although, based on limited information, this patient does not appear to be clinically nephrotic. If possible, the biopsy

pathology should be reviewed by a pathologist with interest

in the kidney. The report of a positive P-ANCA may not be

particularly helpful here, given the frequency of false-positive results, and in any event, P-ANCAs have been associated with

The patient's gardening exposure, in bare feet no less, is

intriguing. This potentially places him at risk for fungal

infections including blastomycosis, histoplasmosis, cryptococ-

cosis, and sporotrichosis. Gardening without shoes is a

somewhat different enterprise in northeast Ohio than, say,

Mississippi, and it will be helpful to know where this took

place. Exposure in Appalachia or the South should prompt

consideration of disseminated strongyloidiasis, given his aza-

20 breaths per minute, temperature 35.6°C, and oxygen satu-

ration 98% on room air. On examination, he appeared very

thin but not in distress. Examination of the skin did not reveal

rashes or lesions, and there was no lymphadenopathy. His

thyroid was symmetric and normal in size. Lungs were clear

to auscultation, and cardiac exam revealed a regular rate with

a previously documented III/VI holosystolic murmur over the

aortic auscultatory area. Abdominal exam revealed no orga-

nomegaly or tenderness. Joints were noted to be non-

inflamed, and extremities non-edematous. Radial, brachial,

popliteal, and dorsalis pedis pulses were normal bilaterally. A

The physical examination does not help to substantively nar-

row or redirect the differential diagnosis. Although he appears

to be tachypneic, this may simply reflect charting artifact. At

this point, I would like to proceed with a number of basic

diagnostic studies. In addition to complete blood count with

differential, chemistries, and liver function panel, I would also

neurological exam revealed no focal deficits.

Vital signs were as follows: blood pressure 151/76

mmHg, pulse 67 beats per minute, respiratory rate

a host of conditions other than vasculitis.

CLINICAL CARE CONUNDRUMS

Rounding Up the Usual Suspects

The approach to clinical conundrums by an expert clinician is revealed through the presentation of an actual patient's case in an approach typical of a morning report. Similarly to patient care, sequential pieces of information are provided to the clinician, who is unfamiliar with the case. The focus is on the thought processes of both the clinical team caring for the patient and the discussant.

This icon represents the patient's case. Each paragraph that follows represents the discussant's thoughts.

Vicente Velez, MD1, Moises Auron, MD1, Brian Harte, MD1, Maria Giselle Velez, MD1, Jim Pile, MD1, 2

¹Department of Hospital Medicine, Medicine Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio; ²MetroHealth Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio.

A 76-year-old white male presented to his primary care physician with a 40-pound weight loss and gradual decline in function over the prior 6 months. In addition, over the previous 2 months, he had begun to suffer a constant, non-bloody, and non-productive cough accompanied by night sweats. Associated complaints included a decline in physical activity, increased sleep needs, decreased appetite, irritability, and generalized body aches.

The patient, an elderly man, presents with a subacute, progressive systemic illness, which appears to have a pulmonary component. Broad disease categories meriting consideration include infections such as tuberculosis, endemic fungi, and infectious endocarditis; malignancies including bronchogenic carcinoma, as well as a variety of other neoplasms; and rheumatologic conditions including temporal arteritis/polymyalgia rheumatica and Wegener's granulomatosis. His complaints of anhedonia, somnolence, and irritability, while decidedly nonspecific, raise the possibility of central nervous system involvement.

His past medical history was notable for coronary artery disease, moderate aortic stenosis, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and chronic sinusitis. Two years ago, he had unexplained kidney failure. Anti-neutrophilic cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) were present, and indirect immunoflorescence revealed a peri-nuclear ("P-ANCA") pattern on kidney biopsy. The patient had been empirically placed on azathioprine for presumed focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS), and his renal function remained stable at an estimated glomerular filtrate rate ranging from 15 to 30 mL/min/1.73 m². His other medications included nifedipine, metoprolol, aspirin, isosorbide mononitrate, atorvastatin, calcitriol, and docusate. His family and social histories were unremarkable, including no history of tobacco. He had no pets and denied illicit drug use. He admitted to spending a considerable amount of time gardening, including working in his yard in bare feet.

The associations of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, if indeed this diagnosis is correct, include lupus, vasculitis, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. The nephrotic syndrome is a frequent manifestation of this entity,

Received: August 15, 2011; Revised: December 8, 2009; Accepted: January 15, 2012

2012 Society of Hospital Medicine DOI 10.1002/jhm.1922 Published online in Wiley Online Library (Wileyonlinelibrary.com).

obtain a thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) assay, urinalysis,

thioprine use.

blood cultures, erythrocyte sedimentation rate/C-reactive protein, a HIV enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), chest radiograph, and a repeat ANCA panel. A purified protein derivative (PPD) skin test should be placed.

Blood chemistries were as follows: glucose 88 mg/dL, blood urea nitrogen (BUN) 48 mg/dL, creatinine 2.71 mg/dL, sodium 139 mmol/L, potassium 5.5 mmol/L, chloride 103 mmol/L, CO₂ 28 mmol/L, and anion gap 8 mmol/L. TSH, urinalysis, and PPD tests were unremarkable. His white blood cell count (WBC) was 33.62 K/µL with 94% eosinophils and an absolute eosinophil count of 31.6 K/µL. His platelet count was 189 K/µL, hemoglobin 12.1 g/dL, and

^{*}Address for correspondence and reprint requests: Vicente Velez, MD, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, 9500 Euclid Ave, M2-133, Cleveland, OH 44195; Telephone: 216-445-1961; Fax: 216-444-8530; E-mail: velezv@ccf.org

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article

hematocrit 36.9%. A chest x-ray revealed reticular opacities in the mid-to-lower lungs, and subsequent computed tomography (CT) scan of the chest demonstrated multiple bilateral indeterminate nodules and right axillary adenopathy.

The patient's strikingly elevated absolute eosinophil count is a very important clue that helps to significantly focus the diagnostic possibilities. In general, an eosinophilia this pronounced signifies one of several possibilities, including primary hypereosinophilic syndrome, Churg–Strauss syndrome, parasitic infection with an active tissue migration phase, eosinophilic leukemia, and perhaps chronic eosinophilic pneumonia. In addition, Wegener's granulomatosis still merits consideration, although an eosinophil count this high would certainly be unusual.

Of the above possibilities, Churg–Strauss seems less likely given his apparent absence of a history of asthma. Parasitic infections, particularly ascariasis but also strongyloidiasis, hookworm, and even visceral larva migrans are possible, although we have not been told whether geographical exposure exists to support the first 3 of these. Hypereosinophilic syndrome remains a strong consideration, although the patient does not yet clearly meet criteria for this diagnosis.

At this juncture, I would send stool and sputum for ova and parasite exam, and order *Strongyloides* serology, have the peripheral smear reviewed by a pathologist, await the repeat ANCA studies, and consider obtaining hematology consultation.

Tests for anti-Smith, anti-ribonuclear (RNP), anti-SSA, anti-SSB, anti-centromere, anti-Scl 70, and anti-Jo antibodies were negative. Repeat ANCA testing was positive with P-ANCA pattern on indirect immunofluorescence. His erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive Protein (CRP) were mildly elevated at 29 mm/hr and 1.1 mg/dL, respectively. An immunodeficiency panel work-up consisting of CD3, CD4, CD8, CD19, T-cell, B-cell, and natural killer (NK) cell differential counts demonstrated CD8 T-cell depletion. Blood cultures demonstrated no growth at 72 hours. No definite M protein was identified on serum and urine protein electrophoresis. Strongyloides IgG was negative. HIV ELISA was negative. A serologic fungal battery to measure antibodies against Aspergillus, Blastomyces, Histoplasma, and Coccidiodes was negative. A microscopic examination of stool and sputum for ova and parasites was also negative. A peripheral blood smear showed anisocytosis and confirmed the elevated eosinophil count.

The preceding wealth of information helps to further refine the picture. The positive P-ANCA by ELISA as well as immunofluorescence suggests this is a real phenomenon, and makes Churg–Strauss syndrome more likely, despite the absence of preceding or concurrent asthma. I am not aware of an association between P-ANCA and hypereosinophilic syndrome, nor of a similar link to either chronic eosinophilic pneumonia or hematological malignancies. Although I would like to see 2 additional stool studies for ova and parasites performed by an experienced laboratory technician before discarding the diagnosis of parasitic infection entirely, I am increasingly suspicious that this patient has a prednisone-deficient state, most likely Churg– Strauss syndrome. I am uncertain of the relationship between his more recent symptoms and his pre-existing kidney disease, but proceeding to lung biopsy appears to be appropriate.

Bronchoscopic examination with accompanying bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and transbronchial biopsy were performed. The BAL showed many Aspergillus fumigatus as well as hemosiderin-laden macrophages, and the biopsy demonstrated an eosinophilic infiltrate throughout the interstitia, alveolar spaces, and bronchiolar walls. However, the airways did not show features of asthma, capillaritis, vasculitis, or granulomas. A bone marrow biopsy showed no evidence of clonal hematologic disease.

The *Aspergillus* recovered from BAL, although unexpected, probably does not adequately explain the picture. I am not convinced that the patient has invasive aspergillosis, and although components of the case are consistent with allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, the absence of an asthma history and the extreme degree of peripheral eosinophilia seem to speak against this diagnosis. The biopsy does not corroborate a vasculitic process, but the yield of transbronchial biopsy is relatively low in this setting, and the pulmonary vasculitides remain in play unless a more substantial biopsy specimen is obtained. It is worth noting that high-dose corticosteroids are a risk factor for the conversion of *Aspergillus* colonization to invasive aspergillosis, and treatment with voriconazole would certainly be appropriate if prednisone was to be initiated.

I believe Churg–Strauss syndrome, hypereosinophilic syndrome, and chronic eosinophilic pneumonia remain the leading diagnostic possibilities, with the P-ANCA likely serving as a red herring if the diagnosis turns out to be one of the latter entities. An open lung biopsy would be an appropriate next step, after first obtaining those additional ova and parasite exams for completeness.

An infectious diseases specialist recommended that the patient be discharged on voriconazole 300 mg PO bid for *Aspergillus* colonization with an underlying lung disease and likely allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis or invasive aspergillosis. Steroid therapy was contemplated but not initiated.

Three weeks later, the patient re-presented with worsening of fatigue and cognitive deterioration marked by episodes of confusion and word-finding difficulties. His WBC had increased to 45.67 K/µL (94% eosinophils). He had now lost a total of 70 pounds, and an increase in generalized weakness was apparent. His blood pressure on presentation was 120/63 mmHg, pulse rate 75 beats per minute, respiratory rate 18 breaths per minute, temperature 35.8°C, and oxygen saturation 97% on room air. He appeared cachectic, but not in overt distress. His skin, head, neck, chest, cardiac, abdominal, peripheral vascular, and neurological exam demonstrated no change from the last admission. A follow-up chest x-ray showed mild pulmonary edema and new poorly defined pulmonary nodules in the right upper lobe. A repeat CT scan of the thorax demonstrated interval progression of ground-glass attenuation nodules, which were now more solid-appearing and increased in number, and present in all lobes of the lung. A CT of the brain did not reveal acute processes such as intracranial hemorrhage, infarction, or mass lesions. Lumbar puncture was performed, with a normal opening pressure. Analysis of the clear and colorless cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) showed 1 red blood cell count (RBC)/µL, 2 WBC/µL with 92% lymphocytes, glucose 68 mg/dL, and protein 39 mg/dL. CSF fungal cultures, routine cultures, venereal disease reaction level (VDRL), and cryptococcal antigen were negative. CSF cytology did not demonstrate malignant cells. Multiple

TABLE 1. Potential Causes of Eosinophilia

Differential Diagnoses	Comments
Asthma and common allergic diseases (atopic dermatitis, allergic rhinitis)	Levels >1500 cell/µl are uncommon
Paraneoplastic eosinophilia	Associated with adenocarcinomas, Hodgkin disease, T-cell lymphomas, and systemic mastocytosis
Drugs and drug-associated eosinophilic syndromes	Commonly associated with antibiotics (especially B-lactams) and anti-epileptic drugs
Immunodeficiency disorders	Hyper-IgE syndrome and Omenn syndrome are rare causes of eosinophilia
Adrenal insufficiency	Important consideration in the critical care setting because endogenous glucocorticoids are involved in the stimulation of eosinophil apoptosis
Organ-specific eosinophilic disorders	Examples: acute and chronic eosinophilic pneumonia, gastrointestinal eosinophilic disorders (esophagitis, colitis)
Primary eosinophilia: clonal or idiopathic	Clonal eosinophilia has histologic, cytogenetic, or molecular evidence of an underlying myeloid malignancy
Helminthic infections	An active tissue migration phase may manifest with hypereosinophilia
Hypereosinophilic syndrome	Classic criteria: hypereosinophilia for at least 6 mo, exclusion of both secondary and clonal eosinophilia, and evidence of organ involvement
Churg-Strauss syndrome	Hypereosinophilia with asthma, systemic vasculitis, migratory pulmonary infiltrates, sinusitis, and extravascular eosinophils
Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA)	Major criteria: history of asthma, central bronchiectasis, immediate skin reactivity to <i>Aspergillus</i> , elevated total serum IgE (>1000 ng/mL), elevated IgE or IgG to <i>Aspergillus</i>

ova and parasite exams obtained from the previous admission were confirmed to be negative.

The patient's continued deterioration points to either Churg-Strauss syndrome or hypereosinophilic syndrome, I believe. His renal function and P-ANCA (if related) support the former possibility, while the development of what now appear to be clear encephalopathic symptoms are more in favor of the latter. I would initiate steroid therapy while proceeding to an open lung biopsy in an effort to secure a definitive diagnosis, again under the cover of voriconazole, and would ask for hematology input if this had not already been obtained.

A video-assisted right thoracoscopy with wedge resection of 2 visible nodules in the right lower lobe was performed. The biopsy conclusively diagnosed a peripheral T-cell lymphoma. The patient's condition deteriorated, and ultimately he and his family chose a palliative approach.

COMMENTARY

Eosinophils are cells of myeloid lineage that contain cationicrich protein granules that mediate allergic response, reaction to parasitic infections, tissue inflammation, and immune modulation.^{1,2} Eosinophilia (absolute eosinophil count \geq 600 cells/µL) suggests the possibility of a wide array of disorders. The degree of eosinophilia can be categorized as mild (600–1500 cells/µL), moderate (1500–5000 cells/µL), or severe (>5000 cells/µL).³ It may signify a reactive phenomenon (secondary) or, less commonly, either an underlying hematological neoplasm (primary) or an idiopathic process.² Clinicians faced with an unexplained eosinophilia should seek the most frequent causes first.

Initial investigation should include a careful travel history; consideration of both prescription and over-the-counter medications, especially non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), with withdrawal of non-essential agents; serology for *Strongyloides stercoralis* antibodies (and possibly other helminths, depending on potential exposure) should be assessed; and stool examinations for ova and parasites should be obtained. The possibility of a wide variety of other potential causes of eosinophilia (Table 1) should be entertained,^{4–13} and a careful search for end-organ damage related to eosinophilic infiltration should be performed if eosinophilia is moderate or severe.¹

Hypereosinophilia is defined as an eosinophil level greater than 1500 cells/ μ L. These levels may be associated with end-

organ damage regardless of the underlying etiology, although the degree of eosinophilia frequently does not correlate closely with eosinophilic tissue infiltration. As a result, relatively modest degrees of peripheral eosinophilia may be seen in association with end-organ damage, while severe eosinophilia may be tolerated well for prolonged periods in other cases.¹ The most serious complications of hypereosinophilia are myocardial damage with ultimate development of cardiac fibrosis and refractory heart failure; pulmonary involvement with hypoxia; and involvement of both the central and peripheral nervous systems including stroke, encephalopathy, and mononeuritis multiplex. A number of studies should be considered to help evaluate for the possibility of end-organ damage as well as to assess for the presence of primary and idiopathic causes of hypereosinophilia. These include peripheral blood smear looking particularly for dysplastic eosinophils or blasts, serum tryptase, serum vitamin B12, serum IgE, cardiac troponin levels, anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, electrocardiography, echocardiography, pulmonary function tests, and thoracoabdominal CT scanning. Endoscopic studies with esophageal, duodenal, and colonic biopsy should be performed if eosinophilic gastroenteritis is suspected.^{1,7,10}

While more modest degrees of eosinophilia are associated with a plethora of conditions, severe eosinophilia, especially that approaching the levels displayed by this patient, suggests a much more circumscribed differential diagnosis. This should prompt consideration of Churg-Strauss syndrome, parasitic infection with an active tissue migration phase, and hypereosinophilic syndrome (HES).⁴ HES has classically been characterized by hypereosinophilia for at least 6 months, exclusion of both secondary and clonal eosinophilia, and evidence of end-organ involvement. More recently, however, a revised definition consisting of marked eosinophilia with reasonable exclusion of other causes has gained favor.^{1,7,10,14–16} While perhaps as many as 75% of cases of HES continue to be considered idiopathic at present, 2 subtypes have now been recognized, with important prognostic and therapeutic implications. Myeloproliferative HES has a strong male predominance, is frequently associated with elevated serum tryptase and B₁₂ levels, often manifests with hepatosplenomegaly, and displays a characteristic gene mutation, FIP1L1/PDGFRA. Lymphocytic HES is typified by polyclonal eosinophilic expansion in response to elevated IL-5 levels, is associated with less cardiac involvement and a somewhat more favorable

prognosis in the absence of therapy, and has been associated with transformation into T-cell lymphoma.^{1,14–17} We suspect, though we are unable to prove, that our patient was finally diagnosed at the end of a journey that began as lymphocytic HES and ultimately progressed to T-cell lymphoma. T-cell lymphoma has rarely been associated with profound eosinophilia. This appears to reflect disordered production of IL-5, as was true of this patient, and many of these cases may represent transformed lymphocytic HES.¹⁴

Specific therapy exists for the myeloproliferative subtype of HES, consisting of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor imatinib, with excellent response in typical cases. Initial treatment of most other extreme eosinophilic syndromes not caused by parasitic infection, including lymphocytic and idiopathic HES as well as Churg-Strauss syndrome, consists of high-dose corticosteroids, with a variety of other agents used as second-line and steroid-sparing treatments. The urgency of therapy is dictated by the presence and severity of end-organ damage, and in some instances corticosteroids may need to be given before the diagnosis is fully secure. When S. stercoralis infection has not been ruled out, concurrent therapy with ivermectin should be given to prevent triggering Strongyloides hyperinfection. Hematology input is critical when HES is under serious consideration, with bone marrow examination, cytogenetic studies, T-cell phenotyping and T-cell receptor rearrangement studies essential in helping to establish the correct diagnosis.^{10,17}

The differential diagnosis of peripheral eosinophilia is broad and requires a thorough, stepwise approach. Although profound eosinophilia is usually caused by a limited number of diseases, this patient reminds us that Captain Renault's advice in the film Casablanca to "round up the usual suspects" does not always suffice, as the diagnosis of T-cell lymphoma was not considered by either the clinicians or the discussant until lung biopsy results became available. Most patients with hypereosinophilia not caused by parasitic infection will ultimately require an invasive procedure to establish a diagnosis, which is essential before embarking on an often-toxic course of therapy, as well as for providing an accurate prognosis.

TEACHING POINTS

1. The most common causes of eosinophilia include helminthic infections (the leading cause worldwide), asthma, allergic conditions (the leading cause in the United States), malignancies, and drugs.

- 2. Hypereosinophilia may lead to end-organ damage. The most important etiologies include Churg-Strauss Syndrome, HES, or a helminthic infection in the larval migration phase.
- 3. The mainstay of therapy for most cases of HES is corticosteroids. The goal of therapy is to prevent, or ameliorate, end-organ damage.

Disclosure: Nothing to report.

References

- Roufosse F, Weller PF. Practical approach to the patient with hypereosinophilia. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;126(1):39–44. Tefferi A, Patnaik MM, Pardanani A. Eosinophilia: secondary, clonal
- and idiopathic. Br J Haematol. 2006;133(5):468-492.
- Tefferi A. Blood eosinophilia: a new paradigm in disease classifica-tion, diagnosis, and treatment. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2005;80(1):75-83. 3.
- 4. Baldini Č, Talarico R, Della Rossa A, Bombardieri S. Clinical manifestations and treatment of Churg-Strauss syndrome. Rheum Dis Clin North Am. 2010;36(3):527-543.
- Beishuizen A, Vermes I, Hylkema BS, Haanen C. Relative eosino-5. philia and functional adrenal insufficiency in critically ill patients. Lancet. 1999;353(9165):1675–1676.
- Meagher LC, Cousin JM, Seckl JR, Haslett C. Opposing effects of glucocorticoids on the rate of apoptosis in neutrophilic and eosinophilic granulocytes. J Immunol. 1996;156(10):4422–4428. Simon D, Simon HU. Eosinophilic disorders. J Allergy Clin Immunol.
- 7. 2007;119(6):1291-1300; quiz 1301-1302.
- 8. Katz U, Shoenfeld Y. Pulmonary eosinophilia. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2008;34(3):367-371.
- Lucendo AJ. Eosinophilic diseases of the gastrointestinal tract. Scand *J Gastroenterol.* 2010;45(9):1013–1021. 10. Tefferi A, Gotlib J, Pardanani A. Hypereosinophilic syndrome and
- clonal eosinophilia: point-of-care diagnostic algorithm and treatment update. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2010;85(2):158–164.
- 11. Noh G, Jin H, Lee J, Noh J, Lee WM, Lee S. Eosinophilia as a predictor of food allergy in atopic dermatitis. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2010; 31(2):e18-e24.
- 12. Masi AT, Hunder GG, Lie JT, et al. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of Churg-Strauss syn-drome (allergic granulomatosis and angiitis). *Arthritis Rheum*. 1990; 33(8):1094–1100.
- 13. Greenberger PA. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. In: Adkinson NF, Yunginger JW, Busse WW, et al, eds. Middleton's Allergy Principles & Practice. Vol 2. 6th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Mosby, 2003: 1353-1371.
- 14. Thielen C, Radermacher V, Trimeche M, et al. TARC and IL-5 expression correlates with tissue eosinophilia in peripheral T-cell lymphomas. Leuk Res. 2008;32(9):1431-1438.
- 15. Ionescu MA, Wang L, Janin A. Hypereosinophilic syndrome and proliferative diseases. Acta Dermatovenerol Croat. 2009;17(4):323-330.
- 16. Gleich GJ, Leiferman KM. The hypereosinophilic syndromes: current concepts and treatments. Br J Haematol. 2009;145(3):271-285.
- 17. Roufosse F, Cogan E, Goldman M. Lymphocytic variant hypereosinophilic syndromes. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am. 2007;27(3): 389-413.