

research summaries

The Research Summary section affords a means of communication and coordination of research activities in Family Practice in North America. These summaries have been developed through the efforts of the North American Primary Care Research Group. The Journal of Family Practice encourages the reporting of active research projects to the two coordinators for this section — Robert Westbury, M.D., 4012 Comanche Road, Calgary, Alberta T2L0N8 (for Canada) and Maurice Wood, M.D., Department of Family Practice, Medical College of Virginia, MCV Station, Richmond, Virginia, 23298 (for the United States).

| TITLE OF PROJECT | INVESTIGATOR(S) AND LOCATION | STATUS & FUNDING | ABSTRACT OF PROJECT AND COMMENT |
|--|---|---|--|
| <p>United States Test of the International Classification of Health Problems in Primary Care</p> | <p>15 Family Medicine sites throughout the United States</p> | <p>Operational beginning Sept. 1, 1973. Funding — local. Rochester Family Medicine Program and MCV — VCU Dept. of Family Practice</p> | <p>An International Classification of Health Problems in Primary Care has been produced by an International Working Party of the World Organization of National Colleges and Academies of General Practice. This working party consists of Dr. R. C. Westbury — Canada (Coordinator), Dr. C. Bridges-Webb — Australia, Dr. D. Crombie — England, Dr. J. Froom — U.S.A., Dr. D. Gallagher — New Zealand.</p> <p>The tests of this classification began Sept. 1, 1973. The classification is currently being tested at multiple sites in Canada, Australia, England, United States, New Zealand, Israel and Norway. Results of the classification tests will be presented to the World Organization of National Colleges and Academies of General Practice in November, 1974. The purpose of the test is to validate the usefulness of the classification in the primary care setting, with a view to its acceptance as a basic classification in primary care by the World Health Organization.</p> <p>The classification can be used to generate morbidity data which would be useful for the practicing physician for self-audit, postgraduate education, outreach, and office management. If data is pooled from multiple practices, important morbidity data on a regional and national basis are possible. Finally, the classification allows for cooperative international morbidity studies.</p> |
| <p>Defined Practice Population</p> | <p>Dr. I. R. McWhinney, Dr. J. P. Newell, Teaching practices of Dept. of Family Medicine, University of Western Ontario, London, Ont.</p> | <p>Underway. Ontario Ministry Of Health</p> | <p>This project is intended to develop a computerized information system for family practice. It includes procedures for registering patient populations and for collecting and storing data concerning encounters between patients and health care professionals.</p> |
| <p>Research Evaluation of Community Health Centre</p> | <p>Dr. I. R. McWhinney, Dr. J. P. Newell, Southwest Middlesex Health Centre</p> | <p>Underway. Ontario Ministry Of Health</p> | <p>This project is devoted to the demonstration of the content and the process of health care delivery at a new community health center in a rural area, with a well-defined practice population.</p> |

| TITLE OF PROJECT | INVESTIGATOR(S) AND LOCATION | STATUS & FUNDING | ABSTRACT OF PROJECT AND COMMENT |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| The Incidence of Un-suspected Gonorrhoea in Females | John M. Heyer Family Practice Center Good Samaritan Hospital Phoenix, Arizona | Survey completed. Local funding. | <p>In light of the well-known clinical entity of asymptomatic gonorrhoea in females, we attempted to delineate the incidence of un-suspected female carriers in a private office population.</p> <p>Cultures of the cervix were taken from all patients having a Papanicolaou smear and/or pelvic exam performed at the Family Practice Center over a 16-month period. The age range of patients cultured was from 16 years to 68 years, with only 93 of the total sample being outside the age range of 17 to 30.</p> <p>Of a total of 500 cultures taken between January 1, 1973, and May 4, 1974, only five were reported as positive. Interestingly, all five of these women were strongly suspected either by reason of symptoms or by history of contact with known venereal disease.</p> <p>It would appear that in a private office patient population, it is not a worthwhile venture to culture and/or treat for gonorrhoea those women who have neither a history of sexual contact with a person known to be infected with gonorrhoea nor symptoms suggestive of gonorrhoea.</p> |

THE JOURNAL OF
family practice

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Rheba de Tornay, R.N., Ed.D.

Dean, School of Nursing
University of California at Los Angeles

Roger K. Freeman, M.D.

Associate Professor of Obstetrics and
Gynecology
University of Southern California

Walter B. Frommeyer, M.D.

Professor of Medicine
University of Alabama

Hilliard Jason, M.D.

Director, Division of Faculty Development
Association of American Medical Colleges

Don R. Lipsitt, M.D.

Department of Psychiatry
Mount Auburn Hospital
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Katherine Lobach, M.D.

Associate Professor of Pediatrics and
Community Health
Albert Einstein College of Medicine

William J. Mangold, Jr., M.D., J.D.

Lockney, Texas

David Mechanic, Ph.D.

Professor of Sociology
The University of Wisconsin

J. Blair Pace, M.D.

Chairman, Division of Family Practice
University of California at Irvine

Victor Richards, M.D.

San Francisco, California

Lewis C. Robbins, M.D.

The Methodist Hospital of Indiana
Indianapolis, Indiana

John E. Sarno, M.D.

Professor of Clinical Rehabilitation Medicine
New York University

William Stewart, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Family Practice
Southern Illinois University