and in rare cases, acute renal failure. No cases of acute renal failure
were observed in controlled clinical trials in which intravenous BONIVA was administered as a 15- to 30-second bolus. The risk of serious renal toxicity with or
intravenous bisphosphonates appears to be inversely related to the rate of drug
administration. Patients with or eachy dosage administration. Patients with concomitant diseases administration. Patients who receive BUNIVA Injection should have serum creatinine measured prior to each dosage administration. Patients with concomitant diseases that have the potential for adverse effects on the kidney or patients who are taking concomitant medications that have the potential for adverse effects on the kidney should be existed as clinically appropriate. Treatment should be withheld for renal deterioration. BONIVA Injection should not be administered to patients with severe renal impairment (ie, patients with serum creatinine >200 _mmol/L [2.3 mg/dL] or creatinine clearance [measured or estimated] <30 mL/min).

Jaw Osteonecrosis: Osteonecrosis, primarily in the jaw, has been reported in patients treated with bisphosphonates. Most cases have been in cancer patients undergoing dental procedures, but some have occurred in patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis or other diagnoses. Known risk factors for osteonecrosis include a osteoporosis or other diagnoses. Known risk factors for osteonecrosis include a diagnosis of cancer, concomitant therapies (eg. chemotherapy, radiotherapy, corticosterotids), and co-morbid disorders (eg. anemia, coaquiopathy, infection, pre-existing dental disease). Most reported cases have been in patients treated with bisphosphonates intravenously but some have been in patients treated radily. For patients who develop osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) while on bisphosphonate therapy, dental surgery may exacerbate the condition. For patients requiring dental procedures, there are no data available to suggest whether discontinuation of bisphosphonate treatment reduces the risk of ONJ. Clinical judgment of the treating physician should guide the management plan of each patient based on individual benefit/risk assessment.

individual benefit/risk assessment.

Musculoskeletal Pain: In postmarketing experience, severe and occasionally incapacitating bone, joint and/or muscle pain has been reported in patients taking bisphosphonates that are approved for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis (see ADVERSE REACTIONS). However, such reports have been infrequent. This category of drugs includes BONIVA (ibandronate sodium) Injection. Most of the patients were postmenopausal women. The time to onset of symptoms varied from one day to several months after starting the drug. Most patients had relief of symptoms after stopping. A subset had recurrence of symptoms when rechallenged with the same drug or another bisphosphonate.

symptoms when retrainenged with its same unity of arbotic bispholates. Information for Patients: BONIVA Injection must be administered intravenously only by a health care professional. Patients should be instructed to read the Patient Information Leaflet carefully before BONIVA Injection is administered and to re-read it each time the prescription is renewed. BONIVA Injection should be administered once every 3 months. If the dose is missed, the injection should be administered as soon as it can be rescheduled. Thereafter, injections should be scheduled every 3 months from the date of the last injection. Do not administer BONIVA Injection more frequently than once every 3 months. Patients must receive supplemental calcium and vitamin D.

See FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION. CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY:

Drug/Laboratory Test Interactions: Bisphosphonates are known to interfere with the use of bone-imaging agents. Specific studies with ibandronate have

not been performed.

Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility: Carcinogenesis:

n a 104-week carcinogenicity study, doses of 3, 7, or 15 mg/kg/day were administered
by oral gavage to Wistar rats (systemic exposures in males and females up to 3 and 1
times, respectively, human exposure at the recommended intravenous dose of 3 mg every
3 months, based on cumulative AUC comparison). There were no significant durg-related
tumor findings in male or female rats. In a 78-week carcinogenicity study, doses of 5, 20,
or 40 mg/kg/day were administered by oral gavage to NMRI mice (exposures in
males and females up to 96 and 14 times, respectively, human exposure at the
recommended intravenous dose of 3 mg every 3 months, based on cumulative
AUC comparison). There were no significant drug-related tumor findings in male
or female mice. In a 90-week carcinomenicity study doses of 5.0 or 80. AUC comparison). There were no significant drug-related furnor findings in male or female mice. In a 90-week carcinogenicity study, doses of 5, 20, or 80 mg/kg/day were administered in the drinking water to NMRI mice. A dose-related increased incidence of adrenal subcapsular adenoma/carcinoma was observed in female mice, which was statistically significant at 80 mg/kg/day (32 to 51 times human exposure at the recommended intravenous dose of 3 mg every 3 months, based on cumulative AUC comparison). The relevance of these findings to humans is unknown.

on cumulative Auc compaisabil. The relevance of these mindings of infiniar is funitowin. Mutagenesis: There was no evidence for a mutagenic or clastogenic potential of ibandronate in the following assays: in vitro bacterial mutagenesis assay in Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli (Ames test), mammalian cell mutagenesis assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells, and chromosomal aberration test in human peripheral lymphocytes, each with and without metabolic activation, Ibandronate was not genotoxic in the in vivo mouse micronucleus tests for chromosomal domana.

for chromosomal damage. Impairment of Fertility: In female rats treated from 14 days prior to mating through gestation, decreases in fertility, corpora lutea and implantation sites, and increased preimplantation loss were observed at an intravenous dose of 1.2 mg/kg/day (117 times human exposure at the recommended intravenous dose of 3 mg every 3 months, based on cumulative AUC comparison). In male rats treated for 28 days prior to mating, a decrease in sperm production and altered sperm morphology were observed at intravenous doses 2.3 mg/kg/day (≥40 times human exposure at the recommended intravenous dose of 3 mg every 3 months, based on cumulative AUC comparison).

Pregnancy, Jacquan Callegory C: In pregnant rats given intravenous doses of 0.05, 0.15, or 0.5 mg/kg/day from Day 17 post-coitum until Day 20 postpartum, ibandronate treatment resulted in dystocia, maternal mortality, and early posthartal pup loss in all dose groups [22 times human exposure at the recommended intravenous dose of 3 mg every 3 months, based on cumulative AUC comparison).

Reduced body weight at birth was observed at 0.15 and 0.5 mg/kg/day (≥4 times human exposure at the recommended intravenous dose of 3 mg every 3 months, based on cumulative AUC comparison). Pups exhibited abnormal odonogeny that decreased food consumption and body weight gain at 0.15 and 0.5 mg/kg/day (≥18 times human exposure at the recommended intravenous dose of 3 mg every 3 months, based on cumulative AUC comparison). Periparturient mortifility has glave have been because with the the histophophorate and generate the 3 mg every 3 months, based on cumulative AUC comparison). Periparturient mortality has also been observed with other bisphosphonates and appears to be a class effect related to inhibition of skeletal calcium mobilization resulting in hypocalcemia and dystocia. Exposure of pregnant rats during the period of organogenesis resulted in an increased fetal incidence of RPU (renal pelvis ureter) syndrome at an intravenous dose of 1 mg/kg/day (247 times human exposure at the recommended intravenous dose of 3 mg every 3 months, based on cumulative AUC comparison). In this spontaneous delivery study, dystocia was counteracted by perinatal calcium supplementation. In rat studies with intravenous dosing during gestation, fetal weight and pup growth were reduced at doses 20.1 mg/kg/day (25 times human exposure at the recommended intravenous dose of 3 mg every 3 months, based on cumulative AUC comparison). In pregnant rabbits given intravenous doses of 0.03, 0.07 or 0.2 mg/kg/day during the period of organogenesis, maternal mortality, reduced maternal body weight gain, decreased litter size due to increased resorption rate, and decreased during the period of organogenesis, maternal mortality, reduced maternal body weight gain, decreased litter size due to increased resorption rate, and decreased fetal weight were observed at 0.2 mg/kg/day (19 times the recommended human intravenous dose of 3 mg every 3 months, based on cumulative body surface area comparison, mg/m²). Bisphosphonates are incorporated into the bone matrix, from where they are gradually released over periods of weeks to years. The extent of bisphosphonate incorporation into adult bone, and hence, the amount available for release back into the systemic circulation, is directly related to the total dose and duration of bisphosphonates do cause fetal harm in animals, and animal data suggest that uptake of bisphosphonates into fetal home is creater than into maternal hone. Therefore to cause retainment in aliminals, and aliminal dual suggest unter place of bisphosphonates into fetal bone is greater than into maternal bone. Therefore, there is a theoretical risk of fetal harm (eg. skeletal and other abnormalities) if a woman becomes pregnant after completing a course of bisphosphonate therapy. The impact of variables such as time between cessation of bisphosphonate therapy to conception, the particular bisphosphonate used, and the route of

benefit justifies the potential risk to the mother and fetus.

Nursing Mothers: In lactating rats treated with intravenous doses of 0.08 mg/kg, ibandronate was present in breast milk at concentrations of 3.1 to 0.4 ng/mL from 2 to 24 hours after dose administration. Concentrations in milk averaged 1.5 times plasma concentrations. It is not known whether BONIVA is excreted in human milk. Because many drugs are excreted in human milk, caution should be exercised when BONIVA Injection is administered to a nursing woman.

Geriatric Use: Of the patients receiving BONIVA Injection 3 mg every 3 months for 1 year (DIVA study), 51% were over 65 years of age. No overall differences in effectiveness or safety were observed between these patients and younger patients, but greater sensitivity in some older individuals cannot be ruled out. ADVERSE REACTIONS

Daily Oral Tablet: Treatment with BONIVA 2.5 mg daily oral tablet was studied, in over 3900 patients in postmenopausal osteoporosis trials of up to 3 years duration. The overall adverse event profile of BONIVA 2.5 mg once daily tablet in these studies was similar to that of placebo.

these studies was similar to that of placebo.

Most adverse events were mild or moderate and did not lead to discontinuation. The incidence of serious adverse events was 20% in the placebo group and 23% in the BONIVA 2.5 mg daily oral tablet group. The percentage of patients who withdrew from treatment due to adverse events was approximately 17% in both the BONIVA 2.5 mg daily oral tablet group and the placebo group. Overall, and according to body system, there was no difference between BONIVA daily oral tablet and placebo, with adverse events of the digestive system being the most common respon for withfragars.

Table 1 lists adverse events from the Treatment and Prevention Studies reported in ≥2% of patients and in more patients treated with BONIVA 2.5 mg daily oral tablet than patients treated with placebo. Adverse events are shown without attribution of causality.

Body System	Placebo	BONIVA 2.5 mg daily
	%	%
	(n=1134)	(n=1140)
Body as a Whole		
Back Pain	12.2	13.5
Pain in Extremity	6.4	7.8
Infection	3.4	4.3
Asthenia	2.3	3.5
Allergic Reaction	1.9	2.5
Digestive System		
Dyspepsia	9.8	11.9
Diarrhea	5.0	6.8
Tooth Disorder	2.3	3.5
Vomiting	2.1	2.7
Gastritis	1.9	2.2
Metabolic and Nutritional Di	sorders	
Hypercholesterolemia	4.2	4.8
Musculoskeletal System		
Myalgia	5.1	5.7
Joint Disorder	3.3	3.6
Arthritis	2.7	3.2
Nervous System		
Headache	5.8	6.5
Dizziness	2.6	3.7
Vertigo	2.5	3.0
Nerve Root Lesion	1.9	2.2
Respiratory System		
Upper Respiratory Infection	33.2	33.7
Bronchitis	6.8	10.0
Pneumonia	4.3	5.9
Pharyngitis	1.5	2.5
Urogenital System		
Urinary Tract Infection	4.2	5.5

Quarterly IV Injection: In a 1-year, double-blind, multicenter study comparing BONIVA Injection administered intravenously as 3 mg every 3 months to BONIVA 2.5 mg daily oral tablet in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis, the overall safety and tolerability profiles of the two dosing regimens were similar. The incidence of serious adverse events was 8.0% in the BONIVA 2.5 mg daily group and 7.5% in the BONIVA 2.5 mg daily group of patients who withdrew from treatment due to adverse events was approximately 6.7% in the BONIVA 2.5 mg daily group and 8.5% in the BONIVA injection 3 mg every 3 months group.

Table 2: Adverse Events With an Incidence of at Least 2% in Patients Treated with BONIVA Injection (3 mg once every 3 months) or BONIVA Daily Oral Tablet (2.5 mg)
Body System/Adverse Event BONIVA BONIVA 2.5 mg Daily (Oral) 3 mg q 3 mo (IV)

	%	%
	(n=465)	(n=469)
Infections and Infestations		
Influenza	8.0	4.7
Nasopharyngitis	6.0	3.4
Cystitis	3.4	1.9
Gastroenteritis	3.4	1.5
Urinary Tract Infection	3.2	2.6
Bronchitis	2.8	2.1
Upper Respiratory Tract Infection	2.8	1.1
Gastrointestinal Disorders		
Abdominal Pain*	5.6	5.1
Dyspepsia	4.3	3.6
Nausea	4.3	2.1
Constipation	4.1	3.4
Diarrhea	2.4	2.8
Gastritis	2.2	1.9
Musculoskeletal and Connective T	issue Disorder	'S
Arthralgia	8.6	9.6
Back Pain	7.5	7.0
Localized Osteoarthritis	2.4	1.5
Pain in Extremity	2.2	2.8
Myalgia	0.9	2.8
Nervous System Disorders		
Dizziness	2.8	1.9
Headache	2.6	3.6
Vascular Disorders		
Hypertension	7.1	5.3
Psychiatric Disorders		
Insomnia	2.6	1.1
Depression	2.2	1.3
General Disorders and Administrat	ion Site Condi	tions
Influenza-like Illness†	1.1	4.9
Fatigue	1.1	2.8
Skin and Subcutaneous Tissue Dis	orders	
Rash [‡]	2.8	2.3
Metabolism and Nutrition		
Hypercholesterolemia	4.3	1.5
'ls a combination of abdominal pair		
†Combination of influenza-like illnes	ss and acute p	hase reaction.

*Combination of rash, rash pruritic, rash macular, dermatitis, dermatitis allergic, exanthem, erythema, rash papular, rash generalized, dermatitis medicamentosa,

rash erythematous.

Acute Phase Reaction-like Events: Symptoms consistent with acute phase reaction (APR) have been reported with intravenous bisphosphonate use. The overall incidence of patients with APR-like events was higher in the intravenous treatment group (4% in the BONIVA 2.5 mg daily oral tablet group vs. 10% in the BONIVA Injection 3 mg once every 3 months group). These incidence rates are based on reporting of any of 33 potential APR-like symptoms within 3 days of a IV dose and for a duration of 7 days or less. In most cases, no specific treatment was required and the symptoms subsided within 24 to 48 hours. specinic treatment was required and the symptoms sousseed within 24 to 4s hours. Injection Site Reactions: Local reactions at the injection site, such as redness or swelling, were observed infrequently, but at a higher incidence in patients treated with BONIVA Injection 3 mg every 3 months (<2%, 8/469) than in patients treated with placebo injections (<1%; 1/465). In most cases, the reaction was of mild to moderate severity.

Ocular Adverse Events: Bisphosphonates may be associated with ocular inflammation such as uveitis and scientis. In some cases, these events did not resolve until the bisphosphonate was discontinued.

until the bisphosphonate was discontinued.

Laboratory Test Findings: There were no clinically significant changes from baseline values or shifts in any laboratory variable with oral ibandronate. As expected with bisphosphonate treatment, a decrease in total alkaline phosphatase levels was seen with 2.5 mg daily oral ibandronate compared to placebo. There was no difference compared with placebo for laboratory abnormalities indicative of hepatic or renal dysfunction, hypocalcemia, or hypophosphatemia. There also was no evidence that BONIVA Injection 3 mg every 3 months induced clinically significant laboratory abnormalities indicative of hepatic or renal dysfunction compared to BONIVA 2.5 mg daily oral tablet.

OVERDOSAGE: No cases of overdose were reported in premarketing studies with BONIVA injection. Intravenous overdosage may result in hypocalcemia, hypophosphatemia, and hypomagnesemia. Clinically relevant reductions in serum levels of calcium, phosphorus, and magnesium should be corrected by intravenous administration of calcium gluconate, potassium or sodium phosphate, and magnesium sulfate, respectively. Dialysis would not be beneficial unless it is administered within 2 hours following the overdose.

Distributed by: Co-promoted by Roche Laboratories Inc. and

Co-promoted by Roche Laboratories Inc. and



Roche Pharmaceuticals

gsk GlaxoSmithKline