

**FRANCISCO C. RAMIREZ, MD**Chief, Department of Gastroenterology,
Carl T. Hayden Veterans Affairs Medical Center,
Phoenix, Ariz

Diagnosis and treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease in the elderly

ABSTRACT

Gastroesophageal reflux disease poses special diagnostic and therapeutic challenges in the elderly. These patients may not report the classic symptoms of dysphagia, chest pain, and heartburn, and they are more likely to develop severe disease and complications such as esophageal ulceration and bleeding. Therapeutic options include lifestyle changes, medication, and surgery. Polypharmacy and changes in renal, hepatic, and gastrointestinal function can complicate treatment. Proton pump inhibitors can help optimize disease management.

KEY POINTS

The most common primary presenting symptoms of GERD in the elderly are regurgitation, dysphagia, dyspepsia, vomiting, and noncardiac chest pain, rather than heartburn.

Because the elderly commonly take multiple drugs for various comorbidities, drug interactions and treatment responses must be carefully assessed in this patient population.

Nonpharmacologic measures may be helpful but often do not relieve nighttime GERD symptoms

GASTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX DISEASE (GERD) is not only more common in the elderly, but its diagnosis and treatment are more challenging. The presenting symptoms are likely to be different than those reported by younger patients, and the disease is more likely to lead to complications. In addition, treatment is more likely to be complicated by drug interactions.

This review focuses on the management of GERD in the elderly, with an emphasis on proton pump inhibitors.

WHY IS GERD SO COMMON IN THE ELDERLY?

GERD is a common chronic disorder in Western society and is the most common esophageal disease in the elderly.^{1,2} Approximately one third of all adults experience the classic symptoms of heartburn or regurgitation at least once a month, with the prevalence rising to 59% in persons older than 65.²⁻⁴

Although the same pathophysiologic factors are responsible for GERD in all age groups, age-related changes in the normal antireflux mechanisms may abet both the development and the progression of this disease (FIGURE 1). Delayed esophageal clearance and gastric emptying, decreased salivary secretion, and loss of lower esophageal sphincter tone can increase the total amount of time that the esophagus is in contact with refluxed gastric acid.^{2,5,6} Gastric acid hypersecretion and diminished esophageal mucosal resistance can promote GERD development without increasing reflux frequency.^{2,5}




PATIENT INFORMATION

Gastroesophageal reflux disease, page 766

■ Age-related changes that may lead to GERD

Gastric acid secretion may increase with age



CCF
©2000

FIGURE 1

Patient age has been correlated with the severity of GERD, but it has been difficult to obtain unequivocal evidence showing that the antireflux mechanism in healthy elderly people is impaired.⁷⁻⁹ One recent investigation

reported a possible age-related decline in esophageal motility and clearance.¹⁰ In this study, volunteers ranging in age from 70 to 80 exhibited abnormal peristalsis and reflux episodes of significantly longer duration than



did 20-to-30-year-olds and 50-to-60-year-olds. Together, these changes may contribute to the higher incidence of severe esophagitis seen in the elderly.

As reported by several investigators, gastric acid secretion does not decline with age; in fact, it may increase.⁹ On the other hand, salivary secretion has been shown to decline slightly with advancing age, and mucosal resistance or clearance mechanisms may be compromised due to long-term use of medications such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. As a result, the defense mechanisms of the esophageal mucosa may be altered. Moreover, medications such as theophylline, nitrates, calcium channel blockers, benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, lidocaine, and prostaglandins, which the elderly are more likely to be taking, have all been shown to decrease lower esophageal sphincter tone and increase gastroesophageal reflux.^{6,11,12} This could, in part, explain the age-related increase in esophageal acid exposure.^{2,6,9}

Whether advanced age causes changes in lower esophageal sphincter pressure has also been difficult to answer.⁶ A recent study¹³ identified a possible age-related shortening of the intra-abdominal segment of the lower esophageal sphincter in the elderly. The lower esophageal sphincter may gradually migrate toward the thoracic cavity with age. This shortening may well precede hiatal hernia development and contribute to the higher frequency and increased severity of gastroesophageal reflux and of esophagitis that occurs with age.^{7,13}

Chronic pain is especially prevalent in the elderly and is often treated with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Circumstantial evidence has linked the use of aspirin with GERD. An esophageal stricture may impede passage of a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory tablet into the stomach, facilitating local mucosal injury. However, in the absence of an esophageal stricture, the mechanism whereby nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs may exacerbate GERD remains unclear.^{14,15}

An increasingly sedentary lifestyle and the presence of presbyesophagus secondary to stroke or diabetes or both may also increase the risk of GERD in the elderly.^{2,16}

■ COMPLICATIONS ARE MORE FREQUENT AND SEVERE IN THE ELDERLY

Although complications of GERD—including ulceration, stricture, gastrointestinal bleeding, Barrett's esophagus, and adenocarcinoma—can occur in patients of all ages, epidemiologic data indicate that complications are more frequent and severe in older persons. This is consistent with cumulative injury from years of acid reflux. Patients with Barrett's esophagus, esophageal ulcers, or stricture are thus more likely to be older than those with less severe esophagitis.^{2,17,18}

In addition, delayed esophageal clearance, impaired swallowing, use of anticholinergic medications, and use of hypnotics, all of which are common in the elderly, can lead to increased risk of aspiration of gastric contents and thereby cause recurrent respiratory symptoms.¹⁹

Several studies investigating the prevalence and severity of GERD in relation to age showed no age-related increase in the frequency of symptoms indicative of severe GERD. However, elderly patients actually experience significantly more esophageal mucosal disease and more advanced disease than do younger patients.⁷⁻⁹ Because minimally symptomatic patients are less likely to seek medical attention, this lack of correlation between disease severity and symptom perception may make elderly patients more prone to develop severe GERD and its complications before coming to the attention of a physician.¹⁹ The reasons for diminished symptom recognition in the elderly are unknown but may include impaired sensory perception, atypical pain localization, central nervous system disease, or depression.⁸

■ HOW TO DIAGNOSE GERD

Symptoms

GERD symptoms in the elderly can mimic those of a variety of cardiac, infectious, viral, peptic, and inflammatory disorders.⁵ The elderly are more likely to have comorbidities, which may prevent them from identifying GERD-related symptoms.² Also, elderly patients may have impaired sensory perception, perhaps stemming from changes in the

Diagnosis can be challenging because GERD mimics many disorders

TABLE 1

Symptoms and complications of GERD

Esophageal symptoms

Heartburn (often not primary symptom in elderly)
 Regurgitation
 Chest pain
 Epigastric pain, dyspepsia
 Difficulty swallowing
 Nausea and vomiting
 Belching

Other symptoms

Anorexia
 Anemia, fatigue (calls for prompt endoscopy)
 Weight loss (calls for prompt endoscopy)
 Chronic cough
 Chronic hiccups
 Dental erosions
 Hoarseness
 Recurrent pneumonia
 Recurrent bronchospasm
 Sore throat
 Wheezing

Complications

Esophageal ulceration
 Gastrointestinal bleeding
 Stricture
 Barrett's esophagus
 Adenocarcinoma
 Recurrent pneumonia

BASED ON REFERENCES 2, 5, 6, 8

A careful review of body systems may uncover nonspecific symptoms

central nervous system, which may result in atypical symptoms.^{2,20} Or they may simply fail to report their symptoms, regarding them as uncomfortable but unavoidable consequences of dietary indiscretion.²¹ However, taking a careful review of each body system may reveal nonspecific symptoms consistent with GERD, such as chronic cough.^{5,22}

Although patients of all ages can experience the same spectrum of GERD-related symptoms (TABLE 1), the primary presenting symptom in the elderly frequently is not the characteristic heartburn reported by younger patients.^{2,8,19} Rather, regurgitation, dysphagia, dyspepsia, vomiting, and noncardiac chest pain are more commonly reported (TABLE 2).^{2,5,8,19}

In older patients who report no classic GERD symptoms, pulmonary complaints (eg, coughing, hoarseness, and wheezing) may provide diagnostic clues.^{2,5} Hematemesis and anemia may indicate that the esophagus is ulcerated and bleeding and, therefore, that GERD has entered a more severe stage.⁵

Endoscopy

A correlation between symptoms and GERD severity for any patient age group has never been established. Thus, endoscopy remains the diagnostic tool of choice to assess the esophageal mucosa unequivocally.^{2,8} Endoscopy provides visual evidence of reflux esophagitis, erosive esophagitis, Barrett's esophagus, infectious esophagitis, and cancer. Furthermore, it enables the physician to grade GERD severity and disease prognosis objectively.²

Because the severity of symptoms described by the typical elderly patient tends to be significantly less than that of younger patients, endoscopy may be more important for disease assessment in the older patient.⁸

Endoscopically observed peptic ulcer disease warrants testing for *Helicobacter pylori* infection.

24-hour pH monitoring

A reliable and objective measurement of disease pathophysiology may be obtained with 24-hour pH studies. Ambulatory 24-hour pH monitoring quantifies the extent of gastroesophageal reflux. It can sometimes confirm the diagnosis in patients whose endoscopic findings are apparently normal and in patients with atypical symptoms. The test can help clinicians correlate the episodes of reflux with patients' awareness of their symptoms. In elderly patients who present with atypical symptoms, pH monitoring has been used to diagnose pathological reflux, which can severely damage the esophagus.^{2,22}

Although traditionally the 24-hour pH study has been considered an accurate test (sensitivity and specificity have been rated as high as 96%), more current studies have questioned its accuracy, suggesting that pH monitoring should be used in conjunction with endoscopy, esophageal manometry, or other measures.²²⁻²⁴

**TABLE 2****Occurrence of GERD* symptoms in the elderly by age group**

GERD SYMPTOM	PERCENT OF PATIENTS, GROUPED BY AGE				P VALUE
	60–70 YEARS (N = 61)	71–80 YEARS (N = 96)	≥ 81 YEARS (N = 38)	TOTAL (N = 195)	
Heartburn	46	32	32	36	NS*
Regurgitation	44	51	32	45	NS
Dysphagia	13	22	24	20	NS
Respiratory symptoms	38	42	26	37	NS
Dyspepsia	62	59	55	60	NS
Vomiting	10	13	40	17	< .001
Chest pain	20	18	14	18	NS
Anorexia	16	16	38	20	< .01

*GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; NS, not significant

FROM RÄIHÄ I, HIETANEN E, SOURANDER L.
SYMPTOMS OF GASTRO-OESOPHAGEAL REFLUX DISEASE IN ELDERLY PEOPLE. AGE AGEING 1991;20:365–370.

Manometry is used chiefly as a preoperative measure and for elderly patients who report atypical symptoms such as chest pain.²

■ TREATMENT STRATEGY

Whether the patient is young or old, GERD does not resolve spontaneously and often requires long-term therapy. Therapeutic options include simple lifestyle changes, medication, and antireflux surgery (TABLE 3).^{2,25} The goal is to control symptoms, and this is most often achieved by reducing the amount of time the esophagus is exposed to refluxed gastric acid.²

■ IMPORTANCE OF PATIENT EDUCATION

Educating the patient about the mechanism and cause of reflux is an essential first step in any therapeutic strategy.^{5,22} Patients should be informed about specific lifestyle factors that affect reflux activity and consequences of exposure of the esophagus to gastric acid.⁵ Potential drug interactions and, specifically, contraindicated drugs, must be reviewed with the patient if he or she is taking other medications.⁵

Although lifestyle habits may be ingrained and difficult to change in elderly

patients, an educated patient is more likely to make the necessary changes.⁵

■ LIFESTYLE CHANGES

Simple interventions such as sleeping on an incline with the head elevated can significantly reduce esophageal exposure to acid during the night.^{6,22} Reducing intake of specific foods and beverages, losing weight, avoiding tight-fitting clothes, and other simple interventions (TABLE 3) can lessen the reflux of gastric juices and consequently help control GERD.^{22,25} Although nonpharmacologic measures may be helpful, they often do not relieve nighttime GERD symptoms.

■ MEDICATIONS

When treating the elderly, one must carefully assess drug interactions and treatment responses. Older patients take more prescription and nonprescription medications than any other age group. They are also at greater risk of developing medication-induced cognitive dysfunction.^{26,27} In addition, age-related changes in drug metabolism and gastrointestinal, hepatic, and renal function can complicate treatment.

See the patient information handout on page 766

TABLE 3

Treatment options for GERD**Pretreatment**

Educate the patient about the mechanism and causes of reflux

Lifestyle changes

Lose weight

Sleep with head slightly elevated

Avoid tight clothing

Stop smoking

Avoid foods that precipitate reflux, such as citrus fruits, fatty foods, chocolate, peppermint, onions, and garlic

Eat small meals

Avoid eating late in the day

Avoid medications that reduce lower esophageal sphincter pressure or tone, such as hormones, (estrogens, progesterone, glucagons), anticholinergics, theophylline, calcium channel blockers, and meperidine

Use antacids

Medical therapies

Mucosa-coating agents

Sucralfate

Prokinetic drugs

Cisapride (limited to investigational use)

Metoclopramide

Histamine H₂ receptor antagonists

Cimetidine

Famotidine

Nizatidine

Ranitidine

Proton pump inhibitors

Omeprazole

Lansoprazole

Rabeprazole

Pantoprazole

Surgical therapies

Fundoplication

Crural tightening

Hiatal hernia repair

BASED ON REFERENCES 5 AND 25

The ideal medication for GERD in the elderly would be one that is well tolerated, can be taken once daily, and does not interact with other medications. In addition, a drug with pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties that are not significantly altered in patients with renal or hepatic impairment would be preferred. Cost may also play a role

in the selection of medication, given the lack of a drug program for Medicare.

Currently, four types of drugs are used to treat GERD in the elderly: cytoprotective agents, prokinetic agents, histamine H₂ receptor antagonists, and proton pump inhibitors.

Cytoprotective agents

Sucralfate (Carafate), a mucosa-coating agent, is useful in geriatric patients, but it may reduce absorption of certain drugs. Concomitant medications must be given 2 hours after sucralfate.²⁸

Prokinetic agents

Cisapride and metoclopramide can reduce the detrimental effects of acid reflux by increasing esophageal peristalsis and by reducing gastric emptying time. These agents may also help reduce symptoms by increasing the resting pressure of the lower esophageal sphincter.^{2,25}

Cisapride (Propulsid), a prokinetic drug indicated for symptoms of nighttime reflux, is no longer freely available. Marketing for this drug was stopped on July 14, 2000, owing to reports of cardiac arrhythmias and deaths in patients taking cisapride.²⁹ The concomitant use of drugs such as macrolide antibiotics and azole antifungals, which inhibit the metabolism of cisapride by cytochrome P-450, may elevate serum levels of cisapride, which poses a significant risk to cardiac stability.³⁰⁻³² An investigational limited-access program has been established for patients whose disease has failed to respond to other standard treatment options and who meet defined eligibility criteria.²⁹

Metoclopramide (Reglan), which increases lower esophageal sphincter pressure, is indicated for symptomatic GERD and esophageal lesions refractory to conventional therapy. Its use is limited by any history of renal impairment as well as by its sedating and extrapyramidal side effects.³³

Histamine H₂ receptor antagonists

These drugs, **cimetidine (Tagamet)**, **famotidine (Pepcid)**, **nizatidine (Axid)**, and **ranitidine (Zantac)**, are commonly used to treat GERD.²² They reduce acid secretion by 60% to 70% by binding to H₂ receptors on parietal cells and blocking the stimulation of acid production.^{2,25} Short-term use (up to 12 weeks)



Proton pump inhibitors are the most effective treatment for GERD




FIGURE 2. Summary of reports on the efficacy of medications for GERD.

REPRINTED WITH PERMISSION FROM INAUEN W. TREATMENT OF MOTILITY DISORDERS IN GASTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX DISEASE. IN: BÜCHLER MW, FREI E, KLAIBER CH, KRÄHENBÜHL L, EDITORS. GASTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX DISEASE (GERD): BACK TO SURGERY? BASEL, SWITZERLAND: KARGER; 1997; 83-87.

Proton pump inhibitors are safe, but do have some interactions

at a dosage twice that used in treating duodenal ulcers can heal mild to moderate esophagitis in 50% of patients and can relieve GERD symptoms in 60%.^{22,25}

Histamine H₂ receptor antagonists have a relatively short duration of activity (4 to 8 hours), and patients may develop tolerance to them regardless of the route of administration. Also, acid suppression is incomplete in response to meals. As a result, these drugs are less effective in patients with advanced GERD, even when higher doses and longer treatment periods are used.³⁴

These drugs have other disadvantages. Cimetidine can occasionally cause confusion

in the elderly. It alters the steady-state kinetics of oral theophylline, slowing its clearance by 25%. Also, the histamine H₂ receptor antagonists interact with other drugs, notably antacids. In one study, the ingestion of magnesium and aluminum hydroxide was shown to reduce the bioavailability of famotidine, ranitidine, and cimetidine by 20% to 25%. Magnesium and aluminum hydroxide also caused a 12% decrease in the bioavailability of nizatidine.^{2,35}

Proton pump inhibitors

Proton pump inhibitors can contribute to the optimal defense strategy in the elderly.³⁶ They

TABLE 4

Potential drug interactions of proton pump inhibitors

CONCOMITANT DRUG AFFECTED	MECHANISM*	EFFECT ON CONCOMITANT DRUG			
		OMEPRAZOLE	LANSOPRAZOLE	RABEPRAZOLE	PANTOPRAZOLE
Bismuth	Absorption	↑ Absorption	No data	No data	No data
Caffeine	CYP1A2	↓ Metabolism	No data	No data	None
Carbamazepine	CYP2C8/CYP3A4	↓ Clearance	No data	No data	None
Cisapride	CYP3A4	No data	No data	No data	None
Cyclosporine	CYP3A4	None	↓ Metabolism	↓ Metabolism	No data
Diazepam	CYP2C19	↓ Clearance	None	None	None
Diclofenac	CYP2C9	No data	No data	No data	None
Digoxin	Absorption	↑ Absorption [†]	None	No data	None
Ethanol	CYP2E1	None	No data	No data	None
Ketoconazole	CYP3A4	No data	↓ Absorption	↓ Absorption	No data
Lidocaine	CYP3A4	None	No data	No data	No data
Mephenytoin	CYP2C19	↓ Metabolism	No data	No data	No data
Methotrexate	Excretion	↓ Excretion	No data	No data	No data
Metoprolol	CYP2D6	None	No data	No data	None
Nifedipine	Absorption, CYP3A4	↓ Clearance [†]	No data	No data	None
Phenprocoumon	Unknown	No data	No data	No data	None
Phenytoin	CYP2C9	↓ Clearance	None	None	None
Propranolol	CYP2D6, others	None	None	No data	No data
Quinidine	CYP3A4	None	No data	No data	No data
Theophylline	CYP1A2	None	↑ Clearance	None	None
Warfarin	CYP2C9	↓ Clearance	None	None	None

*Proton pump inhibitors can interact with other drugs by increasing gastric pH, thereby affecting the absorption of drugs for which gastric pH is a determinant of bioavailability, and also by affecting their metabolism by various cytochrome P-450 isozymes.

[†]Possibly

BASED ON REFERENCES 42, 44, 45, 48-51

are the most effective medications available for suppressing gastric acid, healing erosive esophagitis, and resolving GERD symptoms.

The proton pump inhibitors are the most effective acid suppressants currently available (FIGURE 2).^{22,37} These agents irreversibly bind to the proton pump hydrogen-potassium adenosine triphosphatase (H⁺,K⁺ ATPase) in parietal cells and block enzyme function. By blocking this final step in the pathway of acid secretion, all proton pump inhibitors function independently of the mechanism of parietal cell stimulation. They can provide fast control of acid output, leading to relatively complete

esophagitis healing and GERD symptom relief. Proton pump inhibitors can also improve dysphagia and reduce the occurrence of esophageal strictures and the need for esophageal dilatation.

At one time, there was concern that long-term use of proton pump inhibitors might increase the risk of gastric mucosal tumors by elevating serum gastrin levels. However, widespread clinical use for more than a decade has not substantiated this supposed risk.^{2,22}

One meta-analysis of various therapies for erosive or ulcerative esophagitis that were given for up to 12 weeks showed that proton



pump inhibitors not only had higher healing rates than histamine H₂ receptor antagonists (83.6% vs 51.9%), but they worked twice as fast (a healing rate of 11.5%/week vs 5.9%/week).³⁸ Another study showed that lansoprazole was superior to ranitidine in the healing of gastric ulcers associated with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use.³⁹ Yet another advantage of proton pump inhibitors is that they can be taken once a day, which can help increase medication compliance.

An important consideration for any patient taking multiple medications is drug interactions. The currently available proton pump inhibitors are safe, although drug interactions through the cytochrome P-450 enzyme system of the liver may occur. Preliminary evidence suggests that rabeprazole and pantoprazole may induce the lowest incidence of these interactions (TABLE 3).⁴⁰⁻⁴⁵

Long-term omeprazole therapy for GERD has been associated with increased incidence of atrophic gastritis in *H pylori* positive patients and decreased serum vitamin B₁₂ levels. Yearly monitoring of vitamin B₁₂ in such patients is recommended. However, continuing treatment with gastric antisecretory drugs (including proton pump inhibitors) does not decrease iron stores or cause iron deficiency, and monitoring of iron and ferritin levels is not necessary.^{46,47}

The various proton pump inhibitors have different pharmacokinetic properties and different potential drug interactions (TABLE 4). The pharmacodynamics of gastric acid suppression is also of importance in any medication that is taken long-term.

Omeprazole (Prilosec), the first proton pump inhibitor, is supplied as 10-, 20-, or 40-mg delayed-release capsules containing the active drug in enteric-coated granules.

Omeprazole is rapidly absorbed, with peak plasma levels occurring within 0.5 to 3.5 hours. The plasma half-life in healthy subjects is between 30 minutes and 1 hour, and the total body clearance is 500 to 600 mL/minute.

Omeprazole can prolong the elimination of diazepam, warfarin, and phenytoin. Reports have also suggested that it interacts with other drugs metabolized by the cytochrome P-450 system, including cyclosporine, disulfiram,

and the benzodiazepines. Dosages may require adjustment when these drugs are taken concomitantly with omeprazole. The absorption of drugs that are dependent on gastric pH for their bioavailability may also be affected by the concomitant administration of omeprazole.⁴⁸

Lansoprazole (Prevacid) is supplied as 15- or 30-mg delayed-release capsules that contain the active drug in enteric-coated granules.

This agent's rapid absorption leads to mean peak plasma levels in only 1.7 hours. The drug does not accumulate, and its pharmacokinetic properties are unaltered by repeated dosing.

A 10% increase in the clearance of theophylline has been reported in patients receiving lansoprazole. The absorption of drugs dependent on gastric pH for their bioavailability may be affected by the concomitant administration of lansoprazole.⁴⁹

Rabeprazole (Aciphex) is supplied as 20-mg, delayed-release, enteric-coated tablets.

Peak plasma concentrations of rabeprazole occur during a range of 2 to 5 hours, and no appreciable accumulation is evident at dosages of up to 40 mg daily. The pharmacokinetic properties of rabeprazole are not altered by repeated dosing. The plasma half-life ranges from 1 to 2 hours. A more rapid onset of action is one of the main characteristics of rabeprazole.⁵⁰

The metabolism of cyclosporine is inhibited by rabeprazole, and the drug may influence the bioavailability of pH-dependent compounds.

Pantoprazole (Protonix), the newest proton pump inhibitor, has been available in oral form in Europe for about 5 years, where it has been shown to be well tolerated in the elderly. It is supplied as 40-mg enteric-coated tablets and as a freeze-dried powder for reconstitution for intravenous administration. Pantoprazole is expected to be the only proton pump inhibitor available for intravenous administration in the United States, which may make it useful in meeting special needs of elderly patients.

Pantoprazole does not accumulate, and its pharmacokinetic properties are not altered by repeated dosing. The increase in pantoprazole

Proton pump inhibitors may be more cost-effective than surgery for GERD

clearance time in the elderly may not be clinically significant or require dosage adjustment.^{51,52}

Because pantoprazole absorption is unaffected by food intake, it has a minimal effect on meal or medication schedules.⁵¹ It may be safe in patients with renal or hepatic impairment, with no dosage adjustment required.^{53,54} Intravenous and oral pantoprazole show dose equivalence in inhibiting gastric acid secretion and healing erosive esophagitis.^{55,56}

The bioavailability of pH-dependent compounds may be influenced by pantoprazole. Extensive clinical evaluation to date has revealed no clinically significant drug interactions between pantoprazole and commonly used drugs.⁵⁷

■ SURGERY

Surgery should be considered in elderly patients who have an extremely low lower esophageal sphincter resting pressure, who are

unresponsive to the available medications, or who have developed complications from severe GERD.^{6,22,25,58}

Laparoscopic Nissen-Rossetti fundoplication, currently the procedure of choice, provides excellent long-term relief of GERD symptoms with few complications in 85% to 90% of patients.^{2,58} Although patient age and the risk of complications must be considered when evaluating any patient for surgery, a recent study showed that current laparoscopic methods are safe and effective for treating GERD in the elderly.^{22,59} However, because the medical decision analysis by Sonnenberg and colleagues showed the cost of Nissen fundoplasty to be approximately equal to 14.5 years of therapy with a proton pump inhibitor, it may be more cost-effective to treat the elderly GERD patient with a proton pump inhibitor.⁶⁰ Many authorities consider proton pump inhibitor use to be more appropriate in the elderly than invasive therapy.^{2,5,59,61}

■ REFERENCES

1. Revicki DA, Wood M, Maton PN, Sorensen S. The impact of gastroesophageal reflux disease on health-related quality of life. *Am J Med* 1998; 104:252-258.
2. Ouatu-Lascar R, Triadafilopoulos G. Oesophageal mucosal diseases in the elderly. *Drugs Aging* 1998; 12:261-276.
3. Nebel OT, Fornes MF, Castell DO. Symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux: incidence and precipitating factors. *Am J Dig Dis* 1976; 21:953-956.
4. Sonnenberg A, El-Serag HB. Epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease. In: Büchler MW, Frei E, Klaiber Ch, Krähenbühl L, editors. *Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD): Back to surgery?* Basel, Switzerland: Karger; 1997; 20-36.
5. Middlemiss C. Gastroesophageal reflux disease: a common condition in the elderly. *Nurse Pract* 1997; 22:51-59.
6. Castell DO. Esophageal disorders in the elderly. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 1990; 19:235-254.
7. Zhu H, Pace F, Sangaletti O, Bianchi Porro G. Features of symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux in elderly patients. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1993; 28:235-238.
8. Triadafilopoulos G, Sharma R. Features of symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux disease in elderly patients. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1997; 92:2007-2011.
9. Collen MJ, Abdulian JD, Chen YK. Gastroesophageal reflux disease in the elderly: more severe disease that requires aggressive therapy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1995; 90:1053-1057.
10. Ferrioli E, Oliveira RB, Matsuda NM, Braga FJ, Dantas RO. Aging, esophageal motility, and gastroesophageal reflux. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1998; 46:1534-1537.
11. Richter JE, Castell DO. Gastroesophageal reflux: pathogenesis, diagnosis, and therapy. *Ann Intern Med* 1982; 97:93-103.
12. Castell DO. The lower esophageal sphincter. Physiologic and clinical aspects. *Ann Intern Med* 1975; 83:390-401.
13. Xie P, Ren J, Bardan E, Mittal RK, Sui Z, Shaker R. Frequency of gastroesophageal reflux events induced by pharyngeal water stimulation in young and elderly subjects. *Am J Physiol* 1997; 272:G233-G237.
14. Spechler SJ. Diseases of the esophageal mucosa. In: Shearman DJC, Finlayson N, Camilleri M, Carter D, editors. *Diseases of the Gastrointestinal Tract and Liver*. 3rd ed. New York: Churchill Livingstone; 1997; 191-216.
15. Lanas A, Hirschowitz BI. Significant role of aspirin use in patients with esophagitis. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1991; 13:622-627.
16. Altman DF. The effect of age on gastrointestinal function. In: Sleisenger MH, Fordtran JS, editors. *Gastrointestinal Disease: Pathophysiology Diagnosis Management*. 4th ed. Philadelphia, Pa: WB. Saunders; 1989; 162-169.
17. El-Serag HB, Sonnenberg A. Associations between different forms of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Gut* 1997; 41:594-599.
18. Cameron AJ, Lomboy CT. Barrett's esophagus: age, prevalence, and extent of columnar epithelium. *Gastroenterology* 1992; 103:1241-1245.
19. Rähä I, Hietanen E, Sourander L. Symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease in elderly people. *Age Ageing* 1991; 20:365-370.
20. Castell DO. How is esophageal sensitivity impaired with age? In: Giulii R, Tytgat GNJ, DeMeester TR, Galmiche JP, editors. *The Esophageal Mucosa*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier; 1994; 267-269.
21. Weinberg DS, Kadish SL. The diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Med Clin North Am* 1996; 80:411-429.
22. DeVault KR, Castell DO. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Arch Intern Med* 1995; 155:2165-2173.

Simple lifestyle changes can reduce exposure to refluxed acid



23. Schlesinger PK, Donahue PE, Schmid B, Layden TJ. Limitations of 24-hour intraesophageal pH monitoring in the hospital setting. *Gastroenterology* 1985; 89:797-804.
24. Vitale GC, Cheadle WG, Sadek S, Michel ME, Cuschieri A. Computerized 24-hour ambulatory esophageal pH monitoring and esophagogastroduodenoscopy in the reflux patient. *Ann Surg* 1984; 200:724-728.
25. Long RG. Reflux oesophagitis and its treatment. *Br J Clin Pract Symp Suppl* 1994; 75:36-40.
26. Monane M, Monane S, Semla T. Optimal medication use in elders. Key to successful aging. *West J Med* 1997; 167:233-237.
27. Meador KJ. Cognitive side effects of medications. *Neurol Clin North Am* 1998; 16:141-155.
28. CARAFATE Tablets [manufacturer's prescribing information]. Kansas City, Mo: Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc; 1996.
29. Propulsid access program. *Monthly Prescribing Reference* 2000; A-12.
30. Vitola J, Vukanovic J, Roden DM. Cisapride-induced torsades de pointes. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 1998; 9:1109-1113.
31. Gray VS. Syncopal episodes associated with cisapride and concurrent drugs. *Ann Pharmacother* 1998; 32:648-651.
32. Piquette RK. Torsade de pointes induced by cisapride/clarithromycin interaction. *Ann Pharmacother* 1999; 33:22-26.
33. REGLAN [manufacturer's prescribing information]. Richmond, Va: A. H. Robins Company; 1998.
34. Colin-Jones DG. The role and limitations of H₂-receptor antagonists in the treatment of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1995; 9(Suppl. 1):9-14.
35. Bachmann KA, Sullivan TJ, Jauregui L, Reese J, Miller K, Levine L. Drug interactions of H₂-receptor antagonists. *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl* 1994; 206:14-19.
36. Mönnikes H, Weber S, Tebbe J, et al. Comparison of pantoprazole 20 mg twice daily with 40 mg once daily on intragastric pH in healthy volunteers [abstract]. *Gastroenterology* 1998; 114:G0950.
37. Inauen W. Treatment of motility disorders in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. In: Büchler MW, Frei E, Klaiber Ch, Krähenbühl L, editors. *Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD): Back to surgery?* Basel, Switzerland: Karger; 1997; 83-87.
38. Chiba N, De Gara CJ, Wilkinson JM, Hunt RH. Speed of healing and symptom relief in grade II to IV gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology* 1997; 112:1798-1810.
39. Agrawal NM, Campbell DR, Safdi MA, et al. Superiority of lansoprazole vs ranitidine in healing nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug associated gastric ulcers: results of a double-blind, randomized, multicenter study. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; 160:1455-1461.
40. Schepp W. Proton pump inhibitory therapy: then and now. *Yale J Biol Med* 1996; 69:175-186.
41. Hanauer G, Graf U, Meissner T. In vivo cytochrome P 450 interactions of the newly developed H⁺/K⁺-ATPase inhibitor pantoprazole (BY 1023/SK&F 96022) compared to other antiulcer drugs. *Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmacol* 1991; 13:63-67.
42. Meyer UA. Interaction of proton pump inhibitors with cytochromes P450: consequences for drug interactions. *Yale J Biol Med* 1996; 69:203-209.
43. Krusekopf S, Kleeberg U, Hildebrandt AG, Ruckpaul K. Effects of benzimidazole derivatives on cytochrome P450 1A1 expression in a human hepatoma cell line. *Xenobiotica* 1997; 27:1-9.
44. Ferron GM, Paul J, Fruncillo RJ, Martin PT, Yacoub L, Mayer PR. A pharmacokinetic study of the potential drug interaction between oral pantoprazole and cisapride in healthy adults [abstract]. *Gastroenterology* 1998; 114:A12.
45. Pichard L, Curi-Pedrosa R, Bonfils C, et al. Oxidative metabolism of lansoprazole by human liver cytochromes P450. *Mol Pharmacol* 1995; 47:410-418.
46. Schenk BE, Kuipers EJ, Klinkenberg-Knol EC, et al. Atrophic gastritis during long-term omeprazole therapy affects serum vitamin B12 levels. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1999; 13:1343-1346.
47. Stewart CA, Termanini B, Sutliff VE, et al. Iron absorption in patients with Zollinger-Ellison syndrome treated with long-term gastric acid antiseecretory therapy. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1998; 12:83-98.
48. PRILOSEC [manufacturer's prescribing information]. West Point, Pa: Merck & Co., Inc.; 1998.
49. PREVACID [manufacturer's prescribing information]. Deerfield, Ill: TAP Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 1999.
50. AcipHex [manufacturer's prescribing information]. Titusville, NJ: Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc.; 1999.
51. Zech K, Steinijans VW, Huber R, Kolassa N, Radtke HW. Pharmacokinetics and drug interactions relevant factors for the choice of a drug. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1996; 34(suppl 1):S3-S6.
52. Breuel HP, Hartmann M, Bondy S, et al. Pantoprazole in the elderly: no dose-adjustment [abstract]. *Gut* 1994; 35(suppl 4):1161.
53. Lins RL, De Clercq I, Hartmann M, et al. Pharmacokinetics of the proton pump inhibitor pantoprazole in patients with severe renal impairment [abstract]. *Gastroenterology* 1994; 106:A126.
54. Breuel HP, Horak J, Horejsova M, et al. Pantoprazole in patients with varying degree of liver impairment in comparison to healthy controls [abstract]. *Gastroenterology* 1998; 114:A6.
55. Hartmann M, Ehrlich A, Fuder H, et al. Equipotent inhibition of gastric acid secretion by equal doses of oral or intravenous pantoprazole. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1998; 12:1027-1032.
56. Fumagalli I, Klein M, Fischer R, et al. Comparison of intravenous with oral pantoprazole in symptom relief and healing of reflux esophagitis [abstract]. *Gastroenterology* 1998; 114:A126.
57. Steinijans VW, Huber R, Hartmann M, et al. Lack of pantoprazole drug interactions in man: an updated review. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1996; 34:243-262.
58. Cuttat JF. Laparoscopic treatment of gastroesophageal reflux. *Nissen-Rossetti fundoplication*. In: Büchler MW, Frei E, Klaiber Ch, Krähenbühl L, editors. *Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD): Back to surgery?* Basel, Switzerland: Karger; 1997:199-205.
59. Trus TL, Laycock WS, Wo JM, et al. Laparoscopic antireflux surgery in the elderly. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1998; 93:351-353.
60. Sonnenberg A, Delco F, El-Serag HB. Empirical therapy versus diagnostic tests in gastroesophageal reflux disease: a medical decision analysis. *Dig Dis Sci* 1998; 43:1001-1008.
61. Büchler MW, Frei E, Klaiber CH, Krähenbühl L. Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD): back to surgery? In: Büchler MW, Frei E, Klaiber CH, Krähenbühl L, editors. *Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD): Back to surgery?* Basel, Switzerland: Karger; 1997:242-243.

ADDRESS: Francisco C. Ramirez, MD, Chief, Department of Gastroenterology, Carl T. Hayden Veterans Affairs Medical Center, 650 East Indian School Road, Phoenix, AZ 85012; e-mail: frami36715@aol.com.