## Most Pre-Referral Foot, Ankle MRIs Are Useless

BY DOUG BRUNK

San Diego Bureau

LA JOLLA, CALIF. — Almost 90% of pre-referral foot and ankle MRI scans obtained before evaluation by a specialist were unnecessary, according to results from a single-center study of 201 patients.

MRIs of the foot and ankle are expensive, "and the findings are often immaterial to patients," Dr. Stephen L. Tocci said at the annual meeting of the American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society.

He and his associates in the department of orthopedic surgery at Brown University, Providence, R.I., observed a trend toward evaluating patients with solely a screening MRI for foot and ankle problems. "As soon as a nonnormal report is received, they're referred over to a foot and ankle specialist. Our hypothesis is that MRI is being overutilized in the course of administering foot and ankle

To test their hypothesis, the researchers reviewed 221 consecutive new patients who were referred to an orthopedic foot and ankle specialist over a 3-month period for a lower extremity problem. They sought to identify the prevalence of patients presenting with foot and ankle MRI, the percentage of patients that actually required an MRI from the foot and ankle specialist's perspective, and how often the MRI readout from the radiologist correlated with the clinical diagnosis.

The researchers excluded 20 patients who had fractures, leaving 201 nonfracture patients. All MRIs were done within 6 months of the new patient visit, with a minimum of 1 year of treatment follow-up.

The new patient evaluation consisted of a history and physical exam, weight-bearing x-rays, and a review of all prior care. The foot and ankle specialist then made a diagnosis and reviewed any previous MRIs that were taken.

If no previous MRIs were taken, the specialist ordered one only if it seemed necessary for the care of the patient, said Dr. Tocci, of the department of orthopedics at the university.

Of the 201 patients, 31 (15.4%) arrived with an MRI from an outside source and 9 (4.5%) had MRIs ordered by the foot and ankle specialist, for a total of 40 patients (19.9%) who had MRIs during their treat-

Only 12.9% of the preevaluation MRI scans were considered appropriate, Dr. Tocci said, while the rest (87.1%) were judged unnecessary. "So if all 221 patients



'If we assume that 87% of these are unnecessary scans, it's a savings of about \$162,000 just at our site.'

DR. TOCCI

had first been seen by the [foot and ankle specialist], then only 5.9% would have had an MRI," he said.

When the researchers evaluated the MRI reports from the radiologists who took the preevaluation scans, they found that nearly half (48.4%) of the radiologists disagreed with the diagnosis made by the foot and ankle specialist. "They either had a different radiologic interpretation by the clinician, or there was just no correlation with the clinical diagnosis altogether," Dr. Tocci said.

In contrast, all nine MRIs ordered by the foot and ankle specialist were in agreement with the radiologist's interpretation.

Dr. Tocci said that a foot and ankle MRI done at Brown costs about \$1,900, while the reading fee costs about \$350. In 2005, 84 foot and ankle MRIs were performed at Brown, for a total cost of about \$186,000. "If we assume that 87% of these are unnecessary scans, it's a savings of about \$162,000 just at our site," he said.

He speculated that some clinicians might use MRI because the technology is accessible, because of potential medicolegal concerns, and possibly because of remuneration issues. He said that patients believe they are getting good care if an MRI is done. "They may even ask for one," he said.

Limitations of the study include its retrospective design and the fact that it's based on the evaluation of a single foot and ankle specialist, whereas multiple radiologists at different sites interpreted results, he said.

"Further studies are needed to define the utility and cost-effectiveness of MRI in foot and ankle care," he said.

LIPITOR® (Atorvastatin Calcium) Tablets ary of Prescribing Information

Brief Summary of Prescribing Information

CONTRAINDICATIONS: Active liver disease or unexplained persistent elevations of serum transaminases. 
Hypersensitivity to any component of this medication, Pregnancy and Lactation — Atherosclerosis is a chronic process and discontinuation of fipid-lowering drugs during pregnancy should have little impact on the outcome of long-term therapy of primary hypercholesterolemia. Cholesterol and other products of cholesterol biosynthesis are essential components for fetal development (including synthesis of steroids and cell membranes). Since HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors decrease cholesterol synthesis and possibly the synthesis of other biologically active substances derived from cholesterol, they may cause fetal harm when administered to pregnant women. Therefore, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors are contraindicated during pregnancy and in nursing mothers. ATORVASTATIN SHOULD BE ADMINISTERED TO WOMEN OF CHILDBEARING AGE ONLY WHEN SUCH PATIENTS ARE HIGHLY UNLIKELY TO CONCEIVE AND HAVE BEEN INFORMED OF THE POTENTIAL HAZARDS. If the patient becomes pregnant while taking this drug, therapy should be discontinued and the patient apprised of the potential hazard to the fetus.

ARE HIGHT UNIXELY 10 CONCEIVE AND HAVE BERN INVENDED IF HE PUTENTIAL HAZARUS. If the patent becomes pregnant while taking this drug, therapy should be discontinued and the patient apprised of the potential hazard to the fetus.

WARNINGS: Liver Dysfunction — HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, like some other lipid-lowering therapies, have been associated with biochemical abnormalfiles of liver function. Persistent elevations (>3 times the upper limit of normal [ULN] occurring on 2 or more occasions) in serum transaminases occurred in 0.7% of patients who received atorvastatin in clinical trials. The incidence of these abnormalities was 0.2%, 0.2%, 0.0%, and 2.3% for 10, 20, 40, and 80 mg, respectively. One patient in clinical trials developed jaundice. Increases in liver function tests (LFT) in other patients were not associated with jaundice or other clinical signs or symptoms. Upon dose reduction, drug interruption, or discontinuation, transaminase levels returned to or near pretreatment levels without sequelae. Eighteen of 30 patients with persistent LFT elevations continued treatment with a reduced dose of atorvastatin. It is recommended that liver function tests be performed prior to and at 12 weeks following both the initiation of therapy and any elevation of dose, and periodically (eg., semianually) thereafter. Liver enzyme changes generally occur in the first 3 months of treatment with atorvastatin. Patients who develop increased transaminase levels should be monitored until the abnormalities resolve. Should an increase in ALT or AST of -3 times ULN persist, reduction of dose or withdrawal of atorvastatin is recommended. Atorvastatin should be used with caution in patients who consume substantial quantities of alcohol and/or have a history of liver disease. Active liver disease or unexplained persistent transaminase elevations are contraindications to the use of atorvastatin set company to make the proposal prop

Autorastatin drapy should be temporarily vitihed or discontinued in any patient with an acute, serious condition suggestive of a myopathy or having a risk factor predisposing to the development of renal failure condition suggestive of a myopathy or having a risk factor predisposing to the development of renal failure condition suggestive of an expensive production of the condition suggestive of any operation of the condition of the condition of the condition suggestive of any operation of the condition of the c

225 mg/kg/day, pinnae detachment and eye opening at 225 mg/kg/dayl. These doses correspond to 6 times (100 mg/kg) and 22 times (225 mg/kg) the human AUC at 80 mg/day. Rare reports of congenital anomalies have been received following intrauterine exposure to MMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. There has been one report of severe congenital bony deformity, tracheo-esophageal fistula, and anal atresia (VATER association) in a baby born to a woman who took lovastatin with dextroamphetamine sulfate during the first trimester of pregnancy. LPITOR should be administered to women of child-bearing potential only when such patients are highly unlikely to conceive and have been informed of the potential hazards. If the woman becomes pregnant while taking LPITOR, is should be discontinued and the patient advised again as to the potential hazards to the fetus. **Nursing Mothers** — Nursing rat pups had plasma and liver drug levels of 50% and 40%, respectively, of that in their mother's milk. Because of the potential for adverse reactions in nursing infants, women taking LPITOR is should not breast-lede (See CONTRAINDICATIONS). **Pediatric Use** — Safety and effectiveness in patients 10-17 years of age with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia have been evaluated in a controlled clinical trial of 6 months duration in adolescent boys and postmenarchal girls. Patients treated with LPITOR had an adverse experience profile generally similar to that of patients treated with placebo, the most common adverse experiences observed in both groups, regardless of causality assessment, were infections. **Doses greater than 20 mg have not been studied in this patient population**. In this limited controlled study, there was not common adverse experiences observed in both groups, regardless of causality assessment, were infections. **Doses greater than 20 mg have not been studied in this patient population**. In this limited controlled study, there was not detectable effect on growth or sexual maturation in boys or on menstrual cycle length in gir

age groups.

ADVERSE REACTIONS: LIPITOR is generally well-tolerated. Adverse reactions have usually been mild and transient. In controlled clinical studies of 2502 patients, <2% of patients were discontinued due to adverse experiences attributable to atorvastatin. The most frequent adverse events thought to be related to atorvast were constipation, flatulence, dyspepsia, and abdominal pain. Clinical Adverse Experiences — Adverse experiences reported in ≥2% of patients in placebo-controlled clinical studies of atorvastatin, regardless of causality assessment, are shown in the following table.

Adverse Events in Placebo-Controlled Studies (% of Patients)					
BODY SYSTEM	Placebo	Atorvastatin	Atorvastatin	Atorvastatin	Atorvastatin
Adverse Event		10 mg	20 mg	40 mg	80 mg
	N = 270	N = 863	N = 36	N = 79	N = 94
BODY AS A WHOLE					
Infection	10.0	10.3	2.8	10.1	7.4
Headache	7.0	5.4	16.7	2.5	6.4
Accidental Injury	3.7	4.2	0.0	1.3	3.2
Flu Syndrome	1.9	2.2	0.0	2.5	3.2
Abdominal Pain	0.7	2.8	0.0	3.8	2.1
Back Pain	3.0	2.8	0.0	3.8	1.1
Allergic Reaction	2.6	0.9	2.8	1.3	0.0
Asthenia	1.9	2.2	0.0	3.8	0.0
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
Constipation	1.8	2.1	0.0	2.5	1.1
Diarrhea	1.5	2.7	0.0	3.8	5.3
Dyspepsia	4.1	2.3	2.8	1.3	2.1
Flatulence	3.3	2.1	2.8	1.3	1.1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	И				
Sinusitis	2.6	2.8	0.0	2.5	6.4
Pharyngitis	1.5	2.5	0.0	1.3	2.1
SKIN AND APPENDAG	ES				
Rash	0.7	3.9	2.8	3.8	1.1
MUSCULOSKELETAL S					
Arthra <b>l</b> gia	1.5	2.0	0.0	5.1	0.0
Myalgia	1.1	3.2	5.6	1.3	0.0

Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (ASCOT)—In ASCOT (see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Clinica. Studies in full prescribing information) involving 10,305 participants treated with LIPITOR 10 mg daily (n=5,168) or placebo (n=5,137), the safety and tolerability profile of the group treated with LIPITOR was comparable to that of the group treated with placebo during a median of 3.3 years of follow-up.

ollaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS)—In CARDS (see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Clinical Studies full prescribing information) involving 2838 subjects with type 2 diabetes treated with LIPTOR 10 mg daily (n=1428) r placebo (n=1410), there was no difference in the overall frequency of adverse events or serious adverse events or serious adverse events or serious adverse events or serious adverse events eve The following adverse events were reported, regardless of causality assessment in patients treated with atorvastatin in clinical trials. The events in italics occurred in ≥2% of patients and the events in plain type

between the treatment groups during a meuian robb.

The following adverse events were reported, regardless of causality assessment in patients treated wou atorvastatin in clinical trials. The events in Italics occurred in 22% of patients and the events in plain type occurred in 22% of patients and the events in plain type occurred in 22% of patients.

Body as a Whole: Chest pain, face edema, fever, neck rigidity, malaise, photosensitivity reaction, generalized edema. Digestive System: Nausea, gastroenteritis, liver function tests abnormal, colitis, vomiting, gastritis, dry mouth, rectal hemorrhage, esophagitis, eructation, glossitis, mouth ulceration, anorexia, increased appetite, stomatitis, bilary pain, chellitis, duodenal ulcer, dysphagia, entertis, melena, gum hemorrhage, stomach ulcer, tenesmus, ulcerative stomatitis, hepatitis, pancreatitis, cholestatic jaundice. Respiratory System: Bronchitis, rhinitis, pneumonia, dyspena, asthma, epitastasis. Nervous System: Insoma, dizziness, paresthesia, sonnolence, amnesia, abnormal dreams, libido decreased, emotional lability, incoordination, peripheral neuropathy, torticollis, facial paralysis, hyperkinesia, depression, hypesthesia, hypertonia. Musculoskeletal System: Arbinitis, leg cramps, bursitis, tenosynovitis, myasthenia, tendinous contracture, myositis. Skin and Appendages: Pruritus, contact dermatitis, alopecia, dry skin, sweating, ane, uritaria, esceram, seborrhae, skin ulcer. Unoquental System: Urinary tract infection, hematuria, albuminuria, urinary frequency, cystitis, impotence, dysuria, kidney calculus, nocturia, epididymitis, fibrocystic breast, vaginal hemorrhage, breast enlargement, metrotrabagia, nephritis, urinary incontinence, urinary retention, urinary urgency, abnormal ejaculation, uterine hemorrhage. Special Senses:

Amblyopia, timultus, dry eyes, refraction disorder, eye hemorrhage, deafiness, glaucoma, parosmia, taste loss, taste perversion. Cardiovascular System: Palpatation, vasodilatation, syncope, migraine, postural hypotension, phebitis

Parke-Davis

Rev. 9, September 2005

Pfizer U.S. Pharmaceuticals