Clinical utility of warfarin pharmacogenomics

(AUGUST 2013)

TO THE EDITOR: We previously addressed whether *VKORC1* and *CYP2C9* pharmacogenomic testing should be considered when prescribing warfarin.¹ Our recommendation, based on available evidence at that time, was that physicians should consider pharmacogenomic testing for any patient who is started on warfarin therapy.

Since the publication of this recommendation, two major trials, COAG (Clarification of Optimal Anticoagulation Through Genetics)² and EU-PACT (European Pharmacogenetics of Anticoagulant Therapy-Warfarin),³ were published along with commentaries debating the clinical utility of warfarin pharmacogenomics.^{4–15} Based on these publications, we would like to update our recommendations for pharmacogenomic testing for warfarin therapy.

COAG compared the efficacy of a clinical algorithm or a clinical algorithm plus VKORC1 and CYP2C9 genotyping to guide warfarin dosage. At the end of 4 weeks, the mean percentage of time within the therapeutic international normalized ratio (INR) range was 45.4% for those in the clinical algorithm arm and 45.2% for those in the genotyping arm (95% confidence interval [CI] -3.4 to 3.1, P = .91). For both treatment groups, clinical data that included body surface area, age, target INR, concomitantly prescribed drugs, and smoking status were used to predict warfarin dose, with the genotyping arm including VKORC1 and CYP2C9. Although VKORC1 and CYP2C9 genotyping offered no additional benefit, caution should be used when extrapolating this conclusion to clinical settings in which warfarin therapy is initiated using a standardized starting dose (eg, 5 mg daily) instead of a clinical dosing algorithm.

Of interest, in the COAG trial, among black patients, the mean percentage of time in the therapeutic INR range was significantly less for those in the genotype-guided arm

than for those in the clinically guided arm ie, 35.2% vs 43.5% (95% CI –15.0 to –2.0, P = .01). The percentage of time with therapeutic INR has been identified as a surrogate marker for poor outcomes such as death, stroke, or major hemorrhage, with those with a lower percentage of time in therapeutic INR being at greater risk of an adverse event.16 Wan et al17 demonstrated that a 6.9% improvement of time in therapeutic INR decreased the risk of major hemorrhage by one event per 100 patient-years.¹⁷ Therefore, black patients in the COAG genotyping arm may have been at greater risk for an adverse event because of a lower observed percentage of time within the therapeutic INR range.

In the COAG trial, genotyping was done for only one *VKORC1* variant and for two CYP2C9 alleles (CYP2C9*2, and CYP2C9*3). Other genetic variants are of clinical importance for warfarin dosing in black patients, and the lack of genotyping for these additional variants may explain why black patients in the genotyping arm performed worse. ^{57,11} In particular, CYP2C9*8 may be an important predictor of warfarin dose in black patients. ¹⁸

EU-PACT compared the efficacy of standardized warfarin dosing and that of a clinical algorithm.3 Patients in the standardized dosing arm were prescribed warfarin 10 mg on the first day of treatment (5 mg for those over age 75), and 5 mg on days 2 and 3, with subsequent dosing adjustments based on INR. Patients in the genotyping arm were prescribed warfarin based on an algorithm that incorporated clinical data that included body surface area, age, and concomitantly prescribed drugs, as well as VKORC1 and CYP2C9 genotypes. At the end of 12 weeks, the mean percentage of time in the therapeutic INR range was 60.3% for those in the standardized-dosing arm and 67.4% for those in the genotyping arm (95% CI 3.3 to 10.6, P < .001).² The approximate 7% improvement in percentage of time in the therapeutic INR range may predict a lower risk of hemorrhage for those in the genotyping arm. 17 Although patients in the genotyping arm had a higher

percentage of time in the therapeutic INR range, it is unclear whether genotyping alone is superior to standardized dosing because the dosing algorithm used both clinical data and genotype data.

There are substantial differences between the COAG and EU-PACT trials, including dosing schemes, racial diversity, and trial length, and these differences could have contributed to the conflicting results. Based on these two trials, a possible conclusion is that genotype-guided warfarin dosing may be superior to standardized dosing, but may be no better than utilizing a clinical algorithm in white patients. For black patients, additional studies are needed to determine which genetic variants are of importance for guiding warfarin dosing.

We would like to update the recommendations we made in our previously published article, to state that genotyping for CYP2C9 and VKORC1 may be of clinical utility in white patients depending on whether standardized dosing or a clinical algorithm is used to initiate warfarin therapy. Routine genotyping in black patients is not recommended until further studies clarify which genetic

REFERENCES

- Rouse M, Cristiani C, Teng KA. Should we use pharmacogenetic testing when prescribing warfarin? Cleve Clin J Med 2013; 80:483–486.
- Kimmel SE, French B, Kasner SE, et al; COAG Investigators. A pharmacogenetic versus a clinical algorithm for warfarin dosing. N Engl J Med 2013; 369:2283–2293.
- Pirmohamed M, Burnside G, Eriksson N, et al; EU-PACT Group. A randomized trial of genotype-guided dosing of warfarin. N Engl J Med 2013; 369:2294–2303.
- Cavallari LH, Kittles RA, Perera MA. Genotype-guided dosing of vitamin K antagonists. N Engl J Med 2014; 370:1763.
- Cavallari LH, Nutescu EA. Warfarin pharmacogenetics: to genotype or not to genotype, that is the question. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2014; 96:22–24.
- Daneshjou R, Klein TE, Altman RB. Genotype-guided dosing of vitamin K antagonists. N Engl J Med 2014; 370:1762–1763.
- Hernandez W, Gamazon ER, Aquino-Michaels K, et al. Ethnicity-specific pharmacogenetics: the case of warfarin in African Americans. Pharmacogenomics J 2014; 14:223– 229.
- Kimmel SE, French B, Geller NL; COAG Investigators.
 Genotype-guided dosing of vitamin K antagonists. N Engl J Med 2014: 370:1763–1764.
- Koller EA, Roche JC, Rollins JA. Genotype-guided dosing of vitamin K antagonists. N Engl J Med 2014; 370:1761.
- Pereira NL, Rihal CS, Weinshilboum RM. Genotype-guided dosing of vitamin K antagonists. N Engl J Med 2014; 370:1762.

variants are of importance for guiding warfarin dosing.

The ongoing Genetics Informatics Trial of Warfarin to Prevent Venous Thrombosis may bring much needed clarity to the clinical utility of warfarin pharmacogenomics. We hope to publish a more detailed update of our 2013 article after completion of that trial.

D. MAX SMITH, BSPS Department of Pharmacy Cleveland Clinic

CARI CRISTIANI, PharmD, BCPS, BCACP Department of Pharmacy Cleveland Clinic

KATHRYN A. TENG, MD Director Internal Medicine and Community Medicine MetroHealth System Cleveland, OH

J. KEVIN HICKS, PharmD, PhD Department of Pharmacy Genomic Medicine Institute Cleveland Clinic

- Perera MA, Cavallari LH, Johnson JA. Warfarin pharmacogenetics: an illustration of the importance of studies in minority populations. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2014; 95:242–244.
- Pirmohamed M, Wadelius M, Kamali F; EU-PACT Group. Genotype-guided dosing of vitamin K antagonists. N Engl J Med 2014; 370:1764–1765.
- Schwarz UI, Kim RB, Tirona RG. Genotype-guided dosing of vitamin K antagonists. N Engl J Med 2014; 370:1761– 1762.
- Scott SA, Lubitz SA. Warfarin pharmacogenetic trials: is there a future for pharmacogenetic-guided dosing? Pharmacogenomics 2014; 15:719–722.
- Zineh I, Pacanowski M, Woodcock J. Pharmacogenetics and coumarin dosing—recalibrating expectations. N Engl J Med 2013; 369:2273–2275.
- Hylek EM. Vitamin K antagonists and time in the therapeutic range: implications, challenges, and strategies for improvement. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2013; 35:333–335.
- Wan Y, Heneghan C, Perera R, et al. Anticoagulation control and prediction of adverse events in patients with atrial fibrillation: a systematic review. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2008;1:84-91.
- Nagai R, Ohara M, Cavallari LH, et al. Factors influencing pharmacokinetics of warfarin in African-Americans: implications for pharmacogenetic dosing algorithms. Pharmacogenomics 2015;16:217–225.

doi:10.3949/ccjm.82c.05001