Counseling by Primary Care Physicians of Patients Who Disclose Psychosocial Problems

John W. Robinson, MD, PhD, and Debra L. Roter, DrPH Baltimore, Maryland

BACKGROUND. Most descriptive studies of psychosocial counseling by US primary care physicians (PCPs) have relied on the PCPs' recollections rather than researchers' observations of actual visit content. The latter approach should yield more accurate measurement of counseling frequency and duration.

METHODS. Our sample consisted of 308 patients whose scores signified psychological distress on the 28-item General Health Questionnaire. Their visits to 69 community-based PCPs had been audiotaped for an earlier study of the benefits of communication skills training for PCPs. Using those tapes, we identified the disclosure of psychosocial problems by patients and subsequent counseling and psychotropic drug prescribing by their PCPs. We timed counseling and visit lengths. Effects of patient and PCP variables on visit duration and on counseling occurrence and duration were assessed using generalized estimating equations to accommodate the correlation among patients who shared PCPs.

RESULTS. After adjusting for the effects of the communication skills training, we found that PCPs counseled 60% of patients who disclosed psychosocial problems. Given disclosure, counseling probability was lowest for new patients (P < .001); among patients with previous visits, counseling probability was inversely related to the number of visits (P < .001). When provided, counseling had a mean duration of 5.2 minutes. Counseling was associated with a 28% (95% confidence interval, 9% - 49%) increase in visit duration after adjustment for the effects of other significant variables. PCPs prescribed psychotropic medications in 30% of visits with disclosure.

CONCLUSIONS. PCPs treated psychosocial problems with brief counseling twice as often as with medication. Brief counseling interventions caused small but significant increases in visit durations.

KEY WORDS. Primary health care; mental health; counseling. (J Fam Pract 1999; 48:698-705)

escriptive studies of mental health treatment by primary care physicians (PCPs) have tended to emphasize pharmacotherapy,1-7 while fewer studies have described the PCPs' psychosocial counseling practices. On the basis of physician self-reports, 2 large nationally representative studies found very different rates of counseling by PCPs of patients who were given a diagnosis of a mental disorder. In the 1980, 1985, and 1989 National Ambulatory Medical Care Surveys (NAMCSs) PCPs reported they provided "psychotherapy or therapeutic listening" to 37%, 34%, and 29%, respectively, of patients diagnosed with depressive disorders.⁴ In contrast, in the Medical Outcomes Study (MOS), family physicians and general internists reported "counseling" 68% and 61%, respectively, of patients in whom they recognized depression.8

The large differences in PCP-reported counseling

Submitted, revised, May 11, 1999.

rates between the NAMCSs and the MOS may be partly due to perceived differences between "psychotherapy or therapeutic listening" and "counseling" and the narrower geographic coverage of the MOS. However, differences in estimated counseling rates may also stem from inconsistent physician recollection and reporting. Investigators have found that PCPs' selfreports of counseling provided during office visits disagree with both their patients' reports⁹ and findings from audio recordings of the visit.¹⁰

Recording or direct observation of office visits should yield more reliable measurements of PCP counseling by eliminating errors of recollection and ensuring that a uniform definition of counseling is used. Using videotapes of office visits, US family practice and internal medicine residents were found to provide counseling more often when they recognized depression than when they did not.¹¹ Also, using videotaping, investigators found that Dutch community-based PCPs counseled approximately 55% of patients in whom they recognized mental health problems.12 When designing our study in 1995, we were unable to find any studies of US community-based PCPs that used recordings or direct observation of office visits to measure how often PCPs counseled patients with mental health problems. In 1998, how-

From the departments of Biostatistics (J.W.R.) and Health Policy and Management (D.L.R), School of Hygiene and Public Health, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore. Reprint requests should be addressed to John W. Robinson, MD, PhD, 4303 Stanford Street, Chevy Chase, MD 20815. E-mail: jrobinso@jhsph.edu

ever, on the basis of direct observation of office visits, Callahan and colleagues¹³ reported that communitybased family physicians counseled 66% of psychologically distressed patients in whom they recognized depressive or anxiety disorders.

This study presents a reanalysis of data collected for an earlier study. ¹⁴ The earlier study was a randomized controlled trial of communication skills training to improve PCPs' management of their patients' emotional distress. Following the training, approximately 10 office visits of each PCP were audiotaped, to assess the PCP's use of the newly taught skills. For our study, we reexamined the audiotapes to identify patient disclosure of psychosocial problems and subsequent PCP counseling for those problems. This is a descriptive study because the system of definitions used to re-rate the audiotapes was developed after completion of the randomized trial. Our goals were to measure the frequency and duration of counseling provided to patients who disclosed psychosocial problems during office visits to their PCPs, to identify patient and physician variables predictive of counseling by PCPs, and to measure the effect of counseling on office visit duration.

METHODS

PHYSICIAN PARTICIPANTS

For the earlier study, full-time PCPs in the greater metropolitan area of Baltimore, Maryland, were recruited from local medical society mailing lists. Sixty-nine PCPs (13% of those contacted) agreed to participate and completed all aspects of the study. Of these, 29 were board certified in family practice, 27 in internal medicine (practicing general internal medicine), and 1 in both family practice and internal medicine. Six PCPs were third-year family practice residents, and 6 listed no board certification. Sixty-three were men. Median physician age was 39 years (range = 27 - 67), and median years in practice was 10 (range = 1 - 39). Of the 69 PCPs, 19 (28%) were in solo practice, 23 (33%) in group practice, 21 (30%) in a staff-model health maintenance organization, and 6 (9%) in a communitybased teaching clinic (family practice residents).

Before receiving the communication skills training of the earlier study, PCPs completed the Physician Belief Scale, a self-report instrument that measures strength of psychosocial orientation.¹⁵ As reported previously,¹⁶ study physicians' scores on this scale suggested their mean strength of psychosocial orientation did not differ significantly from that of the validation sample that had been selected by the instrument's authors to represent a broad range of psychosocial orientations. Physicians were randomized into 3 groups: 22 received emotion-handling skills training; 23 received problem-defining skills training; and 24 controls received no intervention. The training is described in detail elsewhere.¹⁴

PATIENT VISITS

On randomly selected days following the communication skills training, patients aged 16 years or older presenting to study PCPs' waiting areas were invited to participate. Of invited patients, 72% agreed to participate in all aspects of the study. Before seeing their PCPs, participating patients completed a questionnaire eliciting the major reason for the visit, a rating of physician-patient familiarity, the number of previous visits to the physician, and demographic information. Participants also completed the 28-item version of the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-28), a self-report instrument designed to detect mental health problems in primary care settings.^{17,18} Using a cutoff score of 5, in primary care settings the GHQ-28 has a sensitivity of 87% to 88% and a specificity of 75% to 84% for detecting mental disorders.^{19,20} Typically, patients needed 8 to 12 minutes to complete the questionnaire and the GHQ-28. Following each visit, PCPs reported whether they had provided counseling for psychological problems.

RATING VISIT AUDIOTAPES

Each of the 652 patient visits was audiotaped in entirety, unless a patient or physician elected to temporarily pause the recording. For our study, a board-certified psychiatrist (J.W.R.) rated the audiotapes from the 308 visits by patients with GHQ-28 scores of 5 or higher (GHQ-positives) to measure newly defined variables and to time counseling and visit lengths.

Psychosocial problems were defined as any of the following: (1) distressing feelings or moods; (2) problems, concerns, or losses involving important relationships, including relationships with family members, partners, friends, employers, or work associates; or (3) evaluation or treatment for mental health problems by clinicians other than the PCP. Psychosocial disclosure was rated as present if a patient reported a psychosocial problem occurring during the 2 weeks preceding the visit. Prior psychosocial inquiry was rated as present if the physician inquired about the presence of a psychosocial problem before any disclosure. For visits without disclosure, psychosocial inquiry at any time during the visit was rated as a prior inquiry.

Psychosocial counseling was defined as verbal communication that included at least one "active verbal intervention" by the physician concerning a disclosed psychosocial problem. Active verbal interventions were defined as any of the following: exploratory questions, clarifying remarks, offered insights, remarks of encouragement, or advice. The physician's first active verbal intervention marked the beginning of a counseling segment. The end of the segment was marked by the first statement from the physician or patient shifting the topic to a physical problem that neither the patient nor the PCP implied was related to the disclosed psychosocial problem or to a medication used to treat that problem. For visits with multiple counseling segments, segment durations were summed to yield the visit counseling duration.

Visit duration was measured from when the patient and physician first began discussing health-related concerns to when the physician left the room at the close of the visit, excluding any time that the physician was out of the room or on the phone regarding matters unrelated to the patient.

All occurrences of the physicians' prescriptions of psychotropic medication for mental health problems were identified along with the types of medication prescribed.

RELIABILITY OF AUDIOTAPE RATING

A systematic 10% sample of the audiotapes were re-rated by the same psychiatrist who performed the initial rating. Agreement between first and second ratings was high for the occurrence of disclosure, counseling, and psychotropic drug prescribing, with Cohen²¹ Ks of .870, .924, and 1.00, respectively. For the 10 (of 31 sampled) visits with counseling, the difference in measured counseling duration was 10% or less for all but 2. For those 2 visits, counseling durations measured by the first and second ratings were 213 and 169 seconds for one visit, and 0 and 116 seconds for the other.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Since the units of analysis — patient visits — were clustered by physician, we performed regression analyses using generalized estimating equations (GEEs), which adjust for the correlation among clustered observations.^{22,23} We used the SAS Macro for GEE, Version 1.24. We assumed an exchangeable intracluster correlation structure,^{22,23} implying that the correlation between any pair of patient visits to a physician was the same as between any other pair of visits to that physician, a conceptually reasonable assumption.

Regression model-building was conducted in a stepwise forward fashion, taking into account information learned from univariate regressions and conceptual relationships between covariates. At each step, variables with coefficient estimates that had z scores less than 1.645 in absolute value (corresponding to P > .10) were removed from subsequent steps. We used this type of approach because we anticipated significant correlation between several of our independent variables (eg, between patient age, number of prior visits, and physician-patient familiarity).

Of the 308 recordings, 25 were incomplete because the recorder was turned off for a portion of the visit, and 2 had inaudible segments (of more than just a few words). We conducted all regression analyses twice, with and without the incompletely recorded visits. None of the regression results were significantly affected by exclusion of the incompletely recorded visits.

Characteristic	%
Female	8
Younger than 40 years	54
Less than 10 years in practice	43
Specialty Family practice* Internal medicine* Family practice and internal medicine† General practice‡ Family practice resident	38 41 2 12 7
Setting Solo private practice Group private practice Staff-model HMO Teaching clinic§	30 36 27 7
Training group Emotion-handling skills Problem-defining skills Control	32 41 27

RESULTS

PHYSICIAN AND PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

Tables 1 and 2 contain physician and patient characteristics, respectively, of the 157 visits by GHQ-positive patients who disclosed psychosocial problems. We have previously¹⁶ described patient and PCP characteristics predictive of psychosocial disclosure. In brief, the odds of disclosure were increased by prior psychosocial inquiry (P >.001), greater physicianpatient familiarity (P >.001), and higher GHQ score (P <.001).¹⁶

PSYCHOSOCIAL COUNSELING

PCPs provided psychosocial counseling during 64% of visits with disclosure (101 of 157). Counseling was provided during at least 1 visit by 86% of PCPs to whom psychosocial problems were disclosed (54 of 63).

To estimate the adjusted effects of the variables in Tables 1 and 2 on counseling following disclosure, we fit a GEE regression model with the log odds of counseling as the dependent variable, using the 157 visits with disclosure. The final model shown in Table 3 indi-



cates that after adjustment for number of previous visits, counseling probability was significantly increased by training in emotion-handling skills, but not by training in problem-defining skills. In Figure 1, we plot estimated counseling probabilities for each combination of the 2 variables — training group and number of previous visits — using the final model in Table 3. These results show that for visits to PCPs in the control group and problem-defining training group, having any previous visits increased the counseling probability relative to no previous visits; however, as the number of previous visits increased beyond 3, counseling probability declined. In

contrast, for PCPs in the emotion-handling training group, the interaction between training group and number of previous visits negated the effect of number of previous visits on counseling.

The 64% crude frequency of counseling given disclosure is unadjusted for communication skills training effects. Such adjustment was accomplished by excluding the visits to PCPs with training in emotionhandling skills. This yielded a counseling frequency given disclosure of 60% (65 of 107), free of statistically significant training effects.

COUNSELING DURATION Figure 2 shows the distribution FIGURE 2



of counseling duration for the 101 visits with counseling. Mean counseling duration was 5.2 minutes for these visits.

To estimate the adjusted effects of the variables in Tables 1 and 2 on counseling duration, we fit a GEE regression model with log of counseling duration (measured in seconds) as the dependent variable. The final regression model is shown in Table 4 where in addition to estimated coefficients we present estimated effects of significant variables on counseling length, expressed as relative durations.

VISIT DURATION

Disclosure occurred in 144 visits (to 60 PCPs) out of a total of 281 completely recorded visits. For these 144 visits, mean visit dura-

tions were 18.9 and 16.5 minutes for visits with and without counseling, respectively. For the 137 completely recorded visits without disclosure, mean visit duration was 12.6 minutes.

To estimate the effect of counseling on visit duration adjusted for significant effects of the variables in Tables 1 and 2, we fit a GEE regression model with log of visit duration as the dependent variable. The final regression model is shown in Table 5, where in addition to estimated coefficients we present estimated effects of significant variables on visit duration expressed as relative durations. The final model shows

TABLE 2

Characteristics of Patients in Visits with Psychosocial Disclosure (n = 157)

Variable	%
Female	69
Ace, vears	
16-39	31
40-64	11
>65	20
200	22
Marital status	
Single	13
Married	51
Separated or divorced	22
Widowed	11
	14
Nonwhite race	22
	22
Annual income <\$30,000	61
High school education or less	60
GHQ-28 score	
Mildly elevated (5-7)	28
Moderately elevated (8-13)	46
Highly elevated (14-28)	26
the exclusion of the state of the second state of the	
Physician-patient familiarity (by patient's rating)	
Not at all	18
Mild	10
Moderate	41
High	31
supervised standards and speed upon the particulation of the	
Number of previous visits	
(by patient's rating)	
None	18
1-3	17
4-15	40
16 or more	25
Physical condition	
(by PCP's rating)	
Excellent	10
Good	45
Fair	42
Poor	3
GHQ-28 denotes the 28-item version of the General Health Questionna	ire.

that counseling was associated with a 28% increase (95% confidence interval, 9% - 49%) in visit duration after adjustment for the effects of other significant variables.

PHYSICIAN REPORTING OF COUNSELING

We compared PCP-reported counseling with our audiotape findings, using the 281 completely recorded visits. Results are presented in Table 6. Disagreement was substantial: 47% (45 of 96) of audiotapedetected counseling was not reported by PCPs, and 31% (23 of 74) of PCP-reported counseling was not detected on audiotapes. In the footnote to Table 6, we show the computation of Cohen's κ , an overall measure of agreement that corrects for chance agreement.²¹ Agreement between PCP-reported and audiotape-detected counseling exceeded chance by 43% (ie, $\kappa = 0.43$).

Among the 96 visits with audiotape-detected counseling, mean counseling durations were 7.0 and 3.3 minutes, respectively, for visits with and without PCP-reported counseling. Of the 23 visits with PCP-reported counseling but without audiotape-detected counseling, prior psychosocial inquiry was detected on audiotapes in 9 visits (39%).

PSYCHOTROPIC PRESCRIBING

Excluding 11 visits during which patients reported current psychotropic drug prescribing for mental health problems by other physicians, PCPs prescribed psychotropic drugs for mental health problems during 30% (44 of 146) of visits with disclosure and 35% (33 of 95) of visits with counseling. Thus, PCPs provided counseling in 75% (33 of 44) of visits during which they prescribed psychotropic medications. Approximately equal numbers of antidepressants (22 visits) and anxiolytics were prescribed (23 visits), with a smaller number of prescriptions given for hypnotics (6 visits).

DISCUSSION

After adjustment for significant effects of the communication skills training, PCPs counseled 60% of patients who disclosed psychosocial problems, which is similar to the frequency reported by others.^{12,13} Training in emotion-handling skills significantly increased counseling probability, while training in problem-defining skills did not, a notsurprising finding given the greater similarity of the emotion-handling skill set to the counseling construct.¹⁴ Of PCPs to whom psychosocial problems were disclosed, 86% counseled at least 1 patient, suggesting that nearly all PCPs considered counseling to be within their purview.

Similar to other findings,²⁵⁻²⁷ we found PCPs

least likely to counsel new patients. Perhaps physicians were too busy gathering history or too unfamiliar with new patients to provide counseling during an initial visit. If so, they may have deferred counseling to follow-up appointments, a possibility supported by our finding that the highest counseling probability occurred for patients with 1 to 3 previous visits. As the number of previous visits increased beyond 3, counseling probability declined proportion-

TABLE 3

Final Generalized Estimating Equation Regression Model for Psychosocial Counseling

Variable	Estimated Coefficient (z score)*
Intercept	-0.882 (-2.32)
Number of previous visits = 0 if 0 = 3 if 1-3 = 2 if 4-15 = 1 if 16+	0.914 (4.17)†
Training group = 0 if problem-defining skills or control = 1 if emotion-handling skills	1.804 (2.78)‡
Interaction Training group x number of previous visits	-0.900 (-2.60)‡

Note: The dependent variable is the log odds of counseling. All variables listed in Tables 1 and 2 were tested for fit and only those with P < .10 were included in the final model.

*The z score is the ratio of the coefficient estimate to its standard error estimate. P<.001.

‡P <.01.

TABLE 4

Final Generalized Estimating Equation Regression Model for Counseling Duration

	Estimates			
Variable	Coefficient (z score)*	Relative Duration† (95% CI)		
Intercept	5.018 (26.59)	a Rimmer D. R. C. &		
PCP-Patient Familiarity = 0 if none or slight = 1 if moderate = 2 if high	0.277 (2.81)‡	1.00 1.32 (1.09-1.60) 1.74 (1.18-2.57)		
Physical Condition = 0 if poor/fair/good = 1 if excellent	-0.508 (-2.38)§	1.00 0.60 (0.40-0.92)		
Sex (= 1 if female)	0.066 (0.44)			
Marital Status (= 1 if div/sep)	-0.063 (-0.40)			
Interaction: Female x div/sep (v fem x sgl/mar/wid) (v male x div/sep) (v male x sgl/mar/wid)	0.664 (2.47)§	1.82 (1.25-2.67) 2.08 (1.37-3.15) 1.95 (1.31-2.89)		

Note: The dependent variable is the log of counseling duration (measured in seconds). All variables listed in Tables 1 and 2 were tested for fit, and only those with P < .10 were included in the final model.

"The z score is the ratio of the coefficient estimate to its standard error estimate. †Relative duration is the multiplicative effect on counseling duration of a specified level of a variable relative to another level of that variable (or for the interaction, the multiplicative effect of a combination of levels of the 2 interacting variables relative to another combination of levels of the 2 interacting variables). For example, PCP-patient familiarity at the "high" level is estimated to increase counseling duration by a factor of $exp(2 \times 0.277) = 1.74$ relative to the "none or slight" level. $\frac{1}{P} < .01$ $\frac{1}{P} < .02$

Cl denotes confidence interval; fem, female; sgl, single; mar, married; div, divorced; sep, separated; wid, widowed.

ately, suggesting some PCPs may have been presented with persistent psychosocial problems that they believed would not respond to further counseling.

Counseling lengthened visit durations by 28% on average, representing a significant investment of PCPs' time. Since 31% to 46% of somatically presenting primary care patients are psychologically distressed,²⁸⁻³⁶ and of these, two thirds will disclose psychosocial problems,¹⁶ at least 20% to 30% of somatically presenting primary care patients are candidates for counseling by PCPs. A 28% increase in visit durations for these patients could result in a 5% to 10% increase in a PCP's overall average visit duration, a small but significant increase.

It is important that PCPs be credited for the time and effort they invest in counseling. This requires accurate recording of counseling in clinical and billing records. Yet, like others,^{9,10} we found evidence that PCPs' self-reports may not provide an accurate reflection of the counseling they provide: PCP-reported and audiotape-detected counseling disagreed substantially. However, these findings should be interpreted cautiously, since

PCPs' reports were completed before the development of the definitions of counseling and prior inquiry used to rate the audiotapes. Thus, for selfreporting, PCPs used a narrower and less detailed definition of counseling ("counseling for psychological problems") than we used to rate the audiotapes. Additionally, in some cases PCPs may have reported as "counseling" what we rated as "prior inquiry."

PCPs provided counseling twice as often as they prescribed psychotropic medications, and when they prescribed psychotropic drugs, they usually also provided counseling, suggesting they viewed these interventions as complementary rather than alternative. Others have reported similarly high

TABLE 5

Final Generalized Estimating Equation Regression Model for Visit Duration

	Estimates			
Variable	Coefficient (z score)*	Relative Duration† (95% Cl)		
Intercept	6.283 (89.76)	a burger in the second		
Psychosocial counseling	0.244 (3.05)‡			
= 0 if absent		1.00		
= 1 if present		1.28 (1.09-1.49)		
Psychosocial disclosure	0.175 (2.25) §			
= 0 if absent		1.00		
= 1 if present		1.19 (1.02-1.39)		
Resident physician	0.423(3.95)			
= 0 if not		1.00		
= 1 if family practice resident		1.53 (1.24-1.88)		
Patient age, years	0.207 (4.57)			
= 0 if 17-29		1.00		
= 1 if 30-59		1.23 (1.13-1.34)		
= 2 if ≥60		1.51 (1.27-1.81)		

+Relative duration is the multiplicative effect on visit duration of a specified level of a variable relative to another level of that variable. For example, patient age of 60 years or older is estimated to increase visit duration by a factor of $exp(2 \times 0.207)$ = 1.51 relative to patient age of 17-29 years. $\ddagger P < .01$.

IIP <.001.

CI denotes confidence intervals.

rates of PCP counseling relative to psychotropic drug prescribing.^{37:39}

LIMITATIONS

TABLE 6

Since invited PCPs were aware of the earlier study's

possible since the rating definitions were designed for use by a mental health clinician, and only 1 was available to the study team. Thus, to minimize the possibility that the audiotape rating would be biased, we defined counseling as unambiguously as possible.

Further, if such a bias did occur, it should not have affected our regression findings, since it would have affected all visits equally, irrespective of the values of the independent variables.

aims, we were con-

cerned that those who chose to participate may have had stronger than average counsel-

However, participating PCPs' Physician Belief Scale results indicated their average strength of psychosocial orientation was fairly typical for PCPs in the United States,¹⁶ suggesting that on entry into the study their counseling tendencies were probably typical as well.

By having the same clinician rate all audiotapes, we risked obtaining biased estimates of counseling frequency and duration through his interpretation of our counseling definition. We could have

estimated the potential

magnitude of any such

bias by measuring the

inter-rater reliability of

the audiotape rating.

However, this was not

ing

tendencies.

By testing a large number of independent variables for our regression models, we risked spurious findings of statistical significance. However, since most of the coefficient estimates in our final models were highly significant (ie, P < .01), we believe our regression findings can be relied on with high confidence.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by National Research Service Awards HS00029-06 and HS00068-01, US Public

Comparison	of	Primary	Care	Physicians'	Self-Reported	Counseling	with	Audiotape-
Detected Co	uns	seling (n =	= 281)					

	Visits (%)			
Audiotape-Detected	Self-Reporte	Sectore and		
Counseling	Yes	No	Row Totals	
Yes	51 (18.1)	45 (16.0)	96 (34.2)	
No	23 (8.2)	162 (57.7)	185 (65.8)	
Column Totals	74 (26.3)	207 (73.7)	281 (100.0)	

Note: Based on completely recorded visits only.

 $\frac{\text{K} = [(51+162)/281] - \text{E}}{1 - \text{E}} = 0.43 \text{ where 'E' = Expected agreement} = \frac{(74x96) + (207x185)}{281^2} = 0.575$

[§]P <.05.

Health Service, and by grant R01 MH40443, National Institute of Mental Health. At the time of this research, Dr Robinson was affiliated with the Department of Health Policy and Management, School of Hygiene and Public Health, The Johns Hopkins University.

The authors would like to thank Judith Hall, PhD and 4 anonymous peer-reviewers for their helpful suggestions on earlier drafts of this manuscript. We would also like to thank Barbara Starfield, MD, MPH, for her contributions to Dr Robinson's dissertation research (on which this manuscript was based) and Daniel Ford, MD, MPH, and Charles Rohde, PhD, for their helpful suggestions as members of Dr Robinson's dissertation committee.

REFERENCES

- 1. Beardsley RS, Gardocki GJ, Larson DB, Hidalgo J. Prescribing of psychotropic medication by primary care physicians and psychiatrists. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1988; 45:1117-9.
- 2. Katon W, Von Korff M, Lin E, Bush T, Ormel J. Adequacy and duration of antidepressant treatment in primary care. Med Care 1992; 30:67-76.
- Magruder-Habib K, Zung WWK, Feussner JR, Alling WC, Saunders WB, Stevens HA. Management of general medical patients with symptoms of depression. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 1989; 11:201-6.
- 4. Olfson M, Klerman GL. The treatment of depression: prescribing practices of primary care physicians and psychiatrists. J Fam Pract 1992; 35:627-35.
- 5. Rogers WH, Wells KB, Meredith LS, Sturm R, Burnam A. Outcomes for adult outpatients with depression under prepaid or fee-for-service financing. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1993; 50:517-25.
- 6. Wells KB, Goldberg G, Brook R, Leake B. Management of patients on psychotropic drugs in primary care clinics. Med Care 1988; 26:645-56.
- 7. Wells KB, Katon W, Rogers B, Camp P. Use of minor tranquilizers and antidepressant medications by depressed outpatients: results from the medical outcomes study. Am J Psychiatry 1994; 151:694-700.
- 8. Meredith LS, Wells KB, Camp P. Clinician specialty and treatment style for depressed outpatients with and without medical comorbidities. Arch Fam Med 1994; 3:1065-72.
- Brody DS, Lerman CE, Wolfson HG, Caputo GC. Improvement in physicians' counseling of patients with mental health problems. Arch Intern Med 1990; 150:993-8.
- Roter DL, Russell NK. Validity of physician self-report in tracking patient education objectives. Health Educ Q 1994; 21:27-38.
- 11. Callahan EJ, Bertakis KD, Azari R, Robbins J, Helms LJ, Miller J. The influence of depression on physician-patient interaction in primary care. Fam Med 1996; 28:346-51.
- 12. Verhaak PF, Wennink HJ. What does a doctor do with psychosocial problems in primary care? Intl J Psychiatry Med 1990; 20:151-62.
- Callahan EJ, Jaen CR, Crabtree BF, Zyzanski SJ, Goodwin MA, Stange KC. The impact of recent emotional distress and diagnosis of depression or anxiety on the physicianpatient encounter in family practice. J Fam Pract 1998; 46:410-8.
- 14. Roter DL, Hall JA, Kern DE, Barker R, Cole KA, Roca RP. Improving physicians' interviewing skills and reducing patients' emotional distress: a randomized clinical trial. Arch Intern Med 1995; 155:1877-84.
- Ashworth CD, Williamson P, Montano D. A scale to measure physician beliefs about psychosocial aspects of patient care. Soc Sci Med 1984; 19:1235-8.
- 16. Robinson JW, Roter DL. Psychosocial problem disclosure by primary care patients. Soc Sci Med 1999; 48:1353-62.

- Goldberg D, Williams P. A user's guide to the general health questionnaire. Berkshire, England: Nfer-Nelson; 1988.
- McDowell I, Newell C. Measuring health: a guide to rating scales and questionnaires. New York, NY: Oxford; 1987.
- Goldberg D, Hillier V. A scaled version of the general health questionnaire. Psychological Med 1979; 9:139-45.
- 20. Goldberg D, Bridges K. Screening for psychiatric illness in general practice: the general practitioner versus the screening questionnaire. J Royal Coll Gen Pract 1987; 37:15-8.
- Cohen J. A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educ Psychol Measure 1960; 20:37-46.
- Liang KY, Zeger SL. Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. Biometrika 1986; 73:13-22.
- Zeger SL, Liang KY. Longitudinal data analysis for discrete and continuous outcomes. Biometrics 1986; 42:121-30.
- Karim MR, Zeger SL. GEE: a SAS macro for longitudinal data analysis. Baltimore, Md: Johns Hopkins University, School of Hygiene and Public Health, Dept of Biostatistics; 1988. Technical Report #674.
- Schurman RA, Mitchell JB, Kramer PD. When doctors listen: counseling patterns of nonpsychiatrist physicians. Am J Psychiatry 1985; 142:934-8.
- Radecki SE, Mendenhall RC. Patient counseling by primary care physicians: results of a nationwide survey. Patient Educ Counseling 1986; 8:165-77.
- 27. Bertakis KD, Callahan EJ. A comparison of initial and established patient encounters using the Davis Observation Code. Fam Med 1992; 24:307-11.
- Philbrick JT, Connelly JE, Wofford AB. The prevalence of mental disorders in rural office practice. J Gen Intern Med 1996; 11:9-15.
- Von Korff M, Shapiro S, Burke JD, et al. Anxiety and depression in a primary care clinic. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1987; 44:152-6.
- Hoeper EW, Nycz GR, Kessler LG, Burke JD, Pierce WE. The usefulness of screening for mental illness. Lancet 1984; 1:33-5.
- Kirmayer LJ, Robbins JM, Dworkind M, Yaffe MJ. Somatization and the recognition of depression and anxiety in primary care. Am J Psychiatry 1993; 150:734-41.
- 32. Ormel J, van der Brink W, Koeter MW, et al. Recognition, management and outcome of psychological disorders in primary care: a naturalistic follow-up study. Psychological Med 1990; 20:909-23.
- Tiemens BG, Ormel J, Simon GE. Occurrence, recognition, and outcome of psychological disorders in primary care. Am J Psychiatry 1996; 153:636-44.
- Rand EH, Badger LW, Coggins DR. Toward a resolution of contradictions: utility of feedback from the GHQ. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 1988; 10:189-96.
- Rucker L, Frye EB, Cygan RW. Feasibility and usefulness of depression screening in medical outpatients. Arch Internal Med 1986; 146:729-31.
- Shapiro S, German PS, Skinner EA, et al. An experiment to change detection and management of mental morbidity in primary care. Med Care 1987; 25:327-39.
- Orleans CT, George LK, Houpt JL, Brodie KH. How primary care physicians treat psychiatric disorders: a national survey of family practitioners. Am J Psychiatry 1985; 142:52-7.
- Olfson M, Weissman MM, Leon AC, Higgins ES, Barrett JE, Blacklow RS. Psychological management by family physicians. J Fam Pract 1995; 41:543-50.
- Daniels ML, Linn LS, Ward N, Leake B. A study of physician preferences in the management of depression in the general medical setting. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 1986; 8:229-35.