Communications

Patient Characteristics and Endocervical Cell Recovery on Papanicolaou Smears

John D. Allingham, PhD, MD, CCFP, and Arlene King, MD, CCFP Calgary, Alberta

Screening programs for cervical carcinoma centered on the Papanicolaou smear have been very successful. However, initial substantial declines in mortality have, as expected, begun leveling off.1 Two sources of this residual problem are the atrisk population not being screened and falsenegative screening results. Considerable difficulty exists in producing any widely applicable estimates of false-negative rates for Papanicolaou smears.2 Estimates in various studies of falsenegative rates with in situ carcinoma documented have suggested figures as high as 69 percent for vaginal pool material, and up to 18 percent for external os aspiration and cervical scraping.2,3 Estimates of false-negative rates are even higher for documented dysplasia than for carcinoma.2

There are many possible reasons for the generation of a false-negative cytology report, the most important of which include inappropriate sampling and slide-preparation technique by the clinician and erroneous reading of the prepared slide by the cytologist. Rarely have clinicians been in a position to judge the skill of the cytologist reading their slides. Feedback to clinicians about their expertise in sample preparation has been limited in most instances to comments made by cytologists on the Papanicolaou smear report. These comments have typically been limited to two items: adequacy of the number of cells on the slide and the presence or absence of endocervical cells. The utility of

comment on the adequacy of the number of cells available for study on a slide is self-evident. Considerable controversy continues to exist, however, surrounding the utility of comment on the presence or absence of endocervical cells on the smear.

The logical argument in favor of documenting the presence or absence of endocervical cells on cervical Papanicolaou smears may be summarized as follows. The transformation zone between the external cervical squamous epithelium and the internal columnar or endocervical area is known to be the site of most initial pathology. The original Papanicolaou smear was prepared under the concept of exfoliative cytology. The sample was prepared from the vaginal pool alone, under the assumption that sought-after cells would be shed from pathology sites in sufficient numbers to be found.

Later studies demonstrated the greater efficacy of attempts to approach directly the presumed pathology site by way of surface biopsy.3 While controversy remains about the relative merits of various surface biopsy instruments (Ayre and other spatulas, endocervical swabs³), there is general acceptance that the lowest false-negative results will occur with attempts to sample the transformation zone as outlined above. As endocervical cells make up the internal portion of this target zone, it seems logical to look for their presence on a Papanicolaou smear slide as evidence of successful sampling. Unfortunately, endocervical cells are reported on only about 50 percent of slides submitted in previous studies, with an increase to 70 percent using a special spatula.4 While one study has

Continued on page 188

From the Departments of Family Medicine, and Community Health Sciences, The University of Calgary School of Medicine, Calgary, Alberta. Requests for reprints should be addressed to Dr. John D. Allingham, Department of Family Medicine, The University of Calgary School of Medicine, Calgary, Alberta T2N 4N1.

lablets, containing 125, 250, or 500 mg methyldopa. Oral Suspension, containing 250 mg methyldopa per 5 ml and alcohol 1% with benzoic acid 0.1% and sodium bisulfite 0.2% added as preservatives. Injection for intravenous use, containing per 5 ml: methyldopate hydrochloride 250.0 mg; inactive ingredients—citric acid anhydrous 25.0 mg, disodium edetate 2.5 mg, monothioglycerol 10.0 mg, sodium hydroxide to adjust pH, and methylparaben 7.5 mg, propylparaben 1.0 mg, and sodium bisulfite 16.0 mg added as preservatives.

Contraindications: Active hepatic disease, such as acute hepatitis and active cirrhosis; if previous methyldopa therapy has been associated with liver disorders (see Warnings); hypersensitivity.

Warnings: It is important to recognize that a positive Coombs test, hemolytic anemia, and liver disorders may occur with methyldopa therapy. The rare occurrences of hemolytic anemia or liver disorders could lead to potentially fatal complications unless properly recognized and managed. Read this section carefully to understand these reactions. With prolonged methyldopa therapy, 10% to 20% of patients develop a positive direct Coombs test, usually between 6 and 12 months of therapy. Lowest incidence is at daily dosage of 1 g or less. This on rare occasions may be associated with hemolytic anemia, which could lead to potentially fatal complications. One cannot predict which patients with a positive direct Coombs test may develop hemolytic anemia. Prior existence or development of a positive direct Coombs test is not in itself a contraindication to use of methyldopa. If a positive Coombs test develops during methyldopa therapy, determine whether hemolytic anemia exists and whether the positive Coombs test may be a problem. For example, in addition to a positive direct Coombs test there is less often a positive indirect Coombs test which may interfere with cross matching of blood. At the start of methyldopa therapy, it is desirable to do a blood count (hematocrit, hemoglobin, or red cell count) for a baseline or to establish whether there is anemia. Periodic blood counts should be done during therapy to detect hemolytic anemia. It may be useful to do a direct Coombs test before therapy and at 6 and 12 months after the start of therapy. If Coombs-positive hemolytic anemia occurs, the cause may be methyldopa and the drug should be discontinued. Usually the anemia remits promptly. If not, corticosteroids may be given and other causes of anemia should be considered. If the hemolytic anemia is related to methyldopa, the drug should not be reinstituted. When methyldopa causes Coombs positivity alone or with hemolytic anemia, the red cell is usually coated with gamma globulin of the IgG (gamma G) class only. The positive Coombs test may not revert to normal until weeks to months after methyldopa is stopped.

Now the need for transfusion arise in a patient receiving methyldopa, both a direct and an indirect Coombs test should be performed on his blood. In the absence of hemolytic anemia, usually only the direct Coombs test will be positive. A positive direct Coombs test alone will not interfere with typing or cross matching. If the indirect Coombs test is also positive, problems may arise in the major cross

match and the assistance of a hematologist or transfusion expert will be needed. Fever has occurred within first 3 weeks of therapy, occasionally with eosinophilia or abnormalities in liver function tests, such as serum alkaline phosphatase, serum transaminases (SGOT, SGPT), bilirubin, cephalin cholesterol flocculation, prothrombin time, and bromsulphalein retention. Jaundice, with or without fever, may occur, with onset usually in the first 2 to 3 months of therapy. In some patients the findings are consistent with those of cholestasis. Rarely fatal hepatic necrosis has been reported. These hepatic changes may represent hypersensitivity reactions; periodic determination of hepatic function should be done particularly during the first 6 to 12 weeks of therapy or whenever an unexplained fever occurs. If fever, abnormalities in liver function tests or jaundice appear, stop therapy with methyldopa. If caused by methyldopa, the temperature and abnormalities in liver function characteristically have reverted to normal when the drug was discontinued. Methyldopa should not be reinstituted in such patients. Rarely, a reversible reduction of the white blood cell count with primary effect on granulocytes has been seen. Reversible thrombocytopenia has occurred rarely. When used with other antihypertensive drugs, potentiation of antihypertensive effect may occur. Patients should be followed carefully to detect side reactions or unusual manifestations of drug idiosyncrasy.

Pregnancy and Nursing: Use of any drug in women who are or may become pregnant or intend to nurse requires that anticipated benefits be weighed against possible risks; possibility of fetal injury or injury to a nursing infant cannot be excluded. Methyldopa crosses the placental barrier, appears in cord blood, and appears in breast milk.

Precautions: Should be used with caution in patients with history of previous liver disease or dysfunction (see Warnings). May interfere with measurement of: urinary uric acid by the phosphorungstate method, serum creatinine by the alkaline picrate method, and SGOT by colorimetric methods. A paradoxical pressor response has been reported with intravenous use. Since methylopa causes fluorescence in urine samples at the same wavelengths as catecholamines, falsely high levels of urinary catecholamines may be reported. This will interfere with the diagnosis of pheochromocytoma. It is important to recognize this phenomenon before a patient with a possible pheochromocytoma is subjected to surgery. Methyldopa is not recommended for patients with phenochromocytoma. Urine exposed to air after voiding may darken because of breakdown of methyldopa or its metabolites.

Stop drug if involuntary choreoathetotic movements occur in patients with severe bilateral cerebrovascular disease. Patients may require reduced doses of anesthetics, hypotension occurring during anesthesia usually can be controlled with vasopressors. Hyportension has recurred after dialysis in patients on methyldopa because the drug is removed by this procedure.

Adverse Reactions: Nervous System/Psychiatric: Sedation, headache, asthenia or weakness, usually early and transient; dizziness, lightheadeness, symptoms of cerebrovascular insufficiency, paresthesias, parkinsonism, Bell's palsy, decreased mental acuity, involuntary choreoathetotic movements; psychic disturbances, including nightmares and reversible mild psychoses or depression. Cardiovascular: Bradycardia, prolonged carotid sinus hypersensitivity, aggravation of angina pectoris. Paradoxical pressor response with intravenous use. Orthostatic hypotension (decrease daily dosage). Edema (and weight gain) usually relieved by use of a diuretic. (Discontinue methyldopa if edema progresses or signs of heart failure appear) Digestive: Nausea, vomiting, distention, constipation, flatus, diarrhea, colitis, mild dryness of mouth, sore or "black" tongue, pancreatitis, sialadenitis. Hepatic: Abnormal liver function tests, jaundice, liver disorders. Hematologic: Positive Coombs test, hemolytic anemia. Bone marrow depression, leukopenia, granulocytopenia, thrombocytopenia. Positive tests for antinuclear antibody. LE cells, and rheumatoid factor. Allergic: Drug-related fever, lupus-like syndrome, myocarditis, pericarditis. Skin: Rash as in eczema or lichenoid eruption; toxic epidermal necrolysis. Respiratory: Nasal stuffiness. Metabolic: Rise in BUN. Urogenital: Breast enlargement, gynecomastia, lactation amenorrhea, impotence, decreased libido. Endocrine: Hyperprolactinemia. Musculoskeletal: Mild arthralgia, with or without joint swelling; myalgia.

Note: Initial adult oral dosage should be limited to 500 mg daily in divided doses when given with antihypertensives other than thiazides. Tolerance may occur, usually between second and third months of therapy, increased dosage or adding a diuretic frequently restores effective control. Patients with impaired renal function may respond to smaller doses. Syncope in

older patients may be related to increased sensitivity and advanced arteriosclerotic vascular disease; this may be avoided by lower doses.

For more detailed information, consult your MSD Representative or see Prescribing Information. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Division of Merck & Co., INC., West Point, PA 19486 J4AM48(717:026)



Continued from page 185

suggested the possibility of a 90 percent return under ideal circumstances,⁵ for the practicing clinician the reality is that about 50 percent of the time or more he or she will find on a Papanicolaousmear report that endocervical cells were not seen.

The clinical problem then becomes one of deciding whether to repeat the smear or to ignore the possibility that an inadequate sample was submitted. Recall of all women without endocervical cells documented on their reports would not be practicable in most settings. Indeed, there is no assurance that a second or a third attempt would vield endocervical-cell documentation. What would be useful clinically is the ability to differentiate among those reports, to separate those representing inadequate sampling from those representing erroneous reading by cytologists or those taken from women who would not be expected to show endocervical cells on their smears on a priori considerations. This study outlines an attempt to explore this possibility.

The Study

Documentation of endocervical cells on Papanicolaou smear reports was hypothesized to be (1) inversely related to age of the woman, (2) directly related to parity, (3) reduced in the presence of inflammation, (4) directly related to midcycle timing of the smear, and (5) variably reported by individual cytologists.

Physiological migration of the squamocolumnar junction up the cervical canal is expected to reduce the yield of endocervical cells with older women, particularly for those aged over 45 years.

The parturition process is expected to provide a more readily accessible transition zone for sampling. No previous studies have examined this hypothesis.

Although not recorded in the literature, an inflammatory process would be expected to produce substantial cytolysis, and otherwise produce an obscured visual field, thus reducing the likelihood of spotting endocervical cells.

Recommendation of midcycle timing for Papanicolaou smears is common practice. The fluid dynamics of the menstrual cycle would be expected to carry more endocervical cells down the canal at midcycle than in either early or late cycle, thus increasing the yield. This possibility has not been previously explored. Data recorded on the Papanicolaou smear reports permitted the calculation of the cycle phase at the time the smear was prepared.

Individual cytologists are expected to show some diversity in their propensity to spot and record endocervical cells. This has not been previously explored in the literature.

Finally, a multivariate model was constructed utilizing the above-mentioned variables in an attempt to maximize the prediction of which women theoretically would be expected to have endocervical cells documented and which would not.

Methods

Basic data for this study were drawn from filed Papanicolaou smear reports. The reports contained clinical data as supplied by the physicians as well as the cytologist's evaluation. Copies of all such reports done in a major referral center are filed in archives. The center reviews over 8,500 cervical slides annually submitted by both family physicians and obstetricians-gynecologists. The slides are reported on by five certified cytotechnicians under the supervision of a pathologist. The months of September and November 1983 were selected. Within these months, a systematic sample of every third report was drawn, the first being chosen by random number. This process yielded a representative sample of 459 reports.

Results

A statistically significant relationship was found between the age group of the patients and documentation of endocervical cells. The yields for those women aged less than 35 years, 35 to 49 years, and 50 years or more were 31 percent, 42 percent, and 19 percent, respectively.

Table 1 shows the significant association of endocervical cell yield with documented presence of red blood cells on the smear. The explanation

Table 1. Percentage of Papanicolaou Smear Slides Reported as Showing Endocervical Cells by Noted Presence of Red Blood Cells

| Endocervical Cells | Red Blood Cells | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|-----|--|--|
| | Absent | Present | No. | | |
| Absent | 73.8 | 48.9 | 186 | | |
| Present | 26.2 | 51.1 | 97 | | |
| Total | 191 | 92 | 283 | | |

may well be one of more vigorous forward pressure on the spatula. The study did not support the hypothesis that inflammation was linked to the presence or absence of endocervical cells. In addition, there was no significant association found between parity or timing of the smear within the menstrual cycle and endocervical cell yield.

Table 2 shows the significant variation in endocervical cell documentation among the screeners. This finding was not altered after standardizing for age distribution of patients reviewed by each screener.

Finally the three variables relating significantly to endocervical cells, that is, age of patient, presence or absence of red blood cells on the smear, and identification of particular screeners, were entered into the multivariate model provided by discriminant analysis. With these variables it was possible to predict correctly the documentation of endocervical cell presence or absence in 64 percent of the cases.

Comment

The statistical significance of several variables for the documentation of endocervical cells has been established. In the setting studied, a patient aged 50 or more years whose smear was reviewed by cytologist A and on which red blood cells were not seen had the lowest probability of having endocervical cells noted. Conversely, a women

| Endocervical Cells | Screeners | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|------|------|------|------|-----|--|
| | A | В | С | D | E | No. | |
| Absent | 79.1 | 67.7 | 64.6 | 58.0 | 52.6 | 304 | |
| Present | 20.9 | 30.3 | 35.4 | 42.0 | 47.4 | 155 | |
| Total | 163 | 31 | 99 | 50 | 166 | 459 | |

aged 35 to 39 years whose smear was reviewed by cytologist E on which red blood cells were seen had the highest probability of having endocervical cells noted. The predictive power of this type of model would have to be substantially higher, however, to reasonably affect the clinical decision to recall a patient for a repeat smear. Indeed, the considerable variability among screeners in the documentation of endocervical cells casts considerable doubt on the utility of reacting to their absence on any given report.

The pursuit of endocervical cells as the indication of an adequate smear has been inappropriate. Anatomical variability renders the squamocolumnar zone inaccessible by standard smear techniques in a substantial proportion of women.^{3,7} In addition, as demonstrated, differences in performance among screeners further reduces the efficacy of pondering documented endocervical cell absence in any report. Finally, while age of the patient and presence of red blood cells may alter expectations somewhat, the level of predictability is too low to be of substantial clinical assistance. Beta-value considerations suggest that some of the variables explored may yet prove to be of statistical significance in further studies based on a larger sample. However, it is unlikely that a model of great predictive value will be forthcoming. One can argue, nevertheless, that there still may be some utility for individual clinicians in recording their individual endocervical score sheet and comparing their rates with peers using the same laboratory facility. Any substantial variation may well

suggest inappropriate technique. This exercise may be especially relevant for residency programs.

All clinicians must assess their endocervical cell return rate against the inherent limitations, as outlined, of this parameter as a feedback criterion for smear quality. The pursuit of higher endocervical cell yield as a route to higher yields of pathology has yet to be fairly evaluated. The one substantiated method of reducing false-negative results appears to be pairing cervical smears irrespective of the instrument used.⁸

References

- 1. Cervical Cancer Screening Programs: Summary of the 1982 Canadian Task Force Report. Can Med Assoc J 1982; 127:581-589
- 2. Richart RM, Vaillant HW: Influence of cell collection techniques upon cytological diagnosis. Cancer 1975; 18: 1474-1478
- 3. Frost JK: Diagnostic accuracy of "cervical smears." Obstet Gynecol Surv 1968; 24:893-902
- Colon VF, Linz LE: The extended tip spatula for cervical cytology. J Fam Pract 1981; 13:37-41
 Gondos B, Ostergard DR: Endocervical cells in cervi-
- Gondos B, Ostergard DR: Endocervical cells in cervical smears. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1982; 114:833-834
- Klecka WR: Discriminant analysis. In Nie NH, Hull CH, Menkins JG, et al: SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1982, pp 434-467
- 7. Ober KG: Variations topographiques de la jonction de l'epithelium cylindrique et pavimenteux cervical en fonction de l'age. Rev Fr Gynecol Obstet 1961; 9-10:593-608
- 8. Beilby JOW, Bourne R, Guillebaud J, Steele ST: Paired cervical smears: A method of reducing the false-negative rate in population screening. Obstet Gynecol 1982; 60:46-48