REVIEW



MEHDI H. SHISHEHBOR, DO Department of Internal Medicine, The Cleveland Clinic **DEEPAK L. BHATT, MD** Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The Cleveland Clinic ERIC J. TOPOL, MD Chairman, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The Cleveland Clinic

Using C-reactive protein to assess cardiovascular disease risk

ABSTRACT

C-reactive protein (CRP), a marker of inflammation, is directly involved in atherogenesis, and elevated CRP levels (as measured by highly sensitive assays) are associated with increased cardiovascular risk. We welcome the recent joint guidelines on CRP testing from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American Heart Association; however, whereas the guidelines suggest measuring the CRP level only in patients at intermediate risk, we advocate measuring it as well in patients at high risk.

KEY POINTS

CRP measured by a highly sensitive assay (hs-CRP) is the inflammatory marker of choice to assess cardiovascular risk.

An hs-CRP level of less than 1.0 mg/L is considered to denote low risk, 1.0 to 3.0 mg/L intermediate risk, and more than 3.0 mg/L high risk.

Patients with intermediate-risk or high-risk CRP levels gain the largest absolute risk reduction with aggressive risklowering therapy. -REACTIVE PROTEIN (CRP) has gained official recognition as a cardiac test, now that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the American Heart Association (AHA) have issued guidelines for measuring inflammatory markers such as CRP in assessing the risk of cardiovascular disease.¹ In this paper we:

- Briefly review the basic and clinical data that established an association between CRP levels and cardiovascular risk
- Summarize the recent CDC-AHA guidelines on CRP testing
- Offer our own recommendations (which differ somewhat from the CDC-AHA guidelines) on how to use CRP measurement to guide therapy
- Discuss some of the ongoing randomized trials being conducted to assess the clinical benefit of reducing CRP levels.

BASIC AND CLINICAL EVIDENCE SUPPORTING CRP MEASUREMENT

Inflammation plays a fundamental role in atherothrombosis, from its initiation through progression.² CRP, a measure of inflammation, is a mediator as well as a marker of atherothrombosis.

CRP as a mediator of atherothrombosis

Numerous basic science studies have provided evidence that CRP plays a direct pathogenic role in arterial disease. Specifically, CRP can:

- Activate complement³
- Enhance T-cell-mediated endothelial cell destruction^{4,5}
- Induce expression of adhesion molecules, such as vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 and E-selectin⁴

FIGURE 1

Not available for online publication. See print version of the Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine

> CRP was a stronger predictor of risk than LDL in healthy women

- Stimulate macrophages to produce tissue factor⁶
- Attenuate nitric oxide production^{7,8}
- Increase the expression and activity of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 in human endothelial cells⁹
- Inhibit angiogenesis
- Promote intima-medial thickening in children.¹⁰

CRP levels correlate with risk

More than a dozen prospective epidemiologic studies^{11–21} demonstrated that elevated CRP levels predict cardiovascular events in people without a history of cardiovascular disease

(reviewed by Ridker,²² FIGURE 1). Events predicted include myocardial infarction,²³ stroke, peripheral arterial disease, and sudden cardiac death.^{11,12,24,25}

Furthermore, elevated CRP levels predict recurrent ischemia and death in patients with stable and unstable angina,^{26–28} those undergoing coronary intervention,²⁹ and those presenting with an acute myocardial infarction.³⁰ The long-term prognostic value of the CRP level is as strong as that of exercise stress testing.

In both stable and unstable angina, elevated CRP levels predict future events independently of findings on coronary angiogra-

FIGURE 2

Not available for online publication. See print version of the Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine

phy. The association between CRP levels and future cardiovascular events has also been found to be independent of age, smoking, cholesterol levels, diabetes, and other major cardiac risk factors.

Weight loss, diet, exercise, smoking cessation, statins, thiazolidinediones all lower CRP

For example, CRP levels may identify people at increased risk whose low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels are not elevated. Ridker et al³¹ recently showed that CRP levels were a stronger predictor of risk than LDL cholesterol levels in more than 27,000 healthy American women followed over a mean of 8 years.

In addition, the CRP level adds prognostic information when it is combined with the LDL cholesterol level or Framingham Risk Score (FIGURE 2). 22,31

The association cuts across national and cultural lines: CRP levels also predict coronary risk in other populations, such as South Asians.

Also of note: CRP reflects the metabolic syndrome and can predict the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus and symptomatic peripheral arterial disease.^{1,9,32}

CDC-AHA GUIDELINES ON CRP TESTING

On March 14 and 15, 2002, the CDC-AHA "Workshop on Inflammatory Markers and Cardiovascular Disease: Application to Clinical and Public Health Practice" convened in Atlanta, Georgia, to address the growing evidence linking inflammatory markers to cardiovascular disease.¹

The goals of this workshop were to identify the best available test, to define who should be tested (ie, in what conditions the test would be useful), and to specify how to interpret the test results.

CRP is the inflammatory marker of choice

The guidelines identify CRP (as measured by a high-sensitivity [hs] assay) as the inflammatory marker of choice for cardiovascular risk stratification. Although a number of other inflammatory markers such as serum amyloid A, white blood cell count, and fibrinogen have been investigated, the "hs-CRP" level has the most stability, assay precision, accuracy, and availability.

Who should be tested?

The CDC-AHA Writing Group endorsed the optional use of hs-CRP testing in patients at intermediate risk, ie, a 10% to 20% risk of coronary heart disease over 10 years.

The 10-year risk of a coronary event is calculated on the basis of the patient's age, total cholesterol level, smoking status, highdensity lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol level, and systolic blood pressure. This scoring system has been published,³³ and is also available online at www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/cholesterol/profmats.htm.

According to the Writing Group, patients with a 10-year risk greater than 20% would not benefit from hs-CRP measurement, since they already have a level of risk equivalent to that of a person with known coronary heart disease and require aggressive medical therapy.

Therefore, physicians who may need more information to guide their decision in regards to further diagnostic testing or therapy may use the hs-CRP level as an additional tool. However, at this time, treatment solely on the basis of the hs-CRP level is not recommended.

The hs-CRP assay also may be used for prognostic purposes in secondary prevention. However, secondary preventive care and acute coronary interventions should not depend on the hs-CRP level. Therefore, the Writing Group sees the utility of hs-CRP in secondary prevention as somewhat limited at this time. Furthermore, it discouraged the use of serial testing for hs-CRP as a way to monitor therapy or to measure disease activity.

The guidelines state that the hs-CRP assay should not be performed for the purpose of risk stratification in people with underlying inflammatory or infectious conditions.

How to interpret the results

An hs-CRP level of less than 1.0 mg/L is considered to denote low risk, 1.0 to 3.0 mg/L intermediate risk, and greater than 3.0 mg/L high risk. These cut points are based on the distribution of hs-CRP levels in more than 40,000 persons from more than 15 populations.

To reduce variability in a patient's levels, two fasting or nonfasting assays should be performed at least 2 weeks apart and the results averaged, which should give a stable result.

If the average of the two levels is greater than 10 mg/L, a search for an inflammatory or infectious disease should be initiated. This result should be disregarded for coronary risk stratification purposes, and the hs-CRP level should be measured again in approximately 2 weeks. Patients at intermediate risk who have elevated CRP levels should undergo aggressive risk modification. Modification of many of these risk factors will also lead to a reduction in CRP levels. However, at this time no randomized trial has shown a decrease in clinical outcomes when CRP is reduced. Therefore, treatment of elevated hs-CRP solely on the basis of the hs-CRP levels is not recommended by the CDC-AHA Writing Group.

OUR RECOMMENDATIONS

The CDC-AHA guidelines¹ are a dramatic advance in risk assessment, but we would go farther. Specifically, we advocate hs-CRP testing in all patients at intermediate risk *or high risk* for cardiovascular disease.

Furthermore, we believe hs-CRP should be measured in conjunction with cholesterol testing, and the results should be used to help clinicians in risk stratification in both primary *and secondary* prevention.³⁴

The utility of CRP testing in patients with myocardial infarction, stable angina, or unstable angina has been reviewed recently in the *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*.³⁵ Elevated hs-CRP levels in these settings identify patients with higher inflammatory burdens who are at higher risk of future ischemic events.

An elevated CRP level provides additional prognostic value to traditional cardiac risk factors. Therefore, in a high-risk patient, an elevated hs-CRP level should even further alert both the physician and the patient to the need for aggressive risk-lowering strategies.

Historic analogies

This view may not yet be mainstream, but advances in medicine come slowly.

To use a historical analogy, when the Joint National Committee on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure issued its first report in 1977,³⁶ a systolic blood pressure of 159 mm Hg was not classified as hypertension, and the recommended follow-up was in 6 to 9 months. In contrast, in the Committee's sixth report 20 years later,³⁷ the same systolic blood pressure was classified as stage 1 hypertension, and the recommended follow-up was in 2 months. The Committee's seventh report,³⁸

No one knows yet whether lowering CRP per se reduces clinical events

published in May 2003, is even more aggressive: a systolic pressure of 120 to 139 or a diastolic pressure of 80 to 89 now is classified as "prehypertensive."

Similarly, in the first report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP), published in 1988, the optimal LDL cholesterol level in patients with coronary artery disease was defined as less than 130 mg/dL.³⁹ It took 14 years to lower this value to 100 mg/dL,³³ and now many experts strongly advocate lowering it even further.^{34,40}

Better prediction may promote healthier lifestyles

Multiple deleterious lifestyles and behaviors contribute to most deaths from cardiovascular causes.⁴¹ Furthermore, people with healthy lifestyles and few risk factors have a lower mortality rate from heart disease.⁴²

For example, the Nurses' Health Study demonstrated that women who maintain a desirable body weight, do not smoke, exercise regularly, and consume a moderate amount of alcohol have a 84% lower risk of cardiovascular disease compared with women with higher-risk behaviors.⁴³ Yet the prevalence rates of obesity and diabetes are increasing.⁴⁴

Compliance with lifestyle recommendations is directly related to the absolute risk perceived by the patient. Thus, the addition of hs-CRP to traditional risk factors will provide an improved prediction tool, which should be shared with patients for better compliance with lifestyle and behavioral changes.

MANY INTERVENTIONS LOWER CRP

Many interventions such as weight loss, diet, exercise, and smoking cessation all lead to reduced CRP levels.^{22,45–47}

Many drugs such as statins and thiazolidinediones also lead to a significant reduction in CRP levels.^{14,19,48,49}

In two recent randomized trials, Cholesterol And Recurrent Events (CARE)⁴⁸ and the Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study (AFCAPS/ TexCAPS),¹⁴ the benefit associated with statin use in those with elevated CRP was much greater than in those with low CRP levels, indicating that patients with elevated CRP levels may benefit more from statin therapy.⁵⁰

Unfortunately, as yet no randomized trials have been published that show that reducing CRP levels per se will reduce clinical events or deaths. Therefore, we must be cautious when interpreting observational studies that have shown a reduction in CRP with different interventions.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite the extensive basic and clinical data linking inflammation to atherogenesis and the strong association between CRP concentrations and cardiovascular risk, randomized trials that test the arterial inflammation hypothesis are lacking. The most important question is whether lowering CRP levels by suppressing inflammation will translate into fewer clinical events.

CRP-guided therapy in secondary prevention

Recently, we proposed a randomized study of usual care vs CRP-guided therapy in patients with a history of cardiovascular events and an elevated baseline CRP level.⁴⁶ We propose to test aspirin, statins, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, clopidogrel, fibrates, and thiazolidinediones in a stepwise fashion. All patients will receive aspirin, a statin, and an ACE inhibitor as indicated. If CRP levels remain elevated, additional medications will be introduced for a 2-week period and CRP levels will be rechecked. If the added agent has no effect on CRP, it will be discontinued.

We believe this approach of using serial CRP measurements to guide therapy will allow formulation of a rational therapeutic strategy instead of an approach of reflex "polypharmacy" for each patient. The above approach will help to decide whether combinations of drug therapy really lead to an incremental decrease in morbidity and mortality.

CRP in primary prevention

The results of the AFCAPS/TexCAPS trial of lovastatin and the Physicians' Health Study of aspirin vs placebo suggest that patients with elevated CRP levels gain the largest absolute

We propose a randomized trial of CRPguided therapy risk reduction from these drugs. Furthermore, CRP levels have a strong prognostic value in people with "normal" LDL cholesterol levels.

Hence, a large-scale prevention trial of 15,000 patients without high LDL levels but with elevated CRP levels began in early 2003.⁵⁰ This trial, called Justification for the Use of Statins in Primary Prevention, an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin (JUPITER), will randomize patients to receive rosuvastatin or placebo. This study will help answer whether suppression of inflammatory burden will translate into decreased clinical events.

The Clopidogrel for High Atherothrombotic Risk and Ischemic Stabilization,

REFERENCES

- Pearson TA, Mensah GA, Alexander RW, et al. Markers of inflammation and cardiovascular disease: application to clinical and public health practice: a statement for healthcare professionals from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American Heart Association. Circulation 2003; 107:499–511.
- Libby P, Ridker PM, Maseri A. Inflammation and atherosclerosis. Circulation 2002; 105:1135–1143.
- Mold C, Gewurz H, Du Clos TW. Regulation of complement activation by C-reactive protein. Immunopharmacology 1999; 42:23–30.
- Pasceri V, Willerson JT, Yeh ET. Direct proinflammatory effect of Creactive protein on human endothelial cells. Circulation 2000; 102:2165–2168.
- Nakajima T, Schulte S, Warrington KJ, et al. T-cell-mediated lysis of endothelial cells in acute coronary syndromes. Circulation 2002; 105:570–575.
- Nakagomi A, Freedman SB, Geczy CL. Interferon-gamma and lipopolysaccharide potentiate monocyte tissue factor induction by Creactive protein: relationship with age, sex, and hormone replacement treatment. Circulation 2000; 101:1785–1791.
- Verma S, Wang CH, Li SH, et al. A self-fulfilling prophecy: C-reactive protein attenuates nitric oxide production and inhibits angiogenesis. Circulation 2002; 106:913–919.
- Venugopal SK, Devaraj S, Yuhanna I, et al. Demonstration that C-reactive protein decreases eNOS expression and bioactivity in human aortic endothelial cells. Circulation 2002; 106:1439–1441.
- Devaraj S, Xu DY, Jialal I. C-reactive protein increases plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 expression and activity in human aortic endothelial cells: implications for the metabolic syndrome and atherothrombosis. Circulation 2003; 107:398–404.
- Jarvisalo MJ, Harmoinen A, Hakanen M, et al. Elevated serum C-reactive protein levels and early arterial changes in healthy children. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2002; 22:1323–1328.
- Mendall MA, Strachan DP, Butland BK, et al. C-reactive protein: relation to total mortality, cardiovascular mortality and cardiovascular risk factors in men. Eur Heart J 2000; 21:1584–1590.
- Koenig W, Sund M, Frohlich M, et al. C-reactive protein, a sensitive marker of inflammation, predicts future risk of coronary heart disease in initially healthy middle-aged men: results from the MONICA (Monitoring Trends and Determinants in Cardiovascular Disease) Augsburg Cohort Study, 1984 to 1992. Circulation 1999; 99:237–242.
- Ridker PM, Hennekens CH, Buring JE, et al. C-reactive protein and other markers of inflammation in the prediction of cardiovascular disease in women. N Engl J Med 2000; 342:836–843.
- Ridker PM, Rifai N, Clearfield M, et al. Measurement of C-reactive protein for the targeting of statin therapy in the primary prevention of acute coronary events. N Engl J Med 2001; 344:1959–1965.
- 15. Ridker PM, Stampfer MJ, Rifai N. Novel risk factors for systemic ather-

Management, and Avoidance (CHARIS-MA) trial is ongoing. Patients at high risk of a first coronary event or who have already had an event are being randomized to receive either clopidogrel or placebo, in addition to aspirin.⁵¹ Levels of hs-CRP are being measured to ascertain the effect of clopidogrel on CRP and how this correlates with clinical event reduction.

In addition, randomized clinical trials must be conducted to assess the utility of CRP as a motivational tool to encourage patients to adhere to lifestyle modifications or to comply with pharmacotherapy for prevention of clinical events.

> osclerosis: a comparison of C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, homocysteine, lipoprotein(a), and standard cholesterol screening as predictors of peripheral arterial disease. JAMA 2001; 285:2481–2485.

- Tracy RP, Lemaitre RN, Psaty BM, et al. Relationship of C-reactive protein to risk of cardiovascular disease in the elderly. Results from the Cardiovascular Health Study and the Rural Health Promotion Project. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 1997; 17:1121–1127.
- Danesh J, Whincup P, Walker M, et al. Low grade inflammation and coronary heart disease: prospective study and updated meta-analyses. BMJ 2000; 321:199–204.
- Pradhan AD, Manson JE, Rossouw JE, et al. Inflammatory biomarkers, hormone replacement therapy, and incident coronary heart disease: prospective analysis from the Women's Health Initiative observational study. JAMA 2002; 288:980–987.
- Ridker PM, Cushman M, Stampfer MJ, et al. Inflammation, aspirin, and the risk of cardiovascular disease in apparently healthy men. N Engl J Med 1997; 336:973–979.
- Albert MA, Danielson E, Rifai N, et al. Effect of statin therapy on Creactive protein levels: the pravastatin inflammation/CRP evaluation (PRINCE): a randomized trial and cohort study. JAMA 2001; 286:64–70.
- Kuller LH, Tracy RP, Shaten J, et al. Relation of C-reactive protein and coronary heart disease in the MRFIT nested case-control study. Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. Am J Epidemiol 1996; 144:537–547.
- 22. **Ridker PM**. Clinical application of C-reactive protein for cardiovascular disease detection and prevention. Circulation 2003; 107:363–369.
- Ridker PM. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein: potential adjunct for global risk assessment in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. Circulation 2001; 103:1813–1818.
- Albert CM, Ma J, Rifai N, et al. Prospective study of C-reactive protein, homocysteine, and plasma lipid levels as predictors of sudden cardiac death. Circulation 2002; 105:2595–2599.
- Sakkinen P, Abbott RD, Curb JD, et al. C-reactive protein and myocardial infarction. J Clin Epidemiol 2002; 55:445–451.
- Biasucci LM, Liuzzo G, Grillo RL, et al. Elevated levels of C-reactive protein at discharge in patients with unstable angina predict recurrent instability. Circulation 1999; 99:855–860.
- Haverkate F, Thompson SG, Pyke SD, et al. Production of C-reactive protein and risk of coronary events in stable and unstable angina. European Concerted Action on Thrombosis and Disabilities Angina Pectoris Study Group. Lancet 1997; 349:462–466.
- Heeschen C, Hamm CW, Bruemmer J, et al. Predictive value of C-reactive protein and troponin T in patients with unstable angina: a comparative analysis. CAPTURE Investigators. Chimeric c7E3 AntiPlatelet Therapy in Unstable angina REfractory to standard treatment trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000; 35:1535–1542.
- 29. Mueller C, Buettner HJ, Hodgson JM, et al. Inflammation and long-

term mortality after non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome treated with a very early invasive strategy in 1042 consecutive patients. Circulation 2002; 105:1412–1415.

- Zebrack JS, Anderson JL, Maycock CA, et al. Usefulness of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein in predicting long-term risk of death or acute myocardial infarction in patients with unstable or stable angina pectoris or acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 2002; 89:145–149.
- Ridker PM, Rifai N, Rose L, et al. Comparison of C-reactive protein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in the prediction of first cardiovascular events. N Engl J Med 2002; 347:1557–1565.
- Ridker PM, Buring JE, Cook NR, et al. C-reactive protein, the metabolic syndrome, and risk of incident cardiovascular events: an 8-year followup of 14 719 initially healthy American women. Circulation 2003; 107:391–397.
- Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA 2001; 285:2486–2497.
- 34. Yeh ET, Willerson JT. Coming of age of C-reactive protein: using inflammation markers in cardiology. Circulation 2003; 107:370–371.
- Patel VB, Robbins MA, Topol EJ. C-reactive protein: a 'golden marker' for inflammation and coronary artery disease. Cleve Clin J Med 2001; 68:521–534.
- Report of the Joint National Committee on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. A cooperative study. JAMA 1977; 237:255–261.
- The sixth report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. Arch Intern Med 1997; 157:2413–2446.
- Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, et al, and the National High Blood Pressure Education Program Coordinating Committee. The seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. The JNC 7 report. JAMA 2003; 289:2560–2572.
- Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in

Adults. The Expert Panel. Arch Intern Med 1988; 148:36–69.
Collins R, Peto R, Armitage J. The MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study: preliminary results. Int J Clin Pract 2002; 56:53–56.

- McGinnis JM, Foege WH. Actual causes of death in the United States. JAMA 1993; 270:2207–2212.
- 42. Stamler J, Stamler R, Neaton JD, et al. Low risk-factor profile and long-term cardiovascular and noncardiovascular mortality and life expectancy: findings for 5 large cohorts of young adult and middleaged men and women. JAMA 1999; 282:2012–2018.
- Stampfer MJ, Hu FB, Manson JE, et al. Primary prevention of coronary heart disease in women through diet and lifestyle. N Engl J Med 2000; 343:16–22.
- Mokdad AH, Bowman BA, Ford ES, et al. The continuing epidemics of obesity and diabetes in the United States. JAMA 2001; 286:1195–1200.
- Tchernof A, Nolan A, Sites CK, et al. Weight loss reduces C-reactive protein levels in obese postmenopausal women. Circulation 2002; 105:564–569.
- Bhatt DL, Topol EJ. Need to test the arterial inflammation hypothesis. Circulation 2002; 106:136–140.
- Bhatt DL, Topol EJ. The arterial inflammation hypothesis [letter]. Arch Intern Med 2002; 162:2249–2250.
- Ridker PM, Rifai N, Pfeffer MA, et al. Long-term effects of pravastatin on plasma concentration of C-reactive protein. The Cholesterol and Recurrent Events (CARE) Investigators. Circulation 1999; 100:230–235.
- Ridker PM, Rifai N, Lowenthal SP. Rapid reduction in C-reactive protein with cerivastatin among 785 patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. Circulation 2001; 103:1191–1193.
- Ridker PM. Should statin therapy be considered for patients with elevated C-reactive protein? The need for a definitive clinical trial. Eur Heart J 2001; 22:2135–2137.
- Bhatt DL, Topol EJ. Scientific and therapeutic advances in antiplatelet therapy. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2003; 2:15–28.

ADDRESS: Deepak L. Bhatt, MD, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, F25, The Cleveland Clinic Foundation, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; e-mail bhattd@ccf.org.



What questions do you want answered?

We want to know what questions you want addressed in **"1-Minute Consult."** All questions should be on practical, clinical topics. You may submit questions by mail, phone, fax, or e-mail.

BRIEF ANSWERS TO SPECIFIC CLINICAL QUESTIONS

DIEACE	DRINT	CLEARLY
PLEASE	PRINT	CLEAKLY

NAME		
ADDRESS		
CITY		
STATE	ZIP	
PHONE	EMAIL	

Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine, 9500 Euclid Ave., NA32, Cleveland, OH 44195 PHONE 216•444•2661 FAX 216•444•9385 E-MAIL ccjm@ccf.org