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Background: US veterans die by suicide at a higher rate than that of the civilian population and are more likely to use a firearm as their method. Systemic efforts to address the use of firearms in suicide had been largely evaded. In June 2020, the White House published the Roadmap to Empower Veterans and End the National Tragedy of Suicide (PREVENTS) task force report, which verified the link between, and the need to address, at-risk veterans and their access to firearms. This paper reviews the literature on the intersection of veterans, firearms, and suicide, then explores existing VA prevention initiatives aimed at reducing at-risk veterans’ access to lethal means and offers policy recommendations to expand efforts in the context of the PREVENTS Roadmap.

Observations: The PREVENTS report recommends widespread distribution of safety education materials that encourage at-risk individuals to temporarily transfer or store their guns safely and the expansion of free or affordable options for storing weapons. Recommended policy actions to accomplish this goal include delaying access to firearms for at-risk veterans, facilitating temporary storage out of the home, improving in-home safe storage options, requiring that health care providers who care for high-risk veterans are trained in lethal means safety counseling, and creating campaigns to shift cultural norms for firearms’ storage during crises.

Conclusions: Suicide prevention requires a multimodal approach, and attention to firearms access must become a more salient component. The high rate of veteran suicides involving firearms requires far-reaching interventions at societal, institutional, community, family, and individual levels.

U.S. veterans die by suicide at a higher rate than that of the civilian population, and are more likely to use a firearm as their method. Systemic efforts to address the use of firearms in suicide had been largely evaded. In June 2020, the White House published the Roadmap to Empower Veterans and End the National Tragedy of Suicide (PREVENTS) task force report, which verified the link between, and the need to address, at-risk veterans and their access to firearms. This paper reviews the literature on the intersection of veterans, firearms, and suicide, then explores existing VA prevention initiatives aimed at reducing at-risk veterans’ access to lethal means and offers policy recommendations to expand efforts in the context of the PREVENTS Roadmap.
recommendations to expand efforts in the context of the PREVENTS Roadmap.

**VETERAN SUICIDE AND FIREARMS**

Firearms are, by far, the most common lethal means used by veterans who die by suicide. About 71% of male veteran suicide deaths and 43% of female veteran suicide deaths are with firearms, rates that far exceed those of nonveterans (Table). For all age groups, veterans are more likely to complete suicide by firearm than are nonveterans.5

Veteran suicide and gun ownership rates are highest in rural areas.6,7 When compared with veterans living in urban areas, veterans in rural areas are 20% more likely to die by suicide, with the excessive risk largely attributed to suicide by firearm.8

Access to firearms at home increases the risk of suicide. Individuals with any firearm at home are 3 times more likely to die by suicide than is a person with no firearms at home. The elevated suicide risk applies to other household members as well as the firearm owner.9,18 Survivors of suicide attempts using firearms report that the availability of guns at home is the primary reason for their method choice.19,20

There is a common misperception that people who are intent on suicide and are thwarted or survive an attempt using one method will try again with another.21 Suicidal crises often represent a conflicting wish to live or die,22 and approximately two-thirds of those who survive an attempt will never try again. About 23% reattempt nonfatally, and only 10% die by suicide.23-25 However, people who attempt suicide with a firearm usually won’t get a chance at a new start, because 90% of such acts are fatal.26

Although some suicide attempts might be contemplated or planned over an extended period, the decision is impulsive for most individuals. Surveys have found that many people who survive suicide attempts began the act only minutes or hours after making the decision to end their life.27-30 The high-risk, acute phase of many suicidal crises arise quickly and is fleeting.

Limiting the ease by which at-risk individuals can access firearms has been shown to prevent suicide. In 2006, the overall suicide rate in Israel dropped 40% when the Israeli Defense Forces began requiring soldiers to store their firearms on base before going on weekend leave. Since then, the suicide rate has declined even further.31,32

**TABLE** Suicides by Firearm, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Suicide by Firearm, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonveteran adult</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veteran</td>
<td>69.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male nonveteran adult</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male veteran</td>
<td>70.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female nonveteran adult</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female veteran</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among veterans, 45% own ≥ 1 firearms (47% male and 24% female veterans vs 30% male and 12% female nonveterans).3 Many veteran firearm owners (34% male and 13% female) store ≥ 1 gun loaded and unlocked; 44% store a firearm either loaded or unlocked. Only 23% safely store their firearms unloaded and locked at home. Storing ≥ 1 firearm loaded and unlocked is more likely among veterans who reside in rural areas, separated from service before 2002, and report personal protection as the primary reason for ownership.33

Because evidence shows that delaying access to firearms—especially by transferring them out of the home—saves lives, many US health organizations have advocated for strategies that promote evaluation of firearm access and counseling safe storage for individuals at risk for suicide. These organizations include the US Office of the Surgeon General, National Action Alliance for Suicide Prevention, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and American Public Health Association.34-36

Some health care systems—notably Kaiser Permanente and Henry Ford Health Systems—implemented protocols for lethal means assessment and counseling for behavioral health patients.37,38 Washington state requires specific health professionals to enroll in suicide prevention training that includes content on the risk of imminent harm by lethal means.39 California is
designing a curriculum on counseling patients to reduce firearm injury for physicians and other health care practitioners (HCPs).40

The scope of these efforts, however, pale in comparison with the VA's comprehensive, innovative lethal means safety approach. Since 2012, the VA's Suicide Prevention Program has distributed free firearm cable locks to veterans who request them. The VA has created lethal means public service announcements, social media messages, and websites.41-44 The VA distributes firearm and medication safe storage practice resource kits to its primary care, mental health and women's health clinics, and Vet Centers, that include brochures, large poster cards, stickers, exam room posters, and provider pocket cards. VA developed an online lethal means safety counseling training that 20,000 VA HCPs have taken, and is moving toward a revamped mandatory training for VA's mental health, pain, primary care, and emergency department (ED) providers and Veterans Crisis Line responders. VA offers free, individualized lethal means risk management consultation to all clinicians who work with veterans.49 VA includes lethal means safety procedures in its National Strategy for Preventing Veteran Suicide, VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline, and VA Suicide Risk Evaluation and Suicide Prevention Safety Plan required of clinicians.46-48

The VA also added public health strategies that promote safe storage practices for veterans through a partnership with the National Shooting Sports Foundation (NSSF; the firearm industry trade association) and the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention (AFSP).49 Collectively, these organizations co-branded an educational, training, and resource toolkit to foster community coalitions and gun retailer projects that encourage veterans to securely store firearms.30 The VA partnered with NSSF to post billboards in 8 states, encouraging storing firearms responsibly to prevent suicide. VA invited states and cities in the Governor/Mayoral Challenge to Prevent Suicide (joint VA and Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration endeavors) to develop plans for messaging regarding enhanced lethal means safety processes. The VA collaborated with local firearm advocates in community prevention pilot projects and in a “Together with Veterans” dissemination of material and outreach to rural veterans.31 Along with AFSP, VA hosted conferences for HCPs, policy makers, and stakeholders about innovations related to lethal means safety.42 In May 2020, the VA cosponsored a COVID-19 suicide prevention video with the United States Concealed Carry Association, NSSF, and AFSP, including ways that the firearm industry, gun owners, and their families can help.43

These programs are promising, and the Roadmap's emphatic endorsement of lethal means safety approaches will accelerate advances. However, the Roadmap's omissions are consequential. By focusing on population interventions, the document is silent about VA-specific or veteran-specific firearm access strategies. The means safety work of the VA's Suicide Prevention Program Office is scarcely recognized. Further, it stops short of specific legislative initiatives, making aspirational recommendations instead.

This paper will list proposed policy actions to bolster the acceptability and practice of lethal means safety with veterans. They cover an entire range of possibilities, from putting more teeth into the Roadmap's population-wide interventions to initiatives tailored to veterans. Responsibility for leading and funding the changes would reside in a mix of Congress and state legislatures, the VA, and health system accreditation bodies. Although there is solid evidence that lethal means safety prevents suicide, it is unknown how these approaches affect firearm storage behaviors or suicide rates; therefore, the policy actions should come with federal and state funds for rigorous evaluation.54

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS TO FURTHER PROMOTE SAFE STORAGE

Develop Campaigns to Shift Cultural Norms for Firearm Storage During Crises

National campaigns have been shown to be highly effective in changing injurious behaviors. Alliances and resources with regard to lethal means safety could be assembled, including federal funds for a campaign to shift social norms for firearm storage conversations and behaviors during crises. This campaign should be modeled after the “friends don’t let friends drive drunk” and
“designated driver” campaigns that empower family and friends to protect one another. Since those campaigns’ inception in 1982, two-thirds of Americans have tried to prevent someone from driving after drinking, and traffic deaths involving alcohol-impaired crashes have decreased 65%.55,56

The comparable lethal means safety enterprise would encourage friends and family to talk with those in crisis about storing firearms safely. The campaign must use spokespersons who have strong respect and credibility among firearm owners, such as the NSSF and the United States Concealed Carry Association who have developed firearm suicide prevention websites and videos.57,58

The emphasis is that it’s a personal strength—not a failing—to talk to friends, loved ones, or counselors about storing guns until a crisis passes. Some of the current phrasing includes: “Hey, let me hold your guns for a while,” “People who love guns, love you,” and “Have a brave conversation.” 59-61

The national campaign should attempt to correct the inaccurate beliefs that suicide death always is the result of mental illness and is inevitable once seriously contemplated. In fact, more than half of the individuals who die by suicide have no diagnosed mental health condition.62 Other crises, such as with finances, relationships, or physical health, might be more contributory. These myths about suicide and mental illness weaken public and policy maker interest in solutions aimed toward accessing lethal means.

Facilitate Temporary Storage Out of the Home

The PREVENTS Roadmap Supplemental Materials concluded, “Moving firearms out of the home is generally cited as the safest, most desirable option; this can include storage with another person or at a location like a firearm range, armory, pawn shop, self-storage unit, or law enforcement agency, although state laws for firearm transfers may affect what options are legal.”63 This goal could be achieved by establishing grants to gun shops and ranges to offer free lockers for voluntary safe harbor.

The creation of free community lockers was a top PREVENTS recommendation. Likewise, the congressionally chartered COVER (Creating Options for Veterans’ Expedited Recovery) Commission recommended grants “to further support the development of voluntary firearm safe storage options across the country.”64 Federal and state grants might resolve hesitations cited by retailers by covering all expenses for lockers, labor, and insurance for theft/damage/liability.65,66 Locker use would be free to the user, eliminating all financial barriers, although it is unknown whether monetary incentives change storage behaviors. Many firearm owners report that private gun shops or ranges are more acceptable than police stations for storage. If retailers come on board, changes in cultural storage norms might be expedited. An additional benefit could be reduction of accidental firearm fatalities in the home. States that have legal impediments to returning firearms to their owners could modify laws to achieve popular acceptance.

Congress could consider funding a national, easily accessible, public online directory of locations for out-of-home firearm storage, with staff to update the site. Colorado, Maryland, and Washington have developed online maps showing locations of firearm outlets and law enforcement agencies willing to consider temporary storage.67 A site directory for every state would simplify the process for individuals and family members seeking to temporarily and voluntarily store guns offsite during a crisis. Online directories have been backed by firearm groups, although their effect on storage behavior is not known.68

State governments should strive to make it easier to quickly transfer firearms temporarily to trusted individuals in situations of imminent suicide risk. Rapid transfer of firearms to friends or family could effectively separate lethal means from individuals during a crisis. However, some state laws that require background checks whenever a gun is transferred might delay such transfers.69 Only a few states have legal exemptions that could expedite temporary transfers when it’s potentially lifesaving.

Improve In-Home Safe Storage Options

Out-of-home transfer of firearms might not be acceptable or feasible for some veterans. Accordingly, there is need for improved
options for safer in-home storage, especially because of frequent unsafe storage practices among veterans. The VA could consider sponsoring another open-innovation Gun Safety Matters Challenge like the one it held in 2018 for in-home firearm storage technology that could prevent suicide. Further innovation and bringing winning entries to market has great potential.

Require Enhanced Lethal Means Safety Standards and Training

Broader lethal means safety competence is needed, both in the VA where modest levels of training has been implemented and in the community among Veterans Community Care Program (VCCP) HCPs where it hasn’t. Oversight for enhanced standards and training—as well as of all lethal means initiatives and their program evaluations—might best be accomplished by establishing a separate VA Suicide Prevention Program lethal means safety team. Veteran firearm suicide is a significant problem that warrants its own discrete, permanent VA team (although joining with the US Department of Defense might be advantageous). The VA Suicide Prevention Program has been the industry leader and innovator in this field and should be conferred continued stewardship going forward.

The VA is moving toward requiring lethal means safety counseling training for mental health, pain, primary care, women’s health, ED providers, and Veterans Crisis Line responders.

VCCP HCPs, however, have no required training in lethal means safety counseling or even in basic suicide risk identification and intervention, and the Roadmap did not stipulate that this deficiency should be remedied. Surveys have revealed that community HCPs rarely screen or counsel their patients—even those at high risk—about firearm safety. A bill was introduced in Congress August 21, 2020, to expand VA suicide prevention training with firearms community input on cultural competency components and mandate that VA and VCCP providers, and some others with frequent contact with veterans, receive this training.

Training should be obligatory for VA and VCCP HCPs and trainees most likely to interface with at-risk veterans, including those working in mental health, primary care, pain, women’s health, and ED. Training also should include geriatrics, extended care, and oncology providers because most older adults who die by firearm suicide have physical health problems but no known mental illness. Lethal means safety counseling training has been shown to improve HCPs’ knowledge about the relationship between access to lethal means and suicide, and confidence in and frequency of having lethal means safety counseling conversations. Likewise, training should include peer counselors; veterans are receptive to fellow veterans raising the topic of safe storage. If feasible, the training should include time to rehearse skills shown to motivate behavior change among patients.

The VA should aim to improve semiyearly clinical pertinence reviews and safety plans for VA and VCCP mental health providers. VA could conduct clinical pertinence reviews that ascertain whether a suicide assessment is recorded in the health record, and when a patient is at elevated risk, whether a lethal means safety assessment and plan is documented. VA’s safety plan template, although best practice, covers only the initial steps to take when suicide potential is identified. A standard for follow-up is needed. If an at-risk patient agrees to take a safe storage action, subsequent contact HCPs need to ask and document what action was performed. This action will help ensure that at-risk patients with ready access do not fall through the cracks. This suggestion lends itself to studying changes in veterans’ storage habits after intervention.

I also recommend that health care accrediting bodies include lethal means safety assessment, counseling, and follow up as a suicide prevention standard. This recommendation applies to more than just the VA health care system and recognizes that modifying accrediting body standards is an expeditious way to drive change in health care. The accreditation standards of the Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities for behavioral health and opioid treatment programs, and of the Joint Commission for medical centers do not require lethal means safety assessment and intervention.
CONCLUSIONS

Suicide prevention requires a multimodal approach, and attention to firearms access must become a more salient component. The high rate of veteran suicides involving firearms requires far-reaching interventions at societal, institutional, community, family, and individual levels. With the link between ready access to firearms and suicide supported by research and now firmly recognized by the PREVENTS Roadmap, we have a fresh opportunity to reduce suicide among veterans. Efforts must move vigorously forward until it is commonplace for veterans—and anyone—at risk of suicide to voluntarily reduce immediate access to firearms.
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