**Clostridium Difficile** infection (CDI) is increasingly common, and it is associated with significant morbidity, mortality, and cost burden for patients and the healthcare system. The severity and rates of recurrent CDI and associated mortality are also increasing. This article is an overview of the changes in CDI epidemiology that have occurred since the turn of the century and the current scope of the problem. The 3 articles that follow in this supplement address the diagnosis and treatment of initial and recurrent CDI, and current practice guidelines for the prevention and control of CDI in the hospital setting. *Journal of Hospital Medicine* 2012;7:S1–S4. © 2012 Society of Hospital Medicine
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**Clostridium difficile** is a gram-positive, spore-forming, toxin-producing, anaerobic bacillus that was established as the causative pathogen of most cases of antibiotic-associated colitis in 1978.1,2 The spectrum of possible clinical presentations of *C. difficile* range from asymptomatic colonization, uncomplicated diarrhea, severe pseudomembranous colitis, paralytic ileus, to sepsis and death, with a mortality rate upwards of 80% in fulminant cases requiring colectomy.3

Vegetative *C. difficile* cells die rapidly on dry surfaces, but they have been found to remain viable for up to 6 hours on moist surfaces in room air.4 Spores shed from the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, however, are highly resistant to common hospital disinfectants, and can survive in the environment for many months.2 *C. difficile* spores are primarily transmitted from patient to patient on the hands or equipment of healthcare workers.2 Once spores are ingested and reach the GI tract, they germinate in the vegetative form.2,4 In the GI tract, *C. difficile* causes disease by the production of toxins, primarily toxins A and B, both of which cause severe inflammation.5 Toxin A attracts neutrophils and monocytes, and toxin B breaks down colonic epithelial cells.5 Both of these mechanisms lead to colitis, formation of pseudomembranes, and watery diarrhea.5

After alteration of the healthy colonic bacterial flora, the immune response to *C. difficile* toxins appears to play a major role in determining host susceptibility to *C. difficile* infection (CDI).5,6 Those with antitoxin immunity are more likely to become symptomless carriers than patients without preexisting immunity.3 More than 60% of healthy adults have protective immunity against a primary CDI, demonstrated by detectable serum IgG and IgA to both toxins A and B, as a consequence of childhood immunity or frequent exposure to *C. difficile* in the environment.5 After a primary episode of CDI, many patients acquire protective immunity against *C. difficile* toxins, seen as significantly higher serum concentrations of IgM against *C. difficile* toxin by the third day from onset of diarrhea, and significantly higher serum concentrations of IgG against toxin A by the 12th day.7 Patients who experience recurrent CDI lack development of this protective immunity to *C. difficile*.6,7

**CDI INCIDENCE IS ON THE RISE**

During the past decade, rates of CDI have increased steadily to levels not previously seen. A report published by the Agency of Healthcare Research and Quality demonstrated that the number of CDI diagnoses on hospital discharge more than doubled in the United States from 139,000 to 301,200 between 2000 and 2005 (Figure 1).8 Examination of a more recent Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) indicates continuation of this trend, with nearly 350,000 CDI diagnoses recorded upon discharge from acute care hospitals in 2008.9 Of note, in 2006 the state of Ohio mandated CDI reporting from both hospitals and nursing homes. It was estimated there were more than 18,000 cases of CDI during this year, of which more than 60% were diagnosed in nursing homes.10 Based on the 2008 NIS data and the data from Ohio, it is conceivable there were as many as 1 million cases of CDI in the US in 2008.

This increased incidence of CDI contrasts with several other healthcare-associated infections, which have declined in incidence over the last decade.11–13 *C. difficile* is the most common causative agent of healthcare-associated infections in some areas. A cohort study of common infections among inpatients at 30 community hospitals in the Duke Infection Control Outreach Network conducted between January 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009 found the incidence of CDI cases was 0.26 cases per 1000 patient-days, which was higher than the incidence of...
methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) at 0.22 cases per 1000 patient-days. Another study utilizing the NIS data found that, while vancomycin-resistant enterococcus and pseudomonas infections remained stable, CDI increased in many areas of the country and was more common than MRSA in some areas.

**HYPERVERVULENT STRAIN OF C. DIFFICILE**

In the early 2000s, an epidemic and hypervirulent strain of *C. difficile* emerged in North America and Europe that altered the epidemiology of CDI. Due to multiple different methods for molecular typing of *C. difficile*, this strain has several names depending on the method of typing performed. The most common names for this strain are BI (REA typing), NAP1 (pulsed field gel electrophoresis), and 027 (PCR-ribotyping). This strain has become the predominant strain of *C. difficile* in some areas, accounting for more than 80% of CDI cases in some areas.

The characteristics of this particular strain epidemic in North America typically include:

- A deletion in the *tcd* gene that downregulates toxin production, which renders the gene nonfunctional in the epidemic strain. Some in vitro data have demonstrated that this epidemic strain produces 16-fold higher concentrations of toxin A and 23-fold higher concentrations of toxin B than nonepidemic strains of *C. difficile*.17
- Production of a third toxin, called binary toxin CDT. The role of this toxin in the pathogenesis of CDI is not clear, but the presence of this toxin has been associated with more severe CDI-related diarrhea.16
- High-level resistance to fluoroquinolones, including moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin.5,16 It has been theorized that increasing use of fluoroquinolones during the past decade may have provided a selective advantage for the BI/NAP1/027 strain to predominate.2
- Production of more spores than other strains of *C. difficile*.17,18 This may increase its ability to contaminate the environment and be transmitted in a healthcare facility.

**CDI SEVERITY IS INCREASING**

Paralleling the increased prevalence of CDI, *C. difficile* infections are generally becoming more severe. In Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada, which experienced a dramatic outbreak of CDI associated with increased CDI severity, the cumulative 1-year attributable mortality was nearly 37% (60 of 161 CDI cases) in a hospital case review of nonsurgical admissions between January 2003 and June 2004.19 In St Louis, Missouri in 2003, a 5.7% 180-day mortality rate was reported in an endemic setting.20 Among the 24% of patients readmitted within 180 days of discharge (4207 of 17,492) in this retrospective case review, patients with CDI were more than twice as likely as non-CDI patients to be readmitted to the hospital (52% vs 23%, N = 4207).20 Furthermore, patients with CDI were significantly more likely to require discharge to a long-term care facility (32%) than non-CDI controls (23%).19

Based on NIS data for CDI-related hospitalizations between 2000 and 2005, the crude, age-adjusted case-fatality rate rose from 1.2% in 2000 to 2.2% in 2004.21 This increase was mirrored by a doubling of CDI cases admitted for hospitalization during the same 6-year period.21 According to the investigators, these findings indirectly confirm that the doubling in CDI deaths is attributable to an increase in *C. difficile* virulence.21 A 6-month prospective surveillance of CDI patient outcomes in 29 Canadian hospitals was conducted by the Canadian Nosocomial Infection Surveillance Program (CNISP) beginning in November 2004.22 At 30 days after onset of CDI, the percentage of deaths directly or indirectly attributable to CDI was 5.7%, which represented an almost 4-fold increase over CDI-attributable deaths recorded in the 1997 CNISP survey.22 Overall 30-day mortality was retrospectively analyzed among patients with CDI in a St Louis, Missouri 1200-bed teaching hospital intensive care unit (ICU) over a 2-year period (2004–
The 30-day crude mortality among 278 patients admitted to the ICU with CDI was 37% (n = 102), and mortality directly attributable to CDI in these critically ill patients was 6%. The number of deaths in the United States due to CDI increased sharply from 793 patients in 1999 to 6225 patients in 2006. In 2006, it ranked among the top 20 causes of death for those aged 65 years and older.

INCREASE IN TREATMENT FAILURES

In addition to being more severe, there have been several reports of increases in CDI treatment failures and/or increases in recurrent CDI. Recent studies indicate there may be more metronidazole treatment failures regardless of whether the infecting strain is the BI/NAP1/027 strain, despite a lack of laboratory evidence indicating resistance to metronidazole. Regardless of the initial therapy chosen, patients must be carefully monitored to ensure they are responding appropriately to treatment and their condition is not deteriorating.

Some of the original trials of CDI treatments found relapse rates as low as 5% to 15%. More recent data indicate relapse occurs after ~30% of initial CDI episodes, and as frequent as 65% if the patient has had multiple prior CDI episodes.

COMMUNITY-ASSOCIATED CDI

The epidemiology of community-associated CDI may also be changing. Virulent strains, which cause more severe disease in high-risk patients, may also cause more frequent, severe disease in populations previously thought to be at low risk. Some studies have found an increase in community-associated CDI in otherwise healthy individuals with little or no exposure to a healthcare facility. Although antimicrobial exposure remains the most important risk factor for community-associated CDI, antimicrobial exposure is less common in community-associated CDI than healthcare-associated CDI.

In a Canadian study, the rate of diagnosed community-acquired CDI cases was stable at about 22 cases per 100,000 patient-years per calendar year between 1998 and 2002, but rose steadily for the next 2 years to 53 cases per 100,000 patient-years in 2004. Similar results were seen in the United Kingdom, with an exponential increase from fewer than 1 case per 100,000 person-years in 1994 to 22 cases per 100,000 person-years in 2004. There are currently no comprehensive longitudinal studies in the United States investigating the incidence of purely community-acquired CDI where a patient had no prior hospital exposure. However, regional surveys have reported an incidence of community-acquired CDI of 12 cases per 100,000 person-years during 1992 to 1994, 7.6 cases per 100,000 person-years in 2005, and 6.9 cases per 100,000 person-years in 2006. One patient population generally thought to be at low risk for CDI that may be at increased risk for severe CDI is pregnant women. In one study 419 infectious disease consultants who responded to a survey conducted by the Emerging Infections Network had seen or were aware of 55 cases of CDI in peripartum women. There were 21 cases with complications, including 10 relapses and 5 cases of toxic megacolon. In a prior report of severe CDI among 10 peripartum women, 3 women died and 3 infants (2 were twins) were stillborn.

This data emphasizes why clinicians must have a high index of suspicion for CDI, and should be aware of the potential for severe outcomes, even in patients traditionally considered to be at low risk.

ECONOMIC IMPACT OF CDI

The economic burden of CDI in the United States is staggering, with estimates ranging from $1.1 to $3.2 billion annually (Table 1). These estimates are based on the cost of caring for patients with CDI in acute care facilities and are primarily driven by increased length of stay in the hospital due to CDI. These data also predate the emergence of the BI/NAP1/027 strain. Therefore, the costs of CDI are likely higher than these estimates due to the increases in CDI severity seen since these studies were performed. It is important to note that these studies did not include patients diagnosed and treated in nursing
homes or the community, nor the increase in costs due to discharge to a long-term care facility.  

SUMMARY

C. difficile infections are becoming more prevalent and more severe. The issue is sufficiently serious that healthcare-onset CDI has recently been called a “major public health threat.” For this reason, efforts to combat viral C. difficile should include good antimicrobial stewardship, effective infection control, and control of environmental factors that promote transmission.  

Healthcare professionals who oversee the care of inpatients should act as catalysts for improvement by taking a leadership role in the multidisciplinary approach needed to reduce the morbidity, mortality, and cost burden for patients and the healthcare system.
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