Adverse Event	am odipine		Placebo		
	M=% (N=1218)	F=% (N=512)	M=% (N=914)	F=% (N=336)	
Edema	` 5.6 ´	` 14.6 ´	` 1.4 ´	` 5.1 <i>´</i>	
Flushina	1.5	4.5	0.3	0.9	
Palpitations	1.4	3.3	0.9	0.9	
Somnolence	1.3	1.6	0.8	0.3	

Palpitations

1.4

3.3

3.6

3.9

Somnolence

1.3

1.6

3.0

3.7

The following events occurred in ≤1% but >0.1% of patients treated with amlodipine in controlled clinical trials or under conditions of open trials or marketing experience where a causal relationship is uncertain; they are listed to alert the physician to a possible relationship: Cardiovascular: arrhythmia (including ventricular tachycardia and atrial fibrillation), bradycardia, chest pain, hypotension, peripheral ischemia, syncope, tachycardia, postural dizziness, postural hypotension, vasculitis. Central and Peripheral Nervous System: hypoesthesia, neuropathy peripheral, paresthesia, tremor, vertigo. Gastrointestinal: anorexia, constipation, dyspepsia, "4 dysphagia, diarrhea, flatulence, pancreatitis, vomiting, gingival hyperplasia. General: allergic reaction, asthenia," back pain, hot flushes, malaise, pain, rigors, weight gain, weight decrease. Musculoskeletal System: arthralgia, arthrosis, muscle cramps, "\* myalgia. Psychiatric: sexual dysfunction (male \*\* and female), insomnia, nervousness, depression, abnormal dreams, anxiety, depersonalization. Respiratory System: dyspnea, \*\* epistaxis. Skin and Appendages: angioedema, erythema multiforme, puruitus, \*\* rash\* \*rash erythematous, rash maculopapular. Special Senses: abnormal vision, conjunctivitis, diplopia, eye pain, tinnitus. Urinary System: micturition frequency, micturition disorder, nocturia. Autonomic Nervous System: dry mouth, sweating increased. Metabolic and Nutritional: hyperplycemia, thirst. Hemopoletic: leukopenia, purpura, thrombocytopenia. The following events occurred in ≤0.1% of patients treated with amlodipine in controlled clinical trials or under conditions of open trials or marketing experience: cardiac failure, pulse irregularity, extrasystoles, skin discoloration, uriticaria, skin dryness, alopecia, demantitis, muscle weakness, twitching, ataxia, hypertonia, migraine, cold and clammy skin, apathy, agitation, amnesia, gastritis, increased appetite, loose stools, coughin

	Table 3. Adverse	Events in	Placebo	Controlled	Studies	(% of Patients)
--	------------------	-----------	---------	------------	---------	-----------------

	atorvastatin						
Body System/ Adverse Event	Placebo N=270	10 mg N=863	20 mg N=36	40 mg N=79	80 mg N=94		
BODY AS A WHOLE							
Infection	10.0	10.3	2.8	10.1	7.4		
Headache	7.0	5.4	16.7	2.5	6.4		
Accidental Injury	3.7	4.2	0.0	1.3	3.2		
Flu Syndrome	1.9	2.2	0.0	2.5	3.2		
Abdominal Pain	0.7	2.8	0.0	3.8	2.1		
Back Pain	3.0	2.8	0.0	3.8	1.1		
Allergic Reaction	2.6	0.9	2.8	1.3	0.0		
Asthenia	1.9	2.2	0.0	3.8	0.0		
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM							
Constipation	1.8	2.1	0.0	2.5	1.1		
Diarrhea	1.5	2.7	0.0	3.8	5.3		
Dyspepsia	4.1	2.3	2.8	1.3	2.1		
Flatulence	3.3	2.1	2.8	1.3	1.1		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM							
Sinusitis	2.6	2.8	0.0	2.5	6.4		
Pharyngitis	1.5	2.5	0.0	1.3	2.1		
SKIN AND APPENDAGES							
Rash	0.7	3.9	2.8	3.8	1.1		
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM							
Arthralgia	1.5	2.0	0.0	5.1	0.0		
Myalgia	1.1	3.2	5.6	1.3	0.0		

Arthralgia
Myalgia
1.5
2.0
3.2
5.6
1.3
0.0
Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (ASCOT): In ASCOT involving 10,305 participants treated with atorvastatin 10 mg daily (n=5,168) or placebo (n=5,137), the safety and tolerability profile of the group treated with pactorsatatin was comparable to that of the group treated with placebo during a median of 3.3 years of follow-up. The following adverse events were reported, regardless of causality assessment, in patients treated with atorvastatin in clinical trials. The events in italics occurred in ≥2% of patients and the events in plain type occurred in <2% of patients. Body as a Whole: Chest pain, face edema, fever, neck rigidity, malaise, photosensitivity reaction, generalized edema. Digestive System: Nausea, gastroenteritis, liver function tests abnormal, colitis, vomiting, gastritis, dry mouth, rectal hemorrhage, esophagitis, eructation, glossitis, mouth ulceration, anorexia, increased appetite, stomatitis, biliary pain, chelilitis, cholestatic jaundice. Respiratory System: Bronchitis, rhinitis, pneumonia, dyspnea, asthma, epistaxis. Nervous System: Insommia dizziness, paresthesia, somnolence, amnesia, abnormal dreams, libido decreased, emotional lability, incoordination, peripheral neuropathy, torticollis, facial paralysis, hyperkinesia, depression, hypesthesia, hypertonia. Musculoskeletal System: Arthritis, leg cramps, bursitis, tenosynovitis, myasthenia, tendinous contracture, myositis. Skin and Appendages: Pruritus, contact dermatitis, alopecia, dry skin, sweating, acne, uriticaria, eczema, seborrhea, skin ulcer. Urogenital System: Urinary ratact infection, urinary frequency, cystitis, hematuria, impotence, dysuria, kidney calculus, nocturia, epididymitis, fibrocystic breast, vaginal hemorrhage, albuminuria, breast enlargement, metrorrhagia, nephritis, urinary incontinence, urinary retention, urinary urgency, abnormal ejaculation, uterine hemorrhage. Special Senses: Amblyopia, tinnitus, dry eyes, refraction disorder, eye hemorrhage, deafness, glaucoma, par

safety and tolerability profile of atorvastatin 10 to 20 mg daily was generally similar to that of placebo (see PRECAUTIONS, Pediatric Use).

OVERDOSAGE: There is no information on overdosage with CADUET in humans. Information on Amlodipine: Single oral doses of amlodipine maleate equivalent to 40 mg amlodipine/kg and 100 mg amlodipine/kg in mice and rats, respectively, caused deaths. Single oral amlodipine maleate doses equivalent to 4 or more mg amlodipine/kg in one mice and rats, respectively, caused deaths. Single oral amlodipine maleated doses equivalent to 4 or more mg amlodipine/kg in one overdosage might be expected to cause excessive peripheral vasodilation with marked hypotension and possibly a reflex tachycardia. In humans, experience with intentional overdosage of amlodipine is limited. Reports of intentional overdosage include a patient who ingested 250 mg and was asymptomatic and was not hospitalized, another (120 mg) was hospitalized, underwent gastric lavage and remained normotensive; the third (105 mg) was hospitalized and had hypotension (90/50 mmHg) which normalized following plasma expansion. A patient who took 70 mg amlodipine and an unknown quantity of benzodiazepine plasma concentration. A case of accidental drug overdose has been documented in a 19-month-old male who ingested 30 mg amlodipine (about 2 mg/kg). During the emergency room presentation, vital signs were stable with no evidence of hypotension, but a heart rate of 180 bpm. Ipecac was administered 3.5 hours after ingestion and on subsequent observation (overnight) no sequelae were noted. If massive overdose should occur, active cardiac and respiratory monitoring should be instituted. Frequent blood pressure measurements are essential. Should hypotension occur, cardiovascular support including elevation of the extremities and the judicious administration of fluids should be initiated. If hypotension remains unresponsive to these conservative measures, administration of vasopressors (such as phenylephrine) should be considered wit

Manufactured by:
Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals
Dublin, Ireland



Rev 1 October 2004

© 2004 Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals

## Resynchronization **Device Cuts Mortality**

'CARE-HF

provides

overwhelming

evidence that

[CRT] reduces

mortality, sudden

death, and death

due to worsening

heart failure.'

all-cause

BY BRUCE JANCIN

Denver Bureau

STOCKHOLM — Results of an extension of the landmark Cardiac Resynchronization in Heart Failure trial show an impressive further widening of the device therapy's mortality advantage over optimal medical management with longer follow-up, John G.F. Cleland, M.D., reported at the annual congress of the European Society of Cardiology.

'CARE-HF provides overwhelming evidence that cardiac resynchronization therapy reduces all-cause mortality, sudden death, and death due to worsening heart failure," said Dr. Cleland, chairman of the study's steering committee and head of cardiology at Castle Hill Hospital, Kingston-upon-Hull, England.

Other new CARE-HF findings presented at the conference included an echocardiographic study providing important new

insights into the device therapy's mechanism of action, as well as a favorable cost-effectiveness analysis that concluded cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) costs slightly over \$24,000 per quality-adjusted life year (QALY) gained.

CARE-HF was a prospective randomized trial involving 813 patients at 82 medical centers in 12 European countries. Participants had left ventricular (LV) systolic heart failure

with an ejection fraction of 35% or less and New York Heart Association class III or IV disease despite optimal pharmacotherapy. They also had to have cardiac dyssynchrony as reflected by a QRS interval of at least 120 msec. They were randomized to optimal medical management alone or with CRT and followed for a mean of 29.4 months.

The main results were presented earlier this year at the annual meeting of the American College of Cardiology and have been published (N. Engl. J. Med. 2005;352:1539-49). At the time, many observers were surprised by the significant 36% reduction in all-cause mortality with CRT and were uncertain how the therapy resulted in an apparent reduction in arrhythmic death. After all, prior CRT trials had shown only a trend toward reduced mortality that did not achieve significance.

The CARE-HF steering committee authorized a 7-month extension of follow-up during which an additional 34 deaths occurred in the control arm and 19 in the CRT arm. The incidence of all-cause mortality after 36.4 months of follow-up was 24.7% with CRT and 38.1% in controls, for a 40% relative risk reduction favoring device therapy. The absolute mortality difference between the two study arms had grown from 9.7% to 13.4%.

Device therapy also conferred a 45% reduction in deaths due to worsening heart failure and a 46% decrease in sudden deaths—even though no study participant had an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD).

Luigi Tavazzi, M.D., presented an analysis of serial echocardiographic studies in 735 CARE-HF participants over 29 months. The results show CRT improved cardiac function in a number of ways.

After 3 months, intraventricular mechanical delay was reduced by half in the CRT group compared with control patients and remained stable thereafter. Mitral regurgitation markedly decreased. LV ejection fraction rose by an absolute 11.2% by study's end with CRT in patients with nonischemic heart failure and by 6.0% in those with an ischemic etiology. LV end diastolic and systolic volumes each showed a net decrease of about 30 mL at 3 months, 45 mL at 18 months, and 60 mL at 29 months with CRT.

"I think that one of the main unre-

solved issues regarding CRT now seems to be resolved: CRT results in reverse remodeling that is sustained long term both in patients with ischemic and nonischemic heart failure," observed Dr. Tavazzi, professor of cardiology at the University of Pavia (Italy).

Nick Freemantle, Ph.D., professor of clinical epidemiology and biostatistics at the University of Birmingham (England), said his analysis showing CRT cost

less than 20,000 Euros per QALY compares favorably with the recent U.K. National Institute for Clinical Effectiveness analysis of bare metal stents for revascularization, which came in at about 24,000 Euros per QALY. A recent Canadian study estimated that carvedilol for heart failure costs 13,000 Euros per QALY.

Discussant Karl Swedberg, M.D., was particularly impressed by the new echocardiographic insights into CRT's effects on cardiac function. Especially noteworthy was the reduction in LV end systolic volume, as this is a key measure of optimal myocardial contractility.

The change was not small. It suggests the clinical benefits that we see are due to improved myocardial contractility and remodeling," said Dr. Swedberg, professor of medicine at the University of Goteborg (Sweden).

CRT is recommended for many heart failure patients in the 2005 European Society of Cardiology guidelines. The remaining question, given CRT's demonstrated ability to prevent sudden death, is: Which patients need a far more costly ICD or combined CRT/ICD device?

"That discussion will now continue," he predicted.

CARE-HF was sponsored by Medtronic Inc., for which Dr. Cleland has been a consultant and speaker. Dr. Tavazzi and Dr. Freemantle are also consultants to Medtronic.

